



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Mathematics

1957

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.70337>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**Mathematics**

**V. G. Vinokurov**

## **CONDITIONS FOR THE REGULARITY OF PROBABILITY PROCESSES**

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, 1 XI 1956)*

Let an abstract set of states  $\Omega = \{\omega\}$  be given, and let  $\Phi$  be a given set of functions  $\varphi(t)$  with values in  $\Omega$ , where  $t$  ranges over the set of all integers. We shall assume that for any  $\varphi$  in  $\Phi$  and any  $n$ , the function  $\varphi_n(t) = \varphi(t+n)$  is also contained in  $\Phi$ . If a Borel field of probabilities  $P$  is given on  $\Phi$ , then this defines a probability process  $\{\Omega, \Phi, P\}$  <sup>(1)</sup>. For each  $t$ , define the mapping  $R_t$  of the space  $\Phi$  into  $\Omega$ :

$$R_t\varphi = \omega, \quad \text{if } \varphi(t) = \omega.$$

Denote by  $\zeta_t$  the partition of the space  $\Phi$  into disjoint sets on which the mapping  $R_t$  is constant, and by  $O_t$  the Borel field of measurable subsets of  $\Phi$  that are sums of elements of the partition  $\zeta_t$ . We shall assume that every measurable set either belongs to the smallest Borel field  $O$  containing all the fields  $O_t$ , or differs from some set in  $O$  by a null set.

Define on  $\Phi$  the transformation

$$T\varphi(t) = \varphi(t+1).$$

We shall assume that for any measurable set  $A$ , the set  $TA$  is also measurable. If  $P(TA) = P(A)$ , then the process is called stationary.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions defined on  $\Phi$ . Then the transformation  $T$  corresponds to a unitary operator  $U$  defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$Ux(\varphi) = x'(\varphi), \quad \text{where } x'(\varphi) = x(T\varphi).$$

Denote by  $O^-(t)$  the smallest Borel field containing all fields  $O_s$ ,  $s \leq t$ , and by  $O^+(t)$  the smallest Borel field containing all fields  $O_s$ ,  $s > t$ .

For a measurable set  $A$ , denote by  $P(A | \varphi_{(t)}^-)$  the conditional probability that  $\varphi \in A$ , given the values  $\varphi(s)$ ,  $s \leq t$ .  $P(A | \varphi_{(t)}^-)$  is defined by the equation

$$P(A \cap B) = \int_B P(A | \varphi_{(t)}^-) dP,$$

where  $B$  ranges over all sets in the field  $O^-(t)$ .

Similarly, by  $P(A | \varphi_t)$  we denote the conditional probability that  $\varphi \in A$ , given the value  $\varphi(t)$ .

$P(A | \varphi_t)$  is determined from the equation

$$P(A \cap B) = \int_B P(A | \varphi_t) dP,$$

where  $B$  ranges over all sets in  $O_t^*$ .

A stochastic process is called Markov if, for all  $t$  and all  $A \in O_{(t)}^+$ ,

$$P(A | \varphi_{(t)}^-) = P(A | \varphi_t)$$

almost everywhere on  $\Phi$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_t$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^-$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^+$  the subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting, respectively, of functions measurable with respect to the fields  $O_t$ ,  $O_{(t)}^-$ , and  $O_{(t)}^+$ .

The Markov condition may now be expressed as follows: for the process to be Markov, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every  $t$ , the projection of  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^+$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^-$  coincide with the projection of  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^+$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_t$ .

Let  $S = \bigcap_t \mathcal{H}_{(t)}^-$ ;  $S$  always contains the constant function. We shall call a process regular if  $S$  contains only one constant function. The meaning of regularity is that the course of the process in the future depends arbitrarily little on its behavior in the sufficiently distant past.

**1. Lemma.** Let  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, \infty$ , be a sequence of subspaces of the space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that, for  $n < m$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n \supset \mathcal{H}_m$ . Denote

$$S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n,$$

let  $r_x$  be the projection of the element  $x$  onto  $S$ , and let  $r_x^n$  be the projection of  $x$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

Then, for any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ :

- 1)  $\|r_x - r_x^n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- 2) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n$  such that

$$|(x, y) - (r_x, y)| < \varepsilon \|y\|$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .

With the aid of this lemma the following theorems are proved.

**Theorem 1.** In order that a stochastic process be regular, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every measurable set  $A$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $t$  such that

$$|P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $B \in O_{(t)}^-$ .

**Theorem 2.** In order that a Markov process be regular, it is necessary and sufficient that, for any  $t$ ,  $A \in O_t$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist an  $n_0$  such that, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$|P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $B \in O_{t-n}$ .

It follows from this theorem that, in the case of a stationary Markov process with a countable number of states, the definition of regularity adopted in this note coincides with the known definition of regularity of homogeneous Markov processes, expressed by the fact that  $P_{ij}^n \rightarrow P_j$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Here the transition probabilities are  $P_{ij}^n = P_{ij}^n / P_i$ , where  $P_{ij}^n = P(R_t^{-1}\omega_j \cap R_{t-n}^{-1}\omega_i)$ ;  $P_i = P(R_t^{-1}\omega_i)$ . Markov's theorem, asserting

\* Concerning these definitions, see <sup>(2, 3)</sup>.

that from the condition  $P_{ij}^n > 0$ , starting with some  $n$ , regularity follows, also follows from this theorem and from the first assertion of the lemma.

For stationary regular processes the unitary operator  $U$  has an absolutely continuous spectrum, i.e., for every element  $x$  the spectral function  $(E_t x, x)$ , where  $E_t$  is the resolution of the identity for the operator  $U$ , is absolutely continuous.

In the case of a Markov process with a countable number of states, using Theorem 2, it is easy to show that, conversely, from the absolute continuity of the spectrum of the operator  $U$  follows the regularity of the process.

It can also be shown that for a stationary Markov process with a countable number of states, regularity is equivalent to the fact that the transformation  $T$  is mixing in the narrow sense.

The results listed can be carried over also to processes with continuous time.

2. Denote by  $O_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$  the smallest Borel field containing the fields  $O_{t_1}, O_{t_2}, \dots, O_{t_n}$ , by  $\mathcal{H}_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$  the subspace consisting of functions measurable with respect to the field  $O_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$ , and by  $\mathcal{H}_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}^l$  the smallest subspace containing the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{t_1}, \mathcal{H}_{t_2}, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{t_n}$ .

We shall call a stochastic process linear if, for any  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ , the projection of the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{(t_n)}^+$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}^l$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{(t)}^l$  the smallest subspace containing all subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_s, s \leq t$ .

Let  $S_l = \bigcap_t \mathcal{H}_{(t)}^l$ . For linear processes  $S = S_l$ . A Markov process may serve as an example of a linear process. In the case of a stationary process with two states  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  it can be proved that, under the condition that all numbers

$$P(R_1^{-1}\omega_{i_1} \cap R_2^{-1}\omega_{i_2} \cap R_3^{-1}\omega_{i_3}) \neq 0,$$

where  $i_1, i_2, i_3$  take the values either 1 or 2, there exist no other linear processes except Markov ones.

The problems considered in this note were suggested to me by A. N. Kolmogorov, to whom I express my gratitude.

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics  
named after V. I. Romanovskii  
Academy of Sciences of the Uzbek SSR

Received  
1 XI 1956

## REFERENCES

1. B. V. Gnedenko, A. N. Kolmogorov, *Collection: Mathematics in the USSR over 30 Years*, 1947.
2. A. N. Kolmogorov, *Basic Concepts of Probability Theory*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1936.
3. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, 1953.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*