



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

G. M. GUSACHENKO

1957

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.74862>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

MATHEMATICS

G. M. GUSACHENKO

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR A CERTAIN CLASS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, January 21, 1957)*

Until recently, the principal attention was directed to the study of classes of existence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for systems of linear equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = P \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u(x, t). \quad (1)$$

The works of S. L. Sobolev <sup>(1)</sup> and S. A. Galpern <sup>(2)</sup> showed that the problem of finding classes of existence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for systems

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_1 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u(x, t) = P_2 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u(x, t) \quad (2)$$

is of considerable interest.

In the present work one equation of the form (1) is considered in the one-dimensional space  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad (2a)$$

and a class of existence of solutions of (2), (2a) is found. In doing this, the method of generalized functions, developed by I. M. Gel' fand and G. E. Shilov in <sup>(3)</sup>, is applied.

**Theorem.** If the order of the differential polynomial  $P_1 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$  is greater than the order of  $P_2 \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$ , and if  $u_0(x)$  satisfies the inequality

$$|u_0(x)| < A_1 \exp[-A_2 |x|^{q/(q+1)}], \quad (3)$$

then for equation (2) there exists a solution of the Cauchy problem (2), (2a) in the class of functions

$$|u(x, t)| \leq B_1 \exp[B_2 |x|^{q/(q+1)}], \quad (3a)$$

where  $q$  is the largest multiplicity of a real root of the polynomial  $P_1(s)$ .

Let us note that here no assumptions are made concerning the differentiability of the initial functions. In this sense the equations considered by us resemble parabolic equations.

**Proof.** Following the scheme of I. M. Gel' fand and G. E. Shilov, consider the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_1(s)v(s, t) = P_2(s)v(s, t). \quad (4)$$

with the initial condition

$$v(s, 0) = v_0(s). \quad (4a)$$

As the basic space we take the space  $R$  of infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi(\sigma)$  satisfying the inequalities

$$|\sigma^k \varphi^{(p)}(\sigma)| \leq A_k B^p p^{\frac{q+1}{q} p} \exp \left[ -\frac{A_1}{|\sigma - a_1|^{p_1 + \varepsilon}} \right] \cdots \exp \left[ -\frac{A_l}{|\sigma - a_l|^{p_l + \varepsilon}} \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l$  are the real roots of the polynomial  $P_1(s)$ ;  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l$  are their multiplicities.

In the corresponding class  $T(R)$  of generalized functions the problem (4), (4a) has a solution, since  $\exp[P_2(s)t/P_1(s)]$  is a multiplier (3) in the class of functions  $R$ .

Indeed, the fraction  $P_2(s)/P_1(s)$  can be represented as the sum of two terms:  $P_2(s)/P_1(s) = Q_2(s)/Q_1(s) + M_2(s)/M_1(s)$ , such that  $Q_1(s)$  has only real roots, while  $M_1(s)$  has only non-real roots. Consequently,

$$\exp[P_2(s)t/P_1(s)] = \exp[Q_2(s)t/Q_1(s)] \exp[M_2(s)t/M_1(s)]. \quad (6)$$

The second factor in (6) is obviously a multiplier in  $R$ . We shall prove that the first factor is also a multiplier in  $R$ . Expanding the fraction  $Q_2(s)/Q_1(s)$  into partial fractions, we obtain

$$\exp \left[ \frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)} \right] \prod_{j=1}^l \prod_{k=1}^{p_j} \exp \left[ \frac{A_k^j t}{(s-a_j)^{p_j}} \right],$$

where  $A_k^j = \psi_j^{(k-1)}(a_j)/(k-1)!$ ,  $\psi_j(s) = Q_2(s)(s-a_j)^{p_j}/Q_1(s)$ .

Here factors of the forms  $\exp[A/(s-a)^k]$  and  $\exp[Ai/(s-a)^k]$  may occur, where  $a$  and  $A$  are real numbers. By Cauchy's formula,

$$D^m \exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\sigma-a)^k} \right] = \frac{m!}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\xi+a)^k} \right]}{(\xi-\sigma)^{m+1}} d\xi, \quad (7)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a circle tangent to two straight lines drawn through the point  $(a, 0)$  at an angle  $\alpha$  to the  $x$ -axis (this angle depends on  $k$ ), with its center at an arbitrary point  $\sigma$  of the real axis. Estimating (7) in modulus gives

$$\left| D^m \exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\sigma-a)^k} \right] \right| \leq B^m m^m \frac{\exp \left[ \frac{A'}{|\sigma-a|^k} \right]}{|\sigma-a|^m}. \quad (8)$$

Taking (5) and (8) into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sigma^k D^p \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\sigma-a)^k} \right] \varphi(\sigma) \right\} \right| \leq \\ & \leq A_k B^p p^{\frac{q+1}{q}p} \exp \left[ -\frac{A'_1}{|\sigma-a_1|^{p_1+\varepsilon}} \right] \cdots \exp \left[ -\frac{A'_l}{|\sigma-a_l|^{p_l+\varepsilon}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $D^p \left[ \exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\sigma-a)^k} \right] \varphi(\sigma) \right] \in R$ , and therefore  $\exp \left[ \frac{A}{(\sigma-a)^k} \right]$

multiplier. For the second type of factors the proof can be carried out according to the same plan.

In order to prove the existence of a solution of the problem (2), (2a) in the class of ordinary functions, let us compute the Fourier transform of  $\exp[P_2(s)t/P_1(s)]$ . Since the function being transformed  $\exp[P_2(s)t/P_1(s)]$  is the product of the two factors (6), we have <sup>(5)</sup>

$$\exp \left[ \widetilde{\frac{P_2(s)t}{P_1(s)}} \right] = \exp \left[ \widetilde{\frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)}} \right] * \exp \left[ \widetilde{\frac{M_2(s)t}{M_1(s)}} \right].$$

Let us find the Fourier transforms of each factor. The Fourier transform of the function  $\exp[M_2(s)/M_1(s)]$  is the sum

$$\exp \left[ \widetilde{\frac{M_2(s)}{M_1(s)}} \right] = \delta(x) + \alpha(x, t), \quad \text{where } |\alpha(x, t)| < C_1 e^{-C_2|x|}. \quad (9)$$

The number  $C_2$  is the minimum distance from the  $x$ -axis to the roots of the polynomial  $M_1(s)$ .

It is easy to show that the series

$$\exp \left[ \frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)} \right] = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)} \right)^n \quad (10)$$

converges in the sense of generalized functions  $T(R)$ . Hence,

$$\exp \left[ \widetilde{\frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)}} \right] = \delta(x) + \varphi(x, t), \quad \text{where } \varphi(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \widetilde{\frac{Q_2(s)t}{Q_1(s)}} \right)^n.$$

After elementary transformations we obtain

$$\varphi(x, t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=[k/p_j]}^{\infty} \frac{t^n A_k^j(n) (2\pi)^k |x|^{k-1}}{n! (k-1)!}.$$

Taking into account that

$$|A_k^j(n)| \leq \frac{A^n 2^{(k-1)l} l(l-1) p_2 p_3 \dots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \dots p_l n^{l+1} (n+1) \dots (n+k-l-1)}{(k-1)!} \quad (11)$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ , where the constants  $A$  and  $B$  are determined by the polynomials  $Q_2(s)$  and  $Q_1(s)$ , we can write the following estimate for the remainder  $R_{k_0}$  of the series standing on the right-hand side of inequality (11):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k_0} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=[k/p_j]}^{\infty} A^n B \cdot 2^{(k-1)l} l(l-1) p_1 \dots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \dots p_l \times \\ &\quad \times n^{l+1} (n+1) (n+k-l-1) |xt|^{k-1} (n!)^{-1} [(k-1)!]^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the methods for estimating positive series found by G. S. Salekhov (7), and the classical rule for estimating the order of growth of a power series (7), we obtain that the order of growth of the series standing on the right-hand side of the inequality is equal to  $q/(q+1)$ . Therefore

$$|\varphi(x, t)| < B_1 \exp [B_2 |x|^{q/(q+1)}].$$

Consequently, for the solution of problem (2), (2a) we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= (\delta(x) + \alpha(x, t)) * (\delta(x) + \varphi(x, t)) * u_0(x) = \\ &= (\delta(x) + \alpha(x, t) + \varphi(x, t) + \alpha(x, t) * \varphi(x, t)) * u_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From formula (12) for  $u(x, t)$  it follows that, for the convolution to exist, it is sufficient that  $u_0(x)$  satisfy inequality (3);  $u(x, t)$  will then satisfy inequality (4).

The solution (12) found, obviously, satisfies equation (2) and condition (2a) as a generalized function over the corresponding space, but it is not clear whether  $u(x, t)$  will also be a classical solution of this Cauchy problem, since among the nonzero generalized functions in  $T(R)$  there are functionals of the type of the zero function.

To prove that  $u(x, t)$  is a classical solution of problem (2), (2a), let us note that  $\widehat{Q}(s, 0, t)$  also belongs to the generalized functions of the space of functions  $\varphi(x)$  satisfying the inequalities

$$|\varphi^{(p)}(x)| \leq C_p \exp[-B|x|^{q/(q+1)}].$$

By virtue of this,  $Q(s, 0, t)$  defines a generalized function over the space of functions  $R_1$  satisfying inequalities (9):

$$|\sigma^k \psi^{(p)}(\sigma)| \leq C_k B^p p^{\frac{p+1}{q}p},$$

and the generalized function  $Q(s, 0, t)$  satisfies equation (4) over the space of functions  $R_1$ .

Applying the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain that  $Q(s, 0, t)$  satisfies equation (2), and, since in the space  $R_1$  and in its Fourier transform the only zero functionals are functionals of the type of the zero function,  $u(x, t)$  is also a classical solution of problem (2), (2a). The boundary found for the possible growth of solutions of equation (2), (2a) is attained.

**Example.** Consider the equation

$$i \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial t \partial x} = u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x),$$

where  $u_0(x)$  is an arbitrary finite function from  $R$ . In the present case

$$\exp \left[ \frac{t}{s} \right] = \delta(x) + t + \frac{2\pi J_1(2i\sqrt{2\pi xt})}{i\sqrt{2\pi xt}} \Big|_{x>0} - \frac{2\pi J_1(2i\sqrt{2\pi xt})}{i\sqrt{2\pi xt}} \Big|_{x<0}$$

and, as is easy to show,  $u(x, t)$  has order of growth  $\rho = 1/2$ .

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
21 I 1957

## CITED LITERATURE

1. S. L. Sobolev, *DAN*, **81**, No. 6 (1951); S. L. Sobolev, *DAN*, **82**, No. 2 (1952).
2. S. A. Galpern, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **8**, issue 5 (57), 191 (1953).
3. I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **8**, issue 6 (58), 32, 41 (1953).
4. I. M. Ryzhik, I. S. Gradshtein, *Tables of Integrals, Sums, Series and Products*, table 51, Moscow-Leningrad, 1951.
5. V. M. Borok, *DAN*, **97**, No. 6 (1954).
6. I. M. Gelfand, Z. Ya. Shapiro, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **10**, issue 3 (65) (1955).
7. G. S. Salekhov, *Calculation of Rows*, 1955, pp. 9–31.
8. N. G. Chebotarev, N. N. Meiman, *Trudy Mat. Inst. im. V. A. Steklova AN SSSR*, **26**, 69 (1949).
9. G. E. Shilov, *DAN*, **102**, No. 5 (1955).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*