



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

V. B. LIDSKII

1957

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.65128>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

V. B. LIDSKII

ON THE COMPLETENESS OF THE SYSTEM OF EIGEN AND ASSOCIATED ELEMENTS OF A COMPLETELY CONTINUOUS OPERATOR

(Presented by Academician M. V. Keldysh, 3 February 1957)

We consider a completely continuous operator T acting in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . The operator is written in the form

$$T = A + iB, \quad (1)$$

where A and B are self-adjoint operators: $A = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$, $B = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$. Below we prove a theorem containing conditions under which the system of eigen and associated elements of the operator T is complete. In the proof, following M. V. Keldysh ⁽¹⁾, we apply the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem in order to estimate an entire function arising under the assumption that the system is not complete (see also ⁽³⁾). Here a very essential role is played by an estimate of the growth of entire functions, which follows from results of M. S. Livshits ⁽²⁾.

Theorem. *Let the self-adjoint operators A and B be semidefinite and let the operator B have a finite trace*

$$\text{Sp } B = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\mu_s| < \infty \quad (2)$$

(μ_s are the eigenvalues of B).

Then the system of eigen and associated elements of the operator $T = A + iB$, corresponding to the nonzero points of the spectrum, is complete in the range of values of the operator T .

Remark. If to the indicated system one adds the system of eigen elements corresponding to the zero eigenvalue, then one obtains a system complete in the whole Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} .

Proof. Denote by Q_1 the closed subspace spanned by the eigen and associated elements $\{f_s\}$ of the operator T that correspond to the nonzero points of the

spectrum. Let Q_2 be the orthogonal complement of Q_1 . It is easy to prove that the operator T^* , adjoint to T , is invariant on the subspace Q_2 and, considered on this subspace, has only one point of the spectrum—zero.

In view of this last remark, the function

$$\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta ((T^* - \zeta E)^{-1} h, g), \quad (3)$$

where h and g are two as yet fixed elements from Q_2 , is regular in the whole ζ -plane except for the point $\zeta = 0$. It is easy to verify that $\varphi(\zeta)$ is regular at $\zeta = \infty$, by expanding the resolvent $(T^* - \zeta E)^{-1}$ in a series in powers of ζ^{-1} :

$$\varphi(\zeta) = -(h, g) - (T^* h, g) \zeta^{-1} - (T^{*2} h, g) \zeta^{-2} - \dots \quad (4)$$

* In other words, the quadratic forms (Af, f) and (Bf, f) do not change sign.

We shall prove that

$$\varphi(\zeta) = \text{const.} \quad (5)$$

For this, let us first note that, by virtue of condition (2) of the theorem being proved, the operator T^* considered on Q_2 is an operator of class $i\Omega$ (⁽²⁾, p. 145), and, as follows from (²) (pp. 161, 186), for its resolvent $(T^* - \zeta E)^{-1}$ in a neighborhood of the isolated point of the spectrum $\zeta = 0$, and hence also for the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ introduced by us, the inequality

$$|\varphi(\zeta)| \leq e^{a|\zeta|} \quad (6)$$

holds.

Let us further assume, for definiteness, that the operators A and B in formula (1) are nonnegative. We shall prove that in this case the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ remains bounded if $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ along any ray not lying in the fourth quadrant. To this end put

$$(T^* - \zeta E)^{-1} h = e. \quad (7)$$

Applying the operator $T^* - \zeta E$ to both sides of this relation, we obtain $(T^* - \zeta E)e = h$, or, more explicitly: $Ae - iBe - \zeta e = h$. Multiplying this equality scalarly by e , and separating the real and imaginary parts, we shall have as a result:

$$(Ae, e) - (e, e) \text{Re } \zeta = \text{Re}(h, e); \quad (8)$$

$$(Be, e) + (e, e) \operatorname{Im} \zeta = -\operatorname{Im}(h, e). \quad (9)$$

If now $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ along a ray lying in the upper half-plane, then in formula (9) $\operatorname{Im} \zeta > 0$, $(Be, e) \geq 0$, and with the aid of the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky inequality we easily obtain:

$$\|e\| \leq \|h\|(\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

whence for the functions $\varphi(\zeta)$ we shall have:

$$|\varphi(\zeta)| \leq |\zeta| \|e\| \|g\| \leq |\zeta| (\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^{-1} \|h\| \|g\| = C. \quad (11)$$

If, however, $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ along a ray from the left half-plane, then, using formula (8), in an analogous way we obtain

$$|\varphi(\zeta)| \leq |\zeta| |\operatorname{Re} \zeta|^{-1} \|h\| \|g\| = C'. \quad (12)$$

Inequalities (6), (11), and (12) make it possible to apply the Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem to the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ and to conclude that $\varphi(\zeta)$ is bounded in a neighborhood of zero, whence it follows that $\varphi(\zeta)$ is identically equal to a constant.

From the expansion (4) it then follows that

$$(T^*h, g) = 0, \quad (13)$$

whence

$$(h, Tg) = 0. \quad (14)$$

But h and g are arbitrary elements of Q_2 . Therefore from formula (14) we easily conclude that $TQ_2 \subset Q_1$. Since, moreover, $TQ_1 \subset Q_1$ and $\mathfrak{H} = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$, it follows that $Tf \in Q_1$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. The theorem is proved.

In conclusion let us establish the validity of the remark to the theorem. Suppose that for some element h , $T^*h = 0$; then $h \in Q_2$. Indeed, h in

in this case is orthogonal to any eigen and associated element of the system $\{f_s\}$ of the operator T , and consequently also to every element of Q_1 . On the other hand, from formula (13) it follows, in view of the arbitrariness of h and g and the invariance of T^* on Q_2 , that for any $h \in Q_2$, $T^*h = 0$. Thus, Q_2 consists of those and only those elements for which

$$T^*h = 0. \quad (15)$$

But from formula (15) it follows that $(Ah, h) - i(Bh, h) = 0$, and hence, in view of the sign-definiteness of the operators A and B , simultaneously $Ah = 0$ and $Bh = 0$, whence we conclude that equality (15) entails

$$Th = 0. \tag{16}$$

The equalities (15) and (16) are, obviously, equivalent. This completes the proof.

Moscow
Institute of Physics and Technology

Received
29 I 1957

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ M. V. Keldysh, DAN, **77**, No. 1 (1951).
- ² M. S. Livshits, Matem. sborn., **34 (76)**, 1 (1954).
- ³ F. Browder, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **39**, No. 5 (1953).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.