



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

A. HALANAY and Sh. SHANDOR

1957

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.59324>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

A. HALANAY and Sh. SHANDOR

STURM-TYPE THEOREMS FOR SELF-ADJOINT SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 23 I 1957)

Consider the system

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left(\theta_{n-i} \frac{d^i y}{dt^i} \right) = 0, \quad \theta_0 > 0, \quad (1)$$

where θ_j are continuous symmetric matrices of order p ; y is a p -dimensional vector.

The points a and b are called **joined** if there exists a solution $y(t) \neq 0$ of system (1) such that

$$y(a) = y'(a) = \dots = y^{(n-1)}(a) = 0, \quad y(b) = y'(b) = \dots = y^{(n-1)}(b) = 0. \quad (2)$$

The points a and b are called **conjugate** if they are joined and, moreover, in the interval (a, b) there is no point joined to a .

Let τ_0 be an arbitrary point, $\tau_0 < \tau_1$, and let τ_1 be conjugate to τ_0 ; in general, let $\tau_k < \tau_{k+1}$, and let τ_{k+1} be conjugate to τ_k . Analogously, let $\tau_{-1} < \tau_0$, and let τ_{-1} be conjugate to τ_0 , and, in general, let $\tau_{-k-1} < \tau_{-k}$, and let τ_{-k-1} be conjugate to τ_{-k} . The system of points thus obtained is called a **system of conjugate points**.

The following general theorems are proved:

Theorem 1. *Two systems of conjugate points that do not coincide separate each other, i.e. between two consecutive points of one system there exists one and only one point of the other.*

Theorem 2. *Let the system*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left(\bar{\theta}_{n-i} \frac{d^i y}{dt^i} \right) = 0$$

be given. If $\bar{\theta}_{n-i} \geq \theta_{n-i}$ and $\bar{\tau}_0 = \tau_0$, then $\bar{\tau}_k \geq \tau_k$, $\bar{\tau}_{-k} \leq \tau_{-k}$; moreover, if for some l , $\bar{\theta}_{n-l} > \theta_{n-l}$, then the inequalities become strict.

Theorem 3. Let the system

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left(\theta_{n-i} \frac{d^i y}{dt^i} \right) - \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left(p_i \frac{d^i y}{dt^i} \right) = 0, \quad (3)$$

be given, where $p_j \geq 0$, and for at least one j we have $p_j > 0$.

- a) There exists a sequence of numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$, such that for $\lambda = \lambda_k$ there is a system of $k+1$ conjugate points, the first of which coincides with a , and the last with b .
- b) There exists a sequence of eigenvalues of problem (3), (2), $\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots$, such that for $\lambda = \lambda'_k$, b is the k -th point joined to the point a .

These general theorems are proved in two ways. The first way uses the method of V. B. Lidskii ⁽¹⁾ and the arguments of Bliss and Schoenberg ⁽²⁾. The second way uses the minimum of the functional

$$\int_a^b [(\theta_0 y^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) + (\theta_1 y^{(n-1)}, y^{(n-1)}) + \dots + (\theta_n y, y)] dt \quad (4)$$

in the class of functions satisfying the conditions (2), and

$$\int_a^b [(p_{n-1} y^{(n-1)}, y^{(n-1)}) + \dots + (p_0 y, y)] dt = 1.$$

The existence of this minimum is proved by Ritz' s method according to the same scheme as in ⁽³⁾, and after this the arguments almost literally repeat the known proofs for the case of a second-order equation ⁽⁴⁾.

We shall say that the case of **nonoscillation** occurs if there exists t_0 such that on the half-axis $t > t_0$ there is no pair of conjugate points. Using the comparison theorem, the equivalent theorem on the matrix Riccati equation ⁽⁵⁾, and also the functional (4), one can obtain nonoscillation criteria generalizing the known criteria for an equation of second order. As an example we give several such criteria, taking, for simplicity, $n = 2$.

For nonoscillation it is sufficient that one of the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1) $\theta_0 \geq E_p$, $\theta_1 \geq -\frac{5}{2t^2} E_p$, $\theta_2 \geq \frac{81}{16t^4} E_p$, where E_p is the identity matrix of order p .

2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mu_2 & \Pi_2 \\ \Pi_2 & -\mu_1 + \Pi_1 \theta_0^{-1} \Pi_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq 0,$$

where $\theta_1 = \mu_1 + \Pi_1'$, $\theta_2 = \mu_2 + \Pi_2'$.

3) $\theta_2 \geq 0$, there exists $\int_t^\infty \theta_1 dt$, and

$$-\left[4 \int_{t_0}^t \beta(t) dt\right]^{-1} \leq \int_t^\infty \theta_1 dt \leq 3 \left[4 \int_{t_0}^t \beta(t) dt\right]^{-1} E_p,$$

where $\beta(t)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $\theta_0^{-1}(t)$.

With the aid of the functional (4) one can also obtain necessary conditions for nonoscillation.

We indicate such a condition for $n = 2$. If the case of nonoscillation occurs and $\theta_0 \leq E_p$, then for every $\alpha > 0$ we have either $\theta_1 > \frac{5 + 2\alpha}{2t^2} E_p$, or $\theta_2 > \frac{9(9 + \alpha)}{16t^4} E_p$.

The results obtained generalize the results of Cimmino ⁽⁶⁾ and of Bliss and Schoenberg ⁽²⁾.

Mathematical Institute
Academy of the Romanian People' s Republic
Bucharest

Received
14 VIII 1956

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ V. B. Lidskii, DAN, **102**, No. 5, 877 (1955).
- ² G. A. Bliss, I. J. Schönberg, Am. J. Math., **53**, 78 (1931).
- ³ I. M. Gel' fand, G. A. Sukhomlinov, Supplement III to the book ⁽⁴⁾, pp. 386–394.
- ⁴ M. Lavrent' ev, L. Lyusternik, *Fundamentals of the Calculus of Variations*, 1, part 2, 1935, pp. 262–282.
- ⁵ R. L. Sternberg, Duke Math. J., **19**, 2, 311 (1952).
- ⁶ G. Cimmino, Math. Zs., **32** (1930).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.