Exploration of Strategies for Advancing Academic Competence in Medical Journal Editors: Postprint

Authors: Liu Hua

Date: 2025-09-19T15:52:10+00:00

Abstract

【Objective】To investigate the composition of academic competencies for medical journal editors and strategies for advancement pathways, to meet the demands of rapid development in medical research and the continuously evolving publishing environment.

【Methods】 By analyzing the role positioning of medical journal editors, the composition of academic competencies, and the challenges and opportunities they face, specific strategies for advancement pathways are proposed.

【Results】Medical journal editors need to possess solid medical professional knowledge, keen research insight, precise language and text control capabilities, and good communication and coordination skills. Advancement pathways include continuous learning to consolidate the knowledge foundation, enhancing academic literacy to improve judgment, refining editing skills to increase publishing efficiency and quality, and strengthening communication and coordination abilities to promote multi-party collaboration.

【Conclusion】Medical journal editors should continuously enhance their academic competencies through multi-channel learning and practice, to promote the high-quality development of medical journals.

Full Text

Exploring Strategies for the Advanced Development of Academic Competencies in Medical Journal Editors

Journal Department of Henan University of Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou, Henan 450046

Abstract

[**Objective**] This study explores the composition of academic competencies for medical journal editors and proposes strategies for their advanced development to meet the demands of rapidly evolving medical research and publishing environments. [**Methods**] By analyzing the role positioning, competency composition, and challenges and opportunities facing medical journal editors, concrete advancement strategies are proposed. [**Results**] Medical journal editors must possess solid medical expertise, keen research insight, precise language control capabilities, and strong communication and coordination skills. Advancement pathways include continuous learning to consolidate knowledge foundations, enhancing academic literacy to improve judgment, refining editorial skills to increase publishing efficiency and quality, and strengthening communication and coordination to promote multi-party collaboration. [**Conclusion**] Medical journal editors should continuously enhance their academic competencies through multi-channel learning and practice to drive the high-quality development of medical journals.

Keywords: medical journal editor; academic competency; advancement pathway; strategic exploration; high-quality development

---

In the context of today' s flourishing medical research landscape, medical journals serve as crucial platforms for scientific exchange, making editors' academic competencies paramount. Faced with growing scholarly demands and an ever-changing publishing environment, medical journal editors must continuously advance their capabilities to meet new-era requirements. This article examines the composition of academic competencies for medical journal editors and proposes effective advancement strategies to provide valuable guidance for editors' professional growth and development.

1. Role Positioning and Academic Competency Composition of Medical Journal Editors

1.1 Role Positioning

Medical journal editors play a vital role in contemporary research systems as key forces in disseminating innovative medical knowledge and safeguarding academic quality. Working behind the scenes through meticulous planning and organization, these editors ensure the transparency, credibility, and accessibility of medical research [1]. As "bridges," editors strive to break down knowledge barriers between research and the public by organizing special discussions, commentaries, and interpretive articles that make complex medical research findings understandable to broader audiences. For instance, when a public health crisis emerges, medical journal editors may rapidly organize special issues that assemble perspectives and research findings from experts across different fields to provide scientific evidence for crisis response. As "gatekeepers,"editors play a crucial

role in peer review, ensuring published research is both scientifically rigorous and ethically sound [2]. A typical example involves editors handling research papers with animal experiments, where they pay special attention to ethics committee approval documents and verify compliance with the "3R" principles —Replacement, Reduction, and Refinement—to ensure animal welfare respect and research ethical compliance. This meticulous review process demonstrates editors' central role in maintaining scientific integrity and ethical standards.

1.2 Academic Competency Composition

The professional competencies of editors in the academic domain are extensive and profound, with a solid medical background as their cornerstone. This requires editors to not only thoroughly grasp disease pathophysiology and deeply understand the complex processes of disease onset and progression, but also master various advanced diagnostic technologies and their underlying principles while familiarizing themselves with widely applied treatment methods and efficacy evaluations [3]. Building upon this foundation, editors must maintain keen insight into cutting-edge medical research developments, continuously tracking the latest findings and identifying disciplinary trends. Editors also need the discerning ability to identify research innovations, screening from numerous studies to select those with novelty, scientific merit, and practical utility. Additionally, precise language control is indispensable, requiring editors to express scientific content accurately and clearly to ensure information fidelity [4]. Simultaneously, mastering journal style guidelines to ensure manuscript compliance with journal standards represents an important manifestation of editorial professionalism. The integrated application of these competencies collectively constitutes editors' core competitiveness in the academic domain [5].

2. Challenges and Opportunities Facing Medical Journal Editors

2.1 Rapidly Changing Research Environment

As an endless scientific exploration field, medicine' s knowledge system is evolving at an unprecedented pace. From breakthroughs in gene editing technology to the rise of immunotherapy and the application of artificial intelligence in medical diagnosis, new technologies and therapies are emerging rapidly. This rapidly changing research environment poses unprecedented challenges to medical journal editors' professional competence [6]. Editors must not only possess solid foundational medical knowledge but also keep pace with research frontiers, developing deep understanding and unique perspectives on emerging technologies and trends. For example, when a manuscript on CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology is submitted, editors must quickly assess its innovation, scientific merit, and potential clinical application value, requiring familiarity not only with the basic principles of gene editing but also with the latest developments and controversies surrounding the technology in current medical research. Consequently,

continuous learning and self-improvement have become essential pathways for medical journal editors to navigate this rapidly changing environment [7].

2.2 New Trends in Digital Publishing

The digital publishing era has brought profound transformations to medical journal publishing models. Digitalization not only enhances dissemination efficiency and coverage but also imposes new demands on editorial workflows. Editors must proficiently master and apply various advanced editorial tools and software, such as online submission systems, reference management software, and typesetting programs, to improve work efficiency and publication quality [8]. Taking online submission systems as an example, editors must be familiar with system operations to ensure smooth processing from submission through review, revision, and final publication. Moreover, digital publishing has introduced new issues regarding data security and copyright protection, requiring editors to possess relevant legal knowledge and technical means to ensure journal content legality and compliance.

2.3 Complexity of Multi-Party Collaboration

Medical journal publishing involves a multi-party collaborative process requiring effective communication between editors and authors, reviewers, readers, and fellow editors. Authors, as content providers, directly influence paper quality through their expertise and writing style; reviewers, as quality gatekeepers, determine publication timelines through their professional opinions and review speed; readers, as journal 服务对象, provide crucial feedback for journal improvement; and fellow editors, as collaborative partners, offer vital experience and recommendations for journal development [9]. For instance, when handling interdisciplinary medical papers, editors must coordinate reviewers from different fields to ensure comprehensive and professional evaluation. Additionally, editors must attend to reader feedback, timely adjusting journal topics and column settings to meet diverse reader needs [10].

2.4 Safeguarding Academic Integrity and Ethical Standards

In academic publishing, integrity and ethical standards constitute the lifeline of journals. Editors must strictly guard this bottom line during review to ensure published research is both scientifically rigorous and ethically compliant. In recent years, academic misconduct such as data fabrication and plagiarism has frequently emerged, damaging not only the academic integrity system but also severely impacting scientific journals' reputations. Therefore, editors must maintain high vigilance during review, meticulously examining papers' data sources, experimental methods, and conclusion inferences [11]. Editors must also attend to ethical issues in research, such as patient informed consent and animal experiment ethics, ensuring studies comply with internationally recognized ethical standards.

3. Strategies for Advancing Academic Competencies in Medical Journal Editors

3.1 Continuous Learning to Consolidate Medical Knowledge Foundations

Continuous learning to strengthen medical knowledge foundations represents the cornerstone for medical journal editors to maintain competitiveness in an ever-evolving research environment. As a continuously advancing science, medicine' s knowledge system updates rapidly with endless new technologies and therapies [12]. Therefore, editors must internalize lifelong learning as a professional development imperative, actively participating in continuing education programs and professional training to ensure their knowledge remains at the forefront. For example, by subscribing to content alerts from top-tier international medical journals such as *Nature*, *Science*, and *The Lancet*, editors can instantly capture the latest breakthroughs and trends in medical research, including but not limited to novel drug development, precision medicine advances, and public health policy adjustments. This cutting-edge information not only provides important references for editors when screening and evaluating manuscripts but also lays a solid foundation for planning and organizing forward-looking special articles and reviews [13]. Furthermore, utilizing online educational resources such as global platforms like Coursera and edX, editors can access medical courses offered by world-leading universities and research institutions including Harvard and Stanford. These courses cover comprehensive knowledge from basic medical theory to clinical practice, offering both depth and breadth to help editors construct more systematic and complete knowledge frameworks. Particularly for editors seeking to delve into specific medical fields, these courses provide valuable learning opportunities, with content on the latest advances in genetics, breakthrough research in neuroscience, and recent applications of tumor immunotherapy being indispensable for enhancing professional competence. Physical participation in medical conferences and research forums serves as another important pathway for editors to obtain first-hand research findings and broaden academic horizons. At these venues, editors can not only listen to authoritative experts' presentations but also engage in face-to-face exchanges with peers to understand different research teams' progress and challenges [14]. Such direct academic interaction not only helps editors grasp research trends but also sparks new inspiration for journal topic selection and planning. By participating in breakout sessions and roundtable forums during conferences, editors can engage in in-depth discussions on specific topics, where intellectual collisions among participants often generate new research directions and collaboration opportunities.

3.2 Enhancing Academic Literacy to Strengthen Scholarly Judgment

Enhanced academic literacy requires editors to possess profound professional knowledge and broad academic vision, enabling accurate identification and evaluation of research papers' innovation, scientific merit, and practical utility. To this end, editors should regularly read and analyze high-impact medical reviews

and commentaries, typically authored by field experts who provide systematic retrospectives and forward-looking perspectives on research progress in specific domains [15]. Through intensive study, editors can deepen their understanding of complex medical issues and improve their ability to evaluate different research designs and methodologies. Taking CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology as an example, editors need to track its entire trajectory from laboratory research to clinical application, including technical principles, operational protocols, potential risks, and ethical considerations. This deep learning requires editors to read not only original research papers but also relevant commentaries, guidelines, and policy statements to form comprehensive and objective understanding. On this basis, editors can more accurately assess research innovation, feasibility, and potential impact when reviewing related manuscripts, providing valuable feedback and suggestions to authors. Participating in journal clubs at academic societies represents another effective pathway for editors to enhance scholarly judgment. At these meetings, editors discuss and critically analyze the latest research papers with peers, where collective intellectual 碰撞 can reveal research strengths and weaknesses and generate improvement suggestions. This interactive academic exchange not only cultivates editors' critical thinking but also promotes mutual learning and collaboration among peers [16].

3.3 Refining Editorial Skills to Improve Publishing Efficiency and Quality

In the digital publishing era, medical journal editors face dual challenges of improving work efficiency and publication quality, making proficiency in various advanced editorial tools and software an imperative. Taking reference management tools EndNote and Zotero as examples, they possess powerful literature retrieval and organization functions. When used by editors, these tools can conduct comparative screening in massive literature databases based on complex algorithms. For instance, by setting specific keywords, disciplinary fields, publication years, and other screening criteria, editors can quickly and accurately identify high-quality references that align with medical research frontiers and academic standards. Moreover, these tools contain rich journal citation format templates, enabling one-click generation of formats for renowned medical journals such as the *New England Journal of Medicine* and *The Lancet*, ensuring accurate citation information and avoiding time waste and publication delays caused by formatting errors. When providing citation suggestions to authors using these tools, editors can recommend relevant classic and cutting-edge literature based on paper topics and research directions, helping authors improve their manuscripts [17]. Adobe InCopy, as a professional text proofreading and typesetting software, offers significant advantages in enhancing article professionalism and reading experience. Its text analysis algorithm can deeply dissect texts, identifying not only common grammatical errors but also subtly inappropriate uses of medical terminology. The multi-user collaborative editing function, based on real-time data synchronization technology, allows editorial team members distributed across different locations to simultaneously proofread

and revise manuscripts. For example, while content editors check logic and data accuracy, language editors can simultaneously polish text, greatly saving editorial time [18]. In terms of typesetting, the software can automatically optimize layouts based on article structure—such as research objectives, methods, results, and discussion sections—using intelligent layout algorithms to rationally match figures with text, enhancing article aesthetics and readability for a comfortable reading experience. Editors must also continuously explore workflow optimization. When developing detailed checklists, they should specify every concrete aspect of medical paper editing. For medical experimental data, they must review sample selection scientific rigor, data collection accuracy, and statistical analysis method appropriateness; for conclusion derivation, they must examine whether conclusions are reasonably drawn from experimental data without overinterpretation or logical flaws. Using project management software such as Asana or Trello, editors can set key milestones and deadlines based on manuscript editing cycles. Through intelligent reminder functions, the software timely notifies editors of task progress via email or text messages, and through progress tracking functions, visualizes editorial workflows to ensure each stage is completed on schedule, preventing individual stage delays from affecting overall publication timelines. Participating in training provided by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) represents an important opportunity for skill enhancement. In publication ethics training, editors deeply analyze criteria for identifying misconduct such as data fabrication and plagiarism in medical research. For instance, regarding data fabrication, training explains how to identify it through statistical characteristic analysis and experimental reproducibility verification; for plagiarism, it teaches identification methods using professional similarity-check software combined with manual comparison and elaborates preventive measures. Manuscript processing standards training combines actual cases to explain review points for different types of medical papers, such as basic research, clinical studies, and reviews. For example, basic research papers emphasize experimental design rationality and innovation, while clinical study papers focus on sample representativeness and ethical compliance. Peer review process training covers everything from reviewer selection criteria—including professional field matching, academic reputation, and impartiality—to effective feedback on review comments, teaching scientifically standardized operational methods to help editors follow internationally recognized publication norms.

3.4 Strengthening Communication and Coordination to Promote Multi-Party Collaboration

In editorial work, editors must engage in effective communication with authors, reviewers, readers, and fellow editors to ensure smooth journal publication. When communicating with authors, editors must deeply analyze manuscripts based on profound professional knowledge. Taking research papers on novel vaccine development as an example, editors should analyze experimental design from a medical research methodology perspective. Regarding sample se-

lection, they must consider whether factors such as age groups, gender, and geographical regions are included to ensure broad sample representativeness; control settings must be scientifically rational with clear differentiation of interventions between experimental and control groups to exclude confounding factors [19]. When reviewing data analysis, appropriate statistical methods should be selected based on research objectives—such as t-tests and ANOVA for measurement data and chi-square tests for count data—to assess result reliability. For conclusion derivation, editors must ensure conclusions are based on experimental data with rigorous logic and no leaps. For identified biases or deficiencies, editors should provide clear, accurate, and constructive improvement suggestions. For instance, if experimental sample sizes are insufficient, editors should recommend sample supplementation or appropriate statistical correction methods; if data analysis methods are inappropriate, they should recommend suitable alternatives. Such feedback helps authors improve paper quality and enhances their trust and respect for editorial work. Communication with reviewers is equally critical. Editors must timely and accurately convey authors' revisions to reviewers, including modification content, rationale, and expected effects. Meanwhile, based on schedules of both reviewers and authors, editors should employ time management strategies to coordinate timelines and ensure efficient review. When review opinions diverge, editors must serve as bridges based on academic principles and professional knowledge. By organizing online meetings or written communications, editors guide both parties in in-depth exchanges, exploring from multiple perspectives including research innovation, scientific merit, and practical utility to seek consensus. This coordination ability not only demonstrates editorial professionalism but also constitutes a key factor in safeguarding journal reputation and author rights. Furthermore, editors can enhance influence by organizing online seminars or expert forums that invite specialists from different fields to discuss hot topics in medical research. For example, regarding emerging gene editing technology applications in medical treatment, editors could invite experts from genetics, clinical medicine, ethics, and other fields [20]. Using advanced video conferencing technology and interactive platforms, experts can fully exchange viewpoints and share latest research findings. Such activities not only enhance journal influence and attract more high-quality submissions but also promote interdisciplinary collaboration and exchange, advancing medical research in greater depth.

In summary, this article proposes a series of practical advancement strategies through in-depth analysis of medical journal editors' role positioning, competency composition, and challenges and opportunities. These strategies not only help editors enhance their academic competencies but also promote high-quality development of medical journals, contributing greater strength to medical science progress. Research findings indicate that continuous learning, enhanced academic literacy, refined editorial skills, and strengthened communication and coordination constitute important pathways for medical journal editors' advancement. Implementing these strategies will help improve editors' professional competence and comprehensive capabilities, thereby better serving medical research

and academic exchange. Looking ahead, with continuous development in medical science and innovation in publishing technology, medical journal editors should maintain learning enthusiasm and enterprising spirit, continuously exploring and practicing new advancement pathways to contribute wisdom and strength to the prosperous development of medical journals.

References

[1] Cheng Liangxing. Strategies for Enhancing Academic Competence of Medical Journal Editors in the Converged Media Era [J]. News Enthusiast, 2022(6): 65-67.
[2] Liu Shaoxing, Yao Xue, Shi Yun, et al. Approaches to Enhancing Academic Competence of Medical Journal Editors [J]. Tianjin Science & Technology, 2021(5): 94-96.
[3] Xu Yun. Constructing Ideas for Popular Science Competence of Medical Academic Journal Editors Under Media Convergence [J]. Public Communication of Science & Technology, 2021(17): 34-36, 54.
[4] Yang Luyu, Bai Yongli. Exploring Enhancement of Popular Science Competence of Medical Academic Journal Editors [J]. China Newspaper Industry, 2023(16): 220-221.
[5] Li Mei, Shao Zhenye. Professional Competence of Medical Academic Journal Editors in the All-Media Era [J]. All-Media Exploration, 2022(5): 96-97.
[6] Liu Shaoxing, Qi Xiaosheng. Research on Development Characteristics and Editorial Competence of Medical Journals Under New Situations [J]. Journalism Research Guide, 2022(2): 206-208.
[7] Liu Wenhua, Zhang Caiyun. Understanding and Practice of Enhancing Medical Journals'Research Service and Academic Leadership Capacity—A Case Study of *Journal of Anhui Medical College* [J]. Acta Editologica, 2022(5): 565-568.
[8] Bai Yongli, Wang Hong. Impact of Editorial Competence on Academic Journal Quality—A Case Study of Medical Journals [J]. Press Outpost, 2021(10): 125-126.
[9] Zhang Tingyu, Sun Changpeng, Lin Ping, et al. Analysis of Current Status and Influencing Factors of Medical Journal Editors' Job Competence from the Perspective of Self-Efficacy [J]. Chinese Journal of Scientific and Technical Periodicals, 2023(5): 653-659.
[10] Luo Huiyu, You Xuemei, Liang Yixi. New Challenges and Coping Strategies for Medical Science and Technology Journal Editors in Ethical Review [J]. Journal of Guangxi College of Education, 2024, 39(2): 6-11.
[11] Zhang Xueying, Chu Jingshen, Zhang Ning. Analysis and Reconstruction of "Breaking the Five-Only" in China' s Scientific Journal Editors and Academic Evaluation [J]. Today' s Media, 2024(9): 1-6.
[12] Li Chongyang. Experience and Reflection on Enhancing Response Capacity of Traditional Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Journals to Public Health Emergencies [J]. Tianjin Science & Technology, 2023(10): 70-72.
[13] Bao Yongjuan. Strategies for Enhancing Professional Competence of English Editors in Medical Science and Technology Journals [J]. Interview, Writing,

Editing, 2023(4): 151-153.
[14] Zhang Huihong. Pathways for Enhancing Academic Journal Editor Competence in the Artificial Intelligence Era [J]. Journalism Research Guide, 2023(18): 238-241.
[15] Pan Xue, Fang Wei, Hu Yongguo, et al. Strategies for Enhancing Academic Journal Editor Competence in the Artificial Intelligence Era [J]. Comparative Study of Cultural Innovation, 2022(4): 75-78, 151.
[16] Guo Haiting, Gui Genhao, Tu Jian, et al. On Competences Required of Academic Journal Editors Under New Situations [J]. Journalism Research Guide, 2023(1): 60-63.
[17] Jia Ming. Analysis of Medical Journal Editing and Publishing Strategies Based on Artificial Intelligence [J]. Journalism Research Guide, 2022(16): 226-228.
[18] Wei Ke, Li Junliang. Significance and Strategies for Enhancing English Competence of Chinese Editors in Medical Journals [J]. Communication and Copyright, 2022(5): 38-40.
[19] Liu Qi. Reflections on Enhancing Competence Quality of Medical Journal Editors in the "Four All-Media" Era [J]. Media Forum, 2022(15): 98-100.
[20] Sun Ting, Shi Yun, Liu Qin, et al. Reengineering of Medical Academic Journal Publishing Processes Under the Internet Background [J]. Gansu Science and Technology, 2022(11): 80-83.

Author Biography: Liu Hua (1982–), female, from Xuchang, Henan, Editor, Ph.D. candidate. Research focus: publishing and dissemination of traditional Chinese medicine academic journals.
(Responsible Editor: Ren Lingxuan)

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.