



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

M. ROSENBLATT-ROT

1957

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.43882>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

MATHEMATICS

M. ROSENBLATT-ROT

# ENTROPY OF STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, 20 VII 1956)

**1. Entropy of fields.** Let there be a certain space with measure  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$ ; with the aid of the density  $p(x)$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ ) with respect to  $\mu$ , a field of probabilities  $A$  is defined. By means of a simple and reasonable axiomatics one can show that the measure of uncertainty created by the field  $A$  is defined uniquely as

$$H(A) = - \int_{\mathfrak{A}} p(x) \log p(x) \mu(dx)$$

(we shall assume that this integral exists). This entropy has all the elementary properties of the entropy of a finite field <sup>(2,3)</sup>. In the notation of <sup>(2,3)</sup> the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $H(A)$  and  $H(AB)$  exist and are finite, then  $H_A(B)$  also exists and is finite, and moreover  $H(AB) = H(A) + H_A(B)$ .*

If  $P(\mathfrak{A}) < 1$ , then we have an incomplete field; its entropy is defined by means of the same integral. This entropy possesses a number of elementary properties very close to the properties of the entropy of complete fields. If

$$H_A(B) = \int_{\mathfrak{A}} H(B | x) p(x) \mu(dx),$$

then Theorem 1 remains valid. Below we shall consider only complete fields.

**2. Entropy of processes.** Let spaces with measures  $(\mathfrak{A}_t, \mathcal{L}_t, \mu_t)$  be given ( $t \in I$ ,  $I = \{t\}$  is the set of all integers). Let there be a stochastic process  $A$  with states  $x_t \in \mathfrak{A}_t$ . Suppose that the process is specified by the densities of the chains  $x^{[t, t+n-1]} = (x_t, \dots, x_{t+n-1})$  ( $t \in I$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with respect to the measure  $\mu^{[t, t+n-1]} = \mu_t \times \dots \times \mu_{t+n-1}$ ; let these be  $\pi^{[t, t+n-1]}(x^{[t, t+n-1]})$ , and denote by  $A^{[t, t+n-1]}$  the field of these chains. Let  $x = (\dots, x_t, \dots, x_{t+n-1}, \dots)$  and

$$f^{[t, t+n-1]}(x) = -n^{-1} \log \pi^{[t, t+n-1]}(x^{[t, t+n-1]}).$$

**Definition.** The entropy of the process  $A$  at the moment  $t$  is the quantity

$$H_t(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M f^{[t, t+n-1]}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} H(A^{[t, t+n-1]})^*,$$

(if this limit exists).

**Theorem 2.** For the existence of  $H_t(A)$  it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence of conditional entropies  $H(A_{t+n} | A^{[t, t+n-1]})$  be Cesàro  $C(1)$ -summable, and  $H_t(A)$  is the limit of these sums (convergence is understood in the sense of tending to a finite number or to  $\pm\infty$ ). There always exists the (finite or infinite) limit

$$\widetilde{H}_t^{(m)}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(A^{[t, t+m-1]} | A^{[t+m, t+n]}) \quad (t \in I, m \geq 1).$$

\*  $M$  is mathematical expectation,  $D$  is variance.

Let

$$\lambda_t^{(m)}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} H(A^{[t, t+m-1]} | A^{[t+m, t+n]})$$

(if this limit exists).

**Theorem 3.** If one of the quantities  $H_t(A)$ ,  $H_{t+m}(A)$  exists and is finite, then, in order that the second quantity also exist, be finite, and  $H_t(A) = H_{t+m}(A)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $\lambda_t^{(m)}(A) = 0$ . For this it is sufficient that  $|\widetilde{H}_t^{(m)}(A)| < \infty^*$ .

In what follows we shall exclude those processes for which  $\widetilde{H}_t^{(m)}(A) = +\infty$  for at least one  $t$  and one  $m^{**}$ .

**Theorem 4.** For processes with discrete sets of states<sup>\*\*\*</sup>, the entropy, if it exists, does not depend on time, i.e.  $H_t(A) = H(A) = \text{const}$  ( $t \in I$ ).

### 3. Properties $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ , $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

**Definition.** If  $f^{[t, t+n-1]}(x)$  converges in probability to  $H_t(A)$ , we shall say that the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$  holds. If this property holds for all  $t \in I$ , we shall say that the property  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  holds.

Let

$$g^{[t, t+n]}(x) = -\log \left\{ \pi^{[t, t+n]}(x^{[t, t+n]}) / \pi^{[t, t+n-1]}(x^{[t, t+n-1]}) \right\} \quad (t \in I, n \geq 1).$$

**Theorem 5.** In order that the process  $A$  have the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence of random variables  $g^{[t, t+n]}(x)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) obey the law of large numbers. For this it is sufficient that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Df^{[t, t+n-1]}(x) = 0.$$

Suppose there is a Markov chain  $A$  with ergodicity coefficients<sup>(4)</sup>  $\alpha_{i, i+1}$ .

**Theorem 6.** Sufficient conditions for the Markov process  $A$  to have the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$  are:

a)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\beta-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Dg^{[t, t+k]}(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } \alpha_{i, i+1} > 0 \quad (1 \leq i < \infty),$$

$$\eta_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_{i, i+1}), \quad 1 - \eta_n^{1/2} = O(n^{-\beta}) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1);$$

b)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Dg^{[t, t+k]}(x) = 0 \quad \text{in all cases.}$$

Let

$$\varphi_{t, m, n}(x) = -n^{-1} \log \left\{ \pi^{[t, t+n-1]}(x^{[t, t+n-1]}) / \pi^{[t+m, t+n-1]}(x^{[t+m, t+n-1]}) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 7.** If the process  $A$  has one of the two properties  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{t+m}(A)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ), then, in order that it also have the other property, it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence  $\varphi_{t, m, n}(x)$  converge in probability to  $\lambda_t^{(m)}(A)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 8.** In order that the process  $A$  have the property  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that it have the property  $\mathcal{E}_{t_0}(A)$  for some  $t_0$  and that the sequence  $\varphi_{t, m, n}(x)$  converge in probability to  $\lambda_t^{(m)}(A)$  for all  $t \in I$ ,  $m > 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Let  $L(P)$  be the space of all real functions  $f(x)$  of the variable  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $M|f(x)| < \infty$ .

\* This condition is fulfilled for all  $t \in I$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , if the sets  $\mathfrak{X}_\tau$  ( $\tau \in I$ ) are finite and  $\mu_\tau(x_\tau) = 1$ ,  $x_\tau \in \mathfrak{X}_\tau$ . Consequently, in this case either  $H_t(A) \equiv H(A)$  ( $t \in I$ ), or  $H_t(A)$  does not exist for any  $t \in I$ .

\*\* Under these conditions, if  $H_t(A)$ ,  $H_{t+m}(A)$  exist and are finite, then  $H_t(A) \leq H_{t+m}(A)$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

\*\*\*  $\mu_\tau(x_\tau) = 1$ ,  $x_\tau \in \mathfrak{X}_\tau$ ,  $\tau \in I$ .

**Theorem 9.** The sequence of functions  $f^{[t,t+n-1]}(x)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) cannot converge in the mean (in  $L(P)$ ) to any constant except  $H_t(A)$ . If the process  $A$  does not have finite entropy, then the sequence of functions  $f^{[t,t+n-1]}(x)$  cannot converge in the mean to any function from  $L(P)$ .

**Example.** Let there be a Markov chain with two states, such that if  $p_{ij}^{(k)}$  is the probability of transition during the time  $(k-1, k)$  from state  $i$  to state  $j$ , then  $p_{11}^{(k)} = p_{22}^{(k)} = 1 - \alpha_k$ ;  $p_{12}^{(k)} = p_{21}^{(k)} = \alpha_k$ , with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 2\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). From Theorems 2 and 3 one can obtain that  $H_t(A) = H(A) = -\alpha \log \alpha - (1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha)$ . From Theorems 6-8, bearing in mind that  $\beta = 0$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$  and even  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  exist.

**4. Estimate of the volume (number) of standard chains; application to coding theory.** Let  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) be some given constant number, and let  $N^{[t,t+n-1]}(\lambda)$  be some part of

$$\mathfrak{A}^{[t,t+n-1]} = \mathfrak{A}_t \times \dots \times \mathfrak{A}_{t+n-1},$$

such that: 1)  $P[N^{[t,t+n-1]}(\lambda)] \geq \lambda$ ; 2)  $\mu^{[t,t+n-1]}[N^{[t,t+n-1]}(\lambda)]$  has the smallest value subject to the first condition. The existence of  $N^{[t,t+n-1]}(\lambda)$  is easy to prove.

**Theorem 10.** If the process  $A$  has the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ , then there exists a limit independent of  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ )

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log \mu^{[t,t+n-1]} [N^{[t,t+n-1]}(\lambda)] = H_t(A).$$

Suppose that there is a certain text, which is a sequence of symbols (letters) belonging to some group (alphabet) with  $r$  elements. We shall regard this text as a certain (nonstationary or stationary) stochastic process with finite entropy  $H_t(A)$  and with the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ . Let the question be posed of coding the given text in the same alphabet, so that decoding is possible. Each  $n$ -term chain  $x^{[t,t+n-1]}$  of the given text has a certain probability; let  $\sigma^{[t,t+n-1]}(x^{[t,t+n-1]})$  be the length of the chain of the coded text into which the chain  $x^{[t,t+n-1]}$  passes after coding. Let

$$\rho^{(t)} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} M \sigma^{[t,t+n-1]}(x^{[t,t+n-1]})$$

be the compression coefficient of the given text at the moment  $t$  by the coding.

**Theorem 11.** If the incoming text has the statistical structure of a (nonstationary or stationary) process  $A$  with  $r$  states, possessing the property  $\mathcal{E}_t(A)$ , then the lower bound of the compression coefficient  $\rho^{(t)}$  of the given text over all codes is equal to  $H(A)/\log r$  for all  $t$ .

## 5. Stationary processes.

**Theorem 12.** For stationary processes the entropy  $H(A)$  (finite or infinite) always exists.

Let  $T$  be the shift operator, i.e. if  $x \in \mathfrak{A} = \dots \times \mathfrak{A}_{-1} \times \mathfrak{A}_0 \times \mathfrak{A}_1 \times \dots$ , then  $x' = Tx \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and  $x'_\tau = x_{\tau+1}$  ( $\tau \in I$ ). Let also  $x^{[0,n-1]} = x^{(n)}$ ;  $\pi^{[0,n-1]}(x^{[0,n-1]}) = \pi^{(n)}(x^{(n)})$ ;  $g^{[-n,0]}(x) = g_n(x)$ ;  $f^{[0,n-1]}(x) = f_n(x)$ ;  $\mu^{[0,n-1]} = \mu^{(n)}$ ;  $N^{[0,n-1]}(\lambda) = N^{(n)}(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 13.** In order that the stationary process  $A$  possess the property  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence of random variables  $g^{[0,n]}(x) = g_n(T^n x)$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) obey the law of large numbers.

Consequently, ergodicity is not necessary for  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ .

**Theorem 14.** Let there be a stationary process with an arbitrary set of states, such that: a)  $g_n(x) \in L(P)$ ; b) there exists some function  $g(x) \in L(P)$  such that the sequence  $g_n(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  converges in the mean (in  $L(P)$ ) to  $g(x)$ . Then the sequence

$f_n(x)$  converges in mean to some invariant function  $h(x)$ . In the case of ergodicity, property  $\mathcal{E}(A)^*$  holds.

**Theorem 15.** Let there be a stationary, ergodic process for which conditions a), b) of Theorem 14 are satisfied. Then the sequence of random variables  $g_n(T^n x)$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) obeys the law of large numbers.

Let  $A$  be a stationary simple Markov chain with a set of states  $\mathfrak{A}$  (where a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  and a measure  $\mu$  are given on  $\mathfrak{A}$ ), stationary density  $p(x)$  (with respect to  $\mu$ ), and density (with respect to  $\mu$ ) of transition probabilities  $q(x, y)$ .

**Theorem 16.** If  $A$  is a stationary, simple, uniformly ergodic<sup>6</sup> Markov chain and, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $M|\log q(x, y)|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , and the entropy is finite, then the distribution of the random variable  $n^{-1/2}[\log \pi^{(n)}(x^{(n)}) + nH(A)]$  converges to the normal distribution with parameters  $(0, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is determined by the probabilities (unconditional and transition) of the chain  $A$ .

Let  $u_\lambda$  be determined from

$$\lambda = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{u_\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx.$$

**Theorem 17.** Under the conditions of Theorem 16,

$$\log \mu^{(n)}[N^{(n)}(\lambda)] = nH(A) + \sqrt{n} \sigma u_\lambda + o(\sqrt{n})^{**}.$$

The author expresses deep gratitude to A. N. Kolmogorov for his assistance in carrying out this work.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
20 VII 1956

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> M. Rosenblatt-Roth, Proceedings of the 3rd All-Union Mathematical Congress, Moscow, 1956, **2**, p. 132.
- <sup>2</sup> C. E. Shannon, Bell. Syst. Techn. J., **27**, 379, 623 (1948).
- <sup>3</sup> A. Ya. Khinchin, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **8**, 3, 55 (1953).
- <sup>4</sup> R. L. Dobrushin, DAN, **102**, No. 1 (1955).
- <sup>5</sup> B. McMillan, Ann. Math. Stat., **24**, 2 (1953).
- <sup>6</sup> E. B. Dynkin, Ukr. Mat. Zhurn., **6**, 1 (1954).
- <sup>7</sup> A. A. Yushkevich, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **8**, 5, 57 (1953).

\* If the set of states is finite, then in <sup>(5)</sup> it is proved that  $H(A)$  exists, is finite, and that conditions a), b) are satisfied.

\*\* Under the assumption that the set of states is finite, Theorems 16 and 17 are proved in <sup>(7)</sup>.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*