

RSFNO: A Fourier Neural Operator-Based Solver for High-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equations

Authors: WU, Dr. Jiajun, HUANG, Miss Yifei, Wu, Dr. Zhen, SHANG, Dr. Ji-
ahe, Zhou, Mr. Yang, HAO, Dr. Yisheng, HU, Dr. Ankang, QIU, Dr. RUI 邱睿,
Zhang, Dr. Hui, LI, Prof. JUNLI, LI, Prof. JUNLI

Date: 2026-02-03T23:32:03+00:00

Abstract

This work establishes the theoretical foundations and practical implementation of a Fourier Neural Operator-based solver for high-dimensional neutron transport equations. We leverage the operator-learning framework to approximate the solution operator of the Boltzmann equation, allowing for efficient representations of reactor-scale neutron flux. RSFNO integrates stochastic geometric parameterization with frequency-domain learning to establish a direct end-to-end mapping from shielding configurations to flux distributions. Evaluated on a reactor shielding benchmark, the model demonstrates remarkable efficiency: despite being trained on just 800 samples, it reduces the full-domain mean squared error by 58.95% compared to a strong Unet3D baseline. In deep-penetration regions, its accuracy rivals or exceeds that of a 10^6 -particle Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. Crucially, RSFNO completes a full-domain prediction in 11.79s on a single NVIDIA RTX-4090, achieving an effective $\sim 2795\times$ speedup over the MC baseline. While not yet a replacement for high-fidelity safety analysis, RSFNO offers a reliable, ultra-fast surrogate for the computationally expensive deterministic steps in hybrid variance-reduction workflows (e.g., CADIS). These results highlight the promise of Fourier-operator-based solvers in accelerating large-scale transport analysis and enabling scalable, data-driven reactor shielding design.

Full Text

Preamble

RSFNO: A Fourier Neural Operator-Based Solver for High-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equations* Jiajun WU,1, 2 Yifei HUANG,3 Zhen WU,1, 2,

4 Jiahe SHANG,^{1, 2} Yang ZHOU,^{1, 2} Yisheng HAO,^{1, 5} Ankang HU,^{1, 2} Rui QIU,^{1, 2} Hui ZHANG,^{1, 2, †} and Junli LI,^{1, 2, ‡} ¹Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China ²Key Laboratory of Particle and Radiation Imaging of Ministry of Education, Beijing 100084, China ³School of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan, China ⁴Nuctech Company Limited, Beijing 100084, China ⁵China Research and Development Academy of Machinery Equipment, Beijing 100089, China This work establishes the theoretical foundations and practical implementation of a Fourier Neural Operator-based solver for high-dimensional neutron transport equations. We leverage the operator-learning framework to approximate the solution operator of the Boltzmann equation, allowing for efficient representations of reactor-scale neutron flux. RSFNO integrates stochastic geometric parameterization with frequency-domain learning to establish a direct end-to-end mapping from shielding configurations to flux distributions. Evaluated on a reactor shielding benchmark, the model demonstrates remarkable efficiency: despite being trained on just 800 samples, it reduces the full-domain mean squared error by 58.95% compared to a strong Unet3D baseline. In deep-penetration regions, its accuracy rivals or exceeds that of a 106-particle Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. Crucially, RSFNO completes a full-domain prediction in 11.79 s on a single NVIDIA RTX 4090, achieving an effective $2795\times$ speedup over the MC baseline. While not yet a replacement for high-fidelity safety analysis, RSFNO offers a reliable, ultra-fast surrogate for the computationally expensive deterministic steps in hybrid variance-reduction workflows (e.g., CADIS). These results highlight the promise of Fourier-operator-based solvers in accelerating large-scale transport analysis and enabling scalable, data-driven reactor shielding design.

Keywords: Fourier neural operator; Monte Carlo simulation; Global radiation field; Neural network; MC-Shield

INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth of artificial intelligence (AI) has created an urgent demand for reliable, clean energy [1]. To meet this challenge, advanced nuclear systems—including sodium-cooled fast reactors (SFRs), small modular reactors (SMRs), and space nuclear power units—are being rapidly developed [2, 3]. However, the complex geometries and deep-penetration shielding characteristic of these next-generation reactors impose strict radiation protection standards under the ALARA principle. Consequently, high-fidelity calculation of the global neutron flux distribution is essential for shielding optimization, structural integrity assessment, and personnel safety [6]. This requirement presents a formidable computational challenge: the governing linear Boltzmann transport equation operates in a high-dimensional phase space—coupling spatial, angular, and energy variables—rendering full-domain solutions computationally prohibitive [4]. * Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program) (125B2113, U23B2067,

U2167209, 12375312, 12175114), the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFC2402304) and the Open-end Fund Projects of China Institute for Radiation Protection Scientific Research Platform (CIRP-HYYFZH-2023ZD001) † Co-corresponding author, zhanghui34@tsinghua.edu.cn ‡ Corresponding author, lijunli@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn Conventional numerical strategies typically employ deterministic or Monte Carlo (MC) approaches [5, 8, 9].

Deterministic solvers, such as the discrete ordinates (SN) method [7, 10], offer efficiency on structured grids but incur discretization errors, such as ray effects, when applied to the irregular geometries typical of engineering applications. Conversely, MC methods provide precise geometric fidelity through constructive solid geometry (CSG) and serve as the benchmark for accuracy. However, they are constrained by a slow statistical convergence rate (proportional to $N^{-1/2}$), which makes global field reconstruction extremely time-consuming, particularly in deep-shielding scenarios with low particle survival rates. While variance reduction techniques (e.g., CADIS [33], AIS [12, 38], DeGVR [13], PDMC [14], MAGIS-GPS [15], SsGVR [16], Weight Window [17]) alleviate some computational burden, they often require complex parameter tuning and do not fundamentally alter the underlying algorithmic complexity.

The integration of AI into nuclear engineering offers a pathway to accelerate these calculations [18]. Early data-driven approaches utilized Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and U-Nets to approximate radiation fields or expedite MC convergence [19–25]. Although effective in limited contexts, these models map between finite-dimensional vector spaces (i.e., fixed-resolution images). Consequently, they fail to generalize across varying geometries or capture the continuous nature of physical fields, restricting their utility in iterative reactor design.

To overcome these discretization limits, the field has advanced towards Operator Learning, with Deep [27–29] and the Fourier Neural Operator (FNO) [30, 31] emerging as leading paradigms. While DeepONet utilizes a branch-trunk architecture to flexibly handle arbitrary unstructured grids, its point-wise evaluation mechanism can become computationally prohibitive when reconstructing dense, high-dimensional fields typical of reactor shielding ($> 10^6$ voxels). In contrast, FNO is architecturally optimized for such continuous, uniform domains. By parameterizing the integral kernel directly in the frequency domain, FNO achieves a global receptive field with quasi-linear complexity $O(N \log N)$. This spectral approach aligns intrinsically with the non-local physics of neutron transport—where particles stream across the entire domain—offering a distinct efficiency advantage over point-based architectures for full-core 3D reconstruction. Consequently, we adopt the FNO framework, benchmarking it against the industry-standard Unet3D to demonstrate the superiority of spectral operator learning.

However, applying FNOs to reactor physics is non-trivial. The non-periodic nature of reactor boundaries and the high-frequency features arising from sharp material interfaces frequently induce spectral leakage (Gibbs phenomenon) [34,

35]. Here, we introduce RSFNO, a specialized Fourier Neural Operator-based solver for high-dimensional neutron transport equations. By integrating geometric parameterization with frequency-domain learning, RSFNO establishes a direct mapping from shielding configurations to 3D neutron flux distributions. Using high-fidelity training data generated by the MC code MCSHIELD [11, 36], we demonstrate that RSFNO significantly accelerates global field prediction relative to MC simulations. Furthermore, it outperforms conventional convolutional baselines (specifically U-net3D) in reconstruction accuracy, providing a robust surrogate model for scalable, data-driven shielding design.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS A. Computational Framework

1. Neutron Transport Physics

The steady-state distribution of neutrons within a reactor shield is governed by the linear Boltzmann transport equation, a standard formulation in reactor physics [39, 40].

It balances particle streaming, collisions, and external sources in a high-dimensional phase space. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the spatial domain, S^2 the unit sphere of directions, and E the energy variable. The angular neutron flux $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega)$ satisfies: $\Omega \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega) + \Sigma_t(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E})\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega) = \Sigma_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}) \int_{S^2} \int_0^\infty \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}', \Omega') d\Omega' dE' + S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega)$, where Σ_t is the macroscopic total cross-section, Σ_s is the differential scattering cross-section, and S represents the fixed external source (e.g., the reactor core emission).

The equation is closed with appropriate boundary conditions on ∂D (e.g., vacuum or reflective boundaries).

2. Operator Learning Formulation

To facilitate the application of neural operators, we reformulate the transport problem as a mapping between function spaces. The equation can be expressed in the abstract operator form: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a})\psi = S$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a})$ represents the streaming plus collision minus scattering operator. Assuming the problem is well-posed, there exists an inverse operator, or solution operator, \mathcal{G}^\dagger , that maps the geometry field directly to the flux solution:

$\mathcal{G}^\dagger : \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega) = (\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}))^{-1} S$. The objective of this work is to construct a data-driven approximation, denoted as $\mathcal{G}^\dagger_\theta$ (where θ are learnable parameters), of this solution operator. Specifically, we focus on the mapping from the 3D material configuration $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ to a scalar quantity of interest $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ (e.g., the energy-integrated scalar flux or dose):

$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{S^2} \int_0^\infty \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E}, \Omega) d\Omega dE$, linear transport where \mathcal{L} represents operator (streaming plus collision minus scattering). Here, the parameter $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ encapsulates the heterogeneous material properties and geometry of the shielding configuration. In reactor shielding, $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ is typically a piecewise constant function representing different material zones (e.g., steel, sodium, concrete),

characterized by sharp where $R(E)$ is a response function. By training on high-fidelity Monte Carlo data, the neural operator $G\theta$ learns to implicitly encode the complex integral inversion of the transport operator, capturing the global dependencies of the radiation field while handling the geometric irregularities inherent in $a(r)$.

3. Fourier Neural Operator Architecture

- a. **Operator Formulation** We formulate the reactor shielding problem as learning a mapping between two infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. Specifically, we seek to approximate the solution operator G^\dagger defined in Eq. (3). While the underlying transport physics involves high-dimensional phase space, for practical shielding design, we target the marginal scalar field map:

$G : a(x) \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \phi(x) \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty(D; \mathbb{R}^{d_a})$ represents the material parameter space on the domain D , and $\mathcal{U} = H^s(D; \mathbb{R})$ denotes the resulting scalar flux field space. b. **Spectral Convolution and the FNO Layer Building** upon the seminal framework introduced by Li et al. [30], the Fourier Neural Operator approximates G by parameterizing a surrogate $G\theta$. The architecture comprises a stack of integral operator layers. Let $v_t(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}$ be the feature representation at layer t . The update rule for the $(t + 1)$ -th layer is defined as: $v_{t+1}(x) = \sigma(W v_t(x) + (K(\phi)v_t)(x))$, $x \in D$, where σ is a non-linear activation function (e.g., GELU).

This update rule consists of two parallel branches that are critical for transport problems:

1. Global Spectral Branch (K): This term imple-

ments a global convolution via the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). $(Kv_t)(x) = F^{-1} [R_t(\xi) (Fv_t)(\xi)](x)$, where F denotes the FFT, and $R_t(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_v \times d_v}$ is a learnable spectral weight tensor. To ensure computational efficiency and regularization, we truncate the spectrum, retaining only the lowest k_{max} frequency modes. This branch effectively captures the global dependency of neutron streaming, analogous to the integral transport operator.

2. Local Residual Branch (W): The term $W v_t(x)$ rep-

resents a pointwise linear transformation (or a local convolution). c. **Addressing Transport-Specific Challenges** To adapt the standard FNO architecture to the specific constraints of reactor physics, we introduce two architectural modifications:

1. Mitigation of Gibbs Phenomena: Neutron trans-

port in heterogeneous reactors is characterized by piece-wise constant cross-sections, leading to fields with low regularity (sharp gradients) at material interfaces. Pure spectral truncation often results in non-physical oscillations (Gibbs phenomenon) near these discontinuities.

In Eq. (6), the local branch W acts as a high-frequency compensator. While the spectral branch K resolves the smooth, global transport modes, W preserves the local high-frequency information lost during spectral truncation, thereby sharpening material interfaces and reducing oscillatory artifacts.

2. Handling Non-Periodic Boundaries: Unlike stan-

dard FFT algorithms that assume periodic boundaries, which contradicts the vacuum or leakage boundaries of a reactor shield. We propose a domain padding strategy.

The input domain D is padded with zeros (or a specific boundary value) prior to the Fourier transform. This allows the convolution kernel to decay naturally at the boundaries, preventing the "wrapping around" of neutron flux from one side of the reactor to the other, thus physically mimicking non-periodic leakage. d. Approximation Error Analysis The total approximation error of the trained operator $G\theta$ relative to the true operator G can be decomposed as: $\|G\theta - G\| \leq \|G\theta - P_k G\| + \|P_k G - G\|$ where P_k is the projection onto the first k Fourier modes. The truncation error depends on the Sobolev regularity of the target flux ϕ . By incorporating the local branch W and using a sufficiently expressive hidden dimension d_v , RSFNO effectively minimizes the optimization error while the hybrid architecture mitigates the perceived truncation error near singularities. e. Full Architecture The complete RSFNO solver is constructed as a composition:

$G\theta = Q \text{ (cid:14) } LT \text{ (cid:14) } \text{ (cid:1) } \text{ (cid:1) } \text{ (cid:1) } \text{ (cid:14) } L1 \text{ (cid:14) } P$, where P (Lifting) projects the input $a(x)$ to the high-dimensional feature space d_v , Lt are the FNO layers defined in Eq. (6), and Q (Projection) maps the final features back to the scalar flux $\phi(x)$.

B. Dataset Generation and Representation The efficacy of data-driven operator learning hinges on the representativeness of the training measure over the input function space. According to the universal approximation theorem for neural operators [27], minimizing the generalization error requires that the training samples form a dense cover of the compact subset of the input space A relevant to the physics. To achieve this, we employ a stochastic media generation strategy that synthesizes geometrically diverse and materially heterogeneous shielding configurations.

1. Stochastic Geometry Construction

The computational domain is discretized into uniform cubic voxels. The input field is represented by a material descriptor tensor $M(\mathbf{r}) = (\rho(\mathbf{r}), \delta(\mathbf{r}))$, where ρ denotes the mass density and δ the discrete material type. To encompass the range of neutron interactions encountered in reactor engineering, materials are categorized into four functional groups:

1. Strong Absorbers ($\delta = 1$): Materials with high

capture cross-sections (e.g., Gd, B, Cd).

2. Moderators ($\delta = 2$): Low-atomic-mass materials

for neutron thermalization (e.g., H₂O, Graphite).

3. Structural Materials ($\delta = 3$): Medium-weight nu-

clei providing scattering and integrity (e.g., Fe, high statistical precision, the generation of each sample was computationally intensive, requiring an average of 1,042 seconds on 100 parallel CPU cores. The dataset comprises 18 representative reactor materials, with detailed elemental compositions and reference densities listed in Table 1. A visualization of the generated material identifiers and corresponding density fields is presented in Fig. 1 [Figure 1: see original paper].

Implementation Details and Training Protocol

1. Network Architecture and Implementation

(e.g., U, Pu). To generate shielding configurations that are both geometrically diverse and physically realistic, we propose a novel hybrid stochastic generation strategy that governs material topology and density distribution separately: a. Geometric Topology via Wavelet Transformation.

To ensure structural continuity and introduce realistic spatial correlations (rather than random noise), the discrete material type distribution $\delta(\mathbf{r})$ is generated using a wavelet-based method. A latent random field is first initialized in the frequency domain and projected onto the spatial domain via an Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform (IDWT). The resulting scalar field $W(\mathbf{r})$ is then mapped to discrete material types using amplitude thresholding: $\delta(\mathbf{r}) = T(W(\mathbf{r}))$, where T is a step function defined by specific thresholds for the four material groups. This process creates continuous, multi-scale material regions. b. Continuous Density Variation. Within the defined material regions, the mass density is not uniform but exhibits continuous spatial variations. This heterogeneity is modeled using a Gaussian Radial Basis Function (RBF). For a given material type δ , the density field follows a Gaussian decay centered at a randomly sampled position \mathbf{r}_c : $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = h\delta(\mathbf{r}) \exp$

(cid:18) (cid:19) (cid:0) kr (cid:0) rck2 where $h\delta(r)$ is the reference peak density corresponding to the material type at location r , and σ controls the gradient spread. This dual-layer generation strategy—combining wavelet-based topology with Gaussian-based density gradients—ensures that the dataset captures both the complex boundaries of reactor components and the continuous physics of material heterogeneity.

2. Monte Carlo Simulation

Ground-truth radiation fields were computed using the high-fidelity transport code MCSHield [36]. To ensure The proposed RSFNO is implemented as a specialized 3D Fourier Neural Operator. As illustrated in Fig. 2 [Figure 2: see original paper], the architecture transforms the input material field into the target flux field through a sequence of spectral operations. The network comprises three functional modules:

1. Feature Lifting: A shallow neural network projects

the input material tensor (density and material type channels) into a high-dimensional latent space $v_0(x)$ [2].

2. Iterative Spectral Processing: The core processing

unit consists of four cascaded FNO blocks. In each block, the global spectral convolution is computed via the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). To strictly enforce the non-periodic boundary conditions required by reactor physics, we employ a padding strategy: the spatial domain is padded by $p = 6$ voxels prior to the FFT operation. This prevents spectral leakage and the "wrapping" artifacts typical of periodic Fourier bases.

3. Projection and Reconstruction: The final latent

representation is projected back to the scalar domain via a two-layer Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) to recover the neutron flux $\psi(x)$.

Weight parameters in the spectral domain are initialized using Xavier normal initialization with a gain of 0.01 to ensure gradient stability during the early training phase.

2. Data Preprocessing

Given the physical characteristics of neutron transport, raw data requires rigorous preprocessing to ensure numerical stability:

- Input Standardization: The material density features x are standardized using Gaussian normalization: $x_{norm} = (x - \mu) / \sigma$ [Fig. 1]. Visualization of a representative training sample: (a) discrete material identifiers defining geometry; (b) continuous material density distributions generated via Gaussian superposition.

TABLE 1. Elemental composition and reference density ($h\delta$) of the 18 representative materials used in the dataset generation.

Material Elemental Composition (wt%) U235: 1.85, U238: 62.75, O: 18.10, Zr: 15.14, H: 1.18, Others: 0.61 O: 88.88, H: 11.11, B: 0.01 Fe: 97.90, Mn: 1.30, Ni: 0.55, C: 0.25 Fe: 68.46, Cr: 18.40, Ni: 9.92, Mn: 1.98, O: 1.23 Fe: 69.00, Cr: 19.00, Ni: 10.00, Mn: 2.00 Fe: 65.80, Cr: 18.12, Ni: 9.54, O: 4.13, Mn: 1.90, H: 0.52 U-Zr Fuel Alloy Borated Water Carbon Steel Porous Steel Light Steel Low-H Steel Concrete Simulant O: 50.50, Si: 34.09, Ca: 4.40, Fe: 3.82, Al: 3.43, Na: 1.62, K: 1.31, H: 0.51, Mg: 0.22, C: 0.10 Cr-Oxide Alloy O: 33.45, Cr: 31.27, Ni: 16.46, Fe: 11.35, H: 4.18, Mn: 3.29 N: 70.00, O: 30.00 SS-304 Type I Fe: 69.00, Cr: 19.00, Ni: 10.00, Mn: 2.00 SS-304 Type II Fe: 72.18, Cr: 19.09, Ni: 5.65, Mn: 2.01, O: 0.95, H: 0.12 Fe: 66.43, Cr: 18.29, Ni: 9.63, O: 3.30, Mn: 1.93, H: 0.41 SS-304 Type III Fe-Oxide Alloy I O: 58.26, Fe: 23.78, Cr: 6.55, Ni: 3.45, H: 7.28, Mn: 0.69 Fe-Oxide Alloy II O: 50.28, Fe: 29.97, Cr: 8.25, Ni: 4.34, H: 6.28, Mn: 0.87 Fe-Oxide Alloy III Fe: 62.81, Cr: 17.32, Ni: 9.12, O: 7.94, Mn: 1.82, H: 0.99 Fe-Oxide Alloy IV Fe: 56.01, Cr: 15.42, Ni: 8.12, O: 16.74, H: 2.09, Mn: 1.62 Fe-Oxide Alloy V O: 74.92, Fe: 10.84, H: 9.37, Cr: 2.99, Ni: 1.57, Mn: 0.31 Fe-Oxide Alloy VI Fe: 55.44, Cr: 15.27, Ni: 8.03, O: 17.47, H: 2.18, Mn: 1.61 $h\delta$ [g/cm³] where μx and σx are the global mean and standard deviation of the dataset. To prevent division-by-zero errors in void regions, σx is lower-bounded at 10^{-8} . • Log-Transformed Output: The neutron flux ψ typically spans 5-10 orders of magnitude (10^{-5} to 10^{-10}). To compress this dynamic range, we model the logarithm of the flux. The target variable y is transformed as: $y_{\text{target}} = \ln(\psi + \epsilon)$ (cid:0) $\mu \ln$ where ϵ is the minimum positive flux value in the dataset (handling vacuum regions), and ($\mu \ln$, $\sigma \ln$) are statistics of the log-transformed field. This logarithmic formulation serves a dual purpose: it compresses the dynamic range of the neutron flux and, upon inverse transformation, intrinsically enforces the non-negativity constraint of the physical field.

Consequently, the solver requires no ad-hoc post-processing to maintain physical realizability.

3. Training Protocol

- a. Loss Function The network is trained to minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) in the logarithmic domain, which effectively corresponds to optimizing the relative error in the physical domain. The loss function Fig. 2. Schematic of the proposed RSFNO network architecture, detailing the lifting layer, spectral convolution blocks with boundary padding, and the final projection head. is defined as: $kG\theta(a(i))$ (cid:0) $y(i)$ target spectrum. The material specifications for each region are detailed in Table 2 .

- IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION where N is the batch size. b. Optimization Setup Training is performed using the Adam optimizer with a weight decay of 1 (cid:2) 10^{-4} (L2 regularization). We employ a StepLR scheduler

to decay the learning rate progressively. To mitigate gradient explosion—common in deep operator learning—gradient clipping is applied, and updates with abnormal gradient norms are filtered. c. Evaluation Metrics In addition to the training loss, model performance is evaluated using three physics-aware metrics: (1) MSE on the recovered physical scale, (2) mean relative error (MRE), and (3) relative L2 error norm. An early stopping mechanism halts training if the validation loss stagnates for 50 epochs. All experiments are conducted on a cluster equipped with 3 NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4090 GPUs. Data loading is parallelized with memory pinning, and mixed-precision training is selectively disabled at critical spectral layers to preserve numerical precision.

- V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS To evaluate the predictive fidelity of RSFNO in realistic engineering scenarios, we constructed the Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) benchmark. This case is designed to simulate the neutron flux distribution within the critical shielding components of a pressurized water reactor.

The RPV model consists of four concentric cylindrical regions: the reactor core, cavity, reflector, and the pressure vessel itself (see Fig. 3 [Figure 3: see original paper]). The neutron source is located within the core region and follows a Watt fission spectrum. A. Model Convergence and Generalization Dynamics The training dynamics of RSFNO demonstrate superior stability and convergence compared to conventional convolutional architectures. As illustrated in Fig. 4 [Figure 4: see original paper], the training loss (Log-Space MSE) of RSFNO decreases monotonically over 921 epochs, dropping from an initial 0.630 to 0.0024—a reduction of over 99.6%. In contrast, the baseline Unet3D [26, 32, 37], despite achieving a comparable minimum training loss (0.0027), exhibits severe overfitting. While the validation loss of Unet3D diverges significantly from a minimum of 0.0038 to a final value of 0.032, RSFNO maintains a consistent descent, reaching a final test loss of 0.0026. This indicates that RSFNO effectively learns the underlying physical operator without memorizing noise in the training data.

A quantitative analysis of the generalization gap (defined as $\frac{\|L_{\text{train}} - L_{\text{test}}\|}{L_{\text{test}}}$) further highlights this advantage (Fig. 5 [Figure 5: see original paper]). RSFNO achieves an exceptionally narrow generalization gap of 1.40 (cid:2) 10^{-4} at the end of training. Averaged over the final 50 epochs, the mean gap is 1.47 (cid:2) 10^{-4} with a negligible standard deviation of 1.0 (cid:2) 10^{-5} . Conversely, Unet3D exhibits a mean gap of 2.51 (cid:2) 10^{-2} with a large standard deviation (3.14 (cid:2) 10^{-2}), reflecting unstable convergence. These results confirm that the spectral regularization inherent in FNO prevents overfitting, a common pitfall in high-dimensional regression tasks.

Computational efficiency during training also favors the proposed architecture. The per-epoch training time for RSFNO is stable at 191.7 (cid:6) 11.6 s, approximately 37.5% faster than Unet3D (307.0 (cid:6) 5.4 s). This speedup, Fig. 3. Cross-sectional geometry of the RPV benchmark model TABLE 2. Material

composition and reference densities for the RPV benchmark components.

Elemental Composition (wt%) Component Reactor Core U238: 64.15, O: 17.05, Zr: 15.80, U235: 1.80, H: 1.14, Fe: 0.06 Cavity Reflector Pressure Vessel Fe: 97.89, Mn: 1.31, Ni: 0.55, C: 0.25 N: 76.70, O: 23.30 O: 88.83, H: 11.13, B: 0.05 ρ [g/cm³] combined with the elimination of early stopping requirements, makes RSFNO highly suitable for large-scale 3D operator learning.

Crucially, the log-Gaussian normalization scheme ensures predictive consistency across the large dynamic range of neutron flux. As shown in Fig. 6 [Figure 6: see original paper], while the logarithmic MSE stabilizes at (cid:25) 2.6 (cid:2) 10⁻³, the error in the physical space reaches as low as 2.27 (cid:2) 10⁻¹⁴. This precision surpasses the Unet3D baseline (2.78 (cid:2) 10⁻¹³), ensuring reliable predictions across orders-of-magnitude variations.

B. Performance on Reactor Shielding Benchmark To assess performance in deep-penetration scenarios, we deployed the trained models on the Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) benchmark. We evaluated prediction accuracy relative to high-fidelity Monte Carlo (MC) simulations (108 particles, statistical uncertainty < 5% in the shielding region) and analyzed the preservation of flux gradients.

1. Global Field Reconstruction

As shown in Fig. 8 [Figure 8: see original paper], RSFNO successfully reconstructs the global flux distribution. The predicted flux range covers [1.00 (cid:2) 10⁻¹⁰, 1.82 (cid:2) 10⁻⁵] cm⁻², closely matching the reference range of [1.00 (cid:2) 10⁻¹⁰, 1.84 (cid:2) 10⁻⁵] cm⁻², with a peak flux deviation of only 1.09%. Visually, the RSFNO prediction is indistinguishable from the high-fidelity reference in the primary shielding regions.

It is observed that in the extreme corners of the domain, the RSFNO prediction exhibits values below the visualization threshold (< 5 (cid:2) 10⁻⁹ cm⁻²), appearing as "blank" regions in the flux contours. This behavior is directly correlated with the stochastic properties of the training data.

As evidenced by the statistical error map of the reference simulation (Fig. 7 [Figure 7: see original paper]), these corner regions correspond to areas of significant statistical uncertainty, with relative errors exceeding 10% (depicted in red) even with 108 particle histories. In these deep-penetration zones, the signal-to-noise ratio of the Monte Carlo "ground truth" is inherently low. Consequently, the neural operator, driven by the global MSE loss, tends to suppress these high-variance fluctuations. While under-prediction in deep penetration regions presents a theoretical non-conservative risk, we observe that these "blank" regions strictly correlate with the noise-dominated zones of the reference Monte Carlo data (statistical error > 10%).

Crucially, the model preserves the correct flux gradients and global topology. As will be quantitatively substantiated in the subsequent gradient analysis (Section 4.2.2, where a contour matching score of 0.969 is reported), this topological

fidelity ensures that when used to generate biasing parameters for hybrid variance reduction Fig. 4. Comparative convergence history: RSFNO (orange) demonstrates sustained decrease in both training and test loss, whereas Unet3D (red) exhibits significant overfitting after epoch 100. (e.g., CADIS workflows), RSFNO provides accurate directional guidance for particle transport, leaving the final rigorous safety quantification to the subsequent high-fidelity Monte Carlo simulation. where M^* denotes the set of optimal point matches between c_1 and c_2 that minimizes the total transport cost, and $k = \min(jc_1j, jc_2j)$ is the number of matched pairs.

The final matching score is defined as:

2. Gradient Field and Iso-Flux Analysis

In shielding design, accurately capturing the gradient of flux decay is as critical as the absolute values.

We analyzed the iso-flux contours extracted from the gradient fields (Fig. 9 [Figure 9: see original paper]). Five contours were generated at geometrically spaced intervals from 2.00 (cid:2) 10^{-8} to 4.80 (cid:2) 10^{-6} cm^{-2} .

To quantify topological similarity, we define a composite metric combining the Hausdorff distance (DH) and Earth Mover's Distance (EMD):

Score = $1 + 0.5 \cdot D(\text{ref}, \text{ci})$ Quantitative analysis reveals that RSFNO achieves a contour matching degree of 0.969, surpassing both the 106-particle MC simulation (0.944) and Unet3D (0.959).

This confirms that RSFNO's spectral convolutions effectively preserve the smooth, continuous nature of the radiation field, filtering out the stochastic noise inherent in low-count MC simulations while maintaining sharp gradients at material interfaces.

$$D(c_1, c_2) = w_H \cdot DH(c_1, c_2) + w_E \cdot EMD(c_1, c_2)$$

3. Accuracy in Shielding Region and Efficiency

where $L_{\max} = 181$ (max Euclidean distance), $w_H = 0.7$, and $w_E = 0.3$.

The Hausdorff distance DH measures the worst-case local mismatch between the two contour point sets: (cid:18) $DH(c_1, c_2) = \max_k p \cdot \min_q q$, (cid:0) $\max_k q \cdot \min_p p$ Complementarily, EMD captures the global distributional shift.

It is calculated as the average Euclidean distance of the optimal point-wise assignment obtained via the Hungarian algorithm:

$EMD(c_1, c_2) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{(p,q) \in M^*} \min_k p \cdot \min_q q$, (cid:19) We performed a rigorous error analysis within the designated shielding target region (2200 (cid:2) 2200 (cid:2) 3400 mm^3).

Table 3 summarizes the results. RSFNO demonstrates a superior error distribution: 46.63% of voxels have a relative deviation $< 5\%$, and 70.83% are within $< 15\%$ error. In contrast, Unet3D only achieves $< 5\%$ error in 20.54% of voxels. Notably, with only 800 training samples, RSFNO reduces the full-domain Mean Squared Error (MSE) by 58.95% compared to Unet3D (3.60 (cid:2) 10⁻¹⁴ vs. 8.77 (cid:2) 10⁻¹⁴).

This accuracy gain is attributed to the global receptive field of the Fourier operator, which is essential for modeling the deep penetration of neutrons where local interactions are coupled over long distances. Convolutional networks like Unet3D struggle to capture these Fig. 5. Evolution of the generalization gap ($|L_{\text{train}} - L_{\text{test}}|$) during training. RSFNO maintains a negligible gap, indicating robust generalization. non-local dependencies without an excessively deep hierarchy.

In terms of speed, RSFNO completes a full-domain prediction in 11.79 s (inference time 1.21 s) on a single NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU. While slightly slower than Unet3D (10.87 s) due to the $O(N \log N)$ complexity of 3D FFT operations and wider channel dimensions, this overhead is negligible compared to the accuracy gains.

More importantly, compared to the 106-particle MC simulation (3382.83 s on CPU), RSFNO achieves a speedup factor of approximately 2795 (cid:2). This demonstrates that RSFNO can serve as a near real-time surrogate solver, providing accuracy comparable to or better than moderate-fidelity MC simulations at a fraction of the computational cost.

V. CONCLUSION We have introduced RSFNO, a Fourier Neural Operator-based framework designed to circumvent the computational bottlenecks of high-fidelity Monte Carlo (MC) simulations and the geometric rigidity of deterministic solvers. By reformulating neutron transport as a mapping between infinite-dimensional function spaces, our approach integrates stochastic geometric parameterization with frequency-domain operator learning. This methodology effectively overcomes the receptive field limitations of traditional Convolutional Neural Networks, enabling the capture of long-range dependencies inherent in particle transport physics.

Quantitative evaluation on the Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) benchmark validates the efficacy of this ar- Fig. 6. Distribution of MSE across the test set evaluated in (a) logarithmic space and (b) the original physical space.

Method

TABLE 3. Performance comparison in the RPV shielding region.

	Total Time	Inference Time (s)	Full-Space	Proportion of Cells with Relative Error $< 5\%$	$< 10\%$	MC (106)	3819.4 \pm 39.6	3382.8 \pm 97.9	1.46e-13	24.21%	44.53%
Unet3D	10.87 \pm 1.12	1.19 \pm 0.15	20.54%	34.69%	8.77e-14	1.21 \pm 0.10	RSFNO				

11.79 ± 0.58 3.60×10^{-14} 46.63% 63.53% < 25% 59.31% 62.08% 70.83% Note: Bold (x) indicates the best performance; underline (x) indicates the second best.

The computational acceleration achieved is transformative. A full-core 3D shielding prediction requires only 11.79 s (with 1.21 s inference time) on a single GPU.

This represents a (cid:24) 2795(cid:2) speedup over a standard 106-particle single-threaded Monte Carlo simulation, reducing time-to-solution from hours to seconds while maintaining engineering-grade accuracy in key regions.

While RSFNO is not intended to replace high-particle-count MC simulations for final safety licensing, it offers immediate utility as a high-speed surrogate within hybrid variance reduction workflows, such as Consistent Adjoint Driven Importance Sampling (CADIS). Conventionally, generating global adjoint or forward flux distributions for weight windows requires expensive deterministic calculations. RSFNO effectively substitutes this step, providing instantaneous estimations of global flux topology. This capability enables the rapid construction of biasing parameters, substantially accelerating subsequent high-fidelity Monte Carlo simulations without the overhead of traditional SN solvers.

Future work will extend the solver's universality by expanding the training domain to encompass more diverse reactor geometries, material compositions, and source spectra. Crucially, we aim to extend the RSFNO output from scalar fluxes to multi-group energy distributions.

By treating energy groups as high-dimensional feature channels, the solver will support spectrum-dependent applications, such as activation analysis and radiation damage calculation. Furthermore, we aim to adapt the frequency-domain formulation to multi-physics coupling scenarios, particularly thermal-hydraulic feedback, Fig. 7. Spatial distribution of the relative statistical error for the reference MC simulation (108 particles), confirming reliability (< 5%) in the shielding region. Trained on a limited dataset of 800 samples, RSFNO reduced the full-domain Mean Squared Error (MSE) by approximately 58.95% relative to the widely used Unet3D baseline. In critical shielding regions, the model demonstrated superior reliability, with 46.63% of voxels exhibiting relative deviations within 5%. Moreover, gradient-field analysis confirms that RSFNO reconstructs physically continuous flux contours, achieving a topological matching score of 0.969 against high-fidelity references.

Fig. 8. Cross-sectional comparison of neutron flux distributions at $Y = 0$: (a) Ground Truth (108 MC); (b) Low-fidelity MC (106); (c) Unet3D Prediction; (d) RSFNO Prediction. RSFNO reproduces the smoothness of the high-fidelity reference, where rapid resolution of the Boltzmann transport equation is a prerequisite for holistic digital twin simulations. RSFNO thus represents a viable pathway toward real-time, data-driven reactor physics analysis, offering a scalable solution to the "curse of dimensionality" in advanced shielding design.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY [1] China Nuclear Energy Association. (2025). An-

- nual re- port on China' s nuclear energy development 2025. Bei- jing: CNIA. [2] D.H. Zhang, S.P. Wang, Z.W. Dai, Review on Develop- ment of Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactor Worldwide, Atomic Energy Science and Technology 58, no. S2:265-70 (2024) doi: 10.7538/yzk.2024.youxian.0501 [3] Y. Wang, W. Chen, L. Zhang et al. , Small Modular Re- actors: An Overview of Modeling, Control, Simulation, and Applications, in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 39628- 39650, (2024)doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3351220 [4] Zhang, TF., Li, ZP. Variational nodal methods for neu- tron transport: 40 years in review. Nucl. Eng. Technol. 54(9), 3181-3204 (2022). 10.1016/j.net.2022.04.012 [5] Deng, L., Li, G. Monte Carlo Simulation Methods and Applications for Particle Transport Problems. Beijing: Sci. Press (2019). (in Chinese) [6] Hirayama, H., Nakashima, H., Morishima, M., Uematsu, M., Sato, O. Progress and prospects of calculation meth- ods for radiation shielding. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 52(11), 1339-1361 (2015). 10.1080/00223131.2015.1021283 [7] Yang, SL., Wei, JX., Hong, ZY., Liu, H. The SN algo- rithms for neutron transport and their applications. Sci. Sin. Inf. 46, 1510-1526 (2016). 10.1360/N112016-00078 [8] Song, CX., Kawai, R. Monte Carlo and variance reduc- tion methods for structural reliability analysis: A com- prehensive review. Probabilist. Eng. Mech. 73, 103479 (2023). 10.1016/j.probenmech.2023.103479 [9] Li, R., Zhang, LY., Shi, DF., Deng, L. Crit- soluble boron iteration in MC icality search of Fig. 9. Flux gradient field analysis and iso-flux contours at $X = 0$. RSFNO (d) generates smooth contours that closely align with the high-fidelity reference (a), unlike the noisy contours from low-particle MC (b). code JMCT. Energy Procedia 127, 329-334 (2017). 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.08.118 [10] Herring, N.F., Beyer, K. et al. The general collision- count source method for ray effects mitigation in SN problems using Monte Carlo coupling. Prog. Nucl. En- ergy 176, 105373 (2024). 10.1016/j.pnucene.2024.105373 [11] Wu, J., Zhang, H., Hu, A. et al. DALB-MC: Dynamic adaptive load balancing for efficient Monte Carlo particle transport on heterogeneous architectures. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 1-12 (2025). 10.1080/00223131.2025.2526784 [12] Li, J.L. et al. An auto-importance sampling method for deep penetration problems. Prog. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 2, 732-737 (2011).doi: 10.15669/pnst.2.732. [13] Pan, Q., Wang, L., Cai, Y. et al. Density-extrapolation global variance reduction (DeGVR) method for large- scale radiation field calculation. Comput. Math. Appl. 143, 10-22 (2023). 10.1016/j.camwa.2023.04.024 [14] Pan, Q., Lv, H., Tang, S. et al. Pointing probability driven semi-analytic Monte Carlo method (PDMC) - Part I: Global variance reduction for large-scale radia- tion transport analysis. Comput. Phys. Commun. 291, 108850 (2023). 10.1016/j.cpc.2023.108850 [15] Pan, Q., Lv, H., Tang, S. et al. MAGIC-GPS global variance reduction method for large-scale shield- ing calculation. Nucl. Eng. Des. 414, 112581 (2023). 10.1016/j.nucengdes.2023.112581 [16] Lei, JC., Chen, ZP., Peng, ZQ. et al. Space self-adaption global variance reduction (SsGVR) method for large- scale radiation shielding calculation. Ann. Nucl. Energy 223,

111622 (2025). 10.1016/j.anucene.2025.111622 [17] X.R. Zhang, H.N. Liu, D.C. Zhang, Development and Validation of an Automated Weight Window Generator for Reactor Monte Carlo Programs. Proceedings of the 2024 7th International Conference on Mathematics and Statistics, 30 -36(2024). doi: 10.1145/3686592.3686598 [18] Zhou, W., Sun, G., Yang, Z. et al. BP neural network based reconstruction method for radiation field applications. Nucl. Eng. Des. 380, 111228 (2021). 10.1016/j.nucengdes.2021.111228 [19] Li, M., Liu, Y., Peng, M. et al. A fast simulation method for radiation maps using interpolation in a virtual environment. J. Radiol. Prot. 38, 892 (2018). 10.1088/1361-6498/aac392 [20] Hao, Y.S., Wu, Z., Pu, Y.H. et al. Research on inversion method for complex source-term distributions based on deep neural networks. NUCL SCI TECH 34, 195 (2023). 10.1007/s41365-023-01327-8 [21] Cao, P., Gan, Q., Song, J., Long, P., Wang, F., Hu, L., Wu, Y. An artificial neural network based neutron field reconstruction method for reactor. Ann. Nucl. Energy 138, 107195 (2020). 10.1016/j.anucene.2019.107195 [22] Bagheri, S., Khalafi, H., SMR. 3D source term simulation for exact shielding design based on genetic algorithm. Ann. Nucl. Energy 191, 109915 (2023). 10.1016/j.anucene.2023.109915 [23] Song, Y., Mao, J., Zhang, Z. et al. A novel multi-objective shielding optimization method: DNN-PCA-NSGA-. Ann. Nucl. Energy 161, 108461 (2021). 10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108461 [24] Ma, R., Wang, X., Li, S. et al. An efficient computational method for particle transport problems based on neural networks. Acta Phys. Sin. 73(07), 79-88 (2024). (in Chinese) 10.7498/aps.73.20231661 [25] Berry, J.J., Gil-Delgado, G.G., Osborne, A.G.S.

Classification of a multi-group collision probability model using machine learning. Ann. Nucl. Energy 160, 108367 (2021). 10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108367 group structures [26] Zhang, G., Song, Y., Zhang, Z., Yuan, W. Research and development of source term activity reconstruction system based on deep learning. Ann. Nucl. Energy 175, 109248 (2022). 10.1016/j.anucene.2022.109248 [27] Lu, L., Jin, P., Pang, G. et al. Learning nonlinear operators via DeepONet based on the universal approximation theorem of operators. Nat. Mach. Intell. 3, 218-229 (2021). 10.1038/s42256-021-00302-5 [28] Li, H., Miao, Y., Sharif Khodaei, Z., Aliabadi, M.H. An architectural analysis of DeepONet and a general extension of the physics-informed DeepONet model on solving nonlinear parametric partial differential equations. Neurocomputing 611, 128675 (2025). 10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128675 [29] Yang, Y. DeepONet solving nonlinear partial differential equations with physics-informed training. arXiv:2410.04344 (2024). 10.48550/arXiv.2410.04344 [30] Li, Z., Kovachki, N.B., Azizzadenesheli, K., Liu, B., Bhattacharya, K., Stuart, A.M., Anandkumar, A. Fourier neural operator for parametric partial differential equations. arXiv:2010.08895 (2020). 10.48550/arXiv.2010.08895 [31] Cao, L., Hong, H., Jiang, M. Fast solving partial differential equations via imitative Fourier neural operator. Proc. (IJCNN), Yokohama, Japan, 1-8 (2024). 10.1109/IJCNN60899.2024.10650596 [32] Qamar, S. et al. A variant form of 3D-UNet for infant brain segmentation. Future Gener. Comput. Syst. 108, 613-623 (2020).

- [33] Peplow, D.E., Mosher, S.W., Evans, T.M. Consistent adjoint driven importance sampling using space, energy and angle. ORNL/TM-2012/7, Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Oak Ridge, TN (2012). [34] Gottlieb, D., Shu, CW. On the Gibbs phenomenon and its resolution. SIAM Rev. 39(4), 644–668 (1997). 10.1137/1039095 [35] Gelb, A., Tadmor, E. Detection of edges in spectral data. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 7(1), 101–135 (1999). 10.1006/acha.1999.0262 [36] Wu, J., Wu, Z., Hu, A. et al. Optimization progress of large-scale radiation shielding Monte Carlo simulation software based on AIS variance reduction technique system: MCSShield. EPJ Nucl. Sci. Technol. (2024). 10.1051/epjn/2024032 [37] Hao, Y., Wu, Z., Pu, Y. et al. Research on complex source term inversion and radiation field reconstruction method based on deep neural networks. Proc. 11th Int. Symp. Radiation Safety and Detection Technol., Hanyang Univ., Seoul, Korea (2023). [38] Y.-S. Hao, Z. Wu, S.-S. Gao et al, Research on a Monte Carlo global variance reduction method based on an automatic importance sampling method. Nuclear Science and Techniques 2024 Vol. 35 Issue 5 Pages 86. doi: 10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00389 [39] G. I. Bell and S. Glasstone, Nuclear Reactor Theory, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970. [40] E. E. Lewis and W. F. Miller Jr., Computational Methods of Neutron Transport, Wiley, New York, 1984.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.