



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.09422>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

**N. V. EFIMOV and S. B. STECHKIN**

### CHEBYSHEV SETS IN BANACH SPACES

*(Presented by Academician I. N. Vekua on 5 IV 1958)*

**No. 1.** A set  $M$  lying in a metric space  $R$  will be called **Chebyshev** if for every point  $x \in R$  there exists a unique point  $y \in M$  such that the distance  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x, M)$  (in this connection see (<sup>1</sup>)).

In this note we establish the **convexity of compact Chebyshev sets in Banach spaces under certain restrictions on the unit sphere**, namely, in spaces with a smooth unit sphere having uniformly small skewness (see No. 6). In particular, this thereby establishes the convexity of compact Chebyshev sets in Hilbert space.

**No. 2.** In what follows  $X$  denotes a real Banach space;  $E_a(p)$  denotes the open ball of radius  $a$  with center  $p \in X$ ; and  $O_a(p)$  denotes the complement of  $E_a(p)$  in  $X$ .

Let a set  $M \subset X$  and a plane  $L \subset X$  be given. Denote by  $(L, M, a)$  the intersection of  $L$  and all  $O_a(p)$ ,  $p \in L$ , that contain  $M$ . Then:

- 1)  $(L, M, a)$  is closed (as the intersection of closed sets).
- 2) If  $M \subseteq M'$ , then  $(L, M, a) \subseteq (L, M', a)$ .
- 3) If  $a_1 < a_2$ , then  $(L, M, a_1) \subseteq (L, M, a_2)$ .

Property 2) follows immediately from the definition. Property 3) is also seen directly; indeed, if  $x \in L$  does not belong to  $(L, M, a_2)$ , i.e. lies inside some ball  $E_{a_2}(p_2)$ ,  $p_2 \in L$ , which does not contain  $M$ , then  $x$  lies inside a ball  $E_{a_1}(p_1)$ ,  $p_1 \in L$ , which is contained in the ball  $E_{a_2}(p_2)$ . Thus the complement of  $(L, M, a_1)$  in  $L$  contains the complement of  $(L, M, a_2)$ ; hence  $(L, M, a_1) \subseteq (L, M, a_2)$ .

**No. 3.** In the case when  $L \equiv X$ , we shall denote  $(L, M, a)$  by  $(M, a)$  and call this set the  **$a$ -envelope of the set  $M$** . On the other hand, when  $L \neq X$ , we shall often consider sets inside  $L$ , abstracting from  $X$ ; in such cases we shall call  $L$  a **space**, considering that some point of  $L$  has been taken as the origin.

The following properties of  $a$ -envelopes hold:

- 4)  $M \subseteq (M, a)$  for every  $a$ .
- 5)  $(L, M, a_0)$  coincides with its  $a$ -envelope in the space  $L$  for every  $a \leq a_0$  (proved analogously to 3), taking into account 4)).

6) The closure of the set-theoretic sum of all  $(L, M, a)$ ,  $0 < a < \infty$ , coincides with its  $a$ -envelope in the space  $L$  for every  $a$  (proved analogously to 5)).

**No. 4.** Consider an  $n$ -dimensional Banach space  $X_n$  with a smooth unit sphere, i.e. one having at each point of the unit sphere a unique supporting hyperplane.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $K$  be the set of vertices of a full-dimensional simplex  $S \subset X_n$ ; then the closure of the set-theoretic sum of all  $a$ -envelopes of  $K$  is the simplex  $S$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $M \subset X_n$ ; if  $M \equiv (M, a)$  for every  $a$ , then  $M$  is either convex or degenerate (i.e. lies in some hyperplane).

Lemma 2 follows from Lemma 1.

No. 5. Here we again consider an infinite-dimensional Banach space  $X$ . We call a closed ball  $\bar{E}$  a supporting ball to a certain set  $Q$  at the points of its subset  $Q_1$ , if inside  $\bar{E}$  there are no points of  $Q$ , and  $Q_1$  lies on the boundary of  $\bar{E}$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $M \subset X$  is compact, then for any boundary point  $y_0$  of the set  $(L, M, a)$ , regarded as a set of the space  $L$ , there exists a closed ball  $\bar{E}_a(p)$ ,  $p \in L$ , supporting  $(L, M, a)$  at the point  $y_0$  and also supporting  $M$ .

**Lemma 4 (on removing a ball).** Let  $M \subset X$  be a compact set;  $\bar{E}$  a closed ball supporting  $M$  at the single point  $x_0$ ;  $e$  a vector going from  $x_0$  into the interior of the ball  $\bar{E}$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that the ball

$$\bar{E}' = \bar{E} + \lambda e$$

does not intersect  $M$  for all  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let the ball  $\bar{E}$  be smooth;  $A$  a supporting hyperplane to  $\bar{E}$  at an arbitrary boundary point  $x$ ;  $e$  a vector which, when applied at  $x$ , goes into that (open) half-space of  $X$ , relative to  $A$ , in which the ball  $\bar{E}$  lies. Then  $e$  goes into the interior of the ball  $\bar{E}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that no point of the segment  $z = x + te$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , is an interior point of  $\bar{E}$ . Denote by  $W$  the convex hull of this segment and  $\bar{E}$ . The closed set  $W$  is a convex body;  $z = x + te$ ,  $0 < t < t_0$ , is its boundary point. By Mazur's theorem <sup>(2)</sup>, at the point  $z$  there exists a hyperplane  $B$  supporting  $W$ . This hyperplane contains the entire segment  $z = x + te$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , and, consequently, is a supporting hyperplane to the ball  $\bar{E}$  at the point  $x_0$ . Moreover, by construction,  $B$  does not coincide with  $A$ . We have arrived at a contradiction with the definition of smoothness of the ball  $\bar{E}$ . Hence it follows that there exists a point  $z_1 = x + t_1 e$ ,  $0 < t_1 \leq t_0$ , interior to  $\bar{E}$ ; consequently, the whole segment  $z = x + te$ ,  $0 < t \leq t_1$ , consists of interior points of  $\bar{E}$ . The lemma is proved.

No. 6. Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space;  $S$  its unit sphere;  $L_n$  an  $n$ -dimensional subspace;  $x \in S$  an arbitrary point whose distance from  $L_n$  is  $\leq \varepsilon < 1$ ;  $\Lambda_{n-1}$  an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional plane tangent to  $S$  at the point  $x$  and

parallel to  $L_n$ . Consider the intersection of  $S$  and  $L_n$ ; owing to the strict convexity of  $X$  there will be exactly two points  $y_1, y_2 \in L_n$  at which the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional tangent plane to  $S$  is parallel to  $\Lambda_{n-1}$ ; denote by  $y$  the one of them nearest to the point  $x$ ; by  $\rho(x, y)$  the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ . We shall say that  $X$  is a **space with uniformly small skewness** if there exists a number  $k$  ( $k > 1$ ) such that

$$\rho(x, y) \leq f(\varepsilon), \quad f(\varepsilon) = k\varepsilon,$$

for all  $x \in S$ .

A space with uniformly small skewness has the following property, which we shall use below: if  $L_n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional plane passing through the center  $p$  of the sphere  $S_a(p)$ , and all the other notations retain the analogous meaning, then  $\rho(x, y) \leq f(\varepsilon)$  for all  $a$  and  $x \in S_a(p)$ .

**Remark.** It can be shown that not every uniformly convex space is a space with uniformly small skewness; however, Hilbert space belongs to this type (for it  $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{2}$ ).

No. 7. Let  $M$  be a Chebyshev compact set in a space with uniformly small skewness and a smooth unit sphere. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose some  $\varepsilon$ -net of the set  $M$ , construct the smallest finite-dimensional plane  $L_\varepsilon$  passing through all points of this  $\varepsilon$ -net, and construct the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$ .

**Lemma 6.** For any  $a > 0$ , every point of the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  is at distance  $\leq f(\varepsilon)$  from  $M$ .

**Proof.** From the definition of the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  it follows that it is bounded and, consequently, has boundary points in  $L_\varepsilon$ . Consider any one of its boundary points  $y_0$ . According to Lemma 3 there exists a closed ball  $\overline{E}_a(p)$ , supporting the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  at the point  $y_0$  and at the same time supporting  $M$ . Since  $M$  is a Chebyshev set,  $\overline{E}_a(p)$  intersects  $M$  in the single point  $x_0$ . We shall prove that  $\rho(x_0, y_0) \leq f(\varepsilon)$ . Denote by  $A$  and  $B$  the hyperplanes in  $X$  supporting  $\overline{E}_a(p)$  respectively at the points  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ . If  $\rho(x_0, y_0) > f(\varepsilon)$ , then the intersections  $A$  and  $B$  with  $L_\varepsilon$  are not parallel. Therefore there is a vector  $e$  which belongs to  $L_\varepsilon$  and satisfies the following two conditions: 1) being applied to some point of the hyperplane  $A$ , it is directed into that open half-space of  $X$  with respect to  $A$  in which the ball  $\overline{E}_a(p)$  lies; 2) being applied to some point of the hyperplane  $B$ , it is directed into that open half-space of  $X$  with respect to  $B$  in which the ball  $\overline{E}_a(p)$  does not lie. By Lemma 5 and the first of these two conditions, the vector  $e$ , with point of application  $x_0$ , is directed into the interior of the ball  $\overline{E}_a(p)$ . On the basis of Lemma 4 there exists a number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that the ball

$$\overline{E}_a(p') = \overline{E}_a(p) + \lambda e, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0,$$

does not intersect  $M$ , and moreover has its center  $p'$  in the plane  $L_\varepsilon$ . By virtue of the second condition in the definition of the vector  $e$ , for sufficiently small  $\lambda$  the point  $y_0$  will lie inside the ball  $\overline{E}_a(p')$ , i.e. it will be an exterior point of the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  in the space  $L_\varepsilon$ .

We have obtained a contradiction to the condition that  $y_0$  is a boundary point of  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$ . Thus the inequality  $\rho(y_0, x_0) \leq f(\varepsilon)$  has been proved by contradiction. Consequently,  $\rho(y_0, M) \leq f(\varepsilon)$ .

Now we shall prove that every interior point of the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  in the space  $L_\varepsilon$  is likewise at distance  $\leq f(\varepsilon)$  from  $M$ .

Suppose that inside  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  in the space  $L_\varepsilon$  there is a point  $y$  for which  $\rho(y, M) > f(\varepsilon)$ . Since the set  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  is compact, the continuous function  $\rho(y, M)$  attains on it a maximum  $m = \rho(q, M) > f(\varepsilon)$ ,  $q \in L_\varepsilon$ . The point  $q$  lies inside  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$ , since, according to what has been proved, on the boundary we have  $\rho(x, M) \leq f(\varepsilon)$ . Consider in  $X$  the ball  $\overline{E}_m(q)$ . This ball has a unique point of intersection with  $M$ , namely  $x_0$ . Since  $\varepsilon \leq f(\varepsilon) < m$ , the supporting hyperplane  $C$  of the ball  $\overline{E}_m(q)$  at the point  $x_0$  is not parallel to  $L_\varepsilon$ . Therefore there exists a vector  $e \in L_\varepsilon$  which, being applied to the point  $x_0$ , is directed into that half of the space  $X$  with respect to the hyperplane  $C$  in which the ball  $\overline{E}_m(q)$  lies, i.e. into the interior of this ball. Again applying Lemma 4, we find that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that the ball

$$\overline{E}_m(q_1) = \overline{E}_m(q) + \lambda e, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0,$$

has no common points with  $M$ . For sufficiently small  $\lambda$ , the point  $q_1$  will lie inside  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  in the space  $L_\varepsilon$ , and moreover  $\rho(q_1, M) > m$ .

We have arrived at a contradiction to the definition of the number  $m$ . Thus it has been proved that for every point  $y \in (L_\varepsilon, M, a)$  the relation  $\rho(y, M) \leq f(\varepsilon)$  holds, i.e. the lemma is proved.

**Theorem.** A Chebyshev compact set in a Banach space with uniformly small skewness and a smooth unit sphere is a convex set.

**Proof.** Let  $G_\varepsilon$  be the closure of the set-theoretic sum of all  $(L_\varepsilon, M, a)$ ,  $0 < a < \infty$ . According to No. 4,

$$G_\varepsilon = (G_\varepsilon, a) \text{ in } L_\varepsilon.$$

By the construction of  $L_\varepsilon$ , the set  $G_\varepsilon$  is nondegenerate in the space  $L_\varepsilon$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,  $G_\varepsilon$  is convex. By Lemma 6, the distance from any point  $y \in G_\varepsilon$  to the set  $M$  satisfies the inequality

$$\rho(y, M) \leq f(\varepsilon).$$

By the definition of the function  $f(\varepsilon)$ , we have  $f(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus, the compact set  $M$  is approximated arbitrarily well by convex sets. It follows that  $M$  is convex. The theorem is proved.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
4 IV 1958

## References

<sup>1</sup> N. V. Efimov, S. B. Stechkin, DAN, 118, No. 1, 17 (1958). <sup>2</sup> S. Mazur, Stud. Math., 4, 70 (1933).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*