



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

L. S. RAKOVSHCHIK

1957

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.52422>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

L. S. RAKOVSHCHIK

ON ONE CONDITION FOR THE UNRESTRICTED APPLICABILITY OF S. A. CHAPLYGIN' S THEOREM ON INEQUALITIES TO SYSTEMS OF FIRST-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 31 V 1957)

For a single first-order differential equation there is Chaplygin' s theorem on inequalities:

If a function $u = u(x)$ is such that $u' - f(x, u) > 0$ (< 0) on the segment $[x_0, x_1]$ and $u(x_0) = y_0$, then for the solution $y = y(x)$ of the equation $y' = f(x, y)$, $y(x_0) = y_0$, the inequality $y(x) < u(x)$ ($y(x) > u(x)$) holds on $(x_0, x_1]$. (1).

This theorem does not extend directly to systems of differential equations. This may be seen from the following example: $y_1' - y_1 + y_2 - 2x - 1 = 0$, $y_2' - y_2 + y_1 - 2x + 1 = 0$, $y_1(0) = 0$, $y_2(0) = 1$. The solution of the system is $y_1 = x^2$, $y_2 = 1 + x^2$. As comparison functions let us take $u_1 = \frac{x}{5}$ and $u_2 = 1 + 3x$.

We have $u_1' - u_1 + u_2 - 2x - 1 = \frac{1 + 4x}{5} > 0$, $u_2' - u_2 + u_1 - 2x + 1 = 3 - \frac{24}{5}x > 0$ for $x < \frac{5}{8}$. But to the right of the point $x = \frac{1}{5}$, $u_1 - y_1 = (\frac{1}{5} - x)x < 0$, despite the fulfillment of the differential inequalities.

Thus, if we have a system of equations

$$y_i' = f_i(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \quad (1)$$

with initial conditions

$$y_i(x_0) = y_{i0}, \quad (2)$$

then, in order that from the relations $u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) > 0$ (< 0), $u_i(x_0) = y_{i0}$, it should follow that $u_i(x) > y_i(x)$ ($u_i(x) < y_i(x)$), it is necessary to impose additional conditions either on the right-hand sides of the equations of the system (3), or on the comparison functions u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

In the present paper one of the possible conditions imposed on the comparison functions is indicated.

First we record several auxiliary propositions. In doing so we shall suppose that the functions $f_i(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are continuous in some domain D of variation of their arguments and satisfy in this domain the Lipschitz condition with constant K with respect to the arguments y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . In addition, we assume that for $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ the values of all introduced comparison functions do not leave the domain D .

Lemma 1. *If for the functions $u_i = u_i(x)$, $\vartheta_i = \vartheta_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) the conditions are satisfied:*

- 1) $u_i(x_0) = \vartheta_i(x_0) = y_{i0}$;
- 2) $u'_i - f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \leq 0$, $\vartheta'_i - f(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \geq 0$ for $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ and any s_i lying between $u_i(x)$ and $\vartheta_i(x)$,

then on the entire segment $[x_0, x_1]$ $u_i \leq y_i \leq \vartheta_i$, where y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n is the solution of system (1) satisfying the initial conditions (2).

Lemma 2. Let, for the functions $u_i = u_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the initial conditions (2) be satisfied; let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ be a solution of the system

$$\eta'_i - K \sum_{r=1}^n \eta_r = |u'_i - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)|, \quad (3)$$

satisfying zero initial conditions. Then on $[x_0, x_1]$ the values of the functions $u_i + \eta_i$ ($u_i - \eta_i$) are not less than (not greater than) the corresponding values of the functions y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n giving a solution of system (1) for the same initial conditions, i.e. on $[x_0, x_1]$

$$u_i - \eta_i \leq y_i \leq u_i + \eta_i.$$

For the proof, let us note that $\eta_i(x) \geq 0$ on $[x_0, x_1]$ (this can be verified by using the results of the work (3)). Consequently,

$$u_i - \eta_i \leq u_i \leq u_i + \eta_i.$$

Consider the difference $(u_i + \eta_i)' - f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$, where, for the given x , s_r assumes arbitrary values lying in the segment $[u_r(x) - \eta_r(x), u_r(x) + \eta_r(x)]$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (u_i + \eta_i)' - f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \\
 & = u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) + |u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)| + \eta_i' - K \sum_{r=1}^n \eta_r - \\
 & \quad - |u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)| + f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) - f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) + \\
 & \quad + K \sum_{r=1}^n \eta_r \geq K \sum_{r=1}^n \{\eta_r - |u_r - s_r|\} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $|u_r - s_r| \leq \eta_r$. Similarly we prove that

$$(u_i - \eta_i)' - f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \leq 0$$

for the same s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n . It remains to apply Lemma 1.

Lemma 3. If

$$\eta_i' - K \sum_{r=1}^n \eta_r = \varepsilon_1(x), \quad \sigma_i' - K \sum_{r=1}^n \sigma_r = \varepsilon_2(x),$$

$\sigma_i(x_0) = \eta_i(x_0)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\varepsilon_1(x) \leq \varepsilon_2(x)$ on $[x_0, x_1]$, then on the same interval $\eta_i(x) \leq \sigma_i(x)$.

The required conclusion is obtained by applying the result of the work (3).

Theorem. Let the functions u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n satisfy the initial conditions (2) and the inequalities

$$u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \geq 0 \quad (\leq 0)$$

for $x \in [x_0, x_1]$. Let $\vartheta_i = u_i + \eta_i$ ($\vartheta_i = u_i - \eta_i$), where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ is a solution of the system

$$\eta_i' - K \sum_{r=1}^n \eta_r = |u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)|,$$

vanishing for $x = x_0$, and let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be a solution of system (1) satisfying conditions (2). Then, if

$$|\vartheta_i' - f_i(x, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_n)| \leq |u_i' - f_i(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)|,$$

then

$$u_i \geq y_i \quad (u_i \leq y_i)$$

on the entire segment $[x_0, x_1]$.

By virtue of Lemma 1, the values of the functions $\vartheta_i = u_i + \eta_i$ ($\vartheta_i = u_i - \eta_i$) are not less than (not greater than) the values of the functions y_i .

Further, if

$$\sigma'_i - K \sum_{r=1}^n \sigma_r = |\vartheta'_i - f_i(x, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_n)|, \quad \sigma_i(x_0) = 0,$$

then the functions $\vartheta_i - \sigma_i$ ($\vartheta_i + \sigma_i$) are not greater than (not less than) the functions y_i , i.e.

$$\vartheta_i - \sigma_i \leq y_i \quad (\vartheta_i + \sigma_i \geq y_i).$$

By Lemma 3 and the hypotheses of the theorem, $\sigma_i \leq \eta_i$; therefore

$$u_i \leq u_i + \eta_i - \sigma_i = \vartheta_i - \sigma_i \leq y_i \quad (u_i \geq u_i - \eta_i + \sigma_i = \vartheta_i + \sigma_i \geq y_i).$$

Novosibirsk Electrotechnical Institute

Received
25 X 1957

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Chaplygin, *Foundations of a New Method of Approximate Integration of a First-Order Differential Equation*, Collected Works, 1, 1948.
2. S. A. Chaplygin, *Solution of a System of Two Differential Equations*, Collected Works, 2, 1948.
3. A. O. Gel' fond, *Izv. AN SSSR, OMEN*, No. 6 (1938).
4. N. V. Azbelev, *DAN*, 99, No. 4, 493 (1954).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.