Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Turbulence Mechanics I

Authors: Qian Lei, Qian Lei

Date: 2024-05-09T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

The flows of fluids that must be dealt with in engineering applications and those encountered in nature are predominantly turbulent, requiring a statistical approach for their description. Readily described laminar flow is exceptionally uncommon. We contend that fluid mechanics cannot be confined to these rarely encountered special cases, and that while the classical description of individual laminar flows is undoubtedly important and valuable, it can serve only as an introductory chapter to a genuine theory of turbulence. In turbulence theory, the objective is to study the properties of ensembles of flows under macroscopically identical external conditions.

Full Text

Preamble

This work builds upon the foundational contributions of A.S. Monin and A.M. Yaglom, whose comprehensive treatise on statistical fluid mechanics established the modern framework for turbulence analysis. The theoretical framework also draws from John L. Lumley's pioneering work on turbulent flows, which provided critical insights into the structure and modeling of complex fluid motions. The distinction between laminar and turbulent flow regimes remains central to contemporary fluid mechanics research, with particular emphasis on the transition phenomena that govern the evolution from ordered to chaotic flow states.

The historical development of turbulence theory reflects a century of progressive refinement, from early experimental observations to sophisticated statistical theories. Key milestones include the formulation of stability criteria, the characterization of developed turbulence, and the establishment of universal scaling laws that describe energy cascade processes across multiple scales.

1.3 Velocity Profiles and Reynolds Number Dependencies

The analysis of turbulent boundary layers requires careful consideration of the Reynolds number effects on mean velocity distributions. Experimental data demonstrate that the normalized velocity profile $u^+ = f(y^+)$ exhibits self-similar behavior in the inner region, while outer layer scaling introduces dependence on the Reynolds number based on momentum thickness, Re_θ. The friction velocity $u_\tau = \sqrt{\tau_w/\rho}$ serves as the fundamental scaling parameter for near-wall turbulence.

1.4 Wall Turbulence and Coles' Law of the Wake

Coles' law of the wake provides a unified description of the turbulent boundary layer velocity profile by superposing a wake function onto the logarithmic law. The composite profile takes the form:

$$u^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa}\ln(y^+) + B + \frac{\Pi}{\kappa}W\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)$$

where Π represents the wake strength parameter and W denotes the universal wake function. This formulation successfully captures the departure from logarithmic behavior in the outer region of the boundary layer.

1.5 Friction Coefficient Correlations

The skin friction coefficient C_f exhibits distinct Reynolds number dependencies across different flow regimes. For smooth-wall turbulent boundary layers, the empirical correlation:

$$C_f = 0.0592\, Re_x^{-1/5}$$

provides accurate predictions for $5 \times 10^5 < Re_x < 10^7$. At higher Reynolds numbers, the logarithmic form:

$$C_f = \left[2.0\log_{10}(Re_x) - 0.65\right]^{-2.3}$$

demonstrates improved agreement with experimental measurements.

1.6 Pressure Gradient Effects

Adverse pressure gradients significantly modify turbulent boundary layer development, affecting both the mean velocity profile and turbulence statistics. The dimensionless pressure gradient parameter:

$$\beta = \frac{\delta^*}{\tau_w}\frac{dp}{dx}$$

characterizes the relative importance of pressure forces versus shear stresses. For $\beta > 0$, the boundary layer exhibits increased susceptibility to separation, with turbulence production mechanisms fundamentally altered by the external flow deceleration.

1.7 Energy Spectra and Kolmogorov Scaling

In the inertial subrange of developed turbulence, the energy spectrum follows Kolmogorov's $-5/3$ law:

$$E(k) = C_1 \varepsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$$

where $C_1 \approx 1.5$ is the Kolmogorov constant, ε represents the energy dissipation rate, and k denotes the wavenumber. This universal scaling emerges when the Reynolds number is sufficiently high to establish a clear separation between energy-containing and dissipative scales.

2. Transition to Turbulence

2.1 Linear Stability Analysis

The transition from laminar to turbulent flow initiates through the amplification of infinitesimal disturbances. For plane Poiseuille flow, the Orr-Sommerfeld equation governs the stability characteristics:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \alpha^2\right]^2 \phi = i\alpha Re \left[(U - c)\left(\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \alpha^2\right) - U''\right] \phi$$

where ϕ represents the disturbance amplitude, α is the streamwise wavenumber, c is the phase speed, and Re is the Reynolds number. Critical conditions occur when the imaginary part of c becomes positive, indicating exponential growth of disturbances.

2.2 Critical Reynolds Numbers

The critical Reynolds number Re_{cr} marks the threshold beyond which infinitesimal disturbances amplify. For plane Couette flow, linear stability theory predicts asymptotic stability to infinitesimal disturbances at all Reynolds numbers, yet experiments demonstrate transition occurs at $Re \approx 350$ due to finite-amplitude effects and non-modal growth mechanisms.

2.3 Non-Modal Growth and Bypass Transition

Transient growth phenomena, arising from the non-normality of the linearized operator, enable substantial disturbance amplification even when all eigenmodes are stable. The maximum achievable energy growth scales as $G_{max} \sim Re^2$, providing a pathway for bypass transition that circumvents traditional linear instability routes.

2.4 Tollmien-Schlichting Waves

In boundary layers, Tollmien-Schlichting waves represent the primary linear instability mechanism. These viscous disturbances propagate with phase speeds $c \approx 0.3U_\infty$ and exhibit characteristic wavelengths $\lambda \approx 6\delta$. Their amplification leads to secondary instabilities and eventual breakdown to turbulence.

2.5 Secondary Instabilities and Breakdown

As primary disturbances reach finite amplitude, secondary instabilities develop through parametric resonance or three-dimensional deformation. The peak-valley splitting mechanism generates streamwise vortices that intensify local shear, precipitating rapid transition to turbulent spots.

2.6 Turbulent Spot Formation

Turbulent spots emerge as localized patches of turbulent flow within a laminar background. These structures propagate at speeds $0.5U_\infty < U_{spot} < 0.9U_\infty$ and grow laterally through entrainment of surrounding fluid, eventually coalescing to form fully turbulent flow.

2.7 Intermittency and Transitional Statistics

The intermittency factor $\gamma(x)$ quantifies the fraction of time the flow exhibits turbulent characteristics. Empirical correlations:

$$\gamma(x) = 1 - \exp\left[-5\left(\frac{x - x_{tr}}{x_{tr}}\right)^2\right]$$

describe the streamwise evolution from laminar to fully turbulent conditions, where x_{tr} denotes the transition onset location.

2.8 Effects of Free-Stream Turbulence

Elevated free-stream turbulence intensity Tu reduces the critical Reynolds number and promotes earlier transition. The empirical correlation:

$$Re_{\theta,tr} = 163 + e^{6.91 - Tu}$$

captures this effect for $0.5\% < Tu < 5\%$, reflecting enhanced disturbance receptivity.

2.9 Roughness-Induced Transition

Surface roughness elements trigger transition by generating localized disturbances. The critical roughness Reynolds number $Re_k = u_k k/\nu$ determines the threshold for bypass transition, with $Re_k \approx 600$ representing a conservative design limit for isolated roughness elements.

3. Statistical Theory of Turbulence

3.1 Correlation Functions and Scales

Two-point velocity correlations:

$$R_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle u_i(\mathbf{x}) u_j(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle$$

characterize the spatial structure of turbulent fluctuations. The integral length scale:

$$L = \frac{1}{\langle u'^2 \rangle} \int_0^\infty R_{11}(r)\, dr$$

represents the size of energy-containing eddies, while the Taylor microscale λ captures intermediate-scale structures.

3.2 Energy Spectra and Transfer

The energy balance equation in wavenumber space:

$$\frac{\partial E(k)}{\partial t} = T(k) - 2\nu k^2 E(k)$$

describes the transfer of energy $T(k)$ from large to small scales and its dissipation at high wavenumbers. The transfer term exhibits locality in wavenumber space, with dominant interactions occurring between neighboring scales.

3.3 Homogeneous Isotropic Turbulence

For idealized homogeneous isotropic turbulence, the velocity correlation tensor simplifies to:

$$R_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = u'^2 \left[f(r)\delta_{ij} + \frac{r_i r_j}{r^2}(g(r) - f(r)) \right]$$

where $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ are the longitudinal and transverse correlation functions, respectively. The Kármán-Howarth equation governs their evolution:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u'^2 f) = \frac{1}{r^4}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^4 u'^3 k) + 2\nu u'^2 \frac{1}{r^4}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^4 \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right)$$

with $k(r)$ representing the triple correlation term.

3.4 Kolmogorov's Universal Equilibrium Theory

Kolmogorov's 1941 theory postulates universal statistics for small-scale turbulence at high Reynolds numbers. The first similarity hypothesis states that locally isotropic turbulence is uniquely determined by ε and ν, leading to the length, time, and velocity scales:

$$\eta = (\nu^3/\varepsilon)^{1/4}, \quad \tau_\eta = (\nu/\varepsilon)^{1/2}, \quad v_\eta = (\nu\varepsilon)^{1/4}$$

The second similarity hypothesis extends universality to the inertial subrange, where statistics depend solely on ε, yielding the celebrated $-5/3$ spectrum and the structure function relation:

$$\langle \delta u_r^2 \rangle = C_2 (\varepsilon r)^{2/3}$$

for separations r within the inertial subrange $\eta \ll r \ll L$.

4. Wall-Bounded Turbulence

4.1 Inner Layer Scaling

In the viscous sublayer ($y^+ < 5$), the mean velocity profile follows the linear relation:

$$u^+ = y^+$$

where $y^+ = y u_\tau/\nu$ and $u^+ = \bar{u}/u_\tau$. The buffer region ($5 < y^+ < 30$) marks the transition to logarithmic behavior, characterized by enhanced turbulence production.

4.2 Logarithmic Law of the Wall

For $y^+ > 30$ and $y/\delta < 0.2$, the velocity profile obeys:

$$u^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa}\ln(y^+) + B$$

with von Kármán constant $\kappa \approx 0.41$ and intercept $B \approx 5.0$. This law reflects the balance between turbulence production and dissipation in the inner region.

4.3 Outer Layer Similarity

The defect law describes the outer region scaling:

$$\frac{U_\infty - \bar{u}}{u_\tau} = f_{wake}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)$$

where δ is the boundary layer thickness. The wake function f_{wake} captures the influence of large-scale eddies and pressure gradient effects.

4.4 Reynolds Stress Distributions

The turbulent shear stress distribution:

$$-\langle u'v' \rangle = u_\tau^2 \left(1 - \frac{y}{\delta}\right)$$

exhibits a near-linear decrease from the wall value, reflecting the diminishing importance of wall effects in the outer layer. The peak production occurs at $y^+ \approx 12$, coinciding with the buffer layer.

4.5 Energy Budget

The turbulent kinetic energy balance:

$$0 = P - \varepsilon + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\langle p'v' \rangle}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\langle v' u_i'^2 \rangle - \nu \frac{\partial k}{\partial y}\right)$$

integrates production P, dissipation ε, and transport terms across the boundary layer. Near the wall, viscous diffusion dominates, while turbulent transport becomes significant in the outer region.

4.6 Spectral Characteristics

Wall turbulence exhibits distinct spectral features, with inner-scaling prevailing at high wavenumbers and outer-scaling influencing low wavenumbers. The overlap region demonstrates k^{-1} scaling for the streamwise spectrum, reflecting the presence of attached eddies.

4.7 Coherent Structures

Coherent motions in wall turbulence include: - **Streaks**: Elongated low-speed regions with spacing $\lambda^+ \approx 100$ - **Quasi-streamwise vortices**: Inclined vortical structures generating Reynolds stresses - **Large-scale motions**: Structures extending across the entire boundary layer thickness

These features organize turbulence production and transport, with regeneration cycles maintaining the turbulent state.

5. Advanced Topics

5.1 Direct Numerical Simulation

Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) resolves all relevant scales of turbulence, requiring grid spacing $\Delta x \sim \eta$ and time steps $\Delta t \sim \tau_\eta$. The computational cost scales as Re^3, limiting DNS to moderate Reynolds numbers ($Re_\tau \lesssim 10^4$) for canonical flows.

5.2 Large Eddy Simulation

Large Eddy Simulation (LES) filters the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j) = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j^2} - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$$

where $\tau_{ij} = \overline{u_i u_j} - \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j$ represents the subgrid-scale stress requiring closure modeling.

5.3 Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes

The RANS equations govern the mean flow:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j^2} - \frac{\partial \langle u_i' u_j' \rangle}{\partial x_j}$$

Closure requires modeling the Reynolds stress tensor $\langle u_i' u_j' \rangle$, with approaches ranging from eddy viscosity models to full Reynolds stress transport equations.

5.4 Turbulence Modeling

The k-ε model employs:

$$\nu_t = C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, \quad \frac{Dk}{Dt} = \mathcal{P} - \varepsilon + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_k}\frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

$$\frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = C_{\varepsilon 1}\frac{\varepsilon}{k}\mathcal{P} - C_{\varepsilon 2}\frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

with standard constants $C_\mu = 0.09$, $C_{\varepsilon 1} = 1.44$, $C_{\varepsilon 2} = 1.92$.

5.5 Compressibility Effects

Compressible turbulence introduces additional mechanisms: - **Dilatational dissipation**: $\varepsilon_d = \frac{4}{3}\nu\langle(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u})^2\rangle$ - **Pressure-dilatation correlation**: $\langle p'\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}'\rangle$ - **Shock-turbulence interaction**: Amplification of vorticity and kinetic energy across shocks

The turbulent Mach number $M_t = \sqrt{\langle u_i' u_i'\rangle}/a$ characterizes compressibility effects, with significant modifications to turbulence structure emerging for $M_t > 0.3$.

5.6 Passive Scalar Transport

The transport equation for a passive scalar θ:

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} + u_j\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_j} = \alpha\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x_j^2}$$

exhibits analogies to momentum transport, with scalar flux $\langle u_i'\theta'\rangle$ requiring closure. The Schmidt number $Sc = \nu/\alpha$ governs the relative diffusion rates, affecting scalar mixing characteristics.

5.7 Polymer and Surfactant Effects

Polymer additives modify turbulence through viscoelastic stresses:

$$\tau_{ij}^{(p)} + \lambda\frac{\nabla}{\tau_{ij}^{(p)}} = 2\mu_p S_{ij}$$

where λ is the relaxation time and μ_p the polymer viscosity. This leads to drag reduction through suppression of small-scale turbulence and modification of coherent structures.

[Figure 1: see original paper] Schematic of turbulent boundary layer structure showing inner, overlap, and outer regions with representative velocity profiles and length scales.

Summary of critical Reynolds numbers for transition in various flow configurations.

[Figure 2: see original paper] Energy spectrum in developed turbulence illustrating the energy-containing, inertial, and dissipation ranges with Kolmogorov scaling laws.

Comparison of turbulence model performance for canonical wall-bounded flows at different Reynolds numbers.

1.3 Boundary Layer Theory

The governing equations for viscous flow near a solid boundary can be derived through systematic scaling analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations. Consider a steady, incompressible flow with characteristic velocity U and length scale L in the streamwise direction. Within the boundary layer of thickness δ, the velocity varies from zero at the wall to the free-stream value, yielding a transverse gradient of order U/δ.

Through order-of-magnitude analysis, the streamwise momentum equation reduces to the boundary layer approximation, where the convective terms $u\partial u/\partial x$ scale as U^2/L and the dominant viscous term $\partial^2 u/\partial z^2$ scales as $\nu U/\delta^2$. Balancing these effects yields the fundamental scaling relation:

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \sim \nu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{U^2}{L} \sim \frac{\nu U}{\delta^2}$$

This immediately gives the boundary layer thickness scaling $\delta \sim \sqrt{\nu L/U}$, which can be expressed in terms of the Reynolds number as $\delta/L \sim \mathrm{Re}^{-1/2}$.

The wall shear stress τ_w follows from the velocity gradient at the surface:

$$\tau_w = \mu \left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0} \sim \mu\frac{U}{\delta}$$

Substituting the scaling for δ yields $\tau_w \sim \rho U^2 \mathrm{Re}^{-1/2}$. The dimensionless skin friction coefficient is therefore $C_f \sim \mathrm{Re}^{-1/2}$.

For a flat plate of length L and width B, the total friction drag integrates the wall shear stress:

$$F_f \sim \tau_w LB \sim \rho U^2 LB\,\mathrm{Re}^{-1/2}$$

This establishes the Reynolds number dependence of viscous drag, where $\mathrm{Re} = \rho UL/\mu$.

The velocity profile within the boundary layer exhibits self-similar behavior when expressed in terms of the similarity variable $\eta = z/\delta(x)$. Experimental measurements confirm that the dimensionless velocity u/U collapses to a universal curve when plotted against η, validating the theoretical scaling. Historical developments by Hansen (1928) and Burgers established these foundational results, with subsequent refinements by later researchers incorporating higher-order effects and pressure gradients.

1.3 Boundary Layer Theory (continued)

Van der Hegge Zijnen (1924) and M. Hansen (1928) conducted early experimental studies on boundary layer transition. J. Nikuradse (1942) later provided more detailed measurements, as summarized in Schlichting (1960). The local Reynolds number is defined as $Re_x = Ux/\nu$, where U is the free-stream velocity, x is the distance from the leading edge, and ν is the kinematic viscosity. Experimental results show that the critical Reynolds number for transition from laminar to turbulent flow in a flat-plate boundary layer occurs in the range $Re_x = 3 \times 10^5$ to 3×10^6, with a typical critical value of $Re_{x,cr} = 3.2 \times 10^5$.

The displacement thickness δ^* characterizes the outward displacement of the streamlines due to the boundary layer. It is defined as:

$$\delta^* = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{u(z)}{U}\right) dz$$

where $u(z)$ is the streamwise velocity profile. For a laminar boundary layer with the similarity solution $\phi(\eta)$ where $\eta = z\sqrt{U/(\nu x)}$, the displacement thickness becomes:

$$\delta^* = \sqrt{\frac{\nu x}{U}} \int_0^\infty [1 - \phi'(\eta)] d\eta = \lim_{\eta \to \infty} [\eta - \phi(\eta)] \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\nu x}{U}}$$

Numerical evaluation yields $\delta^* \approx 1.73\sqrt{\nu x/U}$. The momentum thickness δ^{**} represents the momentum deficit due to the boundary layer:

$$\delta^{**} = \int_0^\infty \frac{u}{U} \left(1 - \frac{u}{U}\right) dz$$

For the laminar boundary layer, this evaluates to:

$$\delta^{**} = \sqrt{\frac{\nu x}{U}} \int_0^\infty \phi'(1 - \phi') d\eta = 2\phi''(0)\sqrt{\frac{\nu x}{U}} = 0.664\sqrt{\frac{\nu x}{U}}$$

The ratio $\delta^{**}/\delta^* \approx 0.664/1.73 \approx 0.38$ characterizes the shape factor for a laminar flat-plate boundary layer.

1.6 Forced Convection

For forced convection problems, the energy equation must be solved simultaneously with the momentum equations. The Prandtl number $Pr = \nu/\chi$ emerges as a key dimensionless parameter, where χ is the thermal diffusivity. The Peclet number $Pe = Re \cdot Pr$ characterizes the relative importance of advection to thermal diffusion.

The temperature field can be expressed in similarity form:

$$\vartheta(x,z) = \vartheta_1 - (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_0)\Theta(\eta)$$

where $\Theta(\eta)$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation:

$$\Theta'' + Pr \cdot \phi\Theta' = 0; \quad \Theta(0) = 0, \Theta(\infty) = 1$$

The Nusselt number Nu characterizes the heat transfer rate:

$$Nu = \frac{q_w x}{k(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_0)} = -\Theta'(0)\sqrt{Re_x}$$

where q_w is the wall heat flux and k is the thermal conductivity. For $Pr = 1$, the thermal and velocity boundary layers have identical thickness, and $\Theta(\eta) = \phi'(\eta)$.

1.7 Thermal Boundary Layer Approximations

Pohlhausen (1921) provided solutions for the thermal boundary layer for various Prandtl numbers. The dimensionless temperature gradient at the wall $\Theta'(0)$ depends on Pr, with asymptotic behaviors:

- For $Pr \ll 1$: $\Theta'(0) \approx 0.564 Pr^{1/2}$
- For $Pr \gg 1$: $\Theta'(0) \approx 0.339 Pr^{1/3}$

These results are summarized in Goldstein (1938), Howarth (1953), Schlichting (1960), and Longwell (1966).

2. Hydrodynamic Stability and Turbulence

2.1 Linear Stability Theory

The stability of laminar flows is analyzed by decomposing the flow into a base state (U_i, P) and small perturbations (u_i', p'). The linearized perturbation equations are:

$$\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial t} + U_j \frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_j} + u_j' \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_i} + \nu \nabla^2 u_i'$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i'}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

For parallel flows where $U_i = U_i(x_3)$, we seek normal mode solutions of the form:

$$u_i'(x,t) = \hat{u}_i(x_3)e^{i(k_1x_1+k_2x_2-\omega t)}, \quad p'(x,t) = \hat{p}(x_3)e^{i(k_1x_1+k_2x_2-\omega t)}$$

This leads to the Orr-Sommerfeld equation for the amplitude functions. The complex frequency $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i$ determines stability: if $\omega_i > 0$ for any mode, the flow is unstable.

Three fundamental modes exist in compressible flow: 1. **Incompressible vorticity mode**: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, propagating with velocity U 2. **Entropy mode**: Associated with temperature fluctuations, decaying as $e^{-\chi k^2 t}$ 3. **Acoustic/potential mode**: Compressible disturbances propagating at speed a_0

The dispersion relation for small perturbations yields three eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \pm i a_0 k - \frac{\nu + (\gamma - 1)\chi}{2} k^2, \quad \lambda_3 = -\chi k^2$$

where γ is the specific heat ratio.

2.2 Transition to Turbulence

Experimental studies of pipe flow show a minimum critical Reynolds number $Re_{cr,min} \approx 2030$ based on diameter D and mean velocity U_m. However, the actual transition can occur at higher Reynolds numbers depending on disturbance levels:

- $Re_{cr} \approx 2800$ for typical laboratory conditions
- Re_{cr} can exceed 10^5 in carefully controlled experiments with low disturbance levels

The critical Reynolds number based on boundary layer thickness is $Re_{\delta,cr} \approx 5 \times 10^5$, which corresponds to $Re_{x,cr} \approx 3 \times 10^5$ for flat-plate flow.

2.3 Stability Analysis Methods

The complete linear stability problem requires solving the Orr-Sommerfeld equation:

$$(U - c)(\phi'' - k^2\phi) - U''\phi = \frac{1}{ikRe}(\phi'''' - 2k^2\phi'' + k^4\phi)$$

where ϕ is the streamfunction amplitude, $c = \omega/k$ is the phase speed, and Re is the Reynolds number. Numerical solutions (e.g., using spectral methods) yield neutral stability curves that define the boundary between stable and unstable regions in the (Re, k) plane.

For inviscid flow ($Re \to \infty$), Rayleigh's inflection point theorem states that a necessary condition for instability is the presence of an inflection point in the velocity profile $U''(y) = 0$.

2.4 Self-Excitation Mechanisms

When $Re > Re_{cr}$, perturbations can grow through self-excitation. Two types exist:

Hard self-excitation: The amplitude grows only if the initial disturbance exceeds a threshold. The energy balance is:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \sigma E - \beta E^2 + \text{higher order terms}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ for $Re > Re_{cr}$.

Soft self-excitation: Any infinitesimal disturbance grows exponentially. This occurs when the linear growth rate σ is positive.

2.5 Perturbation Expansion Methods

For small amplitudes, solutions can be expanded as:

$$u_i = U_i + \epsilon u_i^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 u_i^{(2)} + \cdots$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter. At $O(\epsilon)$, we recover the linear stability equations. At $O(\epsilon^2)$, nonlinear interactions generate harmonics and modify the mean flow.

2.6 Couette Flow Stability

For flow between rotating cylinders (Couette flow), the velocity profile is:

$$U_\phi(r) = Ar + \frac{B}{r}, \quad A = \frac{\Omega_2 R_2^2 - \Omega_1 R_1^2}{R_2^2 - R_1^2}, \quad B = \frac{(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2) R_1^2 R_2^2}{R_2^2 - R_1^2}$$

Rayleigh's stability criterion states that the flow is stable to inviscid disturbances if:

$$\frac{d}{dr}(rU_\phi)^2 > 0$$

everywhere in the flow. For the Taylor-Couette instability, the critical condition depends on the Taylor number:

$$Ta = \frac{4\Omega_1^2 R_1^4}{\nu^2} \frac{(1-\mu)(1-\mu/\eta^2)}{(1-\eta^2)^2}$$

where $\mu = \Omega_2/\Omega_1$ and $\eta = R_1/R_2$. The instability appears as toroidal vortices (Taylor vortices) when Ta exceeds a critical value.

1.3 Linear Stability Analysis (continued)

The stability condition requires $\Omega_1 > 0$ and $\Omega_2 > 0$, with $U_\phi > 0$. Synge (1933) established the fundamental framework for this analysis, later extended by Chandrasekhar (1960) and Shen (1964). The perturbation equations admit normal mode solutions of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} u'_r(x,t) &= e^{i(kz+n\phi-\omega t)} f^{(r)}(r), \\ u'_\phi(x,t) &= e^{i(kz+n\phi-\omega t)} f^{(\phi)}(r), \\ u'_z(x,t) &= e^{i(kz+n\phi-\omega t)} f^{(z)}(r), \\ p'(x,t) &= e^{i(kz+n\phi-\omega t)} g(r), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $2\pi/k$ is the wavelength in the axial direction and n is the azimuthal wavenumber. The radial eigenfunctions $f(r) = f_{\omega;k,n}(r) = [f^{(r)}(r), f^{(\phi)}(r), f^{(z)}(r)]$ and $g(r) = g_{\omega;k,n}(r)$ satisfy the eigenvalue problem defined by equations (2.14)–(2.16) with boundary conditions $f^{(r)}(r) = f^{(\phi)}(r) = df^{(r)}/dr = 0$ at $r = R_1$ and $r = R_2$. This yields a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues $\omega_j = \omega_j(k, n, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, R_1, R_2)$ and corresponding eigenfunctions.

2.6 Eigenvalue Problem and Stability Criteria

The eigenvalue problem (2.14)–(2.16) determines the stability characteristics of the flow. For axisymmetric disturbances ($n = 0$), the system simplifies to equations (2.17)–(2.17'), yielding eigenvalues $\omega_j(k, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, R_1, R_2)$ and eigenfunctions $f_j(r) = f^{(\phi)}_{\omega_j;k}(r)$. The flow is stable if $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega_j \geq 0$ for all modes; instability occurs when any mode satisfies $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega_j < 0$.

The critical Reynolds number Re_{cr} is defined as the minimum value of Re at which instability first appears. Experimental measurements by Donnelly & Fultz (1960), Donnelly (1962), and Snyder (1968b) show excellent agreement with theoretical predictions for the onset of Taylor vortices, particularly for radius ratios $R_2/R_1 = 2$ and various azimuthal wavenumbers $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

2.7 Thermal Convection Problem

For the Rayleigh-Bénard convection problem, the base state consists of a linear temperature profile $T(x_3) = T_0 + (T_1 - T_0)x_3/H$ and hydrostatic pressure $p(x_3) = p_0 - g\rho x_3$, with no fluid motion. The linearized perturbation equations for temperature T' and pressure p' yield the eigenvalue problem:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - k^2\right)\left(\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - k^2 + i\omega\right)\left(\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - k^2 + i\omega\,\mathrm{Pr}\right)\theta + k^2 \mathrm{Ra}\,\theta = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

where $k^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2$, $\mathrm{Pr} = \nu/\chi$ is the Prandtl number, and $\mathrm{Ra} = g\beta(T_0 - T_1)H^3/\nu\chi$ is the Rayleigh number. The critical Rayleigh number Ra_{cr} marks the onset of thermal instability, with extensive results compiled by Chandrasekhar (1961), Stuart (1963), and Lin (1955).

2.8 General Stability Theory and the Orr-Sommerfeld Equation

For parallel shear flows $U(z)$, the streamfunction perturbation $\psi(x, z, t) = \phi(z)e^{i(kx-\omega t)} = e^{ik(x-ct)}\phi(z)$ leads to the Orr-Sommerfeld equation:

$$(U - c)(\phi'' - k^2\phi) - U''\phi = -\frac{i}{k\,\mathrm{Re}}(\phi^{IV} - 2k^2\phi'' + k^4\phi), \qquad (2.28)$$

where $c = \omega/k$ is the complex phase speed and Re is the Reynolds number. The flow is unstable if $\mathrm{Im}\ c > 0$.

Squire's theorem establishes that for two-dimensional disturbances, the most unstable mode is spanwise uniform ($k_2 = 0$), allowing reduction to a two-dimensional analysis. In the inviscid limit ($\nu \to 0$), the Orr-Sommerfeld equation reduces to Rayleigh's stability equation, for which the inflection point theorem provides a necessary condition: $U''(z)$ must change sign somewhere in the flow for instability. Fjørtoft's criterion further refines this condition, requiring $U''(U - U_s) < 0$ for some z, where $U_s = U(z_0)$ at the inflection point.

The critical Reynolds number for boundary layer flows is determined by solving the eigenvalue problem with appropriate boundary conditions. Comprehensive numerical results for Re_{cr} and the neutral stability curve $c_2(k, \mathrm{Re}) = 0$ have been obtained by Lin (1945), Shen (1954), Lock (1955), and others, with modern computations by Nachtsheim (1964), Grosch & Salwen (1968), and Krilov (1964) providing detailed stability diagrams for various velocity profiles $U(z)$.

1.3 Stability Analysis and Critical Parameters (continued)

Critical Reynolds numbers for parallel flow stability have been computed by numerous researchers, yielding consistent results across different numerical methods. Lock obtained $Re_{cr} \approx 6000$ with $k_{cr} \approx 1.02/H_1$, while Thomas reported $Re_{cr} \approx 5780$ and $k_{cr} \approx 1.02/H_1$. Nachtsheim calculated $Re_{cr} \approx 5767$ with $k_{cr} \approx 1.02/H_1$, and Grosch and Salwen found $Re_{cr} \approx 5750$ with $k_{cr} \approx 1.025/H_1$. As $Re \to \infty$, the neutral curve exhibits distinct asymptotic behaviors, with different scaling laws emerging in the high-Reynolds-number limit.

Grohne (1954) investigated the stability of velocity profiles described by $U_1(z_1) = (4 - A)z_1 - (4 - 2A)z_1^2$ for $0 \le z_1 \le 1$, where $z_1 = z/H$ and $U_1(z_1) = U(z_1 H)/U(0.5H)$. The parameter $A = U_1(1)$ characterizes the profile shape. For $A = 0$, the profile reduces to the classic linear case, while $A = 2$ corresponds to a fully developed channel flow. Potter (1966) and Hains (1967) extended this analysis, demonstrating that the critical Reynolds number varies systematically with the profile parameter A, with Re_{cr} reaching a minimum near $A \approx 0.437$ and increasing for both smaller and larger values.

The neutral stability condition is fundamentally expressed as $\operatorname{Im} c(k, Re) = 0$, which defines the boundary between stable and unstable wavenumber regimes. For boundary layer flows, the critical Reynolds number based on displacement thickness is $Re_{\delta^*,cr} = (U\delta^*/\nu)_{cr} \approx 1.53$, while Tollmien and Schlichting reported values of 420 and 575 respectively. The corresponding critical Reynolds numbers based on streamwise distance are $Re_{x,cr} = (Ux/\nu)_{cr} \approx 0.6 \times 10^5$ according to Tollmien, and $Re_{x,cr} = 1.1 \times 10^5$ according to Schlichting.

The energy method provides a powerful alternative approach to stability analysis. The fundamental energy equation for a disturbance u' in a base flow U is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{1}{2}|u'|^2 dV = -\int u_i' u_j' \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} dV - \nu \int |\nabla u'|^2 dV$$

This formulation, rooted in the work of O. Reynolds (1894) and Orr (1906-1907), yields a sufficient condition for stability. For plane Poiseuille flow, this method gives $Re_{cr,min} \geq 5.44$, while for circular pipe flow it yields $Re_{cr,min} \geq 3.14$. Velte (1962) refined these bounds, obtaining $Re_{cr,min} \geq 6.8$ for certain configurations using variational techniques.

When Re exceeds the critical value, nonlinear effects become decisive. Landau (1944) proposed that near the stability threshold, the disturbance amplitude $A(t)$ evolves according to:

$$u(x,t) = A(t)f(x)$$

with $A(t) = e^{-i\omega t} = e^{\gamma t - i\omega_1 t}$, where $\gamma = \operatorname{Im}\omega > 0$ represents the growth rate. As $Re \to Re_{cr}$, the growth rate $\gamma \to 0$, and the amplitude follows the Landau equation:

$$\frac{d|A|^2}{dt} = 2\gamma|A|^2 - \delta|A|^4$$

The coefficient δ determines the nonlinear saturation behavior. For $\delta > 0$, the amplitude reaches a steady state $|A|_{max} = (2\gamma/\delta)^{1/2}$ when $Re > Re_{cr}$, while for $\delta < 0$, the disturbance grows without bound.

Stuart (1958) and Watson (1960) developed a rigorous expansion method for the nonlinear regime, expressing the disturbance as:

$$u'(x,t) = u_0(r,t) + u_1(r,t)e^{ikz} + u_2(r,t)e^{2ikz} + \cdots$$

where u_0 represents the mean flow modification and u_1 contains the fundamental wave component. Davey (1962) applied this framework to Taylor-Couette flow, obtaining amplitude equations that predict $|A|_{max} \sim (Ta - Ta_{cr})^{1/2}$ near the critical Taylor number $Ta_{cr} \approx 1708$.

Experimental studies by Donnelly and Schwarz (1965) and Snyder and Lambert (1966) confirmed these theoretical predictions. For Taylor-Couette flow with radius ratio $R_2/R_1 = 2$, measurements of the torque variation Δj showed excellent agreement with the Stuart-Watson theory, demonstrating that the equilibrium amplitude scales as $(Ta - Ta_{cr})^{1/2}$ in the supercritical regime. The experiments used both CCl_4 and water as working fluids, covering a range of Taylor numbers from near-critical to strongly supercritical conditions.

The nonlinear stability analysis thus provides a complete description of transition behavior: below Re_{cr} the flow is linearly stable; at Re_{cr} a neutral disturbance exists; and slightly above Re_{cr}, finite-amplitude equilibrium states emerge whose amplitude scales with $(Re - Re_{cr})^{1/2}$ for $\delta > 0$, or grows catastrophically for $\delta < 0$. This framework has been successfully applied to plane Poiseuille flow, boundary layers, and Taylor-Couette flow, forming the cornerstone of modern hydrodynamic stability theory.

2 Amplitude Equations and Stability Analysis

The experimental studies of Donnelly and Schwarz (1965) on Taylor-Couette flow provide a fundamental benchmark for stability analysis. For a system with inner cylinder rotation rate $\Omega_1 = 3$ rad/sec and outer cylinder at rest ($\Omega_2 = 0$), the critical Taylor number Ta_{cr} marks the onset of instability. Near this threshold, the growth rate γ scales linearly with the supercritical parameter: $\gamma \sim (Ta - Ta_{cr})$. The linear stability theory predicts a critical wavenumber k_{cr} at onset, with the imaginary part of the frequency giving the growth rate: $\operatorname{Im}\omega = \gamma = \max$.

The Stuart-Landau amplitude equation provides the theoretical framework for describing weakly nonlinear evolution:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \gamma A - \delta |A|^2 A$$

where $A(t)$ represents the complex amplitude of the fundamental mode. Davey (1962) extended this formulation to include higher-order spatial harmonics, introducing a system of coupled equations for the amplitudes A_c, A_s, B_c, and B_s corresponding to different azimuthal and axial wavenumbers. The steady-state solution takes the form $A_c = (2\gamma/\delta)^{1/2}$ with $A_s = B_c = B_s = 0$, representing a pure traveling wave.

Stability analysis of these solutions reveals the importance of the real parts of the linear growth rates for different mode combinations. For the case $\Omega_2 = 0$ and $k = k_{cr}$, when $\operatorname{Re}[\gamma_B + \gamma\delta_{1B}/\delta] < 0$, the primary mode remains stable. However, secondary instabilities can emerge when $Ta/Ta_{cr} \approx 1.08$, where $\text{Re}[\gamma_B + \gamma\delta_{2B}/\delta]$ becomes positive. Experimental observations by Coles (1965) and Van Atta (1966) confirm that finite-amplitude states persist for $Ta - Ta_{cr}$ up to 3–8% above critical, validating the theoretical predictions.

Davey, Di Prima, and Stuart (1968) further generalized the analysis to include azimuthal variations, proposing an expansion of the velocity field as:

$$u'(r, \phi, z, t) = \sum_{m,n} A_{mn}(t) f_{mn}(r) e^{i(m\phi + kz)}$$

with coupled amplitude equations for each mode. The stability of these solutions depends critically on the signs of the coefficients γ_1, γ_2, δ_1, and δ_2. Four distinct equilibrium states exist: (I) the trivial state $A_1 = A_2 = 0$; (II) a pure mode $A_1 = 0, A_2 = (\gamma_2/\delta_2)^{1/2}$; (III) an alternative pure mode $A_1 = (\gamma_1/\delta_1)^{1/2}, A_2 = 0$; and (IV) a mixed state with both components nonzero. The physically realized state depends on the relative magnitudes of the growth rates, with the condition $\gamma_2 > 2\gamma_1$ favoring state II, while $\gamma_2 < \gamma_1 < 2\gamma_2$ leads to competition between states II and III.

The theoretical framework extends naturally to Rayleigh-Bénard convection, where the Rayleigh number Ra plays the role of the control parameter. The pioneering work of Schlüter, Lortz, and Busse (1965) established that for $Ra > Ra_{cr}$, multiple stable convection patterns can exist. Busse (1967a) subsequently showed that the pattern selection depends on nonlinear interactions among modes with wavevectors satisfying $|k| = k_{cr}$. The amplitude equation formalism yields similar forms:

$$\frac{dA_i}{dt} = \gamma_i A_i - \sum_j \delta_{ij} |A_j|^2 A_i$$

with coefficients determined by the specific geometry and boundary conditions. Early contributions by Gor'kov (1957), Malkus and Veronis (1958), Kuo (1961), and Bisshopp (1962) provided the foundation for this weakly nonlinear approach.

The general mathematical formulation employs Reynolds averaging, where any flow quantity $f(x, t)$ is decomposed into mean and fluctuating components. The convolution integral representation:

$$\overline{f}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \iiiint f(x_1 - \xi_1, x_2 - \xi_2, x_3 - \xi_3, t - \tau) \omega(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \tau) \, d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\tau$$

with the normalization condition $\iiiint \omega(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau = 1$, provides a framework for analyzing turbulent fluctuations. The Reynolds conditions require that the averaging operator satisfies linearity, commutativity with temporal and spatial derivatives, and that the average of fluctuations vanishes: $\overline{f'} = 0$.

For boundary layer flows, experimental studies of Tollmien-Schlichting waves by Schubauer and Skramstad (1947) and later workers demonstrate similar amplitude evolution. The critical Reynolds number $Re_{cr} \approx 45000$ marks the onset of linear instability, with subcritical finite-amplitude states possible due to nonlinear effects. The Stuart-Watson expansion provides the theoretical basis, yielding amplitude equations valid near Re_{cr}. However, as $Re \to \infty$, the coefficient $\delta \to -\infty$, suggesting fundamental limitations of the weakly nonlinear approach in fully turbulent regimes. Kuwabara (1967) addressed this by developing a Galerkin-based method that remains valid for $Re < Re_{cr,min} \approx 45000$, bridging the gap between linear stability theory and fully developed turbulence.

3.4 Characteristic Functions

The characteristic function for N random variables is defined as:

$$\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) = \int \cdots \int e^{i \sum \theta_j u_j} p_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_N. \tag{3.13}$$

The characteristic function can be expressed in exponential form:

$$\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) = \exp\left\{ \sum \frac{i^k}{k!} S_k \theta^k \right\}. \tag{3.14}$$

The probability density function can be recovered from the characteristic function through the inverse Fourier transform:

$$p_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int \cdots \int e^{-i \sum \theta_j u_j} \phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_N.$$

The characteristic function satisfies the normalization condition $\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$ and positive-definiteness:

$$\sum_{l,k \geq 0} \phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N} \left(\theta_1^{(k)} - \theta_1^{(l)}, \theta_2^{(k)} - \theta_2^{(l)}, \dots, \theta_N^{(k)} - \theta_N^{(l)} \right) c_k c_l^* \geq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

These properties ensure that $\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}$ is a valid characteristic function. According to Bochner's theorem (1933, 1959), any continuous function satisfying these conditions corresponds to a probability distribution. The characteristic function also exhibits symmetry and marginalization properties:

$$\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_N}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) = \phi_{M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_N}}(\theta_{i_1}, \theta_{i_2}, \dots, \theta_{i_N}), \tag{3.18}$$

$$\phi_{M_1 M_2 \cdots M_n}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) = \phi_{M_1 \cdots M_n M_{n+1} \cdots M_N}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, 0, \dots, 0). \tag{3.19}$$

For random fields with continuous indices, we extend to characteristic functionals. Consider a random field $u(x)$ defined on $[a, b]$. The linear functional is defined as:

$$u[\theta(x)] = \int \theta(x) u(x) dx. \tag{3.20}$$

The characteristic functional is then:

$$\phi[\theta(x)] = \langle \exp\{iu[\theta(x)]\}\rangle = \langle \exp\left\{i \int \theta(x) u(x) dx\right\}\rangle. \tag{3.21}$$

Discretizing at points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ yields:

$$\theta(x) = \theta_1 \delta(x - x_1) + \theta_2 \delta(x - x_2) + \cdots + \theta_N \delta(x - x_N), \tag{3.22}$$

which gives the finite-dimensional characteristic function:

$$\phi[\theta(x)] = \phi_{x_1, \dots, x_N}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N). \tag{3.23}$$

The characteristic functional satisfies normalization $\phi[\theta(x)]|_{\theta(x)\equiv 0} = 1$ and positive-definiteness:

$$\sum_{k,l} \phi[\theta_k(x) - \theta_l(x)] c_k c_l^* \geq 0. \tag{3.25}$$

This framework extends to space-time fields $u_1(x, t)$ with characteristic functional:

$$\phi[\theta(x, t)] = \langle \exp\left\{i \int \cdots \int \theta(x, t) u_1(x, t) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dt\right\}\rangle. \tag{3.26}$$

For vector fields $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = \{u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_3(x, t)\}$:

$$\phi[\theta(x, t)] = \phi[\theta_1(x, t), \theta_2(x, t), \theta_3(x, t)] = \langle \exp\left\{i \int \cdots \int \sum_{k=1}^{3} \theta_k(x, t) u_k(x, t) dx dt\right\}\rangle. \tag{3.27}$$

The general form for N-component fields is:

$$\phi[\theta(x)] = \phi[\theta_1(x), \dots, \theta_N(x)] = \langle \exp\left\{ i \int \sum_{k=1}^{N} \theta_k(x) u_k(x) dx \right\}\rangle. \tag{3.27'}$$

For stationary processes, the characteristic functional depends only on time differences. The time evolution of the characteristic functional is given by:

$$\phi[\theta(x), t] = \phi[\theta(x), 0] \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0. \tag{3.28}$$

For fields with multiple variables such as density $\rho(x,t)$ and temperature $T(x,t)$:

$$\phi[\theta(x), \theta_4(x), \theta_5(x), t] = \langle \exp\left\{ i \int \cdots \int \left[\sum_{k=1}^{3} \theta_k(x) u_k(x,t) + \theta_4(x)\rho(x,t) + \theta_5(x) T(x,t) \right] dxdt \right\}\rangle. \tag{3.29}$$

4. Moments

4.1 Raw Moments and Central Moments

For N random variables $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N$ with joint probability density $p(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$, the raw moments are defined as:

$$B_{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N} = \int \cdots \int u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2} \cdots u_N^{k_N} p(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_N. \tag{4.1}$$

The central moments are:

$$b_{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N} = \int \cdots \int (u_1 - \bar{u}_1)^{k_1} (u_2 - \bar{u}_2)^{k_2} \cdots (u_N - \bar{u}_N)^{k_N} p(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_N. \tag{4.2}$$

Low-order moments include:

$$S_1 = B_1, \quad S_2 = B_2 - B_1^2 = b_2, \quad S_3 = B_3 - 3B_1 B_2 + 2B_1^3 = b_3,$$
$$S_4 = B_4 - 4B_1 B_3 - 3B_2^2 + 12 B_1^2 B_2 - 6B_1^4 = b_4 - 3b_2^2,$$
$$S_5 = b_5 - 10 b_2 b_3.$$

For multivariate cases:

$$S_{1111} = b_{1111} - b_{1100} b_{0011} - b_{1010} b_{0101} - b_{1001} b_{0110}. \tag{4.6}$$

The moment sequence must satisfy positive-definiteness conditions. For any coefficients $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n$:

$$\sum_{k,l} B_{k+l} c_k c_l^* \geq 0, \quad \text{with} \quad B_0 = 1. \tag{4.7}$$

This implies inequalities such as:

$$|B_3| \leq (B_2 B_4)^{1/2}, \quad |s| \leq \delta^{1/2}. \tag{4.8}$$

Moments are obtained from the characteristic function through differentiation:

$$B_{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N} = (-i)^K \frac{\partial^K \phi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N)}{\partial \theta_1^{k_1} \partial \theta_2^{k_2} \cdots \partial \theta_N^{k_N}} \bigg|_{\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \cdots = \theta_N = 0}, \quad K = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_N. \tag{4.9}$$

The characteristic function can be expanded as:

$$\phi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N} \frac{i^K B_{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N}}{k_1! k_2! \cdots k_N!} \theta_1^{k_1} \theta_2^{k_2} \cdots \theta_N^{k_N}. \tag{4.10}$$

This expansion converges in a neighborhood of the origin (Akhiezer, 1965).

4.2 Cumulants (Semi-Invariants)

Cumulants are defined via the logarithm of the characteristic function:

$$\psi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) = \ln \phi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N),$$

$$S_{k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N} = (-i)^K \frac{\partial^K \psi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N)}{\partial \theta_1^{k_1} \partial \theta_2^{k_2} \cdots \partial \theta_N^{k_N}} \bigg|_{\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \cdots = \theta_N = 0}. \tag{4.11}$$

For random fields, the product moments are:

$$B_{uu \cdots u}(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_K) = \langle u(M_1) u(M_2) \cdots u(M_K) \rangle. \tag{4.12}$$

Specific examples include:

$$B_{ij}(M_1, M_2) = \langle u_i(M_1) u_j(M_2) \rangle, \quad B_{ij,k}(M_1, M_2) = \langle u_i(M_1) u_j(M_1) u_k(M_2) \rangle. \tag{4.13}$$

For stationary and homogeneous fields, correlation functions depend only on differences:

$$B_{uu}(M_1, M_2) = B_{uu}(M_2, M_1), \tag{4.14}$$

$$\sum_{i,j} B_{uu}(M_i, M_j) c_i c_j \geq 0. \tag{4.15}$$

This positive-definiteness implies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|B_{uu}(M_1, M_2)| \leq [B_{uu}(M_1, M_1)]^{1/2} [B_{uu}(M_2, M_2)]^{1/2}. \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly for cross-correlations:

$$|B_{uv}(M_1, M_2)| \leq [B_{uu}(M_1, M_1)]^{1/2} [B_{vv}(M_2, M_2)]^{1/2}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$B_{uv}(M_1, M_2) = B_{vu}(M_2, M_1). \tag{4.18}$$

Central moments (correlation functions) are defined as:

$$b_{uu}(M_1, M_2) = \langle [u(M_1) - \bar{u}(M_1)][u(M_2) - \bar{u}(M_2)] \rangle = B_{uu}(M_1, M_2) - \bar{u}(M_1)\bar{u}(M_2), \tag{4.20}$$

$$b_{uv}(M_1, M_2) = B_{uv}(M_1, M_2) - \bar{u}(M_1)\bar{v}(M_2). \tag{4.20'}$$

The fourth-order cumulant is:

$$b_{puvw}(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) - b_{pu}(M_1, M_2) b_{vw}(M_3, M_4) - b_{pv}(M_1, M_3) b_{uw}(M_2, M_4) - b_{pw}(M_1, M_4) b_{uv}(M_2, M_3) = S_{pu} \tag{4.21}$$

Cumulants for fields are obtained from the characteristic functional:

$$S_{i_1 \cdots i_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (-i)^n \frac{\delta^n \ln \Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta_{i_1}(x_1) dx_1 \cdots \delta\theta_{i_n}(x_n) dx_n} \bigg|_{\theta(x)=0}. \tag{4.52}$$

The first two cumulants are:

$$S_j(x_1) = \bar{u}_j(x_1), \quad S_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \langle u_i(x_1) u_j(x_2) \rangle - \bar{u}_i(x_1)\bar{u}_j(x_2) = b_{ij}(x_1, x_2).$$

4.3 Gaussian Distribution

For Gaussian random variables, the probability density is:

$$p(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) = C \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} g_{jk}(u_j - a_j)(u_k - a_k)\right\}, \tag{4.23}$$

where a_j are the means and $\|g_{jk}\|$ is a positive-definite matrix. The normalization constant is $C = G^{1/2}/(2\pi)^{N/2}$ with $G = \det \|g_{jk}\|$.

The moments of a Gaussian distribution are:

$$\bar{u}_j = a_j, \quad b_{jk} = \overline{(u_j - \bar{u}_j)(u_k - \bar{u}_k)} = g^{jk}, \tag{4.25}$$

where g^{jk} are elements of the inverse matrix $\|g_{jk}\|^{-1}$. All higher-order odd moments vanish, and even moments follow Isserlis' theorem (1918):

$$\overline{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{2K}} = \sum \overline{w_{i_1} w_{i_2}}\,\overline{w_{i_3} w_{i_4}} \cdots \overline{w_{i_{2K-1}} w_{i_{2K}}}, \tag{4.27}$$

where the sum is over all pairings of $(1, 2, \dots, 2K)$. For example:

$$b_{1111} = \overline{(u_1 - \bar{u}_1)(u_2 - \bar{u}_2)(u_3 - \bar{u}_3)(u_4 - \bar{u}_4)} = b_{12}b_{34} + b_{13}b_{24} + b_{14}b_{23}. \tag{4.29}$$

All cumulants of order $K \geq 3$ vanish for Gaussian distributions.

4.4 Functional Derivatives and Ergodicity

The functional derivative of a characteristic functional $\Phi[\theta(x)]$ is defined as:

$$\delta\Phi[\theta_0(x)] = \Phi[\theta_0(x) + \delta\theta(x)] - \Phi[\theta_0(x)] = \int A(x)\delta\theta(x)dx + o(|\delta\theta|). \tag{4.38}$$

The first functional derivative is:

$$\frac{\delta\Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta(x)} = A(x). \tag{4.39}$$

Higher-order derivatives are defined recursively:

$$\frac{\delta^n\Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta(x_1)\cdots\delta\theta(x_n)} = A(x_1, \dots, x_n). \tag{4.41}$$

For the characteristic functional $\Phi[\theta(x)] = \langle \exp\{i\int u(x)\theta(x)dx\}\rangle$, we have:

$$\frac{\delta\Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta(x)} = \langle iu(x)\exp\left\{i\int u(x)\theta(x)dx\right\}\rangle, \tag{4.45}$$

$$\left.\frac{\delta^n\Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta(x_1)\cdots\delta\theta(x_n)}\right|_{\theta=0} = i^n\langle u(x_1)\cdots u(x_n)\rangle. \tag{4.44}$$

Thus, the n-point moment is:

$$B_{u\cdots u}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \overline{u(x_1)\cdots u(x_n)} = (-i)^n \left.\frac{\delta^n\Phi[\theta(x)]}{\delta\theta(x_1)\cdots\delta\theta(x_n)}\right|_{\theta=0}. \tag{4.46}$$

The characteristic functional expansion is:

$$\Phi[\theta(x)] = 1+i\sum_j\int\bar{u}_j(x)\theta_j(x)dx-\sum_{j,k}\int\int B_{jk}(x_1,x_2)\theta_j(x_1)\theta_k(x_2)dx_1dx_2+\cdots$$

$$+i^n\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n}\int\cdots\int B_{i_1\cdots i_n}(x_1,\dots,x_n)\theta_{i_1}(x_1)\cdots\theta_{i_n}(x_n)dx_1\cdots dx_n+\cdots \tag{4.54}$$

For ergodic processes, time averages converge to ensemble averages. Consider the time average:

$$\tilde{u}_T = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T u(t)dt$$

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv — Machine translation. Verify with original.