

## On the Relationship Between Constant Product (Sum) of Slopes and Fixed-Point Chords in Conic Sections

**Authors:** Zhang Guokun

**Date:** 2024-11-01T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

**Objective:** To investigate the relationship between the product (or sum) of slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  being constant and whether line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point, where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $P$  are three distinct points on a conic section  $C$ . **Methods:** Through strategic assumption without direct computation, appropriate transformations, and slope homogenization to simplify calculations, we explore and discover underlying relationships. **Conclusion:** Under specific conditions, the sum (or product) of slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  being constant is equivalent to line  $AB$  passing through a fixed point, which enables the formulation of several specific problems.

### Full Text

## An Investigation into the Relationship Between Constant Product/Sum of Slopes and Fixed-Point Chords in Conic Sections

### Guokun Zhang

1. Qujing No.1 High School, Yunnan Province, 655000

2. Yunnan Normal University, 650504

*Corresponding author: Guokun Zhang, jiaokeshi3100056@126.com*

### Abstract

**Objective:** Let  $P$ ,  $A$ , and  $B$  be three distinct points on a conic section  $C$ . This paper investigates the relationship between the product (or sum) of the slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  being constant and whether line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point. **Methods:** The approach employs a “set without solving” strategy, appropriate transformations, and slope homogenization to simplify calculations

and enable discovery. **Conclusions:** Under specific conditions, the sum (or product) of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  being constant is equivalent to line  $AB$  passing through a fixed point. Based on this finding, several concrete problems can be formulated.

**Keywords:** sum of slopes; product of slopes; constant value; passing through; fixed point

**Classification:** O123.5

---

## 1. Central Conic Sections (Circle, Ellipse, Hyperbola)

### 1.1 Problem Statement

Let  $P(x_0, y_0)$  be a fixed point on a central conic section  $C$  (circle, ellipse, or hyperbola), and let  $A$  and  $B$  be two other points on  $C$  distinct from  $P$ . If the sum (or product) of the slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  is constant, does line  $AB$  pass through a fixed point? Conversely, if line  $AB$  passes through a certain fixed point, is the product (or sum) of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equal to a constant?

### 1.2 Analysis and Derivation

Let  $A(x_1, y_1)$  and  $B(x_2, y_2)$  be points on  $C$ , and assume the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  exist, denoted as  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Through substitution and transformation, we can establish a unified framework. The equation of the conic section  $C$  can be expressed in a form that facilitates homogenization. Since  $P(x_0, y_0)$  lies on  $C$ , we can rewrite the equation of  $C$  accordingly.

Let the equation of line  $AB$  be  $mx + ny = 1$  (which does not pass through point  $P$ ). Substituting this into the conic equation yields a homogeneous quadratic equation. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are the intersection points of line  $AB$  with conic  $C$ , the slopes  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  are precisely the two real roots of this quadratic equation. By Vieta's theorem, we obtain relationships between the sum and product of the slopes and the coefficients of the equation.

When the sum of slopes  $k_1 + k_2 = \lambda$  is constant, we derive the equation of line  $AB$ . Analysis of this equation reveals that: - If certain coefficient conditions are met, the system of equations has no solution, meaning line  $AB$  does not pass through a fixed point. - In special degenerate cases where the discriminant vanishes, line  $AB$  becomes tangent to  $C$  at  $P$ , which violates the problem conditions. - Under specific parameter relationships, line  $AB$  represents a vertical line  $x = x_0$ .

When the product of slopes  $k_1 k_2 = \mu$  is constant, similar analysis shows that the behavior depends on whether  $\mu$  equals particular values related to the conic's parameters. When  $\mu$  matches the slope of the tangent at  $P$ , line  $AB$  is parallel to this tangent and does not pass through a fixed point.

**Conclusion 1**

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a central conic section  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals zero, line  $AB$  is parallel to the tangent of  $C$  at  $P$  and does not pass through a fixed point.

**Conclusion 2**

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a central conic section  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a non-zero constant  $\lambda$ , line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point. The coordinates of this fixed point can be determined from the coefficients of the conic equation and the value of  $\lambda$ .

**Conclusion 3**

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a central conic section  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the product of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a non-zero constant  $\mu$ , the behavior of line  $AB$  depends on the relationship between  $\mu$  and the conic's parameters. Under specific conditions, line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point; otherwise, it does not.

**1.3 When Line AB Passes Through a Fixed Point, Is the Slope Sum/Product Constant?**

If line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$ , then as  $AB$  varies, certain parameters become variable. For the sum of slopes  $k_1 + k_2$  to remain constant, specific relationships must hold between the coordinates of  $M$  and the conic's parameters. This leads to the following conclusions:

**Conclusion 4**

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a central conic section  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , if line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$  and satisfies  $t = y_0$ , then the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a constant. If  $t \neq y_0$ , the sum is not constant.

**Conclusion 5**

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a central conic section  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , if line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$  and satisfies certain coordinate relationships, then the product of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a constant. Otherwise, the product is not constant.

## 2. Parabola

### 2.1 Problem Statement

Let  $P(x_0, y_0)$  be a fixed point on a parabola  $C$ , and let  $A$  and  $B$  be two other points on  $C$  distinct from  $P$ . If the product (or sum) of the slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  is constant, does line  $AB$  pass through a fixed point? Conversely, if line  $AB$  passes through a certain fixed point, is the product (or sum) of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equal to a constant?

### 2.2 Analysis and Derivation

Let  $A(x_1, y_1)$  and  $B(x_2, y_2)$  be points on the parabola  $C$ , and assume the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  exist. Through substitution and transformation, we can establish a unified equation for lines  $PA$  and  $PB$ . The parabola's equation can be rewritten in a form that facilitates analysis, using the fact that  $P(x_0, y_0)$  lies on  $C$ .

Let the equation of line  $AB$  be  $mx + ny = 1$  (not passing through  $P$ ). Substituting into the parabola equation yields a quadratic equation in terms of the slopes. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are intersection points, the slopes  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the roots of this equation. By Vieta's theorem, we obtain relationships between the sum and product of the slopes and the line parameters.

When the sum of slopes  $k_1 + k_2 = \lambda$  is constant: - If  $\lambda = 0$ , line  $AB$  is parallel to the tangent at  $P$  and does not pass through a fixed point. - If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point whose coordinates depend on  $\lambda$  and the parabola's parameters.

When the product of slopes  $k_1 k_2 = \mu$  is constant, line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point determined by  $\mu$  and the parabola's equation.

#### Conclusion 6

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a parabola  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals zero, line  $AB$  is parallel to the tangent of  $C$  at  $P$  and does not pass through a fixed point.

#### Conclusion 7

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a parabola  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a non-zero constant  $\lambda$ , line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point. The coordinates of this fixed point can be expressed in terms of  $\lambda$  and the parameters of the parabola.

#### Conclusion 8

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a parabola  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , when the product of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a non-zero constant  $\mu$ ,

line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point.

### 2.3 When Line $AB$ Passes Through a Fixed Point, Is the Slope Sum/Product Constant?

If line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$ , then for the sum of slopes  $k_1 + k_2$  to be constant, the coordinates of  $M$  must satisfy specific relationships derived from the parabola's equation. This leads to the following conclusions:

#### Conclusion 9

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a parabola  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , if line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$  and satisfies  $t = y_0$ , then the sum of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a constant. If  $t \neq y_0$ , the sum is not constant.

#### Conclusion 10

Given a fixed point  $P(x_0, y_0)$  on a parabola  $C$  and moving points  $A, B$  on  $C$ , if line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $M(s, t)$  and satisfies  $t = -y_0$ , then the product of the slopes of  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals a constant. If this condition is not met, the product is not constant.

---

## 3. Illustrative Examples

**Example 1.** Given point  $P(1, 2)$  and moving points  $A, B$  on the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = 5$  that do not coincide with  $P$ , if the sum of the slopes of lines  $PA$  and  $PB$  equals  $-1$ , does line  $AB$  pass through a fixed point?

*Solution:* By Conclusion 2, line  $AB$  must pass through a fixed point. We can verify this through direct computation. Let the equation of line  $AB$  be  $y = kx + b$ . Substituting into the circle equation and using Vieta's theorem, we find that when the slope sum condition holds, line  $AB$  passes through the fixed point  $Q(5, 0)$ . The vertical line through  $Q$  does not intersect the circle at two distinct points, so the valid fixed point is  $Q(5, 0)$ .

**Example 2.** Given point  $D(0, -2)$  and moving points  $A, B$  on the hyperbola  $x^2 - y^2 = 2$ , if the sum of the slopes of lines  $DA$  and  $DB$  equals 2, does line  $AB$  pass through a fixed point?

*Solution:* Applying Conclusion 2, we deduce that line  $AB$  passes through a fixed point  $Q(-2, -4)$ . To verify, we consider two cases: when line  $AB$  has undefined slope (vertical) and when it has defined slope. For the latter, let  $AB$  be  $y = kx + m$ . Substituting into the hyperbola equation and applying the slope sum condition yields  $m = 2k - 4$ , showing that  $AB$  always passes through  $Q(-2, -4)$ . The vertical case does not satisfy the given conditions.

**Example 3.** A line  $l$  intersects parabola  $C$  at points  $A$  and  $B$ . Does there exist a fixed point  $D$  on  $C$  such that the sum of the slopes of lines  $AD$  and  $BD$  equals 2?

*Solution:* Since line  $l$  passes through a fixed point, Conclusion 9 indicates that such a point  $D$  exists. To find it, we parameterize the intersection and apply the slope sum condition. Solving the resulting system yields  $D(2, 2)$  as the unique point on the parabola where the slope sum condition holds for all lines  $l$  through the given fixed point.

**Example 4.** A line  $l$  passing through  $Q(0, 6)$  intersects ellipse  $C : \frac{x^2}{4} + y^2 = 1$  at points  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $D$  be a point on  $C$  such that the product of the slopes of lines  $DA$  and  $DB$  equals 1. Find the maximum area of triangle  $ABQ$ .

*Solution:* By Conclusion 3, the point  $D$  satisfying the slope product condition is  $D(0, 2)$ . To verify, let line  $l$  be  $y = kx + 6$ . Substituting into the ellipse equation and applying the slope product condition yields constraints that are satisfied precisely when  $D$  is  $(0, 2)$ . With  $D$  and  $Q$  both on the  $y$ -axis, the area of triangle  $ABQ$  can be expressed in terms of the intersection coordinates. Using the ellipse equation and Vieta's theorem, the maximum area is found to be  $\frac{8\sqrt{5}}{5}$ , achieved when the line  $l$  has an appropriate slope.

---

**Funding:** This work was supported by the 2021 Yunnan Provincial Education Science Planning Basic Education Project “Action Research on the Professional Development of High School Mathematics Teachers as ‘Teachers as Curriculum’” (Project No.: BFJC21029).

**Author Biography:** Guokun Zhang (born May 1967) is a senior-level teacher, special-grade teacher, master's supervisor, recipient of the Yunnan Provincial Government Special Allowance, and winner of the First Prize of the 12th Su Buqing Mathematics Education Award. His research focuses on high school mathematics education and pedagogy.

*Note:* Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

*Source:* ChinaXiv — Machine translation. Verify with original.