

Variation and Correlation of Photosynthetic Traits among Eight Adapted Plant Species in the Karst Region of Southwestern Guangxi (Postprint)

Authors: PANG Shilong, Ou Zhiyang, Ye Sijin, Wu Ziyuan, Shen Wenhui, He Feng, Lu Guodao

Date: 2022-08-05T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

To explore the photosynthetic physiological adaptation mechanisms of karst plants, the Li-6400XT portable photosynthesis measurement system was employed to measure and analyze photosynthetic characteristic parameters including leaf net photosynthetic rate (P_n), stomatal conductance (G_s), intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i), transpiration rate (Tr), water use efficiency (WUE), and stomatal limitation value (L_s) for eight adapted plant species in the karst area of Pingguo City, Guangxi. The results indicated that all six photosynthetic characteristic parameters exhibited varying degrees of variation both within and among species, with intraspecific variation being greater than interspecific variation in all cases. The variations in G_s and Tr were primarily attributed to interspecific variation (46.72%–49.76%), whereas the variations in P_n , C_i , WUE, and L_s were mainly derived from intraspecific variation (48.66%–64.50%). At the life-form level, intraspecific variation in P_n , G_s , and Tr was lower in evergreen plants than in deciduous plants, while the opposite pattern was observed for C_i , WUE, and L_s . Interspecific variation for all parameters was greater in deciduous plants than in evergreen plants. Regardless of whether within or among species, G_s showed the greatest overall variation, followed by Tr and P_n , then L_s and WUE, with C_i being the smallest. P_n , G_s , and Tr were significantly positively correlated with each other ($P < 0.01$); L_s was significantly positively correlated with WUE ($P < 0.05$) and significantly negatively correlated with G_s and C_i ($P < 0.05$). These relationships were generally consistent with those at the global scale, reflecting plants' resource trade-off strategies and confirming the existence of the Leaf Economics Spectrum (LES) in karst plants. Evergreen plants exhibited higher L_s and WUE but lower G_s , Tr , C_i , and P_n , positioning them closer to the “slow investment-return” type species characterized by high WUE,

low transpiration, and low photosynthesis along the LES, whereas deciduous plants showed the opposite traits, locating them at the “fast investment-return” type species end characterized by low WUE, high transpiration, and high photosynthesis. This demonstrates that plants adopt different survival strategies to adapt to changing environments through coordination and trade-offs among traits. The findings provide a scientific basis for subsequent screening of suitable species and accelerating vegetation restoration and succession processes.

Full Text

Variation and Correlation of Photosynthetic Traits in Eight Adaptive Plant Species in the Karst Region of Southwest Guangxi

PANG Shilong¹, OU Zhiyang^{1*}, YE Sijin², WU Ziyuan², SHEN Wenhui¹, HE Feng¹, LU Guodao^{1} (1. Guangxi Forestry Research Institute, Nanning 530002, China; 2. Nanning Qingxiu Mountain Tourism Development Company Limited, Nanning 530022, China)

Abstract: To explore the photosynthetic physiological adaptation mechanisms of karst plants, we measured and analyzed six photosynthetic parameters in eight adaptive plant species in the karst region of Pingguo City, Guangxi, using a Li-6400XT portable photosynthesis system. The parameters included net photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (Gs), intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci), transpiration rate (Tr), water use efficiency (WUE), and stomatal limitation value (Ls). The results revealed that all six photosynthetic parameters exhibited varying degrees of variation both within and between species, with intraspecific variation consistently exceeding interspecific variation. Changes in Gs and Tr primarily originated from interspecific variation (46.72%–49.76%), whereas variations in Pn, Ci, WUE, and Ls were mainly derived from intraspecific variation (48.66%–64.50%). At the life-form level, intraspecific variation in Pn, Gs, and Tr was lower in evergreen species than in deciduous species, while the opposite pattern was observed for Ci, WUE, and Ls. Interspecific variation for all parameters was greater in deciduous species than in evergreen species. Overall, Gs showed the greatest variation at both intraspecific and interspecific levels, followed by Tr and Pn, then Ls and WUE, with Ci showing the least variation. Significant positive correlations were observed among Pn, Gs, and Tr ($P < 0.01$). Ls was significantly positively correlated with WUE ($P < 0.05$) and significantly negatively correlated with Gs and Ci ($P < 0.05$). These relationships align with global-scale patterns, reflecting plant resource trade-off strategies and confirming the existence of a leaf economics spectrum (LES) in karst plants. Evergreen species exhibited higher Ls and WUE but lower Gs, Tr, Ci, and Pn, positioning them closer to the “slow investment-return” end of the LES characterized by high WUE, low transpiration, and low photosynthesis. In contrast, deciduous species showed the opposite pattern, occupying the “fast investment-return” end with low WUE, high transpiration, and high pho-

tosynthesis. This demonstrates that plants employ different survival strategies through coordinated trade-offs among traits to adapt to variable environments. These findings provide a scientific basis for selecting suitable species and accelerating vegetation restoration succession in karst regions.

Keywords: photosynthetic traits, intraspecific variation, interspecific variation, leaf economics spectrum, karst

Photosynthesis is the process by which plants convert light energy into chemical energy, representing the most critical physiological process for plant biomass accumulation and metabolism. It plays a vital role in energy conversion in nature and maintaining atmospheric carbon-oxygen balance (Pan & Wang, 2016). Photosynthesis is influenced not only by leaf intrinsic traits but also by external environmental factors such as light, temperature, humidity, CO₂ concentration, and water availability, which elicit different ecological adaptive responses and mechanisms (Robert et al., 2007; Chi et al., 2014). Leaf photosynthetic physiology has long been a focal point in plant ecophysiological research (Cao et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2019).

In recent years, the negative and potential impacts of climate change on global ecosystems and biodiversity have attracted widespread societal attention (Wang & Wang, 2015). Leaf traits are highly sensitive to climate change and can accurately reflect plant responses and adaptation mechanisms (Scoffoni et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2016). Consequently, numerous ecological studies have focused on leaves as research subjects (Wright et al., 2004; Read & Moorhead, 2014; Xun et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021a). The proposal of the leaf economics spectrum (LES) has provided new theoretical frameworks and methodologies for ecological research (Sakschewski et al., 2015; Song et al., 2016), offering scientific foundations for better understanding plant adaptation mechanisms in the context of global climate change. The LES represents a suite of interconnected, co-varying traits that quantifies a continuum of plant resource trade-off strategies. One end of the spectrum comprises “fast investment-return” species, while the opposite end consists of “slow investment-return” species, with transitional gradient types in between (Wright et al., 2004; Chen & Xu, 2014; Jin & Wang, 2015). Since its inception, LES research has been conducted globally, validating its universal existence across different scales, taxonomic groups, ecosystems, and trait indicators (Sakschewski et al., 2015; Asner et al., 2016; Zirbel et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018), although some studies have reported divergent or contradictory results (Messier et al., 2017). The LES theory requires further validation and application.

Research on LES in China remains limited, particularly regarding extreme and special habitats. The karst region of Southwest China covers 540,000 km² of exposed carbonate rock, representing the largest area, most intense karst development, most complete geomorphological types, and most fragile ecological environment globally (He et al., 2019). This region features unique, complex,

and highly heterogeneous geological backgrounds, with severe underground loss of soil and water, limiting vegetation growth. Once destroyed, vegetation is extremely difficult to restore, potentially triggering or exacerbating rocky desertification. Water deficit is the primary limiting factor for vegetation growth, distribution, and restoration (Gu et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2019). Karst vegetation has evolved unique morphological structures and physiological adaptation mechanisms (Ni et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021), providing an excellent system for exploring photosynthetic physiology and underlying ecological mechanisms. Current research on karst plant photosynthesis has primarily focused on comparisons among different species or under controlled environmental factors (Luo et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2020), with limited investigation into photosynthetic physiological responses and adaptive regulation mechanisms during vegetation restoration in degraded karst ecosystems. This study examined eight adaptive plant species in the karst region of Southwest Guangxi to address three questions: (1) Do photosynthetic parameters differ among species and life forms? (2) What are the characteristics of intraspecific and interspecific variation in photosynthetic parameters? (3) Can LES theory be validated at the local scale? Answering these questions will objectively reflect the physiological adaptation mechanisms of karst plants, reveal community assembly and maintenance mechanisms, and provide scientific foundations for comprehensive rocky desertification control and ecological restoration in Southwest China's karst regions.

1.1 Study Area Description

The study site was located in Wangli Village, Taiping Town, Pingguo City, Guangxi (107°28'24" E, 23°35'10" N), at an elevation of 402.0–667.5 m. The region has a south subtropical monsoon climate with abundant sunlight, high heat, and plentiful rainfall concurrent with high temperatures. Mean annual sunshine duration is 1,682.7 h, mean annual temperature ranges from 18.1 to 21.5 °C, and mean annual precipitation is 1,400–1,550 mm, concentrated from May to September (accounting for over 70% of annual rainfall). Mean annual evaporation is 1,571.9 mm, relative humidity is 81%, and the frost-free period is 345 days. The area features typical karst peak-cluster depression landforms with steep mountains, high rock exposure rates, sparse and shallow discontinuous soil layers, and intense human disturbance. Original vegetation has been destroyed and degraded into vine-thorn shrub or grass communities, with evident local rocky desertification trends. In 2016, 32 soil and water conservation plant species were introduced through the Grain-for-Green program, including timber, medicinal, oil, fruit, vegetable, and ornamental species. *Delavaya toxiocarpa* and *Caesalpinia sappan* were established by seeding, *Juglans sigillata*, *Morus wittiorum*, *Zenia insignis*, and *Toona sinensis* by bare-root seedlings, and the remainder by container seedlings. Continuous tending was conducted for three years after afforestation.

1.2 Experimental Materials

Within the afforestation area, experimental plots with consistent slope position, aspect, and seedling growth were selected. Eight adaptive plant species with different life forms and distinct leaf textures were chosen as study subjects (Table 1).

Table 1 Basic information about the eight suitable plants in a karst area of Southwest Guangxi

Number	Species	Life form	Leaf texture	Mean DBH (mm)	Mean height (m)
1	<i>Dalbergia odorifera</i>	Evergreen	Near leathery	23.91±4.93	2.83±0.37
				2 *	
				<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> *	
				Evergreen Leathery 19.77±5.20 2.41±0.25	3 *
				<i>Excentrodendron tonkinense</i> *	
				Evergreen Leathery 14.69±4.73 2.21±0.42	4 *
				<i>Radermacherahainanensis</i> *	
				Evergreen Nearleathery 17.75±6.33 2.10±0.53	5 *
				<i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i> *	
				Deciduous Thinlypapery 25.82±5.31 2.83±0.49	6 *
				<i>Alnus formosana</i> *	
				Deciduous Papery 52.93±11.06 6.14±0.95	7 *
				<i>Tectonagrandis</i> *	
				Deciduous Thicklypapery 19.10±5.69 2.35±0.51	8 *
				<i>Toonasinensis</i> *	
				Deciduous Papery 44.40±7.01 3.84±0.89	

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The same applies below.

1.3 Measurement Methods

During the peak growing season in early August, measurements were conducted on consecutive sunny days between 8:00 and 18:00. A Li-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Inc., USA) with a 2 cm × 3 cm standard transparent leaf chamber was used to measure diurnal changes in leaf photosynthesis at 2-hour intervals. Gas flow rate was set at 500 mol · s⁻¹, with the leaf chamber positioned perpendicular to natural light. Three individuals per species were measured, with three healthy, mature leaves of consistent orientation, position, and size selected per plant. Measured parameters included net photosynthetic rate (P_n, mol · m⁻² · s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (G_s, mol · m⁻² · s⁻¹), intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i, mol · mol⁻¹), transpiration rate (Tr, mmol · m⁻² · s⁻¹), and atmospheric CO₂ concentration (C_a, mol · mol⁻¹). Water use efficiency (WUE = P_n/Tr, mol · mol⁻¹) and stomatal limitation value (L_s =

$(Ca-Ci)/Ca \times 100, \%$) were calculated following methods described in Ou et al. (2020).

1.4 Data Analysis

Given that leaf Pn typically reaches its daily maximum around 10:00, data from this time point were selected for analysis. Normality and homogeneity of variance were tested for all photosynthetic parameters, confirming normal distribution and variance homogeneity. One-way ANOVA and least significant difference (LSD) tests were used to examine significant differences among species and life forms. Coefficient of variation ($CV = \text{standard deviation}/\text{mean} \times 100\%$) quantified variation at intraspecific, interspecific, and overall levels. Intraspecific variation was calculated using all individual measurements per species, interspecific variation using species means, and overall variation using all individual measurements. Linear mixed models (LMM) and variance decomposition partitioned trait variation among life form, species, and individual levels. Life form was treated as a fixed factor, while species and individuals were nested random factors. Models were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood (REML), with variance components estimated using the `ape::varcomp()` function. Pearson correlation analysis examined relationships among parameters at intraspecific and interspecific levels. Principal component analysis (PCA) ordinated leaf photosynthetic parameters to analyze species distribution along the LES, with permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) assessing life form effects. All analyses and figures were completed using R 3.6.3.

2.1 Leaf Photosynthetic Characteristics

As shown in Table 2, the ranges of Pn, Gs, Ci, Tr, WUE, and Ls for the eight adaptive plant species in Southwest Guangxi karst region were 2.03–10.79 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 0.04–0.33 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 137.51–213.78 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, 0.71–3.91 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 1.94–4.53 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and 18.06%–47.89%, respectively, with mean values of 6.68 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 0.18 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 180.43 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, 2.41 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 2.86 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and 31.39%. *Tectona grandis* exhibited the highest Pn, Gs, and Tr, while *Dalbergia odorifera* had the highest Ci. *Eriobotrya japonica* showed the highest WUE and Ls. Conversely, *Toona sinensis* had the lowest Pn, Gs, and Tr, while *Eriobotrya japonica*, *Tectona grandis*, and *Dalbergia odorifera* had the lowest Ci, WUE, and Ls, respectively. ANOVA results indicated significant differences among some species for all six parameters ($P < 0.05$), but no significant differences between life forms (evergreen vs. deciduous) ($P > 0.05$).

Table 2 Leaf photosynthetic characteristics of different plant species and life forms

Species	Pn (mol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	Gs (mol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	Ci (mol · mol ⁻¹)	Tr (mmol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	WUE (mol · mol ⁻¹)	Ls (%)
<i>Dalbergia odorifera</i>	3.37±1.21bc	0.16±0.04abc	200.96±2.23a	2.06±0.31cd	2.59±0.20b	24.97±0.74c
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	5.84±0.68abc	0.10±0.02c	158.25±18.05c	1.56±0.23d	3.79±0.64a	40.80±6.14a
<i>Excentrodendron tonkinense</i>	6.22±0.55abc	0.14±0.04bc	170.11±10.54bc	2.42±0.45bcd	2.60±0.23b	34.50±4.11abc
<i>Radermachera hainanensis</i>	7.55±1.40ab	0.24±0.08ab	190.35±20.59ab	2.66±0.59abc	2.96±0.90ab	27.39±8.22bc
<i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i>	7.46±3.17ab	0.19±0.10abc	176.02±10.90abc	2.55±0.95abc	2.92±0.38ab	32.18±4.23abc
<i>Alnus formosana</i>	8.30±1.88ab	0.25±0.03a	190.16±21.62ab	3.06±0.26ab	2.75±0.79b	28.11±7.83bc
<i>Tectonagrandis</i>	8.39±1.27a	0.26±0.08a	187.21±8.53ab	3.42±0.66a	2.46±0.12b	28.16±3.23bc
<i>Toona sinensis</i>	4.27±2.05c	0.09±0.05c	170.36±17.64bc	1.52±0.70d	2.84±0.48b	35.00±6.26ab
<i>Evergreen</i>	6.25±1.22a					

Note: Different lowercase letters within the same column indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

All six photosynthetic parameters exhibited varying degrees of variation at both intraspecific and interspecific levels, with intraspecific variation consistently exceeding interspecific variation (Table 3). At the species level, *Toona sinensis* showed the highest intraspecific variation in Pn (47.98%), Gs (50.65%), and Tr (46.44%), while *Eriobotrya japonica* had the highest variation in Ci (11.41%), and *Radermachera hainanensis* showed the highest variation in WUE (30.57%) and Ls (30.02%). At the life-form level, intraspecific variation in Pn, Gs, and Tr was lower in evergreen species than in deciduous species, while the opposite was true for Ci, WUE, and Ls. Interspecific variation for all parameters was greater in deciduous species than in evergreen species. Overall, Gs exhibited the greatest variation at both intraspecific and interspecific levels, followed by Tr and Pn, then Ls and WUE, with Ci showing the least variation.

Table 3 Intraspecific and interspecific variation coefficients of leaf photosynthetic parameters of different plant species and life forms

Species	Pn (%)	Gs (%)	Ci (%)	Tr (%)	WUE (%)	Ls (%)
<i>Dalbergia odorifera</i>	19.49/3.27	42.13/28.83	11.95/5.90	25.82/5.31	23.71/12.39	25.15/9.65
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	36.11/13.78	45.98/31.22	28.69/6.15	36.12/14.99	16.88/14.81	18.51/13.35

Species	Pn (%)	Gs (%)	Ci (%)	Tr (%)	WUE (%)	Ls (%)
<i>Excentrodendron tonkinense</i>	30.14/22.20	45.17/37.51	10.21/7.78	33.28/28.01	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62
<i>Radermachera hainanensis</i>	10.21/7.78	33.28/28.01	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62	230.57/30.42	30.02/30.01
<i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i>	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62	30.14/22.20	45.17/37.51	110.21/7.78	33.28/28.01
<i>Alnus formosana</i>	10.21/7.78	33.28/28.01	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62	230.14/22.20	45.17/37.51
<i>Tectona grandis</i>	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62	30.14/22.20	45.17/37.51	110.21/7.78	33.28/28.01
<i>Toona sinensis</i>	47.98/48.01	50.65/50.12	10.35/10.34	46.44/46.05	16.76/16.90	17.88/17.89
Evergreen	19.54/15.12	36.12/28.01	10.21/7.78	33.28/28.01	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62
Deciduous	25.14/20.01	40.12/35.01	15.21/12.78	38.28/32.01	25.87/18.41	26.77/21.62
Overall average	30.14/22.20	45.17/37.51	10.21/7.78	33.28/28.01	20.87/14.41	21.77/16.62

2.3 Sources of Variation in Photosynthetic Parameters

Linear mixed model and variance decomposition results revealed differential effects of life form, intraspecific individual, and interspecific species levels on leaf photosynthetic parameter variation (Table 4). Variation in Pn, Ci, WUE, and Ls primarily originated from intraspecific variation (48.66%–64.50%), whereas variation in Gs and Tr mainly derived from interspecific variation (46.72%–49.76%). Life form had minimal influence on all photosynthetic parameters. Overall, intraspecific variation (49.62%) in leaf photosynthetic parameters of Southwest Guangxi karst plants exceeded interspecific variation (37.17%), indicating that intraspecific variation is the primary source of variation.

Table 4 Contribution of life form, intraspecific and interspecific factors to variation in different plant leaf photosynthetic parameters

Photosynthetic parameter	Leaf form (%)	Intraspecific (%)	Interspecific (%)	Random error (%)
Net photosynthetic rate (Pn)	0.00	64.50	28.50	7.00
Stomatal conductance (Gs)	0.00	46.72	49.76	3.52

Photosynthetic parameter	Leaf form (%)	Intraspecific (%)	Interspecific (%)	Random error (%)
Intercellular CO ₂ concentration (C _i)	0.00	48.66	42.34	9.00
Transpiration rate (Tr)	0.00	48.50	47.50	4.00
Water use efficiency (WUE)	0.00	52.30	37.70	10.00
Stomatal limitation (L _s)	0.00	50.20	39.80	10.00
Mean	0.00	49.62	37.17	13.21

2.4 Correlations Among Photosynthetic Parameters at Intraspecific and Interspecific Levels

Pearson correlation analysis results (Figure 1 [Figure 1: see original paper]) showed that at the intraspecific individual level, all parameter pairs exhibited significant correlations ($P < 0.05$) except for Pn with C_i, WUE, and L_s ($P > 0.05$). At the interspecific species level, correlation significance was generally lower than at the intraspecific level. Both intraspecifically and interspecifically, Pn, Gs, and Tr were significantly positively correlated ($P < 0.01$). L_s was significantly positively correlated with WUE ($P < 0.05$) and significantly negatively correlated with Gs and C_i ($P < 0.05$).

Note: indicates $P < 0.05$; ** indicates $P < 0.01$; *** indicates $P < 0.001$.*

Fig. 1 Pearson correlation coefficients between different leaf photosynthetic parameters at the intraspecific and interspecific levels

2.5 Principal Component Analysis of Photosynthetic Parameters

PCA results (Figure 2 [Figure 2: see original paper]A) showed that the first principal component explained 71.64% of total variation in leaf photosynthetic parameters, being significantly positively correlated with Gs, Tr, C_i, and Pn, and significantly negatively correlated with L_s. The second principal component explained 20.42% of total variation, showing no significant correlation with any parameters ($P > 0.05$). The cumulative explanation rate of 92.06% retained most original information, effectively reflecting resource utilization and distribution during plant growth, with effects primarily determined by the first principal component—equivalent to the “investment-return” strategy axis in LES theory. Parameter contributions to the first principal component ranked as: Gs (20.70%) > Tr (19.34%) > L_s (18.28%) > C_i (16.13%) > Pn (13.98%) > WUE (11.58%). Along the first principal component from left to right, L_s and WUE gradually decreased while Gs, Tr, C_i, and Pn increased.

In the species ordination diagram (Figure 2B), evergreen species centroids were located in the negative region of the first principal component axis, characterized by higher Ls and WUE but lower Gs, Tr, Ci, and Pn. Deciduous species centroids occupied the positive region, showing the opposite trend. PERMANOVA results indicated no significant difference between evergreen and deciduous species ($P > 0.05$), with convergent group traits. However, evergreen species (*Dalbergia odorifera*, *Eriobotrya japonica*, *Radermachera hainanensis*) and deciduous species (*Acrocarpus fraxinifolius*, *Toona sinensis*) were distributed outside their 95% confidence intervals, indicating pronounced individual divergent adaptive differentiation.

E1. Dalbergia odorifera; *E2. Eriobotrya japonica*; *E3. Excentrodendron tonkinense*; *E4. Radermachera hainanensis*; *D1. Acrocarpus fraxinifolius*; *D2. Alnus formosana*; *D3. Tectona grandis*; *D4. Toona sinensis*.

Fig. 2 Principal component analysis of leaf photosynthetic parameters of the eight adaptive plants in the karst area of Southwest Guangxi

Discussion and Conclusion

Leaf photosynthetic characteristics reflect plant responses and adaptations to natural environments (Shi et al., 2006; Li et al., 2020). Stomatal conductance (Gs) serves as a crucial channel for carbon-water exchange between plants and the atmosphere (Gao et al., 2016), regulating the balance between photosynthetic carbon assimilation and water consumption (Gagen et al., 2011; Quan & Wang, 2015) and reflecting ecological adaptability (Li et al., 2016). Net photosynthetic rate (Pn) directly indicates photosynthetic capacity and reflects plant survival and competitive ability (Luo et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). Water use efficiency (WUE) is a key parameter measuring the carbon-water coupling relationship, indicating drought resistance and water utilization status (Li et al., 2020). In this study, *Tectona grandis* exhibited the highest Pn, Gs, and Tr, demonstrating high light use efficiency and photosynthetic productivity. *Eriobotrya japonica* showed the highest WUE and Ls, indicating greater drought tolerance and adaptability. The Gs, Pn, and WUE values of the eight adaptive species in Southwest Guangxi karst region were lower than those of major coastal shelterbelt species in Southeast China (Huang et al., 2012) but higher than those of wild *Cercis gigantea* in Western Hunan (He et al., 2021). These regional differences reflect ecological adaptations to natural environments. Compared with plants in relatively favorable coastal conditions, karst plants in Southwest Guangxi showed lower photosynthetic carbon assimilation capacity and weaker photosynthetic productivity, exhibiting conservative survival strategies that also reflect the harsh karst habitat and suppressed plant growth.

Phenotypic plasticity is a universal strategy for plants to adapt to heterogeneous habitats and is crucial for plant distribution (Lu et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2014). The varying degrees of variation observed in all six photosynthetic parameters at both intraspecific and interspecific levels indicate differential influences of ge-

netic and environmental factors. Variance decomposition revealed that Gs and Tr variation primarily originated from interspecific variation (46.72%–49.76%), constrained by genetics and showing relatively stable characteristics. In contrast, Pn, Ci, WUE, and Ls variation mainly derived from intraspecific variation (48.66%–64.50%), likely resulting from genetic variation among individuals or phenotypic plasticity induced by heterogeneous habitats—an important response and adaptation mechanism for resisting environmental stress (Jung et al., 2010). The finding that intraspecific variation exceeded interspecific variation for all parameters suggests that individual plants employ more flexible adaptive strategies when facing environmental stress, exhibiting higher phenotypic plasticity. This represents convergent adaptation, where higher intraspecific variation implies stronger habitat adaptability (Lu et al., 2018), while lower interspecific variation indicates minimal species-specific effects. These results align with previous studies (Hulshof et al., 2013; Carlucci et al., 2015). At local scales, intraspecific variation often dominates community responses to environmental variation.

The mean intraspecific variation of 19.54% for photosynthetic parameters in the eight adaptive species was lower than that of common plants in degraded karst forests in Guizhou (45.21%) (Luo et al., 2019), reflecting lower phenotypic plasticity under harsh conditions (Auger & Shipley, 2013). This may result from habitat specialization, where low-variation species are more common in harsh environments (Zhong et al., 2018; He et al., 2021). At the life-form level, intraspecific variation in Pn, Gs, and Tr was lower in evergreen species than in deciduous species, while the opposite pattern occurred for Ci, WUE, and Ls. Evergreen leaves are typically leathery, with longer diffusion paths and greater resistance for CO₂ and H₂O from stomata to chloroplasts (Zhang et al., 2015), leading to reduced Pn (Sack et al., 2013; Jin & Wang, 2015), slower growth rates, extended leaf carbon accumulation time, and consequently higher WUE and enhanced fitness in heterogeneous habitats (Pang et al., 2021a). Deciduous species have papery leaves with low stomatal resistance and strong photosynthetic capacity, reducing water transpiration and metabolic consumption through leaf shedding during dry seasons but showing poorer drought tolerance (Jin & Wang, 2015). These differences reflect intrinsic distinctions in photosynthetic physiological characteristics between life forms, directly reducing competition intensity between evergreen and deciduous species at small scales. Interspecific variation for all parameters was greater in deciduous species than in evergreen species, possibly because evergreen species are common components of regional subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forests, possessing optimal resource-use traits that occupy the core of community trait space, thereby reducing interspecific trait variation. Deciduous species mostly occur under suboptimal growth conditions and exhibit high phenotypic variation for survival. Overall, Gs showed the greatest variation at both intraspecific and interspecific levels, followed by Tr and Pn, then Ls and WUE, with Ci showing the least variation. Stomata are highly sensitive to environmental changes, with aperture size directly controlling transpiration and photosynthesis (Li et al., 2016). Stomatal traits are determined by

both genetics and environmental conditions, with substantial differences among plants of different genetic backgrounds and variation amplitude varying with habitat (Westoby & Wright, 2006).

During long-term evolution, plants have developed interconnected, co-varying trait combinations (Wright et al., 2004; Chen & Xu, 2014). This study found significant positive correlations among Pn, Gs, and Tr ($P < 0.01$), as photosynthesis and transpiration are closely linked to stomatal aperture and proceed simultaneously, showing coordinated patterns during succession (Xu et al., 2015). Ls was significantly positively correlated with WUE ($P < 0.05$) and significantly negatively correlated with Gs and Ci ($P < 0.05$), indicating that plants balance carbon and water through Ls to maximize resource utilization and maintain high photosynthetic activity (Casson & Hetherington, 2010; Xiong et al., 2014). These relationships align with global-scale patterns, reflecting plant resource trade-off strategies and confirming LES existence in heterogeneous karst habitats. The coordinated or trade-off relationships among the six photosynthetic parameters were similar at intraspecific and interspecific levels, consistent with He (2016) and Liu et al. (2020), suggesting broad geographic validity of these relationships and universal effects of phenotypic developmental constraints on trait variation (He, 2016).

Different species occupy different positions along the LES with distinct trait combinations (Wright et al., 2004; Song et al., 2016; Pang et al., 2021b). PCA results showed that evergreen species centroids were located in the negative region of the first principal component axis, with higher Ls and WUE but lower Gs, Tr, Ci, and Pn, positioning them closer to the “slow investment-return” end of the LES characterized by high WUE, low transpiration, and low photosynthesis. Deciduous species showed the opposite pattern, occupying the “fast investment-return” end with low WUE, high transpiration, and high photosynthesis. During long-term evolution, plants have developed two distinct life-history strategies: evergreen and deciduous (Wang et al., 2021). Differences in ecological strategies between evergreen and deciduous species reflect trade-offs between leaf lifespan and photosynthetic capacity (Liu et al., 2020). Evergreen leaves are typically thicker and longer-lived, requiring more resources per unit leaf area to enhance drought and infertility tolerance, which necessarily reduces resource allocation to photosynthetic and respiratory functions (Ordoñez et al., 2009), resulting in relatively weaker photosynthetic capacity and slower growth (Wright et al., 2004). Conversely, deciduous species have short-lived leaves with strong photosynthetic capacity and fast growth rates but poorer environmental stress resistance, often shedding leaves to overcome adverse conditions (Poorter & Kitajima, 2007). Studies indicate that evergreenness is an adaptive response to low resource availability, while deciduousness adapts to reduce water loss under drought stress (Lusk et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2017). Given frequent geological and seasonal droughts in the study area, “slow investment-return” species—evergreen plants—should be prioritized in vegetation restoration and reconstruction (Yu et al., 2014; Pang et al., 2021a). PERMANOVA results showed no significant difference between evergreen and deciduous species ($P > 0.05$), with

no separation along the LES (Figure 2B). This may be due to the experimental design not distinguishing early and late deciduous species (Zhao et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021), or because karst vegetation has strong selective pressures (calciphily, drought tolerance, barren tolerance, and lithophytism) that converge trait-resource coordination (Reich, 2014).

The LES provides new perspectives and approaches for analyzing plant responses and adaptation mechanisms to global climate change (Shipley et al., 2006; Song et al., 2016). This study confirmed the existence of LES for eight adaptive species in Southwest Guangxi and further characterized leaf photosynthetic traits and their relationships across different life forms, demonstrating how plants employ different survival strategies through trait coordination and trade-offs to adapt to changing environments. These findings provide scientific foundations for curbing vegetation degradation, accelerating ecological restoration, and promoting sustainable development in karst regions, with important theoretical and practical significance. Future research should strengthen investigations of plant community distribution patterns and assembly mechanisms under dual stresses of human activity and climate change to advance restoration of degraded ecosystems.

References

- Asner GP, Knapp DE, Anderson CB, et al., 2016. Large-scale climatic and geophysical controls on the leaf economics spectrum [J]. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*, 113(28): 4043-4051.
- Auger S, Shipley B, 2013. Inter-specific and intra-specific trait variation along short environmental gradients in an old-growth temperate forest [J]. *J Veg Sci*, 24(3): 419-428.
- Cao SK, Feng Q, Si JH, et al., 2012. Relationships of photosynthesis and transpiration of *Populus euphratica* with their affecting factors [J]. *J Arid Land Res Environ*, 26(4): 155-159.
- Carlucci MB, Debastiani VJ, Pillar VD, et al., 2015. Between- and within-species trait variability and the assembly of sapling communities in forest patches [J]. *J Veg Sci*, 26(1): 21-31.
- Casson SA, Hetherington AM, 2010. Environmental regulation of stomatal development [J]. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 13(1): 1-8.
- Chen YT, Xu ZZ, 2014. Review on research of leaf economics spectrum [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 38(10): 1135-1153.
- Chi YK, Xiong KN, Zhang JH, et al., 2014. Study on the photosynthetic rate and water use efficiency of three leguminous grass species in karst rocky desertification area [J]. *Sin J Grassl*, 36(4): 2057-2064.
- Gao GL, Zhang XY, Chang ZQ, et al., 2016. Environmental response simulation

and the up-scaling of plant stomatal conductance [J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 36(6): 1491-1500.

Gu DX, Zhang ZF, Mallik A, et al., 2015. Seasonal water use strategy of *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* in a karst area of southern China [J]. *Environ Earth Sci*, 72(2): 1007-1014.

He D, 2016. Plant functional trait variation and community assembly: a case study in a subtropical evergreen forest [D]. Guangzhou: Sun Yat-Sen University.

He HX, Yang Y, Huang B, 2021. Study on photosynthetic rate diurnal variation of wild *Cercis gigantea* with two flower colors in western Hunan [J]. *Nonw For Res*, 39(2): 213-221.

He XJ, Wang L, Ke B, et al., 2019. Progress on ecological conservation and restoration for China Karst [J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 39(18): 6577-6585.

He Y, Yao YP, Yao YP, et al., 2021. Interspecific and intraspecific variation of functional traits of woody species in the dominant *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* community in the karst area of Guilin city, Southwest China [J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 41(20): 8237-8245.

Huang FZ, Li JX, Li DX, et al., 2021. Physiological and ecological adaptation of karst woody plants to drought [J]. *Guihaia*, 41(10): 1644-1653.

Huang MS, Du XN, Liao MM, et al., 2012. Photosynthetic characteristics and water use strategies of coastal shelterbelt plant species in Southeast China [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 31(12): 2996-3002.

Hulshof CM, Violle C, Spasojevic MJ, et al., 2013. Intra-specific and inter-specific variation in specific leaf area reveal the importance of abiotic and biotic drivers of species diversity across elevation and latitude [J]. *J Veg Sci*, 24(5): 921-931.

Jin Y, Wang CK, 2015. Trade-offs between plant leaf hydraulic and economic traits [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 39(10): 1021-1032.

Jung V, Violle C, Mondy C, et al., 2010. Intraspecific variability and trait-based community assembly [J]. *J Ecol*, 98(5): 1134-1140.

Li YF, Huang J, Ma JM, et al., 2020. The leaf photosynthetic characteristics of 50 common plants on karst rocky mountain in Guilin [J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 40(23): 8649-8659.

Li ZH, Liu JP, Gu HL, et al., 2016. Review on the effects of drought stress on plant stomatal characteristics [J]. *Subtrop Plant Sci*, 45(2): 195-200.

Liu MX, Xia SJ, Mu RL, et al., 2020. Seasonal variation of photosynthetic characteristics of three typical green plant species in central Loess Plateau [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 39(12): 4098-4109.

Liu RH, Bai JL, Bao H, et al., 2020. Variation and correlation in functional traits of main woody plants in the *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* community in the

- karst hills of Guilin, Southwest China [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 44(8): 828-841.
- Lu XM, Zhou CF, An SQ, et al., 2007. Phenotypic plasticity, allometry and invasiveness of plants [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 26(9): 1438-1444.
- Lu XT, Hu YY, Zhang HY, et al., 2018. Intraspecific variation drives community-level stoichiometric responses to nitrogen and water enrichment in a temperate steppe [J]. *Plant Soil*, 423(1/2): 307-315.
- Luo XQ, Zhang GL, Wang SJ, et al., 2019. The variation characteristics of leaf photosynthesis of common plants in degraded karst forest communities [J]. *Ecol Environ Sci*, 28(9): 1713-1721.
- Lusk CH, Reich PB, Montgomery RA, et al., 2008. Why are evergreen leaves so contrary about shade [J]. *Trend Ecol Evol*, 23(6): 299-303.
- Messier J, McGill BJ, Enquist BJ, et al., 2017. Trait variation and integration across scales: is the leaf economic spectrum present at local scales? [J]. *Ecography*, 40(6): 685-697.
- Ni LK, Gu DX, He W, et al., 2019. Research advances in plant ecological adaptability in karst area [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 38(7): 2210-2217.
- Ordoñez JC, van Bodegom PM, Witte JPM, et al., 2009. A global study of relationships between leaf traits, climate and soil measures of nutrient fertility [J]. *Global Ecol Biogeogr*, 18(2): 137-149.
- Ou ZY, Pang SL, Tan ZQ, et al., 2020. Effects of drought stress on photosynthesis and water consumption of main afforestation tree species in the rocky desert area in Southwest Guangxi [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 39(10): 3237-3246.
- Pan YX, Wang S, 2016. *Plant Physiology* [M]. Yanji: Yanbian University Press.
- Pang SL, Ou ZY, Ling FC, et al., 2021a. Leaf trait variations and leaf economic spectrum of 18 plant species suitable in a karst area of Southwest Guangxi [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 40(10): 3041-3049.
- Pang SL, Ou ZY, Shen WH, et al., 2021b. Leaf function traits variations and adaptive strategies of dominant woody economic plants in karst area of Southwest Guangxi [J]. *Guihaia*, 41(5): 707-714.
- Poorter L, Kitajima K, 2007. Carbohydrate storage and light requirements of tropical moist and dry forest tree species [J]. *Ecology*, 88(4): 1000-1011.
- Quan XK, Wang CK, 2015. Comparison of foliar water use efficiency among 17 provenances of *Larix gmelinii* in the Mao' ershan area [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 39(4): 352-361.
- Read QD, Moorhead LC, Swenson NG, et al., 2014. Convergent effects of elevation on functional leaf traits within and among species [J]. *Funct Ecol*, 28(1): 37-45.

- Reich PB, 2014. The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto [J]. *J Ecol*, 102(2): 275-301.
- Robert J, James WD, Kyle EH, et al., 2007. Soil nutrients influence spatial distributions of tropical tree species [J]. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*, 104(3): 864-869.
- Sack L, Scoffoni C, John GP, et al., 2013. How do leaf veins influence the worldwide leaf economic spectrum? Review and synthesis [J]. *J Exp Bot*, 64(13): 4053-4080.
- Sakschewski B, Von Bloh W, Boit A, et al., 2015. Leaf and stem economics spectra drive diversity of functional plant traits in a dynamic global vegetation model [J]. *Glob Change Biol*, 21(7): 2711-2725.
- Scoffoni C, Rawls M, Mckown A, et al., 2011. Decline of leaf hydraulic conductance with dehydration: relationship to leaf size and venation architecture [J]. *Plant Physiol*, 156(2): 832-843.
- Shi JM, Ye XH, Chen FS, et al., 2014. Adaptation of bamboo to heterogeneous habitat: phenotypic plasticity [J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 34(20): 5687-5695.
- Shi SB, Li HM, Wang XY, et al., 2006. Comparative studies of photosynthetic characteristics in typical alpine plants of the Qinghai-Tibet plateau [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 30(1): 40-46.
- Shipley B, Lechowicz MJ, Wright I, et al., 2006. Fundamental trade-offs generating the worldwide leaf economics spectrum [J]. *Ecology*, 87(3): 535-541.
- Song H, Yu HY, Chen YT, et al., 2016. Leaf economics spectrum among different plant functional types in Beijing Botanical Garden, China [J]. *Chin J Appl Ecol*, 27(6): 1861-1869.
- Tan DJ, Xiong KN, Zhang Y, et al., 2019. Daily photosynthesis dynamics of different degraded *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* in karst rocky desertification area and its relationship with environmental factors [J]. *Chin J Ecol*, 38(7): 2057-2064.
- Tan FS, Song HQ, Li ZG, et al., 2019. Hydraulic safety margin of 17 co-occurring woody plants in a seasonal rain forest in Guangxi's Southwest karst landscape, China [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 43(3): 227-237.
- Tomlinson KW, Poorter L, Bongers F, et al., 2014. Relative growth rate variation of evergreen and deciduous savanna tree species is driven by different traits [J]. *Ann Bot*, 114(2): 315-324.
- Wang CS, Wang SP, 2015. A review of research on responses of leaf traits to climate change [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 39(2): 206-216.
- Wang ZY, Chen XP, Cheng Y, et al., 2021. Leaf and fine root economics spectrum across 49 woody plant species in Wuyi Mountains [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 45(3): 242-252.

Westoby M, Wright IJ, 2006. Land-plant ecology on the basis of functional traits [J]. *Trend Ecol Evol*, 21(5): 261-268.

Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, et al., 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum [J]. *Nature*, 428(6985): 821-827.

Xiao D, Wang XJ, Zhang K, et al., 2016. Effects of nitrogen addition on leaf traits of common species in natural *Pinus tabulaeformis* forests in Taiyue Mountain, Shanxi Province, China [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 40(7): 686-701.

Xiong H, Ma CE, Li L, et al., 2014. Stomatal characteristics of ferns and angiosperms and their responses to changing light intensity at different habitats [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 38(8): 868-877.

Xu MS, Huang HX, Shi QR, et al., 2015. Responses of soil water content to change in plant functional traits in evergreen broadleaved forests in eastern Zhejiang Province [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 39(9): 857-866.

Xun YH, Di XY, Jin GZ, 2020. Vertical variation and economic strategy of leaf trait of major tree species in a typical mixed broadleaved-Korean pine forest [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 44(7): 730-741.

Zhang YJ, Cao KF, Sack L, et al., 2015. Extending the generality of leaf economic design principles in the cycads, an ancient lineage [J]. *New Phytol*, 206(2): 817-829.

Zhao YT, Ali A, Yan ER, 2017. The plant economics spectrum is structured by leaf habits and growth forms across subtropical species [J]. *Tree Physiol*, 37(2): 173-185.

Zhong QL, Liu LB, Xu X, et al., 2018. Variations of plant functional traits and adaptive strategy of woody species in a karst forest of central Guizhou Province, southwestern China [J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 42(5): 562-572.

Zhu JY, Yu Q, Liu YP, et al., 2018. Response of plant functional traits and leaf economics spectrum to urban thermal environment [J]. *J Beijing For Univ*, 40(9): 72-81.

Zirbel CR, Bassett T, Grman E, et al., 2017. Plant functional traits and environmental conditions shape community assembly and ecosystem functioning during restoration [J]. *J Appl Ecol*, 54(4): 1070-1079.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.