



Soviet-era science, translated into English

V. K. SAUL'EV

Let the problem be given

1957

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195701.24171>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

V. K. SAUL' EV

ON THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF A BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM FOR A SYSTEM OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 24 IX 1956)

Let the problem be given

$$a_i \frac{d^2 u_i}{dx^2} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{i,j} u_j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i,j} u_j = 0, \quad x \in (0, R), \quad x \neq r_k; \quad (1)$$

$$u_i(0) = u_i(R) = 0; \quad (2)$$

$$u_i(r_k - 0) = u_i(r_k + 0); \quad (3)$$

$$a_i(r_k + 0) \frac{du_i(r_k + 0)}{dx} - a_i(r_k - 0) \frac{du_i(r_k - 0)}{dx} = \frac{a_i(r_k + 0) - a_i(r_k - 0)}{r_k} u_i(r_k), \quad (4)$$

$$0 = r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k < \dots < r_{s-1} < r_s = R,$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1,$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where the unknowns are the vector-functions $u\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and the scalar λ ; the real coefficients $a_i, b_{i,j}$, and $c_{i,j}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) may have discontinuities of the first kind at the points r_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$).

Divide $[r_{k-1}, r_k]$ into m_k parts; denoting the resulting step by h_k ($h_k = (r_k - r_{k-1})/m_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$), we approximate the original problem (1)–(4) by the following algebraic one:

$$a_{i,k} \frac{\Delta^2 u_{i,k,j}^{(h)}}{h_k^2} + \sum_{p=1}^n b_{i,p,k,j} u_{p,k,j}^{(h)} + \lambda^{(h)} \sum_{p=1}^n c_{i,p,k,j} u_{p,k,j}^{(h)} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, m_k - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$u_{i,1,0}^{(h)} = u_{i,s,m_s}^{(h)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad (6)$$

$$a_{i,k+1} \frac{\Delta u_{i,k,m_k}^{(h)}}{h_{k+1}} - a_{i,k} \frac{\Delta u_{i,k,m_k-1}^{(h)}}{h_k} = \frac{a_{i,k+1} - a_{i,k}}{r_k} u_{i,k,m_k}^{(h)}, \quad (7)$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, s-1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\Delta \varphi_j = \varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_j; \quad \Delta^2 \varphi_j = \varphi_{j-1} - 2\varphi_j + \varphi_{j+1};$$

$$a_{i,k} = a_i(r_{k-1} + jh_k); \quad \varphi_{k,j} = \varphi(r_{k-1} + jh_k).$$

If we assume that it is always possible to choose a partition of the intervals $[r_{k-1}, r_k]$ into m_k parts such that

$$h_k = h_{k+1} = h \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, s), \quad (8)$$

then equations (5), under conditions (6) and (7), form a system of linear homogeneous equations of order $n \sum_{k=1}^s (m_k - 1)$ with a symmetric matrix.

Let λ and $\lambda^{(h)}$ be the first, i.e., the smallest in absolute value, eigenvalues of problems (1)–(4) and (5)–(7), respectively.

Theorem *. *If, for all i, j, k satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq s$, the following conditions hold: 1) a_i are constant on $[r_{k-1}, r_k]$; 2) $b_{i,j}, c_{i,j}$ are twice continuously differentiable on $[r_{k-1}, r_k]$; 3) $a_i > 0$; 4) $b_{i,j} = b_{j,i}$, $c_{i,j} = c_{j,i}$ for all x from (r_{k-1}, r_k) ; 5) for any numbers $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ and all x from (r_{k-1}, r_k) one has*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{i,j} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2, \quad \alpha = \text{const} > 0,$$

and also conditions (8), then as $h \rightarrow 0$

$$|\lambda - \lambda^{(h)}| < ch^2, \quad (9)$$

where c is a constant independent of h .

To prove the theorem, first of all the inequalities are established

$$\lambda \leq \frac{D[u, u]}{I[u, u]}, \quad \lambda^{(h)} \leq \frac{D_h[u^{(h)}, u^{(h)}]}{I_h[u^{(h)}, u^{(h)}]} \quad (10)$$

for arbitrary functions u and $u^{(h)}$ satisfying conditions (2)–(4) and (6)–(7), respectively. Here we have put

$$\begin{aligned} D[u, v] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s \int_{r_{k-1}}^{r_k} a_i \frac{du_i}{dx} \frac{dv_i}{dx} dx - \sum_{i,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s \int_{r_{k-1}}^{r_k} b_{i,l} u_i v_l dx + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \frac{a_i(r_k + 0) - a_i(r_k - 0)}{r_k} u_i(r_k) v_i(r_k), \\ D_h[u^{(h)}, v^{(h)}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s a_{i,k} h \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} \frac{\Delta u_{i,h,j}^{(h)}}{h} \frac{\Delta v_{i,h,j}^{(h)}}{h} \\ &- \sum_{i,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} b_{i,l,k,j} u_{i,k,j}^{(h)} v_{l,k,j}^{(h)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \frac{a_{i,k+1} - a_{i,k}}{r_k} u_{i,h,m_k}^{(h)} v_{i,h,m_k}^{(h)}, \\ I[u, v] &= \sum_{i,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s \int_{r_{k-1}}^{r_k} c_{i,l} u_i v_l dx; \\ I_h[u^{(h)}, v^{(h)}] &= \sum_{i,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^s h \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} c_{i,l,k,j} u_{i,h,j}^{(h)} v_{l,h,j}^{(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Equality in (10) occurs only in the cases when u and $u^{(h)}$ are the first eigenfunctions of problems (1)–(4) and (5)–(7), respectively.

Further, starting from the first eigenfunction u of problem (1)–(4), which is defined, in particular, at the nodes $r_{k-1} + jh$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, s$;

* This theorem is analogous to the corresponding theorem of Collatz (1) for the case of a single equation ($n = 1$) and absence of matching ($s = 1$).

$j = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1$), the difference is estimated as

$$\lambda^{(h)} - \lambda \leq \frac{D_h[u, u]}{I_h[u, u]} - \frac{D[u, u]}{I[u, u]} + O(h^2) < c_1 h^2. \quad (11)$$

Similarly, proceeding from the first difference eigenfunction $u^{(h)}$ of problem (5) –(7), extended linearly on $(r_{k-1} + jh, r_{k-1} + (j+1)h)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1$; $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$):

$$\bar{u}_i(x) = u_{i,k,j}^{(h)} + \frac{\Delta u_{i,k,j}^{(h)}}{h} [x - (r_{k-1} + jh)],$$

we establish the estimate

$$\lambda - \lambda^{(h)} \leq \frac{D[\bar{u}, \bar{u}]}{I[\bar{u}, \bar{u}]} - \frac{D_h[u^{(h)}, u^{(h)}]}{I_h[u^{(h)}, u^{(h)}]} < c_2 h^2. \quad (12)$$

Since c_1 and c_2 do not depend on h (to prove uniform boundedness in h of c_2 , it was necessary, in particular, to use Courant's inequality (2), § 12), the theorem follows immediately from (11) and (12).

We note that, although the first derivatives in the matching conditions (4) are approximated in the crudest way, namely with accuracy $O(h)$, the final error (see (9)) in the solution is majorized by a quantity of order $O(h^2)$. This circumstance does not occur, for example, in the Neumann problem: when the Laplace equation is approximated at interior nodes with accuracy $O(h^2)$, and the normal derivative at boundary nodes with accuracy $O(h)$, the error in the solution is determined by the cruder approximation and is $O(h)$ (3).

Received
26 VI 1956

CITED LITERATURE

- (1) L. Collatz, *Deutsch. Math.*, **2**, 189 (1937).
 (2) R. Courant, *Acta Math.*, **49**, 1 (1926).
 (3) E. Batschelet, *Zs. angew. Math. u. Phys.*, **3**, 165 (1952).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.