

Properties, challenges, and opportunities of the loess plains in the northern Negev Desert: A review Postprint

Authors: Ilan STAVI, Ilan STAVI

Date: 2025-06-17T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

The loess plains cover approximately 2000.00 km² of the northern Negev Desert, accounting for about 9% of Israel's total land area. As elsewhere, the loess in the Negev Desert is composed of wind-transported dust and sand particles that have been deposited in sink sites. The loess deposits are characteristically covered by biocrusts, which constitute a substantial share of the

Full Text

Preamble

Properties, challenges, and opportunities of the loess plains in the northern Negev Desert: A review

Ilan STAVI^{1, 2*}, Gal KAGAN³, Sivan ISAACSON³

¹Dead Sea and Arava Science Center, Masada 86910, Israel

²The Swiss Institute for Dryland Environmental and Energy Research, Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Sde Boqer 84990, Israel

³Deshe Institute, Tel Aviv 6777020, Israel

Abstract: The loess plains cover approximately 2000.00 km² of the northern Negev Desert, accounting for about 9% of Israel's total land area. As elsewhere, the loess in the Negev Desert is composed of wind-transported dust and sand particles that have been deposited in sink sites. The loess deposits are characteristically covered by biocrusts, which constitute a substantial share of the region's primary productivity. The biocrusts regulate the vascular vegetation communities, including herbaceous and woody plants, many of which are endemic and/or endangered plant species. Throughout history, the region's main land-uses have been based on extensive livestock grazing and runoff-harvesting

agriculture, which both still exist to some extent. These land-uses did not challenge the sustainability of the geo-ecosystems over centuries and millennia. At present, predominant land-uses include intensive rangelands (1016.81 km², encompassing 51% of the loess plains' area), croplands (encompassing both rainfed and irrigated cropping systems: 930.92 km², 47% of the loess plains' area), and afforestation lands (158.75 km²). These current land-uses impose substantial challenges to the functioning of the loess plains. Further, urban and rural settlements have expanded considerably in the last decades (158.45 km²), accompanied by mass construction of infrastructures. Altogether, these new land-uses have caused widespread soil erosion, soil structure deformation, depletion of soil organic carbon, environmental contamination, native vegetation removal, invasion of plant species, and habitat fragmentation. Recent climate change has intensified these stressors, exacerbating adverse impacts and forming feedback loops that intensify land degradation and desertification. The declining ecosystem functioning over recent decades emphasizes the urgent need for passive and active restoration schemes. While some of these efforts have proven to be successful, others have failed. Therefore, proactive policy making and environmental legislation are needed to plan and develop schemes aimed at halting land degradation, while simultaneously maximizing nature conservation and restoration of degraded lands across the loess plains. Such actions are expected to increase the regions' capacity for climate change mitigation and adaptation.

Keywords: biocrusts; climate change; desertification; land degradation; land-use and land-use change (LULUC); loess plains; Negev Desert

Citation: Ilan STAVI, Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON. 2025. Properties, challenges, and opportunities of the loess plains in the northern Negev Desert: A review. *Journal of Arid Land*, 17(6): 715-734. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-025-0053-3>; <https://cstr.cn/32276.14.JAL.02500533>

1 Introduction

Loess, an aeolic deposit of the Quaternary (2.6 $\times 10^6$ a to present), originated both in warm drylands [?] and cold/icy regions [?]. Glacial loess forms in mountainous or other continental landforms as glaciers grind the underlying bedrocks. In North America, the major sources of loess are continental sites such as the Quaternary Laurentide Ice Sheet in eastern Canada, as well as mountainous sites such as the Rockies in central United States. In Europe, the major sources are the Quaternary Fennoscandian Ice Sheet in the continent' s north and the Carpathians. Non-glacial loess forms in dryland regions, such as the Gobi and Tianshan Mountains in Central Asia, the Kalahari in Southern Africa, and the Sahara in North Africa [?]. Loess derived from volcanic eruptions can be found in South America, Alaska, Iceland, and New Zealand [?]. As such, non-glacial loess describes loess deposits formed by mechanisms such as freeze-thaw weathering cycles, salinization, solar irradiation-related deformation processes, biological degradation, etc. [?]. Loess deposits cover approximately 4% of the world' s terrestrial area [?], and record information on climatic, atmospheric,

and sedimentary changes over tens and hundreds of millennia [?].

In granulometric terms, the predominant size fractions of loess particles are silt (2-63 μm) and very fine sand (63-125 μm) [?, ?]. Yet, the mechanical composition can range between finer and coarser textures, and is determined by the features of the source material, transportation distance, properties of the depositional site [?], and whether sand particles are braded to smaller particles [?]. Relatively large sand particles are transported through aeolian saltation at low heights over the ground surface and deposited near the source. Smaller silt particles are transported at intermediate heights and deposited in sink sites that are farther from the source. The smallest particles are easily transported at greater heights than dust, and travel the farthest distances. Once deposited, particles may be transported by overland flow in continuously flowing mountainous rivers, or by flashfloods in ephemeral streambeds in drylands. Either way, fluvial processes can transport large quantities of mineral materials from source areas at high elevations to lower depositional sites [?].

Over time, surface processes may trigger pedogenic-like processes in loess deposits, forming a surface horizon (A) [?]. In the sub-surface (B), vertical processes of eluviation (E) and illuviation (Bt) of dissolved and suspended minerals may take place [?]. Moreover, stratification may form additional horizons such as the transition zone (BC), decalcified loess material (C1), and undisturbed calcareous loess material (C2) at deeper depths [?]. It has been proposed that loess profile genesis is dependent on the distance from the source area, and is positively strengthened windward [?]. The mineralogy of loess deposits varies greatly, and can consist of quartz, feldspar, mica, and clay minerals. Because loess is rich in carbonates, it is highly alkaline. Over geological timespans, changes in the rate of loess weathering have substantial geochemical impacts [?]. The Chinese Loess Plateau is the most expansive loess region worldwide [?]. Additional expansive loess regions are found in Alaska, central United States, Central Europe, and Central Asia [?].

The loess plains of Israel have been thoroughly studied, covering a wide range of topics such as genesis [?, ?], distribution [?, ?], land-use and land-use change (LULUC) [?, ?, ?], and threats [?, ?, ?]. Yet, a comprehensive summary of these issues, alongside complementary environmental and sustainability aspects, is still missing. Therefore, the objectives of this article are to: (1) demonstrate the specific properties of the Negev Desert' s loess plains; (2) highlight the importance of biocrusts in determining biogeochemical cycles, including the interrelations between biocrusts and vascular vegetation communities; (3) describe ancient land-uses; (4) peruse current land-uses; (5) discuss the impacts of climate change; (6) determine the effects of settlements and other infrastructures; and (7) survey passive and active restoration schemes across the region.

2 Distribution and Properties of Loess in the Negev Desert

The distant source areas of the Negev Desert's loess are the northern margins of the Sahara and the Arabian Peninsula, from which fine particles (clay and fine silt) were transported over thousands of kilometers by cyclonic winds. The close source areas are the Wadi El-Arish and Sinai Peninsula sand dunes, as well as the Mediterranean Shelf, from which medium particles (coarse silt and fine sand) were transported [?]. The Negev Desert's loess deposits evolved gradually. First, aeolian deposits were evenly distributed over the ground surface. Next, the loess was redistributed by local hydrological systems, where particles from high altitudes eroded and were transported to low altitudes [?].

In granulometric terms, the Negev Desert's loess is bi-modal, encompassing two size fractions (3-8 and 50-60 μm) [?]. Loess of the Negev Desert is characteristically more saline than loess of Central Asia. It has been proposed that the loess deposited across the Negev Desert had no inherited salinity, but saline materials originating from the Mediterranean coastline accumulated later through aeolian deposition. As precipitation leaches salts, the decreasing precipitation gradient from northwest to southeast corresponds to an increasing salinity of loess deposits from north to south. The high aridity in the Negev Desert, compared to Central Asia, limits biological activity in the upper horizons of the loess profile and negates the formation of an organic horizon (Ao or A1) [?]. The loess areas cover approximately 5500.00 km^2 across the northern and central Negev Desert [?]. The loess plains (31°15'N, 34°45'E), at the northern edge of this area, extend over approximately 2000.00 km^2 (about 9% of Israel's land area; Fig. 1 [Figure 1: see original paper]) between the Judean Lowlands to the north and the Negev Highlands to the south, and between Dimona-Arad to the east and the Gaza coastline to the west [?].

The loess deposits have been dated through stratigraphic or archaeological attribution, as well as by assessing ^{14}C radioactive isotopes in calcic nodules. Loess was deposited in the Negev Desert during the late Middle Pleistocene (approximately $0.18 \times 10^6 - 0.13 \times 10^6$ years before present) and Late Pleistocene (approximately $0.13 \times 10^6 - 0.01 \times 10^6$ years before present). A study on the evolution of the Negev Desert's loess deposits showed that in these ancient times, loess accumulated at a rate of approximately 0.2 mm annually [?]. Yet, a recent study showed that the evolution of loess deposits is not unidirectional [?]. It was revealed that at present, secondary erosional-depositional processes occur, in which windstorms detach particles from loess deposits, transporting and depositing them in new sites. Further, a substantial share of local loess materials encompasses particles that have been deposited over the Negev Desert in modern times. Additionally, loess deposits are prone to water erosion, which transports loess particles and deposits them in new sites [?].

Throughout the recent millennia, changes in loess deposition rates have led to periods of aggradation vs. depletion of loess deposits across the region. Visual evidence for depletion includes the alluvial terraces that are widespread through-

out the loess deposits. Concordant with the topographic relief, the thickness of loess deposits ranges from a few millimeters to several meters [?]. As elsewhere, pedogenic-like processes in the loess deposits of the northern Negev Desert form horizons throughout its profile [?]. Three types of loess, classified by visual and pedogenetic traits, are found throughout the northern Negev Desert.

The first type consists of brownish-yellowish materials with a developed profile and sandy to loamy sand texture, prevalent in the deep soils of Be'er Sheva Valley and Arad Valley, as well as in the western Negev Desert. The second type consists of pale brownish-yellowish materials with an unclear profile and loamy sand to loamy texture, found in fluviually active depressions and in the Ruhama and Be'eri Badlands. The third type consists of pale brown materials with a developed profile and sandy loam texture, found on hillslopes. These three loess types provide habitats for highly heterogeneous vegetation communities and diverse ecosystems in the Negev Desert [?, ?].

3 Biocrusts and Vascular Plant Communities

In drylands, water availability is the major limiting factor of primary productivity and biogeochemical processes [?]. Like soils, the biotic cover of loess deposits—including biocrusts and vascular vegetation—has a substantial impact on the redistribution of surface water, as well as on soil-water dynamics. In turn, through feedback loops, these variables determine the cover and dynamics of biocrusts and vascular plants [?]. In addition to the water cycle, these feedbacks regulate additional biogeochemical cycles, including carbon (C), nitrogen (N), etc. [?, ?]. While biocrusts improve the sequestration of organic C in the uppermost horizon of loess deposits, they increase soil respiration, thus augmenting carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions. Yet, through photosynthesis, biocrusts increase the soil C pool, lowering atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and mitigating climate change [?].

Biocrusts are widespread in drylands, where their evolutionary properties, particularly high resilience to extreme aridity, give them an advantage over other lifeforms [?]. In the northern Negev Desert and other loess regions, cyanobacteria, lichen, and moss are the main components of biocrusts [?]. It has been reported that different combinations of microbial components in biocrusts affect soil-water dynamics by determining sorptivity and infiltrability, thus dictating soil-water content and water overland flow [?]. Additionally, as biocrusts control the temperature of the underlying soil [?], they may either increase or decrease the evaporation rate of soil water [?], thus regulating the ecosystem's water budget [?, ?]. Further, by increasing the cohesion among soil particles and providing physical protection against exterior forces [?], biocrusts control the detachment of mineral particles from the ground surface through wind or water erosional processes [?].

Overall, the combined cover of biocrusts and vascular plants has a substantial impact on geo-ecosystem functions and services, mostly by regulating water

infiltration, generating overland flow, and controlling soil erosion [?]. Therefore, biocrusts are considered ecosystem engineers in dryland environments [?]. Specifically, the biocrusts' composition determines the germination, establishment, and growth of vascular plants, and thus regulates the plant community's structure and composition [?]. Generally, the northern Negev Desert is defined as a xeric scrubland, where major vegetation lifeforms include therophytes (annual herbaceous plants), hemicryptophytes (perennial herbaceous plants), geophytes (bulb and tuber plants), dwarf shrubs (chamaephytes), and shrubs (phanerophytes) [?]. The composition, distribution, and patterns of these lifeforms, as well as their co-existence with biocrusts, indicate the level of geo-ecosystem functioning [?].

The main plant communities across the loess plains are *Haloxyylon scoparium* Pomel (syn. *Hammada scoparia* Pomel) community, *Anabasis articulata* (Forssk.) Moq. community, *Anabasis syriaca* Iljin community, *Zygophyllum dumosum* Boiss. community, and *Artemisia herba-alba* Asso subsp. *herba-alba* (syn. *Artemisia sieberi* Besser)-*Noaea mucronata* (Forssk.) Asch. & Schweinf. community [?]. Additional predominant woody plant species are *Retama raetam* (Forssk.) Webb, *Thymelaea hirsuta* (L.) Endl., and *Achillea fragrantissima* (Forssk.) Sch.Bip. [?]. The region hosts many rare species, some of which are endemic at the local or national level, and may be considered endangered: the therophytes *Adonis aestivalis* L., *Astragalus trimestris* L., *Astragalus guttatus* Banks & Sol., *Reseda luteola* L., *Silene tridentata* Desf., *Reichardia intermedia* (Sch.Bip.) Coutinho, and *Galium philistaeum* Boiss.; the hemicryptophytes *Centaurea ascalonica* Bornm., *Pimpinella corymbosa* Boiss., *Vinca herbacea* Waldst. & Kit., and *Gypsophila pilosa* Huds.; and the geophytes *Leopoldia eburnea* Eig & Feinbrun, *Allium aschersonianum* Barbey, *Allium kollmannianum* Brullo, Pavone & Salmeri, *Allium qasyunense* Mouterde, *Iris atrofusca* Baker, and *Fritillaria persica* L. subsp. *arabica* [?, ?].

4 Historical Land-Uses

Throughout history, the most widespread use of the loess plains was for livestock grazing. Stratigraphic evidence for grazing has been recorded for the Late Neolithic period (7000–4500 Before Current Era (BCE)), Late Bronze Age (1550–500 BCE), Early Iron Age (1200–500 BCE), the Roman period (63 BCE–324 Common Era (CE)), and the early Islamic period (638–1099 CE) [?]. Despite many exceptions, the more xeric southern and eastern edges of the loess plains in the northern Negev Desert have been grazed upon by camels, while the more mesic northern and western edges have been grazed upon by small ruminants [?].

Throughout the region, a wealth of archaeological remains indicates that agriculture was prevalent during ancient times. Typical agricultural structures encompass remains of terraces and stone walls in wadis (ephemeral stream channels) of different orders [?]. Most remains have been discovered near Roman-Byzantine settlements [?]. The terraces and walls were constructed to harvest runoff wa-

ter, which drained to agricultural plots from hillslopes and dry channels in the watersheds' upstream sections [?]. In some sites, scattered small mounds of stones (*tuleilat el-anab*) covering hillslopes were discovered near the terraced wadis, with the aim of maximizing the alluvial runoff ratio [?]. While most of the runoff harvesting systems were used to produce cereals [?], a small share was utilized to grow fruit trees [?]. In the cereal-cropping plots, livestock grazed on the stubble after harvest. In drought years, when seeds were not sown or grains did not ripen, livestock animals grazed on the native herbaceous vegetation or the aboveground biomass of partially-developed crops, demonstrating evidence for mixed land-uses across the region [?].

In agronomic terms, it was suggested that runoff harvesting systems were effective over a certain historical time window, during which the thickness of deposited materials in the terraced plots was in balance with the rocky cover of hillslopes that maximized the runoff ratio [?]. It has also been proposed that land abandonment and the consequent deterioration of terraces have exacerbated soil erosion, accelerating structural collapse and land degradation [?]. Remains of terraces are widespread across the northern Negev Desert, most of which have been dated to the Islamic (638–1515 CE) and Ottoman (1516–1917 CE) periods [?].

Although remains of runoff harvesting systems are widespread, it seems that grain production using these systems could have only supported a limited human population [?]. Thus, during more populated periods, the local communities could not have solely relied on local grain production, but must have imported grains and other food products [?]. As such, common land-uses, operated at intermediate rates, could have sustainably coexisted with the natural geo-ecosystems without imposing extensive pressures on the environment [?]. Regardless, it was proposed that the use of runoff harvesting systems was co-determined by the prevailing climatic conditions and geopolitical settings. Hence, alongside sufficient precipitations for supporting agricultural crop productivity [?], administrative stability was necessary to provide security for the inhabitants of peripheral regions. Recent findings from the northern Negev Desert revealed a wealth of runoff harvesting agricultural systems that were used by the local Bedouin populations until the mid-20th century [?].

Visual observations indicate that some ancient terraced lands are currently cultivated in parts of the loess plains. Yet, recurring crop failures during the last decades suggest that the aim of this land-use is to demonstrate historical affinity to the land rather than to produce grains [?]. One way or another, in ecological terms, the agricultural plots that are presently cultivated using traditional/low-impact practices act as refugia for specialist plant species, some of which are rare: for example, therophytes such as *A. aestivalis* and *Glaucium arabicum* Fresen.; hemicryptophytes such as *Achillea wilhelmsii* K.Koch; and geophytes such as *Colchicum ritchei* R.Br., *Leopoldia longipes* (Boiss.) Losinsk., *Bellevalia eigi* Feinbrun, *Leontice leontopetalum* L., and *Anemone coronaria* L. These plant species emphasize the need to preserve these traditional cropping systems [?].

5 Current Land-Uses

At present, several land-uses are prevalent across the northern Negev Desert, with some being extensive and others characterized by higher intensity of use. These land-uses are discussed below, in ascending intensity.

The most expansive land-use encompasses grazing by livestock animals, mostly sheep and goats held by the local Bedouin communities [?]. Rangelands cover 1016.81 km² of the loess plains, encompassing 51% of its area (Fig. 2a [Figure 2: see original paper]). The region's livestock sector encompasses approximately 7.50×10^4 animals, divided among about 300 herds [?]. Most of the sector is regulated by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development [?]. Predominantly, the region's eastern and southern edges are prone to heavy grazing pressures. Like other drylands worldwide, in addition to climatic factors, the herd size, grazing duration, and grazing season primarily determine the spatiotemporal changes in the rangelands' net primary productivity (NPP) and functioning [?].

It seems that apart from extremely rainy years, the overall NPP has been relatively meager in recent years. As such, forage productivity has typically been too low to support the region's herds; thus, herds are taken to graze in the northern Judean Plains, while herders increasingly rely on purchased supplementary feed [?]. One way or another, irrational grazing has led to deterioration in geoecosystem functioning, exemplified by a decrease in cover, richness, and diversity of vegetation communities, alongside degraded soil quality and accelerated soil erosion processes [?]. In some parts of the region, grazing mismanagement has led to the expansion of non-palatable plants, such as *Asphodelus ramosus* L. [?]. In addition to open lands, livestock grazing has also been managed in afforestation lands [?] and croplands [?]. The camel sub-sector encompasses an important role among the region's Bedouin communities. In 2008, this sub-sector numbered $0.30 \times 10^4 - 0.50 \times 10^4$ animals, which supported the livelihood of approximately 300 families. Yet, camels, which have been perceived as destructive to natural ecosystems, are not supported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and have not been allocated seasonal grazing lands. As such, they are forced to graze in lands allocated for small ruminants, exceeding the lands' carrying capacity and accelerating land degradation [?].

Afforestation in designated lands, wadi banks, and cropland margins has been implemented with monoculture or polyculture planting regimes. As elsewhere, the monoculture forests have experienced serious challenges, such as degraded biodiversity and deteriorated geoecosystem health [?]. Across the northern Negev Desert, the monoculture forests have been planted at relatively high density and have not necessarily relied on runoff harvesting. However, the polyculture forests have been designed as 'savannization' systems, which are comparatively sparse and depend on runoff harvesting [?]. In wadi banks and cropland margins, the rate of pre-afforestation intervention is relatively low, whereas in designated afforestation lands, earthworks are widely implemented to reshape

the landscape.

In wadis, earthworks are aimed at establishing limans to control fluvial connectivity [?]. In hillslopes, earthworks are mostly aimed at forming contour bench terraces that disconnect alluvial processes [?, ?]. While some of these projects have proved to be effective, the success of many of the region's afforestation lands is still questionable. Specifically, earthworks remove the soil's A-horizon to form the earth structures and eliminate ground surface roughness in the runoff-contributing areas. It has been reported that this action reduces coverage and diversity of plant community and degrades ecosystems [?]. Nevertheless, some of the afforested lands have experienced mixed land-uses, such as livestock grazing, which is generally managed to prevent overgrazing [?, ?]. Regardless, the forestry agencies' past tendency to plant exotic tree species, some of which are invasive—such as *Acacia saligna* (Labill.) H.L.Wendl. and various *Prosopis* spp.—may degrade the diversity of native vegetation communities [?], and endanger additional functions and services of these systems [?]. Overall, afforestation lands in the loess plains cover 158.75 km² (Fig. 2b).

Rainfed agriculture has existed over expansive lands across the loess plains, primarily for cultivating wheat and barley [?]. In geo-ecological terms, the most impactful management practice is tillage, although it is usually implemented at relatively shallow depths (5–10 cm). As elsewhere, this practice breaks macroaggregates, deforms soil structure, and accelerates soil erosion [?]. Additionally, it increases the oxidation of organic C from the soil, emitting substantial amounts of CO₂ greenhouse gas to the atmosphere [?]. It seems that these adverse effects can be mitigated through no-till management and other reduced-tillage systems [?]. In most years, low grain yields across extensive rainfed croplands in the region do not justify harvest. Often, the NPP of a substantial share of these lands is even too low to justify grazing. Yet, in comparatively rainy years, moderate grazing of the stubble after harvest may improve the functioning of these mixed-use lands [?]. In ecological terms, it was shown that rainfed agriculture has caused the destruction of habitats across the region [?].

Irrigated agriculture is practiced in expansive drylands of the western loess plains. Vegetables, predominantly potatoes, along with plantations of jojoba [?], olives [?], and citrus [?], are cultivated as major crops. As elsewhere, the vegetable cropping systems require deep tillage (15–25 cm depth), alongside intensive pest control and nutrient management, whereas plantations require intensive pre-planting preparation practices, as well as pest management and frequent fertilizing [?]. All crops rely on irrigation water from various sources and of varying quality levels, some of which degrade soil functions [?]. It was reported that the use of chemical fertilizers, herbicides, pesticides, and treated wastewater has polluted and salinized soils in expansive croplands regionwide [?]. Further, as elsewhere, the heavy reliance on N fertilizer causes substantial emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O) greenhouse gas [?]. These adverse impacts may be alleviated by conservation agricultural practices, such as the application of manures and composts [?]. Regardless, monocultural vegetable or plantation

systems increase the risk of pest infestation, consequently degrading agrosystem health [?, ?]. Further, it has been reported that irrigated agriculture has caused the spread of opportunistic therophytes such as *Salsola tragus* L. (syn. *Kali tragus* (L.) Scop.), and of phanerophytes such as *Solanum incanum* L. and *Prosopis farcta* (Banks & Sol.) J.F.Macbr. [?], as well as the invasion of therophytes such as *Verbesina encelioides* (Cav.) Benth. & Hook.f. ex A.Gray [?]. Overall, croplands cover 930.92 km² across the loess plains, encompassing 47% of its area (Fig. 2c).

6 Climate Change Impact

Over the last decades, expansive areas across the Mediterranean Basin have been experiencing climate change, with a general trend of precipitation decrease [?] coupled with increasing inter-annual rainfall variability, alongside consecutive drought years alternating with wet episodes [?]. Yet, current climate trends and future forecasts for the Negev Desert remain uncertain. Specifically, it has been reported that in the northern Negev Desert, temperatures are rising while extreme rainfall events and severe droughts are both becoming more frequent [?, ?]. Analyses of precipitation trends for the period 1975–2000 showed an increase in precipitation, but a shorter rainy season and fewer rainy days [?]. Another study forecasted a reduced number of rainy days and less accumulated annual rainfall, alongside higher daily maximum and minimum temperatures and intensification of droughts over the coming decades [?]. Recent studies have shown that long-term droughts across the region limit soil-water storage, imposing substantial stresses on local ecosystems [?, ?].

In rangelands and other open lands, these trends have caused the mass mortality of shrubs, resulting in geo-ecosystem degradation and simplification. Yet, it has also been reported that this mass mortality—predominantly for *N. mucronata* shrubs—has been confined to homogeneous hillslopes, which are characterized by a thick and non-stony soil layer. Meanwhile, shrub mortality was insignificant in heterogeneous hillslopes, which are characterized by a thin, stony soil layer that overlays weathered bedrock [?, ?]. The improved habitat conditions in the heterogeneous hillslopes, specifically increased soil-water from micro-aquicludes found within the weathered bedrock [?] and higher soil quality [?], increase the shrubs' resilience to droughts and climate change [?]. In afforestation lands planted with *Pinus halepensis* Mill., the increasing temperatures and decreasing precipitations have lowered seedling survival [?], caused mass mortality of mature trees due to drying [?], and reduced the trees' resistance to diseases and pests [?] such as bark beetles [?]. Yet, similarly to rangelands, it was proposed that in afforestation lands, mass mortality of trees is less common in heterogeneous hillslopes with a substantial rocky ground cover and high stone content in the soil profile, which increase soil-water availability [?]. In croplands, climate change directly increases evaporation losses [?] and heat stress in some crops [?]. As elsewhere, climate change can indirectly affect crops by exacerbating disease and pest infestations [?].

Under all land-uses, ground surface exposure due to vegetation mortality elevates soil temperatures and increases evaporation losses [?], exacerbates soil salinization and/or sodification [?, ?, ?], and accelerates soil erosion [?] that is further aggravated by extreme rainstorms [?]. Yet, it is quite difficult to distinguish between ‘natural’ (i.e., climate) and anthropogenic (i.e., land-uses and management) impacts [?], except for rare events in which land degradation is clearly caused by changing climatic/meteorological conditions [?]. One way or another, climate change aggravates land degradation and desertification processes that have been induced by irrational land-uses and mismanagement. Further, it seems that often, the ‘natural’ and anthropogenic factors strengthen each other through webs of feedback loops, which accelerate land degradation and desertification over time [?].

Additionally, the transformation of ‘natural’ lands to rangelands, afforestation lands, or croplands (in ascending order of change intensity) simplifies geo-ecosystems [?], consequently lowering pedodiversity [?] and geodiversity [?]. Recent studies in the northern Negev Desert showed that climate change has reduced soil quality, degraded soil-water availability for vegetation, and decreased resilience of geo-ecosystems [?, ?]. Studies conducted in other parts of the world indicate the negative relations between simplification of geo-ecosystems and their resistance to pests [?]. In turn, frequency and intensity of pest infestations are expected to increase as a result of climate change, adversely affecting ecosystem health and functioning [?]. Overall, it seems that these trends form feedback loops which increase the challenges faced by dryland geo-ecosystems.

7 Rural and Urban Settlements, and Other Infrastructures

Over the recent decades, the human population of the northern Negev Desert has increased substantially, and the corresponding distribution of settlements, quarries, and nature reserves and parks across the loess plains is shown in Figure 3 [Figure 3: see original paper]. In addition to natural (birth-driven) growth, this trend has also been affected by positive migration, which has recently replaced a long-term opposite trend of out-migration. The current demographic trend is expected to continue over the near- and mid-term future. Settlements cover 158.45 km² of the loess plains (Fig. 3a).

Compared to other regions of Israel, the northern Negev Desert has a substantially greater proportion of population living in rural settlements (defined as having up to 2000 inhabitants; https://www.gov.il/en/departments/central_{{bureau}}of{{statistics}}/govil-landing-page; [?]). The region’s Jewish population is 4.50×10^5 , of whom 2.15×10^5 inhabit Be’er Sheva and 1.50×10^5 live in townships distributed across the eastern and western loess plains. The rest of the Jewish population inhabits kibbutzim, moshavim, and community settlements (0.85×10^5 people), found in the central and western loess plains (https://www.gov.il/en/departments/central_{{bureau}}of{{statistics}}/govil-landing-page).

The region's Bedouin population has also increased substantially over the recent decades, and currently numbers approximately 3.00×10^5 persons. Most of the Bedouin settlements are in the historical Sayag region, spanning about 1100.00 km² between Be'er Sheva to the west, Arad to the east, Yeruham/Dimona to the south, and the Yatir/Southern Hebron Mountain to the north. The Bedouin settlements include one city, six townships, two regional councils each with several recognized villages, and many spontaneous (unrecognized) villages [?]. About one half of the Bedouin population inhabits the city/townships, while the other half lives in villages (<https://abrahaminitiatives.org/bedouin-society-in-the-unrecognized-villages-in-the-negev-during-the-iron-swords-war/>).

As elsewhere, settlements within the loess plains destroy expansive natural habitats, cause habitat fragmentation, and impact geo-ecosystems far beyond their territorial extent. For instance, light pollution adversely affects ecosystems at a distance from the light source [?]. Domestic waste imposes a major environmental challenge. Although most domestic waste is regularly transported to regulated landfills [?], some waste is dumped near the settlements, resulting in on-site and off-site pollution of soil, water, and air resources [?]. Among the regulated landfills, the largest dumping site, Duda'im Landfill (31°19'44"N, 34°45'24' E), which also serves as a major landfill for other regions across Israel, attracts birds. Among them, predatory birds—specifically *Milvus migrans* Boddart—overpopulate the site (<https://www.dudaim.org.il/>). Despite the subjective aesthetics that may be related to this effect, its ecological impact has not been studied. Yet, it has been reported that the expansion of this bird species worldwide is supported by landfills, and may adversely impact local ecosystems [?, ?].

Population growth has necessitated the construction of substantial infrastructures throughout the region, including industrial zones, employment centers, and others, which have been established both near and far from settlements [?]. Residues of construction materials, as well as industrial wastes that are sometimes hazardous, are transported to designated landfills in the Neot Hovav Industrial Zone (31°08'17" N, 34°47'56" E; also serving as an industrial landfill for other regions across Israel), where they are sorted, and then either recycled or landfilled [?]. In addition to these infrastructures, the expansion of railways, asphalt roads, paved roads, dirt roads, as well as other earthworks across the region, have further exacerbated the pressures imposed on the fragile environment by reducing ecological connectivity [?]. Although the effects of linear/elongated infrastructures have not been explicitly assessed for the northern Negev Desert, they likely impact hydrological connectivity and induce habitat fragmentation, hence affecting geo-ecosystem functions and services [?].

8 Passive and Active Restoration

Mismanagement, such as overgrazing and intensive tillage, has caused excessive land degradation across the loess plains. Some moderately-degraded lands or sites in relatively mesic regions may be restored using passive means, which are easier to perform and are less costly than active ones. The most common passive means is fencing of the target land, aimed at halting anthropogenic disturbances (Fig. 4a and b) [?]. Over time, fencing is expected to promote vegetation establishment and improve soil quality, which foster each other through webs of feedback loops. For example, herbaceous vegetation and soil quality of a degraded rangeland in China were reported to gradually recover during 25 a of fencing [?]. Longer-term fencing is expected to support recovery of more vegetative lifeforms, including sub-shrubs, shrubs [?], and even trees, generating a diverse, multi-story plant community [?]. Simultaneously, pedogenesis enables the formation of soil horizons, and facilitates soil functions and services [?].

Nevertheless, while restoring rangelands, stocking rates should always be determined concordantly with the carrying capacity—the number of livestock that a unit of land can support within a certain period of time [?]. Yet, in addition to forage productivity, quality, and palatability, additional aspects should be considered, including rainfall distribution, topographic characteristics, soil conditions, plant species composition, and access to management tools and accessories [?]. Regardless, a harvest efficiency of 12%-50% of the total forage biomass should be considered. Over time, harvest efficiencies increase in properly managed rangelands. As a general guideline, for every 1% increase in harvest efficiency, there is a 4% increase in rangeland' s carrying capacity [?].

Among the protected lands across the loess plains (Fig. 3c), the 40.00 km² Loess Park (31°14'25"N, 34°38'04"E) is an example of a successful passive restoration project. It exhibits substantial gains in soil properties and herbaceous vegetation have slightly recovered following the fencing of an extremely degraded site over several decades, but shrubby vegetation has not reestablished [?].

Active restoration is generally more expensive and complicated than passive restoration; therefore, it is generally applied to severely degraded lands or to sites in more xeric regions [?]. Among the active means, tillage is predominant, aimed at aerating the soil and reducing its compaction. Over time, this practice promotes soil genesis and vegetation development, which foster each other through a web of feedbacks. A previous study conducted in Sde Zin showed that following tillage of an extremely degraded land, soil quality was improved within a few years, and both herbaceous and woody vegetation recovered slightly [?]. Inoculation by biocrusts is another active practice, as biocrusts stabilize the ground surface [?] and increase resistance to erosion by water [?] and wind [?]. Additionally, biocrusts improve soil quality by sequestering organic C in the soil, and increasing the soil' s nutrient content by fixating N [?] and trap-

ping atmospheric dust [?]. Yet, it has been reported that some compositions of biocrusts may decrease water infiltrability [?]. Further, biocrusts may reduce the establishment of vascular plant species with certain seed size and geometry [?]. Another active means is the direct seeding of uni-species or multispecies herbaceous vegetation [?]. Seeding was reported to effectively restore degraded lands by increasing plant coverage, richness, and diversity [?], and controlling runoff and erosion processes [?].

Among active restoration means, afforestation (Fig. 4c and d) and reforestation are most prevalent worldwide, encompassing expansive dryland regions. In the northern Negev Desert, some afforestation projects have succeeded; however, several related challenges have been reported, such as pest infestation and degraded ecological health in monoculture forests [?], as well as allelopathy of the planted trees that may adversely affect the understory vegetation. Additionally, although dryland forests are commonly perceived to contribute substantially to C sequestration in soil and biomass [?, ?, ?], the reduced albedo of the forests' surface questions their net impact on climate change [?].

Wherever passive or active means are implemented, the geodiversity should be considered. Notably, high-geodiversity sites seem to better cope with long-term droughts and climate change compared to low-geodiversity sites. Consequently, restoration projects may be more successful in hilly sites with a thin and stony soil layer than in level sites with a thick and non-stony soil layer. Further, it seems that in active restoration projects, recovering or creating geodiversity may accelerate the restoration rate of the target geo-ecosystem. Such actions can be easily implemented by forming micro- or meso-topographic roughness. In stony sites, judicious use of stones may further increase surface roughness. Such means are expected to increase the on-site retention of runoff water, decrease water loss from the systems, improve pedogenesis, and accelerate vegetation establishment.

Proactive policy making and facilitative legislation are needed to conceptualize schemes for halting land degradation and accelerating the restoration of degraded lands across the region. Such schemes will provide stakeholders and land managers with the required means to successfully implement optimal plans of action. Further, combining conservation, regenerative, and climate-smart practices will improve the regions' capacities for climate change mitigation and adaptation (Fig. 5 [Figure 5: see original paper]).

9 Conclusions

This study describes the challenges and opportunities faced by the loess plains of the northern Negev Desert in Israel, which encompasses unique habitats, diverse geo-ecosystems, and many plant species, several of which are endemic and/or endangered. Over time, and particularly in recent decades, anthropogenic stressors have increased tremendously, challenging the successful functioning of these plains. The major anthropogenic stressors are related to LULUC. Specifically,

land-uses have changed from ‘natural’ lands to rangelands, rainfed or irrigated agricultural systems, and afforestation projects. In addition, land is used for the expansion of rural and urban settlements and construction of engineered infrastructures, such as roads, railways, mining areas, industrial zones, landfills, etc. The major factors jeopardizing the loess plains are as follows: vegetation clearing and soil erosion in rangelands; soil erosion, C pool depletion, and soil pollution in croplands; and reduced coverage and diversity of native plant communities, alongside plant species invasion, in afforestation lands. Simultaneously, settlements and infrastructures can cause environmental contamination and habitat fragmentation. Climate change, manifested by increasing temperatures and decreasing precipitations, exacerbates regional land degradation and desertification. Among the passive and active restoration projects implemented in the northern Negev Desert over recent decades, only some may be perceived as successful. The forecasted increase in climatic and (other) anthropogenic stressors in the near future require fundamental changes in policy making and environmental legislation, to ensure the effective conservation and restoration of the northern Negev Desert’ s loess plains.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements: The Dead Sea and Arava Science Center is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology. The study was funded by the Deshe Institute (Order Number 17-7480430). The authors are grateful to Ms. Michelle FINZI for proofreading the manuscript. Also, the authors are thankful to the anonymous reviewers, whose comments on the original version considerably improved the manuscript.

Author contributions: Conceptualization: Ilan STAVI; Investigation: Ilan STAVI, Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON; Methodology: Ilan STAVI, Sivan ISAACSON; Software: Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON; Project administration: Ilan STAVI; Formal analysis: Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON; Writing - original draft preparation: Ilan STAVI, Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON; Writing - review and editing: Ilan STAVI, Gal KAGAN, Sivan ISAACSON; Funding acquisition: Ilan STAVI; Resources: Ilan STAVI; Supervision: Ilan STAVI. All authors approved the manuscript.

References

Amanuel W, Tesfaye M, Worku A, et al. 2019. The role of dry land forests for climate change adaptation: the case of Liben Woreda, Southern Oromia, Ethiopia. *Journal of Ecology and Environment*, 43(1): 11, doi: 10.1186/s41610-019-0109-4.

Argaman E, Barth R, Moshe Y, et al. 2020. Long-term effects of climatic and hydrological variation on natural vegetation production and characteristics in a

semiarid watershed: the northern Negev, Israel. *Science of the Total Environment*, 747: 141146, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141146.

Ashkenazi E, Avni Y, Chen Y. 2020. The vitality of fruit trees in ancient Bedouin orchards in the Arid Negev Highlands (Israel): Implications of climatic change and environmental stability. *Quaternary International*, 545: 73–86.

Avni G, Porat N, Avni Y. 2013. Byzantine–Early Islamic agricultural systems in the Negev Highlands: Stages of development as interpreted through OSL dating. *Journal of Field Archaeology*, 38(4): 332–346.

Avni Y, Porat N, Plakht J, et al. 2006. Geomorphic changes leading to natural desertification versus anthropogenic land conservation in an arid environment, the Negev Highlands, Israel. *Geomorphology*, 82(3–4): 177–200.

Avni Y, Porat N, Faershtein G, et al. 2015. Negev Highlands north of Mitzpe Ramon; Loess deposits in drainage basins, fluvial terraces and colluvial aprons; Deposition, erosion and anthropogenic usage for ancient desert agriculture. In: Crouvi O, Enzel Y, Ben-Dor Y, et al. *Atmospheric Dust, Dust Deposits (Loess) and Soils in the Negev Desert*. Jerusalem: Geological Survey of Israel, 124–153.

Bailey C. 2006. Relations between Bedouin tribes on opposite sides of the Wadi Arabah, 1600–1950. In: Bienkowski P, Galor K. *Crossing the Rift, Resources, Routs, Settlement Patterns and Interaction in the Wadi Arabah* (Levant Supplementary Series 3). Oxford: Oxbow Books, 251–258.

Ben-Israel A, Ramon U, Rotem G. 2012. The expansion of agriculture in the sands of the western Negev and its ecological implications. *Ecology and Environment*, 3: 97–102. (in Hebrew)

Ben-Moshe N, Renan I. 2022. *State of Nature Report 2022: Trends and Threats*. Hamaarag: Israel's National Ecosystem Assessment Program. Steinhardt Museum of Natural History, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

Benzioni A, Mills D, van Boven M, et al. 2005. Effect of genotype and environment on the concentration of simmondsin and its derivatives in jojoba seeds and foliage. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 21(2): 241–249.

Bonfil D, Ben-David R, Distelfeld A, et al. 2018. Improving crop yield of Israeli wheat and ensuring its quality under climatic fluctuations. In: Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Office of the Chief Scientist. Technical Report Note 20-10-0066. Rishon LeZion, Israel. (in Hebrew)

Bonfil D J, Mufradi I, Klitman S, et al. 1999. Wheat grain yield and soil profile water distribution in a no-till arid environment. *Agronomy Journal*, 91(3): 368–373.

Börker J, Hartmann J, Amann T, et al. 2020. Chemical weathering of loess and its contribution to global alkalinity fluxes to the coastal zone during the Last Glacial Maximum, Mid-Holocene, and present. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 21(7): e2020GC008922, doi: 10.1029/2020GC008922.

- Brand D, Moshe I, Shachak M. 2015. Ecological restoration of desertified ecosystems in the northern Negev. [2024-05-01]. https://www.kkl.org.il/files/Accessible-1/afforestation_{{and}}{{environment}}/afforestation{{and}}{{environment}}>{{environmental}}>{{qu
north-negev-position-paper.pdf. (in Hebrew)
- Bruins H J. 2012. Ancient desert agriculture in the Negev and climate-zone boundary changes during average, wet and drought years. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 86: 28–42.
- Bruins H J, van der Plicht J. 2017. Dating of Iron Age agriculture in the Negev Highlands: a response to Shahack-gross and Finkelstein. *Radiocarbon*, 59(4): 1233–1239.
- Cohen A, Keshet N, Harel Y. 2012. Pollution spread from the Ramat Hovav industrial zone to the nearby wadis—Evaluation of monitoring results for the years 1998–2011. *Ecology and Environment*, 3: 16–18. (in Hebrew)
- Coppus R. 2023. The global distribution of human-induced land degradation and areas at risk. In: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Technical Report Note SOLAW21. Rome, Italy.
- Cowie J D. 1964. Loess in the Manawatu district, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 7(2): 359–374.
- Crouvi O, Amit R, Enzel Y, et al. 2008. Sand dunes as a major proximal dust source for late Pleistocene loess in the Negev Desert, Israel. *Quaternary Research*, 70(2): 275–282.
- Crouvi O, Amit R, Porat N, et al. 2009. Significance of primary hilltop loess in reconstructing dust chronology, accretion rates, and sources: An example from the Negev Desert, Israel. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(F2): F02017, doi: 10.1029/2008JF001083.
- Crouvi O, Amit R, Enzel Y, et al. 2010. Active sand seas and the formation of desert loess. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 29(17–18): 2087–2098.
- Dan J. 1984. Soils of Israel and their relations to the native vegetation. In: Waisel Y, Alon A. *Plants and Animals of the Land of Israel*, Vol. 8 *Vegetation of Israel*. Tel Aviv: Ministry of Defence Publishing House, 262. (in Hebrew)
- De Giacomo U, Guerrieri G. 2008. The feeding behavior of the black kite (*Milvus migrans*) in the rubbish dump of Rome. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 42(2): 110–118.
- de Guevara M L, Maestre F T. 2022. Ecology and responses to climate change of biocrust-forming mosses in drylands. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 73(13): 4380–4395.
- Degen A A. 2007. Traditional livestock production among Bedouin in the Negev Desert. In: Dana L P, Anderson R B. *International Handbook of Research on Indigenous Entrepreneurship*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 115–136.

- Deitch M J, Sapundjieff M J, Feirer S T. 2017. Characterizing precipitation variability and trends in the world' s Mediterranean-climate areas. *Water*, 9(4): 259, doi: 10.3390/w9040259.
- Dou W Q, Xiao B, Wang Y F, et al. 2022. Contributions of three types of biocrusts to soil carbon stock and annual efflux in a small watershed of northern Chinese Loess Plateau. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 179: 104596, doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104596.
- Drori R, Ziv B, Saaroni H, et al. 2021. Recent changes in the rain regime over the Mediterranean climate region of Israel. *Climatic Change*, 167(1-2): 15, doi: 10.1007/s10584-021-03161-6.
- Dubinin M, Osem Y, Yakir D, et al. 2024. Investigating the relationships between the leaf area index and forest functions of dryland conifer forests along an aridity gradient using VEN μ S and Sentinel-2 satellites. EGU23-12505. 24 April-28 April, 2023. EGU General Assembly 2023. Vienna, Austria.
- Efron D, Nestel D, Glazer I. 2001. Spatial analysis of entomopathogenic nematodes and insect hosts in a citrus grove in a semi-arid region in Israel. *Environmental Entomology*, 30(2): 254-261.
- Eldridge D J, Zaady E, Shachak M. 2000. Infiltration through three contrasting biological soil crusts in patterned landscapes in the Negev, Israel. *CATENA*, 40(3): 323-336.
- Eldridge D J, Squires V R. 2002. Estimating pastoral productivity of semiarid rangeland in northern Shaanxi Province, China, using an environmental resource assessment and management system. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 16(1): 37-45.
- Eliyahu A. 2019. Regulating the waste sector in Arab, Druz, and Bedouin villages. [2024-05-01]. https://fs.knesset.gov.il/globaldocs/MMM/6464a7ae-07fb-e911-8102-00155d0aee38/2_{6464}a7ae-07fb-e911-8102-00155d0aee38_{{11}}_{{13648}}.pdf. (in Hebrew)
- Evenari M, Shanan L, Tadmor N, et al. 1961. Ancient agriculture in the Negev. *Science*, 133(3457): 979-996.
- Evenari M, Shanan L, Tadmor N. 1982. *The Negev: The Challenge of a Desert* (2nd ed). Cambridge, USA: Harvard University Press, 1-437.
- Farrell H L, Fehmi J F, Gornish E S. 2021. The effects of site preparation equal those of seeding at a dryland restoration site: 6 years of plant community development. *Restoration Ecology*, 29(6): e13482, doi: 10.1111/rec.13482.
- Fick S E, Barger N, Tatarko J, et al. 2020. Induced biological soil crust controls on wind erodibility and dust (PM10) emissions. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 45(1): 224-236.
- Field J P, Belnap J, Breshears D D, et al. 2010. The ecology of dust. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 8(8): 423-430.

Finke P A, Vanwalleghem T, Opolot E, et al. 2013. Estimating the effect of tree uprooting on variation of soil horizon depth by confronting pedogenetic simulations to measurements in a Belgian loess area. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 118(4): 2124–2139.

Gao L Q, Sun H, Xu M X, et al. 2020. Biocrusts resist runoff erosion through direct physical protection and indirect modification of soil properties. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 20(1): 133–142.

Gil H, Ben-Natan D, Perelberg A. 2022. Plants survey in proposed nature reserve across the northern Negev. Deshe Institute. Tel Aviv, Israel. (in Hebrew)

Givon B. 2012. Ramat Hovav-Industrial Council. *Mekarkein*, 11: 1–15. (in Hebrew)

Golan O, Attias R, Elron M, et al. 2022. The effect of bark beetles in the mortality of planted pine forests in Israel. *Ya' ar*, 22: 71–81. (in Hebrew)

Golodets C, Sternberg M, Kigel J, et al. 2015. Climate change scenarios of herbaceous production along an aridity gradient: vulnerability increases with aridity. *Oecologia*, 177(4): 971–979.

Grab H, Danforth B, Poveda K, et al. 2018. Landscape simplification reduces classical biological control and crop yield. *Ecological Applications*, 28(2): 348–355.

Granatstein D. 1992. *Dryland farming in the northwestern United States: A nontechnical overview*. MISC0162. Washington State University Cooperative Extension. Pullman, USA, 1–31.

Guo M M, Wang W L, Kang H L, et al. 2020. Changes in soil properties and resistance to concentrated flow across a 25-year passive restoration chronosequence of grasslands on the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Restoration Ecology*, 28(1): 104–114.

Han J Q, Ge W Y, Hei Z, et al. 2020. Agricultural land use and management weaken the soil erosion induced by extreme rainstorms. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 301: 107047, doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2020.107047.

Hatzbani A, Atlan Y. 2020. Roadmap future industrial development the Negev. [2024-05-13]. <https://www.negevcouncil.org>. (in Hebrew)

Ibáñez J J, Zuccarello V, Ganis P, et al. 2014. Pedodiversity deserves attention in plant biodiversity research. *Plant Biosystems*, 148(6): 1112–1116.

Jones J A, Swanson F J, Wemple B C, et al. 2000. Effects of roads on hydrology, geomorphology, and disturbance patches in stream networks. *Conservation Biology*, 14(1): 76–85.

Kababya D. 2022. The small ruminants sector in Israel. Israel Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Department of Extension Service. Rishon LeZion, Israel. (in Hebrew)

- Kafle H K, Bruins H J. 2009. Climatic trends in Israel 1970-2002: warmer and increasing aridity inland. *Climatic Change*, 96(1-2): 63-77.
- Kashyap R, Grover S, Puri H, et al. 2023. Insect and pest management for sustaining crop production under changing climatic patterns of drylands. In: Naorem A, Machiwal D. *Enhancing Resilience of Dryland Agriculture Under Changing Climate*. Berlin: Springer, 441-457.
- Katuri J R, Trifonov P, Arye G. 2019. Spatial distribution of salinity and sodicity in arid climate following long term brackish water drip irrigated olive orchard. *Water*, 11(12): 2556, doi: 10.3390/w11122556.
- Kidron G J, Fischer T, Xiao B. 2022. The ambivalent effect of biocrusts on evaporation: Can the contradictory conclusions be explained? A review. *Geoderma*, 416: 115805, doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.115805.
- Kirmer A, Baasch A, Tischew S. 2012. Sowing of low and high diversity seed mixtures in ecological restoration of surface mined-land. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 15(2): 198-207.
- Kobel M, Listopad C M C S, Príncipe A, et al. 2021. Temporary grazing exclusion as a passive restoration strategy in a dryland woodland: Effects over time on tree regeneration and on the shrub community. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 483: 118732, doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118732.
- Landau Y, Abu Rabia A, Abelgon D, et al. 2015. Seasonal grazing of Bedouin flocks in the KKL forests: Trends between 2009 and 2014. *Ya' ar*, 15: 30-39. (in Hebrew)
- Lavee H, Poesen J, Yair A. 1997. Evidence of high efficiency water-harvesting by ancient farmers in the Negev Desert, Israel. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 35(2): 341-348.
- Leu S, Ben-Eli M, Mor-Mussery A. 2021. Effects of grazing control on ecosystem recovery, biological productivity gains, and soil carbon sequestration in long-term degraded loess farmlands in the Northern Negev, Israel. *Land Degradation & Development*, 32(8): 2580-2594.
- Li J, Li Z B, Guo M J, et al. 2018. Effects of vegetation restoration on soil physical properties of abandoned farmland on the Loess Plateau, China. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77(5): 205, doi: 10.1007/s12665-018-7385-7.
- Li R, Wang C, Zhao Y, et al. 2016. Rapid restoration of moss biocrusts on field slope under spray-seeding and broadcasting. In: Third International Workshop on Biological Soil Crusts. 26 September-30 September, 2016. Moab, USA.
- Li Y R, He S D, Peng J B, et al. 2020a. Loess geology and surface processes: An introductory note. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 200: 104477, doi: 10.1016/j.jseaes.2020.104477.
- Li Y R, Shi W H, Aydin A, et al. 2020b. Loess genesis and worldwide distribution. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 201: 102947, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102947.

Lomas J. 1984. Efficient utilization of climate resources for rainfed agriculture in Israel. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France*, 131(2-4): 501-515.

Maestre F T, Benito B M, Berdugo M, et al. 2021. Biogeography of global dry

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.