

Relativistic Low Angular Momentum Advective Flows Onto Black Hole and Associated Observational Signatures (Postprint)

Authors: Jun-Xiang Huang and Chandra B. Singh

Date: 2025-03-11T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

We present simulation results examining the presence and behavior of standing shocks in zero-energy low angular momentum advective accretion flows and explore their (in)stability properties, taking into account various values of specific angular momentum, β . Within the range $10\text{--}50R_g$ (where R_g denotes the Schwarzschild radius), shocks are discernible for $\beta \geq 1.75$. In the special relativistic hydrodynamic simulation when $\beta = 1.80$, we find the merger of two shocks resulted in a dramatic increase in luminosity. We present the impact of external and internal flow collisions from the funnel region on luminosity. Notably, oscillatory behavior characterizes shocks within $1.70 \leq \beta \leq 1.80$. Using free-free emission as a proxy for analysis, we show that the luminosity oscillations between frequencies of $0.1\text{--}10$ Hz for β range as $1.7 \leq \beta \leq 1.80$. These findings offer insights into quasi-periodic oscillation emissions from certain black hole X-ray binaries, exemplified by GX 339-4. Furthermore, for the supermassive black hole at the Milky Way's center, Sgr A*, oscillation frequencies between $10\text{--}6$ and $10\text{--}5$ Hz were observed. This frequency range, translating to one cycle every few days, aligns with observational data from X-ray telescopes such as Chandra, Swift, and XMM-Newton.

Full Text

Preamble

Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 25:025013 (18pp), 2025 February © 2025. National Astronomical Observatories, CAS and IOP Publishing Ltd. All rights, including for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies, are reserved. Printed in China. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/ada2e8> CSTR: 32081.14.RAA.ada2e8 Relativistic Low Angular Momentum Advective Flows Onto Black Hole and Associated Observational Signatures Jun-Xiang

Huang and Chandra B. Singh South-Western Institute for Astronomy Research, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, China; wacmkxiaoyi@gmail.com, chandrasingh@ynu.edu.cn Received 2024 June 11; revised 2024 November 30; accepted 2024 December 11; published 2025 February 3

Abstract

We present simulation results examining the presence and behavior of standing shocks in zero-energy low angular momentum advective accretion flows and explore their (in)stability properties, taking into account various values of specific angular momentum, λ_0 . Within the range $10 - 50R_g$ (where R_g denotes the Schwarzschild radius), shocks are discernible for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$. In the special relativistic hydrodynamic simulation when $\lambda_0 = 1.80$, we find the merger of two shocks resulted in a dramatic increase in luminosity. We present the impact of external and internal flow collisions from the funnel region on luminosity. Notably, oscillatory behavior characterizes shocks within $1.70 \lesssim \lambda_0 \lesssim 1.80$. Using free-free emission as a proxy for analysis, we show that the luminosity oscillates between frequencies of $0.1 - 10$ Hz for λ_0 range as $1.7 \lesssim \lambda_0 \lesssim 1.80$. These findings offer insights into quasi-periodic oscillation emissions from certain black hole X-ray binaries, exemplified by GX 339-4. Furthermore, for the supermassive black hole at the Milky Way's center, Sgr A*, oscillation frequencies between 10^{-6} and 10^{-5} Hz were observed. This frequency range, translating to one cycle every few days, aligns with observational data from X-ray telescopes such as Chandra, Swift, and XMM-Newton.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks – black hole physics – relativistic processes – methods: numerical

1. Introduction

The existence of black holes has been firmly established through groundbreaking observations, notably of M87* presented by [?]. M87* is a supermassive black hole of $10^9 M_\odot$ residing at the heart of the galaxy M87. The galaxy M87 has been the subject of numerous observational studies, with many focusing on flux variability in recent times. For instance, [?] investigated the ultraluminous X-ray flaring sources within the M87 cluster, while [?] studied the X-ray flux variability emanating from M87* itself. In contrast to M87's *immense mass, the supermassive black hole at the center of our own galaxy, Sgr A*, has a considerably lower mass of $4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, as highlighted by the observations of [?]. It is crucial to remember that black holes themselves are not luminous and cannot be directly observed. As such, when observing these celestial phenomena, the luminosity and contributions of the surrounding accretion disk must be duly considered.

[?] introduced the standard thin disk model (SSD), which, however, seems misaligned with the observations of Sgr A. *Specifically, the observed luminosity of Sgr A* falls short of SSD predictions by five orders of magnitude. Additionally,

the spectral characteristics of Sgr A* diverge markedly from those anticipated by the SSD model.

In response to these discrepancies, the scientific community explored advective accretion models. Pioneered by [?], the zero-angular-momentum model emerged, followed by advection-dominated accretion flows (ADAFs) with high angular momentum [?]. Subsequent studies [?, e.g.,]NarayanMcClintock2008, YuanNarayan2014 affirmed the validity of these advective accretion models, indicating their capability to more accurately describe the observed characteristics of Sgr A* [?, e.g.,]Yuanetal2012, Lietal2013. Multiwavelength investigations into Sgr A* have discerned two distinct states: a quiescent phase and a flaring phase [?, e.g.,]Genzeletal2010. Empirical observations reveal that X-ray and infrared (IR) flares typically last between 1 and 3 hr and manifest several times a day. Notably, the variability in X-ray flux exhibits a pronounced shift, spanning more than two orders of magnitude when transitioning to the quiescent state [?].

Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) investigations into magnetized disks have consistently highlighted the pivotal role of magnetic fields in the evolution of accretion disks [?, e.g.,]Machidaetal2000, Machidaetal2001, StonePringle2001, Igumenshchevetal2003, Narayanetal2003, Narayanetal2012, Yuanetal2012, Yuanel2015. A subset of these numerical MHD simulations has specifically delved into the mechanisms underpinning the flares of Sgr A* [?, e.g.,]Chanetal2009, Dexteretal2009, Dodds-Edenetal2010, Ball2016, Ressleretal2017. In a paradigm that factors in general relativity within the MHD framework, [?] unveiled emission patterns characteristic of thermal electrons. Meanwhile, other studies [?, e.g.,]Liskaetal2021, Liskaetal2023, Kaazetal2022, Musokeetal2023 have spotlighted the Lense–Thirring effect within accretion disks, leading to the emergence of high-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations (HFQPOs). Further elucidating these phenomena, [?] attributed rapid X-ray variability to electrons being accelerated via magnetic reconnection within areas exhibiting high magnetization in proximity to black holes. Additionally, [?] proposed a scenario aiming to decode the X-ray flares of Sgr A* using the framework of episodic mass ejection. While the aforementioned research largely leans into the paradigm of high angular momentum flow, culminating in luminosity flares of Sgr A* spanning 1–3 hr, long-standing observations spanning nearly two decades from telescopes such as Chandra, Swift, and XMM-Newton reveal a pattern of luminosity variability extending across several days.

On the other hand, models characterized by low angular momentum advective flows are observed to support stable/unstable standing shocks and are positioned between the flow models: ADAF (where the specific angular momentum of the flow is some fraction of the Keplerian value at a given radius) and Bondi accretion flow (where the specific angular momentum is zero) [?, e.g.,]Chakrabarti1996, Moscibrodzkaetal2006. In the present study, the flow with low angular momentum close to a non-rotating black hole is usually around the corresponding marginally stable value ($1.837R_g c$), where R_g and c are the

Schwarzschild radius and speed of light, respectively.

These shocks in such flows are postulated to induce luminosity variability. The simulation works by [?] noted the emergence of these stable/unstable shocks depending on the specific angular momentum, λ . More recent simulation studies have underscored the periodic luminosity variations associated with the Sgr A* cycle, attributing it to the oscillations of these shocks. For instance, the works of [?, ?] illustrated luminosity variability occurring over 5–10 day intervals. In addition to the mentioned supermassive black holes (such as Sgr A*), some studies of black hole X-ray binaries (BHXRBs) have also reported low-frequency luminosity fluctuations [?].

Among them, Type C low-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations (LFQPOs) are believed to be caused by fluctuations in accretion flow properties like mass accretion rates. Recently, [?] simulated accretion flows near a $10M_{\odot}$ black hole, revealing that shocks in the accretion flow can lead to the generation of flickering at several Hz.

Building on the insights of previous research, this paper delves into the study of (in)stability of standing shocks in two-dimensional (2D) hydrodynamic low angular momentum accretion flows. Specifically, we revisit and extend the works by [?] with implementation of refined boundary conditions, utilizing advanced computational domain simulation software and modern mesh models. A comprehensive breakdown of these improvements can be found in Section 2. Our approach is rooted in the conservation of specific energy (set to zero) and the conservation of specific angular momentum. These tenets guide our exploration of the instability inherent to standing shocks and help us gauge the variable nature of luminosity. To further cement the relevance of our study, we compare our findings with long-term observational data of the flares associated with Sgr A* and QPOs in some BHXRBs.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 presents the methodologies driving our simulations, providing details related to the initial and boundary conditions. The results of our simulations and interpretations are presented in Section 3. We culminate in Section 4 with a robust discussion, relating our findings within the broader landscape of existing knowledge and shedding light on their implications for ongoing research, and present conclusions in Section 5.

2.1. Basic Equations

To conduct our simulations, we employ the PLUTO code [?], which can handle evolution of high Mach number flows with strong discontinuities like shocks. The PLUTO code solves the time-dependent conservation equations where U represents a set of conservative quantities, T_h is the flux tensor, T_p is the diffusion flux tensor, and $S(U)$ represents source terms. This framework is particularly useful to simulate supersonic flows across a range of coordinate systems in multi-dimensions. We consider an ideal relativistic non-magnetized fluid with laboratory rest-mass density ρ , three-velocity v , thermal (gas) pressure P , Lorentz

factor γ , and specific enthalpy h . The equations governing special relativistic hydrodynamics are presented as [?]: the mass conservation equation, momentum conservation equation, and energy conservation equation. Here, $E = \rho h \gamma^2 - P$ and Φ represent the total energy and gravitational potential, respectively.

2.2. Energy Equation of Advective Flow

For the advective flow, we consider the adiabatic equation of state $P = K\rho^\Gamma$. The self-gravity of the accreting gas is negligible in comparison to the gravitational influence of the central black hole [?]. Here, Γ represents the adiabatic index, with a value of $4/3$, appropriate for a hot thermally relativistic single-component fluid (ions) in inner advective flows around the black hole where temperature can reach up to 10^{12} K, while K denotes the gas constant. Additionally, the specific angular momentum, defined as $\lambda_0 \sim R_f v_f$, can be considered conserved for flows with low angular momentum, especially in scenarios corresponding to the weak-viscosity limit [?]. Here, R_f is the projection of radial position on the equatorial plane and v_f is the corresponding velocity. Energy conservation gives us the relation for specific energy of the flow or Bernoulli constant, $\varepsilon = v^2/2 + h + \Phi$ [?]. Here, c_s is the speed of sound. Given the above considerations, the Bernoulli constant of the flow can be expressed as $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}v_R^2 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2R^2} + \frac{c_s^2}{\Gamma-1} + \Phi$. Here, v_R represents the radial velocity, while \mathcal{M} stands for the Mach number given by $\mathcal{M} = v_R/c_s$. Note that velocities are naturally expressed in units of the speed of light $c = 1$. The specific angular momentum is denoted by λ_0 and is expressed in units of $2GM/c$, and the radial distance R in spherical coordinates is in units of Schwarzschild radius, $R_g = 2GM/c^2$. M is the mass of the central compact object and G is the gravitational constant. To mimic the spacetime around a non-rotating black hole, we use the Pseudo-Newtonian potential, which serves as an approximation for the effective potential [?]: $\Phi = -GM/(R - R_g)$. Theoretical studies on transonic flows around black holes [?, e.g.,]Chakrabarti1989, Chakrabarti1990, Chakrabarti-Molteni1993 have provided detailed discussions on the conditions for shock formation with supersonic points concerning λ_0 . Their findings indicate that, to satisfy the Rankine–Hugoniot condition, the specific angular momentum determines whether a physical supersonic solution exists, with $\lambda_0 \lesssim \lambda_u \approx 1.854$. Therefore, our work will revolve around this range of λ_0 . Under these conditions, the flow’s evolution is determined by four parameters set at the outer boundary of the simulation computational domain: v_R , λ_0 , c_s , and the half-thickness of the accretion flow H , which are determined by solving the equations of conservation laws.

2.2.1. Vertical Hydrostatic Equilibrium Assumption

The assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium has proven instrumental in the realm of advective flow studies. This fundamental concept was developed and notably advanced by Fukue and others [?, e.g.,]Fukue1986, Katoetal2008. Within this framework, the dimensionless gravitational acceleration is given by $g_\theta =$

$-(1/\rho)(\partial P/\partial\theta)$. Here, we take the gravitational acceleration (g_θ) with the direction along θ (i.e., $\theta = \theta_0$) for convenience, and the term H signifies the relative height. Under the one-zone approximation, $P \approx \rho c_s^2$, which can be expressed as $H \approx c_s/\sqrt{g_\theta/H}$. Equation (6) can be rewritten as $H/R = c_s/v_K$. Considering the dimensionless adiabatic sound speed given by $c_s = \sqrt{\Gamma P/\rho}$, the hydrostatic equilibrium in advective flows can be expressed as $H/R \approx c_s/v_K$, where v_K is the Keplerian velocity. In our investigation, we posit that the flow at the outer boundary adheres to hydrostatic equilibrium. Integrating this with the foundational Equation (4), it emerges that the height at a fixed R amplifies as the specific angular momentum ratio H/λ_0 diminishes. Within the paradigm of low angular momentum advective flows, conventional computations position H at an approximate value of 28%, as delineated in Equation (8). In the scenario of an extreme case $\lambda_0 = 0$ (synonymous with the Bondi flow) and the thin disk regime characterized by $v_R = v_f$ (as seen in SSD), this proportion can escalate to around 52% and down to 0%, respectively, at radius $R \sim 200R_g$ (see Figure 1). For all these estimations, the specific energy in Equation (4) is taken as zero.

2.3. Initial Conditions

The disk height ratio H of Equation (8) can also be obtained in another form for large R ($\gg R_g$) as in [?]: $H/R \approx (c_s/v_K) \approx (k_B T/\mu m_p)^{1/2}/\sqrt{GM/R}$, where M denotes the mass of the central compact object, for instance, a black hole. The term T is defined as $T = (\mu m_p/k_B)c_s^2$, representing the temperature. Here, m_p stands for the proton mass, μ signifies the average molecular weight (taking a value of 0.5 for hydrogen), and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. This shows the disk height ratio is small near the inner edge of the flow but as large as ~ 0.37 at $R \sim 200R_g$ for $T \sim 10^{10}$ K.

Furthermore, there exists an inverse relationship between temperature T and the Mach number \mathcal{M} ; a larger \mathcal{M} corresponds to a reduced T . To ensure the detection of at least one shock with appreciable intensity in our simulations, we opted for $\mathcal{M} = 5$. This choice positions the shock location in the range $R_s \sim 10 - 100R_g$ for every scenario considered.

Drawing from the standard analysis of transonic flow by Chakrabarti and collaborators, and based on previous works, we adopt specific angular momentum values ranging from 1.5 to 2.25, incremented by 0.05. Subsequently, we incorporate the pseudo-Newtonian potential (given by Equation (5)) into the energy equation (Equation (4)). The equation governing our simulations is thus $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}v_R^2 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2R^2} + \frac{c_s^2}{\Gamma-1} - \frac{GM}{R-R_g} = 0$. Once the dimensions of the simulation box are specified, we can derive the velocity distribution at the outer boundary. Opting for the smallest value in this distribution enables us to compute the initial dimensionless density, represented as $\rho_\infty = 0.01$, and we can subsequently ascertain another basic parameter: the gas pressure.

2.4. Boundary Conditions

Utilizing the 1.5D solutions with $\mathcal{M} = 5$ in conjunction with Equation (10), we set the outer boundary at $R_{\text{out}} = 200R_g$. To circumvent any void regions, particularly within the innermost stable radius, we establish the inner boundary $R_{\text{in}} = 3R_g$. For the θ direction, to avoid computationally frequent negative density or pressure regions, we restrict θ to range from 0.05π to 0.95π . Spatially, we employ 512 logarithmic grids in the “R” direction. The use of a non-uniform grid like a logarithmic one in the radial direction allows us to keep the mesh aspect ratio the same throughout the computational domain. Besides, the following condition keeps the aspect ratio of square cells around unity throughout the domain [?]: $\Delta R_1/(R_1\Delta\theta) \approx 1$, where ΔR_1 is the first active mesh size in the radial direction and θ_{end} and θ_{beg} are the first and last zone in the “ θ ” direction, respectively. N_θ is the number of computational zones in the “ θ ” direction. We can determine either the number of points in the radial direction or the endpoint as follows: $N_r = (\theta_{\text{end}} - \theta_{\text{beg}})/\Delta\theta$, where $\theta_{\text{end}} - \theta_{\text{beg}} = 0.9\pi$ in our simulation and the subscript “1” represents the first cell. N_r is the number of computational zones in the radial direction “R.”

For our inner boundary, we employ an outflow condition (without inflow, i.e., we ensure that all infalling matter achieves either zero or negative radial velocity but not positive velocity, which means that no inflow occurs from ghost cells to physical cells) while preserving angular momentum, effectively replicating the role of the region within the innermost stable radius. In the wind region, we enforce an outflow condition. Meanwhile, for the accretion region, we impose an inflow condition (with no outflow), using velocities determined by the governing Equation (10) and setting a dimensionless density $\rho_{\text{in}} = 1$. The demarcation between the wind and accretion regions is ascertained using the hydrostatic equilibrium Equation (8), which is represented by the green injection line in Figure 2. In our simulation setup, parameters for accretion flow are provided in the region with thickness above and below the equatorial plane given by Equation (8), and in the rest of the region, we impose that there is only outflow and no inflow of matter.

3. Numerical Results

Based on the above initial and boundary conditions, we conduct 2D simulations of the low angular momentum advective flow. The initial values, such as velocity and pressure, are derived from the governing Equation (10) and the hydrostatic equilibrium Equation (8). The model parameters and the initial variables at the outer boundary are detailed in Table 1. The mass fluxes of the accretion and wind, represented by $\dot{M} = \iint \rho v_r dA$, are measured across the boundaries, as illustrated in Figure 2. Figure 3 presents the evolution of the density profile across different specific angular momentum values λ_0 , namely 1.50, 1.70, 1.75, 1.85, 1.95, and 2.00.

This equilibrium height closely approximates 0.28, with further details provided

in Figure 1 and Table 1. For lower angular momenta, such as $\lambda_0 \lesssim 1.70$, the accretion process remains highly stable, even with a standing shock and oblique shocks near the inner boundary (see Figure 4). As the specific angular momentum increases, leading to the emergence of shocks, the symmetry is initially compromised, followed by the formation of vortices within the accretion disk. This results in more substantial accumulation of matter. Interestingly, accretion disks with very large angular momenta, despite having more robust disk winds, manifest a relatively stable state when compared to their lower angular momentum counterparts. Additionally, for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$, the robust disk wind disrupts the envelope of the accretion flow, ultimately forming a unidirectional vortex structure. Furthermore, when $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 2.00$, the vortex structure becomes dynamically unstable, and the powerful disk wind causes the size of the vortex region to continuously increase over time.

For insight into the accretion rate and the magnitude of the outflow, mainly due to the disk wind across the specified cases, readers can refer to Figure 5. The initial stages of the simulation witness a sharp escalation in the mass flux, attributable to the inflow first approaching the inner boundary. The dynamic stabilization time increases as λ_0 increases (see Figure 7). Intriguingly, as λ_0 amplifies, the accretion rate demonstrates a declining trajectory, contrasting with the substantial ascent in outflow. Particularly, for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$, oscillations of varied amplitudes mark both the accretion and outflow rates, signifying the presence of shocks. Shocks are detected for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$, with varying intensity in the range $1.75 \lesssim \lambda_0 \lesssim 1.80$. Cases with $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.85$ show a tendency toward stable behavior, while for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 2.00$, although oscillatory behavior is observed, it tends to move outward, even extending beyond the detection region.

In the simulations, we observed different behavior of the shock positions and mass accretion rates. Specifically, after a lag time during declining accretion rates, the shock positions move away from the black hole. This phenomenon is also supported by other numerical simulations [?, ?]. Moreover, these studies delve into the time intervals between changes in accretion rates and shock positions, attributing them to the propagation of acoustic waves. One possible explanation is that mass accretion rates are calculated near the inner boundary close to the black hole, while shock positions are typically tens of R_g away from the inner boundary. This suggests that acoustic information does not synchronize between these regions. Observations of Sgr A* have also reported several hours of time lag between X-ray emissions and emissions from other regions in the accretion disk, such as submillimeter and IR bands [?, ?, ?].

With smaller angular momentum values (specifically, $\lambda_0 \lesssim 1.7$), the accretion rate \dot{M}_{acc} is predominantly higher. While there are discernible weak oscillations, given the absence of shocks in these cases, such oscillations are surmised to arise from the counter-effects of disk wind. For cases of moderate angular momentum (i.e., $\lambda_0 = 1.75$ and 1.80), the amplitude of the \dot{M}_{out} mirrors that of the \dot{M}_{acc} . Nonetheless, considering the consistent oscillation of the \dot{M}_{out} around zero, the dominant narrative remains that material is predominantly channeled into the

black hole. In contrast, for higher angular momentum values (specifically, $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.85$), \dot{M}_{out} substantially exceeds \dot{M}_{acc} . This delineates a scenario where the bulk of the material does not fall into the black hole and is predominantly propelled outward as disk wind, particularly for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.90$.

We consider here bremsstrahlung emission as an important radiation mechanism if the gas is optically thin. The luminosity is defined as [?]:

$$L = \int \rho^2 T^{1/2} g_{\text{ff}} dV,$$

where ρ is the gas density, T is the temperature, and g_{ff} is the frequency-averaged Gaunt factor with numerical value in the range of 1.1–1.5. We plotted the bremsstrahlung distribution diagram in Figure 6 at 90,000 t_0 . It is evident that regions with higher density contribute significantly to the bremsstrahlung emission rate per unit volume, even showcasing a similar profile. As such, the shock-dominated evolution model can directly influence the distribution of bremsstrahlung radiation.

Figure 3 illustrates the time evolution of 2D density profiles for various angular momentum values λ_0 , namely 1.50, 1.70, 1.75, 1.85, 1.95, and 2.00, where the evolutionary times are given at 10,000, 30,000, 50,000, 70,000, and 90,000 t_0 . For $\lambda_0 = 1.75$ and 1.85, we adopted a larger cut in the funnel region to circumvent numerical errors associated with $v > c$. Generally, a more pronounced disk wind is particularly noticeable for $\lambda_0 = 1.75$, where the envelope gets disrupted by this wind.

We examine the light curves derived from Equation (13) for various values of specific angular momentum, namely $\lambda_0 = 1.70, 1.75, 1.80, 1.85, 1.90, 1.95$, and 2.00, as depicted in Figure 7. Since low-frequency oscillation peaks are more susceptible to noise, one oscillation peak may be decomposed into multiple peaks in the same area. To restore the original state of the oscillation peak as much as possible, we considered three sources of radiation in the fitting: Lorentzian, power-law, and Gaussian. The Lorentzian spectrum is usually related to radiation processes under relativistic effects; power-law spectrum is usually related to non-thermal radiation processes in the accretion disk, primarily connected to magnetic fields; and Gaussian spectrum is mainly related to certain spectral lines. As there are no magnetic fields in the simulations, the spectrum is mainly contributed by the Lorentzian and Gaussian components, which are the main evaluation items of the fitting results. In addition, for all fitting results plotted in Figure 7, we found χ^2 to be close to 1, especially in the low-frequency range (except that the case with $\lambda_0 = 1.70$ has strong oscillation peaks in the high-frequency band), which corresponds to the frequency range of LFQPOs. Pronounced luminosity oscillations around a few Hz are discernible for $\lambda_0 = 1.70, 1.75$, and 1.80. It is essential to realize that the oscillations at $\lambda_0 = 1.70$ are not directly a consequence of unstable shocks within the accretion region, and there are even a standing shock and an oblique shock near the

inner boundary (see Figure 4). Rather, they are primarily caused by intermittent shocks generated by the rapid collision of outflowing disk winds and the infalling flow within the funnel region and outside the equipotential surface. For $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.85$, the high angular momentum causes matter to continuously accumulate within the accretion flow, leading to a relatively stable shock (refer to Figure 5), which may be the reason why QPOs cannot be detected in these cases.

In an effort to investigate the relationship between luminosity and shock position, we examined the oscillation profile of the shock position for λ_0 values of 1.75, 1.80, and 1.85 (as depicted in Figure 8). Notably, $\lambda_0 \lesssim 1.80$ exhibits frequencies and relative amplitudes that align with the findings for $\lambda_0 = 1.85$ while intriguingly, oscillations of the shock position were detected, no distinct peak was observed in the power spectrum (Figure 7). This observation might explain why pronounced oscillations are evident. Interestingly, [?, ?] simulated the thermal accretion flow near neutron stars and reported similar oscillatory luminosity behavior. However, their analysis was limited to the shock range, revealing a consistent, high-frequency luminosity oscillation within that domain, hinting at HFQPOs ranging from hundreds to a thousand Hz. This observation might serve as an indicator for the potential discovery of HFQPOs in our system. In conclusion, our model provides a basis for understanding the LFQPO contribution from oscillatory shocks in the 0.1–30 Hz range for BHXRBs.

4. Discussion

In Section 3, we presented the simulation results for the parameters listed in Table 1. In this section, for a more in-depth investigation into the stability of shocks and accretion flow, we delve into further discussions. In Figure 7, it can be seen that the luminosity of some cases exhibits burst behavior at certain moments, and in the long run, this burst seems to have some quasi-periodicity. Since there is no outburst phenomenon when shocks do not appear, we believe that this may be related to the activity mechanism of the accretion flow when shocks exist.

Among them, Figure 7 also shows that there is a weak shock, but there is no rapid variation of luminosity at $\lambda_0 = 1.70$. In contrast, $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$ shows different degrees of variations and has more obvious shock and significant convection. Our results in Section 4.1 show that multiple shocks can exist in the accretion flow and that convection may cause the merger of two shocks and a short-term rise in the accretion flow behavior. In Section 4.2, we further discuss the properties of accretion flow.

4.1. Transonic Points and Multiple Shocks

According to the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions, the pre-shock accretion flow must be supersonic (i.e., $\mathcal{M} > 1$), and the post-shock flow must be subsonic. Therefore, a reasonable transonic point with multiple sonic points must exist for

a shock to be present. In other words, the position of the shock should coincide with the location predicted by the theory of transonic flows. The theoretical model for transonic accretion flow suggests that the Rankine–Hugoniot condition for shock formation is satisfied for $\lambda_0 > 1.854$ (i.e., a physical sonic point). To discuss why shocks occur at angular momenta less than λ_u , we plotted the Mach number distribution (Figure 9).

For very low λ_0 , the accretion flow almost freely falls into the black hole. Everything undergoes a transition at $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$, at which point multiple sonic points begin to appear (manifested in the change from red to blue regions in the radial direction in the figure). As the study of transonic flows is based on one-dimensional models, and as shown in Figure 9, the distribution of sonic points in the plane is not confined to a specific radial direction. Therefore, we anticipate more occurrences of physically relevant sonic points in higher-dimensional scenarios. Although Figure 9 does not reflect the relative strength and stability of shocks, when combined with Figure 7, shocks appear more stable for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim \lambda_u$.

In the case of theoretical solutions, there is only one stable, physical shock along with multiple sonic points even when $\lambda_0 > 1.854$ [?]. According to the physical characteristics of shock, the flow parameters will undergo a jump between the pre-shock flow and post-shock flow. Mathematically, this will manifest as derivatives resembling Dirac delta functions, making the divergence distribution a good criterion for determining the existence of shocks.

Figure 10 describes the divergence distribution in the radial direction ($\nabla_R \cdot S_R$) and mean Mach number (\mathcal{M})–density (ρ)–pressure (P) diagram (quantities averaged over θ direction) at $77,200 t_0$ for $\lambda_0 = 1.80$. The shocks are detected around 50 and $125 R_g$. Furthermore, in the bottom plane of Figure 10, although $\mathcal{M} < 1$ only appears once at $R \sim 50 R_g$, due to the average count and the range of R extending as far as $20 R_g$, combined with the $\nabla_R \cdot S_R$ graph, it can be potentially predicted that there is more than one shock from 30 to $50 R_g$.

Simulations and discussions by [?] reported an inner shock called the expanding shock, which contributes to the outflow process and affects the outer shock with opposite evolutionary behavior. The behavior of multiple shock waves is closely related to the local properties of the accretion flow. Figure 11 shows that under the joint action of convective and advective accretion flows, two shocks in the case of $\lambda_0 = 1.80$ merge, which exactly corresponds to the $t \approx 7.6$ s burst of luminosity. When the expanding shock disappears, accretion flow material will smoothly enter the black hole, resulting in a temporary increase in the accretion rate until a new expanding shock is born. This mechanism also makes the inner shocks more unstable. In other words, although multiple shocks can be confirmed to exist in simulations, accurate tracking and positioning still face greater challenges.

4.2. Advection and Convection

Looking at the density contours shown in Figure 3, it is apparent that even for very small λ_0 , as matter flows from the outer boundary toward the inner boundary, the decreasing density of accretion flow with radius exhibits an approximate power-law behavior with an exponent α_ρ . In this section, we will explore the accretion flow dynamics depicted in Figure 9 by discussing the spectral index α_ρ . Observational data for Sgr A* and M87* suggest that $\alpha_\rho \sim -1$ [?, ?]. Convection-dominated accretion flow (CDAF) models [?, ?] posit that strong convective motion dominates the accretion process. In such flows, radiation is inefficient at carrying away the heat generated by accretion. Instead, convective motion becomes the primary mechanism for energy transfer, resulting in $\alpha_\rho \sim -0.5$. In contrast, ADAF models [?] propose that energy transfer is dominated by advective motion, leading to $\alpha_\rho \sim -1.5$.

Figure 12 displays the comparison of dimensionless average density and average accretion rate for $\lambda_0 = 1.70, 1.75, 1.80, 1.85, 1.90$, and 2.00 . It is essential to note that the two dashed lines (CDAF and ADAF) correspond to the average accretion rate with v being the Keplerian velocity $v_K \sim R^{-1/2}$. Both α_ρ and \dot{M}_a exhibit a similar, or even steeper, decline rate (i.e., $\alpha \lesssim -1.5$) compared to ADAFs, which is expected as ADAFs have larger angular momentum compared to the low angular momentum advective flows studied in this work. The discussion focuses on α_ρ due to occasional distortions in \dot{M}_a caused by logarithmic operations, leading to abrupt declines in accretion rates. By examining the region near the inner boundary ($R \lesssim 10R_g$), all cases show a decline rate close to that of CDAF. Combining this with Figure 3, it becomes evident that not all matter can penetrate the inner boundary and enter the black hole region. Additionally, not all matter forms a disk wind before reaching the inner boundary. This implies that a portion of the disk wind is contributed by convection near the inner boundary. This region exhibits convection-dominated motion until encountering a critical radius R_c with a density-increasing peak. In most cases, the location of R_c increases with growing λ_0 , possibly marking the extent of the dense region near the inner boundary. Subsequently, the flow transitions into a stage dominated by advective motion. However, for very large angular momentum ($\lambda \gtrsim 2.00$), the decline rate in the range $50R_g \lesssim R \lesssim 180R_g$ reduces again, entering the range $-1.0 \lesssim \alpha_\rho \lesssim -0.5$. This is also evident in Figure 9, where a large amount of material accumulates in a massive vortex within the accretion disk. This suggests that convective motion becomes dominant again in this region. Here, due to the substantial material accumulation in the vortex, α_ρ becomes very large for $R \gtrsim 180R_g$, approaching a vertical line in Figure 12.

5. Summary

We conducted a series of simulations (refer to Table 1 for details) using the PLUTO code [?] based on the theory of Chakrabarti's transonic accretion flows [?, e.g.,]Chakrabarti1989, Chakrabarti1990, ChakrabartiMolteni1993 and the

previous numerical works by [?].

Compared to the work of [?], we employ spherical coordinates and focus on the first and fourth quadrants. Additionally, we determine the height of the injected flow (H) according to vertical equilibrium condition (Equation (8)). According to our methodology, even with the parameter settings from [?], this height should be approximately 15% of radial distance, not their fixed value of 10%. Using our transonic solutions (Equation (9)) and assuming an injected stream with a Mach number of 5, the resulting shock positions are in the range $10 - 100R_g$. This implies that our injection flow height (H) is around 28% (see Table 1 and Figure 1). We also utilize a higher resolution and grid distribution (see Section 2 and Equations (11) and (12)).

We began by analyzing the direct measurements of the density profile and mass flux. We found that when there are no shocks in the system, the mass flux is very stable (e.g., when $\lambda_0 = 1.50$). However, when shocks appear, both the accretion rate (\dot{M}_{acc}) and outflow rate (\dot{M}_{out}) show fluctuations. Moreover, as the specific angular momentum (λ_0) increases, the system transitions from accretion-dominated to outflow-dominated. When $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.85$, it becomes challenging for matter to fall into the black hole (see Figure 5). Some theoretical solutions [?, e.g.,]Chakrabarti1989, Chakrabarti1990, ChakrabartiMolteni1993 and previous simulations by [?] reported that matter struggles to fall into the black hole only when $\lambda_0 > 2.00$. In comparison, our results are somewhat different, even though we have not considered other physical mechanisms affecting the accretion flow, such as radiative pressure and viscosity. In addition, we discovered shocks within the accretion flow at $\lambda_0 = 1.75$, whereas these theoretical solutions suggest that shocks are hard to sustain when $\lambda_0 < 1.782$. This finding also contrasts with the results of [?], who did not report shocks and their oscillations for $\lambda_0 = 1.75$ in their simulations. We speculate that this discrepancy might arise from the introduction of new sonic points when using a simulation domain spanning the first and fourth quadrants, leading to the emergence of these shocks (even if they are unstable).

We further discuss the variation of shock positions and found that those shocks are not stable. For smaller values of λ_0 (such as 1.75), the shock oscillates around a fixed position. However, for larger values of λ_0 (such as 1.9), the oscillation is more distant from the central black hole. Given our focus on adiabatic inflow, a plausible explanation could be the advective-acoustic cycle process [?, e.g.,]Bodenheimeretal2007, Schecketal2008. In this process, oppositely directed sound waves propagating outwards from the shock region reflect and amplify at specific locations (like boundaries, dense areas, etc.) and reconverge at the shock front. When reconverging, unequal amplitudes (i.e., misalignment in their energies) can cause a displacement in the shock position. In this study, while we have not delved deeply into the direct implications of the advective-acoustic cycle, we have explored the relationship between shock position variations and accretion rate (see Figure 5). We observed that the evolution of the shock and accretion rate presents contrasting behavior, particularly pronounced for higher

λ_0 values (like 1.9). We also noted that their variations do not occur simultaneously but maintain a time lag. This is due to the region calculating the accretion rate being at the inner boundary, with the shock being several tens to $170 R_g$ away. The sound waves require time to propagate from the shock surface to the inner boundary, which precisely corresponds to this lag value.

The position of the shock typically coincides with the dense region of the accretion disk, implying a significant contribution to bremsstrahlung radiation at this location (refer to Figure 6). Intriguingly, oscillations in luminosity were observed even at $\lambda_0 = 1.70$. It is essential to understand that these oscillations predominantly stem from the intermittent shocks produced by collisions between the inflows and outflows in the funnel region, rather than from shocks inherent within the accretion disk itself (refer to Figure 4). However, as λ_0 increased to values like 1.75 and 1.80, oscillatory behaviors in luminosity became evident once the system reached equilibrium. Therefore, we reported luminosity oscillations with a few Hz in those cases. No discernible luminosity oscillations were found for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.85$. In these cases, the existence of multiple shocks and luminosity bursts was noted (see Figures 11 and 10 for example).

Section 4.1 provides a detailed discussion on the occurrence of multiple shocks, and we believe the combination of multiple shocks can cause the luminosity outbursts. Simulations by [?] also reported multiple shocks, finding that the inner shocks showed an opposing evolutionary trend to the outer ones; as the inner shock moved inwards, the outer one propagated outwards.

In the cases of $\lambda_0 = 1.90$ and 1.95 , the Mach number drops rapidly after passing a strip structure that is nearly perpendicular to the equatorial plane (near $R \sim 18R_g$, see Figure 9), which means that the infall of matter will be blocked here. This is the result of a strong, stable shock nearly perpendicular to the equatorial plane. The strip structure in the Mach number map will be destroyed with $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 2.00$ because the larger angular momentum makes it more difficult for matter to fall and easier to form a disk wind.

In [?], it was suggested that Type C low-frequency QPOs (LFQPOs), characterized by a centroid frequency $\lesssim 30$ Hz, are likely induced by accretion rate fluctuations, as they are commonly observed alongside broad-band, flat-topped noise [?, ?]. In Figures 7 and 8, we further confirmed this by observing similar variations in accretion rate \dot{M}_{acc} and the light curves, particularly pronounced at $\lambda_0 = 1.75$.

[?] and [?] proposed a shock oscillation model employing a two-component accretion flow with varying accretion rates, leading to discontinuities at these shock fronts. Further research indicates that oscillations at the shock fronts give rise to QPOs in the X-ray flux, with the oscillation frequency inversely proportional to the infall time [?, see] Molteni et al 1996, Chakrabarti et al 2008, which can be approximated as:

$$\nu_{\text{QPO}} \approx \nu_{s0} \frac{(\mathcal{R} - 1)}{\mathcal{R}} \frac{c}{R_s},$$

where ν_{QPO} represents the QPO central frequency, $\nu_{s0} = c/R_g$ is the inverse of the light-crossing time of the black hole, c is the speed of light, R_g is the Schwarzschild radius, \mathcal{R} is the compression ratio, and R_s is the average shock location (in units of R_g). Taking $\lambda_0 = 1.75$ as an example (see Figure 3), we have $R_s \sim 65$ and $\mathcal{R} \sim 4$, which yields $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 3.91\text{--}6.52$ Hz. This is in agreement with the oscillation peaks found in Figure 8. This low-frequency oscillation is accompanied by the accretion disk wind's direction changes, as illustrated in Figure 3. During these changes, the accretion rate becomes higher, which is represented by a redder appearance in the figure. These moments manifest as occasional bursts (see Figures 7 and 8). In contrast, for cases with more stable accretion flows with either higher or lower specific angular momentum, such bursts are not as prominent, as there is no change in the accretion disk's direction in these cases.

In addition, $\lambda_0 = 1.70$ and 1.75 also show oscillation peaks in the high-frequency band. One possible mechanism involves acoustic resonances due to pressure and accretion rate interactions [?, see]Section 5.6 and references therein]IngramMotta2019 and classical models related to oscillations, e.g., relativistic resonance models [?] and MHD models [?, e.g.,]ShiLi2010, Shietal2014, Shietal2018. In such cases, $\nu \sim c_s/(2\pi r_{\text{tl}})$, where r_{tl} denotes the truncated radius. In our scenario, this results in frequencies ranging from several tens to several hundreds of Hz, precisely matching the less distinct high-frequency peaks observed in the power spectrum.

In summary, from simulations of adiabatic low angular momentum advective flow, we observed shocks for $\lambda_0 \gtrsim 1.75$ and significant luminosity oscillations within the range of $1.70 \lesssim \lambda_0 \lesssim 1.80$. It is worth noting that our findings slightly differ from those of [?] since they did not detect shocks at $\lambda_0 \lesssim 1.75$ and theoretical prediction. This discrepancy might arise from considering the fourth quadrant in 2D simulations, where we speculate that more transonic sonic points could exist. Considering known observations, we identified that oscillations in the range of several to several tens of Hz could be attributed to shock oscillations and lower-frequency (0.1–1 Hz) luminosity oscillations and outbursts caused by changes in the disk wind direction. Several sources, such as GX 339-4 and XTE J1859+226, have reported QPOs at several Hz in multiple observations [?]. It is intriguing to note that GX 339-4 exhibited an outburst in 2021, coinciding with its observed QPOs [?].

Our dimensionless calculations allow us to extend the applicability of our model to Sgr A. *The resulting frequency range is 10^{-6} – 10^{-5} Hz, which agrees well with some observational data concerning Sgr A* [?, e.g.,]Degenaaretal2013, Neilsenetal2013, Neilsenetal2014, Pontietal2015. However, it is important to note that our model is simplified and does not account for magnetic fields or full general

relativistic treatment. Recent simulations by [?, ?] have shown that magnetic fields can induce shocks at lower λ_0 values, and the results of [?] further support this scenario.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant No. 12073021). We would like to thank Prof. Toru Okuda for helpful discussions regarding this work. The numerical simulations were conducted on the Yunnan University Astronomy Supercomputer (YUNAS) and analyzed by the open-source tool ShockFinder (see <https://www.github.com/wacmkxiaoyi/shockfinder>).

Appendix

Simulation Run with $\gamma = 5/3$

We also ran the simulation case $\lambda_0 = 1.75$ with $\gamma = 5/3$, and we found that the shock evolution is similar to that of $\gamma = 4/3$. The shock oscillates around the equatorial plane, even though the high-density region is not limited to the vicinity of the black hole for $\gamma = 5/3$, because the higher adiabatic index makes the gas require higher pressure to compress (i.e., pV^γ is constant). Therefore, our simulation results are also relevant to different γ (Figure A1).

References

[References remain exactly as in the original text]

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv — Machine translation. Verify with original.