

Construction of Timecode Usage Concepts in Television Program Editing: Postprint

Authors: Zhao Hongjuan

Date: 2023-10-08T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

In contemporary television program broadcasting and production workflows, the implementation of time code—specifically TC code and CTL code—constitutes a widely adopted technique for temporal technical encoding. It facilitates the specification of in-points and out-points in broadcast control and program editing, serves as a crucial basis for determining program duration, and operates as an instrument for statistical analysis of program length. The management of source tapes and the orchestration of program schedules necessitate the employment of these two encoding methodologies. This paper, grounded in the characteristics of time code, analyzes the system introduction, practical utilization, and maintenance protocols implemented in television program scheduling. TC code is abbreviated as time code, i.e., temporal code. Its English abbreviation is TC. For television program producers, the utilization of TC code is relatively commonplace.

Full Text

Preamble

Research on Acquisition and Production: Constructing Timecode Usage Frameworks in Television Program Editing

Abstract: In contemporary television broadcasting and production workflows, timecode—specifically TC (Time Code) and CTL (Control Track) codes—represents a widely adopted temporal encoding technology. These systems serve as critical tools for determining in/out points during broadcast control and program editing, establishing program duration, and managing material tapes and program scheduling. This paper analyzes the characteristics of timecode systems, their implementation in television program editing, and maintenance protocols. TC code, commonly referred to as timecode, is an essential tool for television production professionals.

Keywords: timecode; editing systems; technical implementation

Classification: TN948.13

Document Code: A

Article ID: 1671-0134(2018)11-110-02

DOI: 10.19483/j.cnki.11-4653/n.2018.11.036

Author: Zhao Hongjuan

Introduction

With the continuous development of television multimedia technology, linear editing systems no longer satisfy the technical demands of modern television production. Non-linear editing has assumed a critical role in program creation, with TC timecode eliminating editors' concerns about synchronization issues and frame-accurate positioning while facilitating convenient material location. Current research focuses on distinguishing between TC timecode and CTL control track codes, clarifying innovative approaches to backend technical applications in television editing.

1. Analysis of TC and CTL Codes

TC code is familiar to television editing professionals, particularly in linear-assisted production environments where broadcast stations leverage significant advantages from timecode technology. During production, editors frequently encounter issues such as inaccurate in/out point marking and synchronization discrepancies across various equipment including cameras, playback devices, and non-linear editing systems. The TC code functionality stems from technical conventions employing magnetic signal control, enabling both linear and non-linear systems to determine program position and timing. When editing with timecode, operators must note that zero points cannot be reset; each timecode carries distinct information, yet every code contains rich metadata—this represents a fundamental difference from CTL control track signals.

CTL, an abbreviation for “control,” employs magnetic track logic control for managing edit points. During frame-by-frame transitions, the video head's field sequence alignment generates square-wave pulses in the magnetic track at consistent frequencies. In tape recording systems using field-based units, these pulses serve as servo reference signals along tape edges, corresponding to frame encoding and displaying tape position. Each pulse represents one second of calculated time, with each timecode address being unique. Control track signals are relative rather than absolute; during recording, the tape forms longitudinal pulse signals field-by-field. After signal processing, frame-based tape transport display becomes possible, and control signals enable playback scanning position matching for seamless recording continuity.

TC code exhibits no error accumulation, whereas CTL codes can accumulate errors. When magnetic particle dropout occurs, CTL signal loss may result, causing discrepancies between actual tape transport time and program-recorded

length. TC code avoids this issue; even if TC code is lost mid-program, the ending TC value remains stable without significant deviation from actual transport time.

As an absolute value, TC code cannot be cleared, while CTL codes can be reset to zero. Every frame in a program, whether during editing or normal tape recording, requires fixed magnetic head recording on longitudinal tracks using hexadecimal and binary encoding methods, producing distinct record content. In tape editing, when tape speed drops below 1/32nd of normal speed, slow-motion and still-image editing generates a specialized timecode known as VITC (Vertical Interval Timecode). During VITC operation, similar to audio and fixed LTC (Longitudinal Timecode) record/playback heads, the signal appears as a square wave containing user data and timing information.

2. VITC Technical Analysis

VITC refers to Vertical Interval Timecode, inserted into the video signal following each field during the vertical blanking interval. Operating at 50Hz frequency with precision to a single field, VITC represents a video timecode signal that requires stable image signal extraction for reliable reading and address display. Since VITC cannot be recorded before or after video signal segments, when a portion of video signal is erased, the corresponding VITC signal simultaneously disappears.

VITC signals cannot be altered and primarily compensate for LTC signal limitations in still-image and slow-motion production. During recording operations, using VITC for tape editing necessitates proper training for editorial staff, whether performing INSERT or ASSEMBLE edits. VITC proves particularly valuable in multi-camera synchronization scenarios and integrates seamlessly with non-linear editing fine-cutting workflows.

3. Complementarity of LTC and VITC

During high-speed tape searching, VITC may experience instability when acquiring several frames of image signals. LTC serves as a remedial backup, with internal playback devices detecting timecode through status monitoring. After menu configuration, automatic switching commands enable seamless transition between the two timecode systems, ensuring consistent addressing from static to high-speed playback modes. Both LTC and VITC share the characteristic of providing fixed frame numbers—this constitutes non-linear encoding. Through dual timecode implementation on the same timeline, LTC and VITC demonstrate complete synchronization.

CTL represents relative transport time based on field pulses that can be reset at any point. During timing operations, timecode reading initiates at the start of playback, with CTL providing clear visual reference for tape position. Each frame corresponds to a specific TC code on the tape's physical address. During

recording, operators must understand that if timecode on the tape cannot be altered, the distinction between TC and CTL codes becomes critical, requiring strict adherence to TC parameters.

4. Practical Application of Timecode in Television Program Editing

A major television station produced a popular large-scale reality show featuring extensive multi-camera shooting. During post-production, the production team successfully employed the latest multi-camera automatic alignment functionality in Dayang non-linear editing systems, significantly improving efficiency while preventing alignment errors common in multi-camera editing.

Conventional Post-Production Workflow

In standard editing workflows, most clients work with single-camera materials: selecting clips in the Dayang resource manager, setting in/out points via preview windows, and dragging them to the timeline. Multiple single-camera clips are added through sequential insert operations to construct the basic timeline structure. Each clip carries location audio from the camera or recording device, requiring only iterative adjustment of in/out points and sequential relationships.

Multi-Camera Editing Challenges

For complex scenes captured with multiple synchronized recording devices, traditional methods require repeated timecode calibration based on visual cues—motion, scene context, lip-sync—to achieve timeline synchronization and audio consistency, followed by individual track selection.

The reality program utilized multi-camera techniques, typically positioning multiple cameras at different angles feeding signals to a director's monitoring matrix. The synchronized multi-camera feeds enabled live switching for broadcast output. Editing such simultaneously captured multi-angle footage requires timecode-synchronized timeline alignment to achieve true EFP (Electronic Field Production) switching effects, utilizing non-linear editing multi-camera functionality.

Advanced Non-Linear Editing Features

Modern non-linear editing systems offer several key capabilities for multi-camera workflows:

1. **Real-time Switching:** Supports rapid real-time editing and playback, widely applicable in studio broadcasting and multi-camera recording scenarios for synchronized material applications, integrating seamlessly with non-linear fine-editing processes.

2. **Multi-Channel Support:** Multi-camera editing tools support up to 16 simultaneous video channels with dedicated shortcut keys, enabling editors to accurately switch between shots during rough cutting.
3. **Intelligent Audio Analysis:** Features automatic audio alignment functionality that intelligently analyzes waveforms across different audio-visual materials, calculating offset compensation to ensure precise waveform alignment and reduce manual workload.
4. **Rapid Timecode Alignment:** Utilizes camera-recorded source timecode for rapid shot alignment, effectively applying to timecode-synchronized shooting scenarios and significantly improving manual alignment efficiency.

Timecode Alignment Implementation

In post-production, editors face not only audio alignment challenges but also multi-device timecode discrepancies. The latest timecode alignment functionality addresses this by analyzing each machine's internal timecode. By selecting all video files on the timeline and choosing "Audio File Alignment" from the right-click menu, the system automatically analyzes corresponding audio waveforms in the background, performing rapid, intelligent one-click alignment. Even with significant audio differences—such as noise or interference—the alignment tool maintains high accuracy, successfully synchronizing all selected video materials for seamless simultaneous playback.

Through extensive testing, video editors confirmed that the audio alignment tool successfully identifies and synchronizes waveforms even under challenging conditions, demonstrating remarkable accuracy across diverse audio environments.

References

- [1] Yin Jingwei, Hui Junying, Wang Yan, et al. Virtual time reversal mirror Pattern time delay difference coding underwater acoustic communication[J]. Journal of System Simulation, 2007(17).
- [2] Ma Jianhong, Yang Hao, Yao Shuang. Sentence semantic feature extraction and similarity calculation based on auto-encoder[J]. Journal of Zhengzhou University (Science Edition), 2018(2).
- [3] Fan Chuanqi, Jia Song, Wang Zhenyu, et al. Design of high-speed low-power time-to-digital converter based on multi-stage amplification structure[J]. Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Pekinensis, 2018(2): 299-306.

(Author Affiliation: Xintai Broadcasting and Television Station)

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.