

# ON THE APPROXIMATION OF ABSTRACT CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS BY UNBOUNDED OPERATOR- FUNCTIONS

1. Let a system of linear differential equations be given

1957

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.66610>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**S. I. Zukhovitskii and G. I. Eskin**

## **ON THE APPROXIMATION OF ABSTRACT CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS BY UNBOUNDED OPERATOR-FUNCTIONS**

*(Presented by Academician N. N. Bogolyubov, 26 IV 1957)*

1. Let a system of linear differential equations be given

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} + \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}(t)x_k = f_i(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2\pi) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \quad (1)$$

and suppose that one seeks such a solution of this system which satisfies certain boundary conditions, for example  $x_k(0) = x_k(2\pi)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and belongs to a prescribed class  $D$  of vector-functions  $x = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ .

If in the class  $D$  there is no such (exact) solution, then one may pose the question of finding in it the best approximate solution of system (1), i.e. such a vector-function  $x_0 = (x_{10}(t), x_{20}(t), \dots, x_{n0}(t))$  that

$$\max_i \left\| \frac{dx_{i0}}{dt} + \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}(t)x_{k0} - f_i(t) \right\| = \inf_{x \in D} \max_i \left\| \frac{dx_i}{dt} + \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}(t)x_k - f_i(t) \right\|.$$

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , the left-hand side of the  $i$ -th equation of system (1) may be regarded as the value of an operator  $A_i$ , acting from the Hilbert space  $H$  of vector-functions  $x = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$  (where

$$x_k(t) \in L^2(0, 2\pi) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_H = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

) into  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ .

The same problem can be posed when one is dealing, in general, with a system of operator equations. More precisely, suppose that on some compact set  $Q$  there is considered an operator-function  $A(q)$ , which for each  $q \in Q$  is a linear operator acting from a Hilbert space  $H_1$  into a Hilbert space  $H_2$ , with a domain of definition  $D$  common to all  $q \in Q$ , and such that for each fixed  $x \in H_1$  the

function  $A(q)x$ , with values in  $H_2$ , is continuous on  $Q$ . Let  $f(q)$  be a function continuous on  $Q$  with values in  $H_2$ . The problem consists in finding a vector  $x_0 \in D$  such that the function  $A(q)x_0$  deviates least on  $Q$  from the function  $f(q)$ , i.e. so that\*

$$\max_{q \in D} \|A(q)x_0 - f(q)\|_2 = \inf_{x \in D} \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x - f(q)\|_2.$$

\* We shall denote the norm in  $H_1$  by the subscript 1, and in  $H_2$  by the subscript 2; the zero in  $H_1$  will be denoted by  $\theta_1$ , and in  $H_2$  by  $\theta_2$ .

Let  $R$  be the subspace of those vectors  $x \in D$  for which  $A(q)x = \theta_2$  for all  $q \in Q$ , and let  $S$  be the orthogonal complement of  $R$  in  $H_1$  (for the operator-functions considered below, the linear manifold  $R$  will turn out to be a subspace); then each vector  $x \in D$  is represented in the form  $x = x_R + x_S$  ( $x_S \in D \cap S$ ), and

$$\inf_{x \in D} \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x - f(q)\|_2 = \inf_{x_S \in D \cap S} \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x_S - f(q)\|_2.$$

Therefore in what follows, without stipulating this, we shall assume that  $R = \theta_1$  and  $S = H_1$ .

In <sup>(1)</sup> an analogous problem was considered only for the case when the operator-function  $A(q)$ , for each  $q \in Q$ , was a linear bounded operator acting from the Hilbert space  $H$  into the same space. In the present paper we consider linear unbounded (closed) operators; we prove that property a), given in <sup>(1)</sup>, is not only a sufficient but also a necessary condition for the existence of a least-deviating function both in the case of bounded and in the case of closed operators; we consider questions of uniqueness of the function of least deviation and extend some of the results obtained to Banach spaces.

**2. Theorem 1.** *Let an operator-function  $A(q)$  be given on the compact set  $Q$ , possessing the following two properties: 1) for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $A(q)$  is a closed linear operator acting from the Hilbert space  $H_1$  into the Hilbert space  $H_2$ , with a domain of definition  $D_{A(q)} = D$  dense in  $H_1$  and common to all  $q \in Q$ ; 2) for each fixed  $x \in D$ ,  $A(q)x$  is a continuous function on  $Q$  with values in  $H_2$ .*

*Then, in order that for every function  $f(q)$ , continuous on  $Q$  and with values in  $H_2$ , there exist a vector  $x_0 \in D$  such that the function  $A(q)x_0$  deviates least on  $Q$  from the function  $f(q)$ :*

$$\inf_{x \in D} \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x - f(q)\|_2 = \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x_0 - f(q)\|_2,$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that  $A(q)$  possess the following property:*

$$\max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x\|_2 \geq m\|x\|_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in D, \quad (\text{a})$$

where  $m > 0$ .

The **necessity** of property (a) is proved as follows. The functions  $f(q)$ , continuous on  $Q$  and with values in  $H_2$ , form a Banach space  $C$  with norm  $\|f\|_C = \max_{q \in Q} \|f(q)\|_2$ , and, obviously, in order that for every function  $f(q)$  there exist a function  $A(q)x_0$  deviating least from it, it is necessary that the linear manifold of functions  $A(q)x$  ( $x \in D$ ) be closed in  $C$ , i.e. form a subspace. Further, the operator  $T$ , acting from  $H_1$  into  $C$  according to the rule  $Tx = A(q)x$  ( $x \in D$ ), is closed, since the operators  $A(q)$  are closed for each  $q \in D$ ; moreover, the operator  $T$  has an inverse  $T^{-1}$ , since, by assumption,  $R = \theta_1$ . But this inverse operator  $T^{-1}$  is bounded, being closed and defined on a subspace (see, for example, <sup>(2)</sup>, p. 47), so that

$$\|Tx\|_C = \max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x\|_2 \geq \|x\|_1 \quad (x \in D),$$

where  $m > 0$ .

The **sufficiency** of property (a) is proved with more difficulty. We only note that it also essentially uses the closedness of the operator  $A(q)$  and one theorem of S. Mazur (see <sup>(3)</sup>, p. 207), on which the proof of Theorem 1 in <sup>(1)</sup> was also based.

3. Examples of the realization of the conditions of the preceding theorem, besides the operator-functions I–IV of work <sup>(1)</sup>, which are bounded operators for every  $q \in Q$ , may also be furnished by the following operator-functions:

V.

$$A(q)x = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i f_i(q),$$

where  $f_1(q), f_2(q), \dots, f_N(q)$  are functions continuous on  $Q$  with values in the Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$  is a point of a real or complex Euclidean space  $R_N$ ; the question is that of approximation of abstract functions  $f(q)$  by polynomials

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i f_i(q),$$

considered in <sup>(4)</sup>. Here  $H_1 = R_N$ ,  $H_2 = H$ , and the operators  $A(q)$  are bounded.

In particular, if  $f_1(q), f_2(q), \dots, f_N(q)$  are real or complex continuous functions on  $Q$ , then  $H = R_1$ , and the question is that of the classical problem of Chebyshev approximation of a numerical function  $f(q)$ , continuous on  $Q$ , by a polynomial composed of numerical functions  $f_1(q), f_2(q), \dots, f_N(q)$  continuous on  $Q$ .

VI.  $A(q) = P_1 + \lambda(q)E$ , where  $P_1 = id/dt$  is the differentiation operator on  $[0, 2\pi]$  with boundary conditions  $x(0) = x(2\pi)$ , and  $\lambda(q)$  is a function continuous on  $Q$ , and at least for one  $q_0 \in Q$  we have  $\lambda(q_0) \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . Here  $H_1 = H_2 = L^2(0, 2\pi)$ , and the operators  $A(q)$  are unbounded.

VII. For every  $q \in Q$ ,  $A(q)$  is the Sturm–Liouville operator

$$A(q)x = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ P_1(q, t) \frac{dx}{dt} \right] + p_2(q, t)x(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2\pi),$$

depending continuously on  $q$ , with boundary conditions  $x(0) = x(2\pi) = 0$ , and for some  $q_0 \in Q$  zero is a regular point of the spectrum of the operator  $A(q_0)$ . Here  $H_1 = H_2 = L^2(0, 2\pi)$ , and the operators  $A(q)$  are also unbounded.

In general, obviously, property (a) is possessed by every operator-function  $A(q)$  satisfying conditions 1), 2) if, for some  $q_0 \in Q$ , the operator  $A(q_0)$  has a bounded inverse.

4. Without dwelling on the characteristic property of the function of least deviation, since, obviously, theorem 2 from <sup>(1)</sup> carries over without change also to the operator-functions considered in the present work, we pass to the consideration of the question of uniqueness of the least-deviating function.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A(q)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 and  $\dim H_1 < \dim H_2$ . Then, in order that for every function  $f(q)$ , continuous on  $Q$  and with values in  $H_2$ , there exist a unique function  $A(q)x_0$  least deviating from it on  $Q$ , it is necessary and sufficient that, for  $x \neq \theta_1$ , the equation  $A(q)x = \theta_2$  have not a single root on  $Q$ .*

The following theorem is a transfer to the case of operator-functions of the corresponding theorems from <sup>(4, 5)</sup>.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A(q)$  be, for every  $q \in Q$ , a linear operator acting from  $H_1$  into  $H_2$ , and let, for every fixed  $x \in H_1$ , the function  $A(q)x$  be continuous on  $Q$ . Let the number  $n$  ( $1 \leq n < \infty$ ) be such that*

$$(n - 1) \dim H_2 < \dim H_1 \leq n \dim H_2.$$

*Then, for uniqueness of the function of least deviation, it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- 1) *for  $x \neq \theta_1$ , the equation  $A(q)x = \theta_2$  has on  $Q$  no more than  $n - 1$  roots;*
- 2) *for any distinct  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-1}$  from  $Q$  and any  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}$  from  $H_2$ , there exists a vector  $x \in H_1$  such that*

$$A(q_1)x = y_1, \quad A(q_2)x = y_2, \dots, \quad A(q_{n-1})x = y_{n-1}.$$

*When  $\dim H_1 = n \dim H_2$ , condition 2) is a consequence of condition 1).*

5. In the case of Banach spaces we note the following theorems:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $A(q)$ , for each  $q \in Q$ , be a linear discontinuous operator acting from the Banach space  $B_1$ , with weakly compact sphere (for example, reflexive), into the Banach space  $B_2$ , and suppose that for each fixed  $x \in B_1$  the function  $A(q)x$  is continuous. Then, in order that for every continuous function  $f(q)$  on  $Q$  with values in  $B_2$  there exist a function  $A(q)x_0$  of least deviation from it, it is necessary and sufficient that  $A(q)$  possess property (a)

$$\max_{q \in Q} \|A(q)x\|_{B_2} \geq m\|x\|_{B_1} \quad \text{for all } x \in B_1.$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A(q)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4, suppose that  $B_2$  is strictly convex with weakly compact sphere, and, in addition, has the following property: if, for some  $q_1 \in Q$ , the equation  $A(q_1)x = \theta_2$  has a solution  $x_0 \neq \theta_1$ , then the adjoint equation  $A^*(q_1)Y = \theta_1^*$  also has a solution  $Y_0 \neq \theta_2^*$ . Then, for uniqueness of the function of least deviation, it is necessary and sufficient that the equation  $A(q)x = \theta_2$ , for  $x \neq \theta_1$ , have no roots on  $Q$ .

The conditions of the last two theorems are satisfied, for example, by the operator-function  $A(q) = E - T(q)$ , where  $T(q)$ , for each  $q \in Q$ , is a completely continuous operator from  $B_1$  into  $B_2$ .

**Remarks.** 1°. Theorem 3 also carries over to the case of Banach spaces  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  under the condition that  $B_2$  is strictly convex, and Theorem 2—if, in addition, the sphere in  $B_2$  is weakly compact.

2°. Let us note that the preceding theorems for the case of Banach spaces were formulated under the assumption that

$$R = \{x : A(q)x = \theta_2 \text{ for all } q \in Q\} = \theta_1.$$

In the contrary case, instead of  $B_1$  one should consider the factor space  $B_1/R$  of elements  $X$  with norm

$$\|X\| = \inf_{x \in X} \|x\|_{B_1}.$$

Lutsk State Pedagogical Institute  
named after Lesya Ukrainka

Received  
24 IV 1957

## References

1. S. I. Zukhovitskii, *Matem. sborn.*, **37** (79), issue 1, 3 (1955).
2. E. Hille, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, Moscow, 1951.

3. S. Banach, *Course of Functional Analysis*, Kiev, 1948.
4. M. I. Zukhovitskii, S. B. Stechkin, *DAN*, **106**, No. 3, 385 (1956).
5. S. I. Zukhovitskii, M. G. Krein, *Uspekhi matem. nauk*, 3, issue 1 (23), 216 (1948).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*