
AI translation · View original & related papers at
chinarxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-202203.00063

Differences in Growth, Photosynthesis, and Stress Resistance of Mulberry and Paper Mulberry under Bicarbonate Treatment (Postprint)

Authors: Li Shihong, Yao Kai, Liu Yingliang, Wu Yanyou

Date: 2022-03-18T12:21:44+00:00

Abstract

To investigate the effects of HCO_3^- on plant growth and physiological characteristics under bicarbonate stress in karst habitats, seedlings of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* were used to examine plant growth, photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activities, osmotic adjustment substance contents, and cell membrane system damage under different concentrations of NaHCO_3 (0, 15, 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) treatment. The results showed that: (1) Under HCO_3^- treatment, the growth and photosynthetic capacity of both *B. papyrifera* and *M. alba* were inhibited, and significant antioxidant and anti-osmotic stress physiological responses occurred in leaf cells. (2) The inhibitory effect of HCO_3^- on the growth of *B. papyrifera* and *M. alba* was concentration-dependent and showed significant differences ($P < 0.05$). (3) The inhibitory effects of 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment on plant growth, photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme system, and osmotic adjustment system, as well as damage to plant cells, were significantly stronger than those of 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. (4) Under the same concentration of HCO_3^- treatment, the growth, photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activities, and osmotic adjustment substance contents of *B. papyrifera* were significantly higher than those of *M. alba*, while its leaf cell damage was significantly lower than that of *M. alba*. Collectively, these results indicate that *B. papyrifera* possesses superior tolerance to bicarbonate stress compared to *M. alba*. This study provides scientific support for elucidating the adaptation mechanisms of Moraceae plants to karst environments.

Full Text

Differences in Growth, Photosynthesis, and Stress Resistance of *Morus alba* and *Broussonetia papyrifera* Under Bicarbonate Treatments

LI Shihong¹, YAO Kai¹, LIU Yingliang¹, WU Yanyou^{2*}

¹School of Life Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550025, China

²State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China

Abstract

This study investigated the effects of bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) stress on plant growth and physiological characteristics in karst habitats. Using seedlings of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* as experimental materials, we examined growth performance, photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activities, osmotic regulation substance content, and cell membrane system damage under three NaHCO_3 concentrations (0, 15, and 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$). The results demonstrated: (1) Under HCO_3^- stress, both species exhibited inhibited growth and photosynthetic capacity, with significant physiological responses to antioxidant and osmotic stress in leaf cells. (2) The inhibitory effects of HCO_3^- on growth were concentration-dependent and showed significant differences among treatments ($P < 0.05$). (3) The 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment produced significantly stronger inhibition of growth, photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme systems, and osmotic regulation systems, along with greater plant cell damage, compared to the 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ treatment. (4) Under identical HCO_3^- concentrations, *Broussonetia papyrifera* showed significantly higher growth rates, photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activity, and osmotic regulation substance content than *Morus alba*, while its leaf cell damage was significantly lower. These findings indicate that *Broussonetia papyrifera* possesses superior tolerance to bicarbonate stress compared to *Morus alba*. This research provides scientific support for elucidating the adaptation mechanisms of Moraceae plants to karst environments.

Keywords: *Morus alba*; *Broussonetia papyrifera*; bicarbonate; antioxidant enzymes; osmotic regulation; cell damage

Introduction

In karst biogeosystems, the dissolution of limestone and dolomite by water creates stable HCO_3^- pools in soil and water, with concentrations significantly higher than in non-karst regions (Stokes & Griffiths, 2019). Previous research indicates that HCO_3^- concentrations in karst rivers and lakes typically range from 1–5 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (McCray & Matocha, 1992), while concentrations in calcareous soils are approximately 4.5 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (Zhang et al., 2012). Furthermore,

when environmental pH exceeds 7, HCO_3^- concentrations become several times higher than CO_2 concentrations (Hussner et al., 2016). Given these elevated HCO_3^- levels in karst soils and water, investigating its effects on plants in karst habitats represents an important research direction.

Under saline-alkaline stress conditions such as NaCl , NaHCO_3 , and Na_2CO_3 , plant physiological activities are readily disrupted, causing osmotic and ionic stress that affects normal growth and development (Zhu et al., 2009; Ahmad & Sharma, 2010). Neutral salt stress is typically referred to as salt stress, while alkaline salt stress is termed alkali stress, with alkali stress causing significantly greater damage to plants than salt stress (Shi & Yin, 1993; Lu et al., 2009). Bicarbonate, as an alkaline salt, releases substantial HCO_3^- in soil, elevating pH and subjecting plants to both alkali stress and high pH stress (Hartung et al., 2002; Li et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2012). Under HCO_3^- stress, activities of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, POD, and CAT increase in plant cells, demonstrating clear stress physiological responses (Dou et al., 2008). Additionally, HCO_3^- significantly affects plant acquisition of multiple mineral elements, increasing Na^+ concentration while decreasing contents of Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and K^+ (Michael et al., 2012). Importantly, HCO_3^- serves as a carbon source for plant carbon assimilation (Salbitani et al., 2020), especially under stress conditions when stomata close, with rhizosphere HCO_3^- accounting for a large proportion of carbon absorbed by plants (Keeley et al., 1984). Root-derived dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) for photosynthesis constitutes approximately 20% of total carbon acquired by plants under drought stress (Rao & Wu, 2017). Beyond its role as a photosynthetic substrate, HCO_3^- directly affects the structural and functional integrity of the photosynthetic system. During photosynthesis, HCO_3^- binds tightly within the PSII reaction center, playing an important role in electron transfer and enhancing photosynthetic rates (Tikhonov et al., 2018). Higher HCO_3^- concentrations can also reduce chloroplast content by affecting the quantity of reduced iron ions in plants (Shahsavandia et al., 2020). These multifaceted roles of HCO_3^- in plant growth, antioxidant enzyme activity, and photosynthesis underscore the importance of investigating its effects on plant physiological characteristics for understanding plant adaptation mechanisms in karst environments.

Moraceae plants are typical pioneer species commonly found in karst regions (Wu et al., 2009). Among them, *Morus alba* L., a deciduous tree in the *Morus* genus, possesses rich germplasm resources, can adapt to strong stress conditions, and provides ecological functions such as soil and water conservation and environmental beautification, attracting widespread attention (Ren et al., 2017). *Broussonetia papyrifera* L., another Moraceae species, is a widely distributed deciduous tree with rapid growth, strong adaptability, and tolerance to drought, salt, and barren conditions, commonly used for soil and vegetation restoration (Gao, 2020; Tian et al., 2020). However, no studies have investigated the differential responses of these two species to varying HCO_3^- concentrations. Therefore, this research employed two Moraceae species (*Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba*) as study subjects, conducting seedling cultivation in an artificial

climate greenhouse and using bicarbonate to simulate stress conditions. By analyzing changes in growth characteristics and physiological-biochemical indices under different bicarbonate treatments, we aimed to address three questions: (1) What are the physiological response mechanisms of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* under HCO_3^- stress? (2) What differences exist between the two species in their responses to HCO_3^- stress? (3) How do their tolerances to HCO_3^- stress compare? This study provides a scientific basis for elucidating the adaptation mechanisms of Moraceae plants to karst environments.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1 Experimental Material Cultivation

This experiment employed a cultivation method starting from seed germination. *Broussonetia papyrifera* seeds were collected from the old campus of the Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences in Guiyang, Guizhou Province, while *Morus alba* seeds were collected from the Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Guiyang. Plump, full seeds were selected and placed in seedling boxes containing a certain volume of perlite, covered with a thin layer of perlite. The water reservoir of the seedling tray was filled with distilled water to a level that would not submerge the seeds. The cultivation room was maintained at 25 °C with 50–60% humidity and a 12-hour photoperiod.

Approximately 12 days later, seeds began to germinate. When seedlings developed four leaves, uniform and robust seedlings were selected and transplanted into 12-cell seedling boxes. Each box contained two seedlings spaced appropriately to ensure mutual interference would not occur during growth to experimental size. After transplanting, the boxes were placed in an artificial climate chamber with a 12-hour photoperiod, photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 300 $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, daytime temperature of 25 °C, nighttime temperature of 20 °C, and relative humidity of 55–65%. Both *Morus alba* and *Broussonetia papyrifera* seedlings were cultivated hydroponically using half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution to provide nutrients and water.

1.2 Bicarbonate Stress Treatment

When plant height reached 16–18 cm, different concentrations of NaHCO_3 were added to the nutrient solution to prepare treatment solutions adjusted to pH 7.8 for the bicarbonate treatment experiment. Three concentration gradients were established (0, 15, and 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$). Previous research indicates that Na^+ at these concentrations does not significantly inhibit or affect plant growth and photosynthetic capacity, with HCO_3^- being the primary influencing factor in this experiment. The NaHCO_3 solutions were replaced daily at a fixed time. Plant growth and photosynthetic indices were measured every other day, and two leaves approximately 80 mm wide were collected and stored at -80 °C for subsequent measurement of physiological-biochemical indices under bicarbonate stress. All measurements were replicated three times.

1.3 Plant Growth Parameter Measurement

To measure the dynamic changes in growth indices of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* under bicarbonate treatment, this study assessed plant growth by measuring above-ground parameters, minimizing interference with normal plant growth during the process. Growth indices were measured on days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 after bicarbonate treatment. Plant height (H), basal stem diameter (Db), leaf number (N), and number of leaves with width $\geq 80mm(N_{\geq 80})$ were selected as indicators for evaluating plant growth conditions.

1.4 Plant Leaf Photosynthetic Index Measurement

Following bicarbonate stress treatment, photosynthetic indices were measured on days 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8, with measurement times fixed between 14:00-16:00 to avoid potential midday depression of photosynthesis. A LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) was used to determine net photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (gs), intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci), and transpiration rate (E). Stomatal limitation value (Ls) was calculated using the formula Ls = 1 - Ci/Ca, where Ca represents ambient CO₂ concentration (Farquhar & Sharkey, 1982; Han et al., 2007).

1.5 Plant Leaf Antioxidant Enzyme Activity Measurement

Enzyme extraction followed the method of Peng et al. (2007). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was determined according to Zhang et al. (2000), peroxidase (POD) activity according to Zhang et al. (2000), and catalase (CAT) activity according to Aebi (1984).

1.6 Plant Leaf Osmotic Regulation Substance Content Measurement

Proline content was determined following Lei et al. (2007), and soluble sugar content according to Zou (2003).

1.7 Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS) Measurement

The method of Heath and Packer (1968) was employed.

1.8 Data Statistical Analysis

Data were organized using Microsoft Excel 2019. Two-way ANOVA was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 to test the significance of effects of different tree species and NaHCO₃ concentrations on plant growth, photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activity, osmotic regulation substance content, and cell membrane system damage. Independent samples t-tests were used to examine significant differences between tree species for these parameters. Significance levels among different treatments were determined at the 0.05 level. Figures were prepared using Origin 2019b 32Bit.

2. Results

2.1 Effects of Bicarbonate Treatment on Growth Indices of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba*

Table 1 shows that for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, all growth indices were more strongly inhibited under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment than under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. With increasing concentration, all growth indices of both species differed significantly from the control group ($P < 0.05$). Between different tree species at the same HCO_3^- concentration, *Broussonetia papyrifera* showed significantly higher growth indices than *Morus alba* under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment ($P < 0.05$), indicating superior HCO_3^- stress resistance and stronger adaptability in *Broussonetia papyrifera*.

Table 1 Growth indices of *Morus alba* and *Broussonetia papyrifera* after 8 days of HCO_3^- treatments

Species	Treatment	ΔH (cm)	ΔD_b (mm)	ΔN (n)	ΔN_{80} (n)
<i>Morus alba</i>	Control	$6.80 \pm 0.54 A d$	$8.59 \pm 0.03 B o$	$12.63 \pm 0.05 B l$	$13.32 \pm 0.05 B l$

Note: Data are mean \pm standard deviation. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among different HCO_3^- concentrations for the same species ($P < 0.05$). Different capital letters indicate significant differences between species at the same HCO_3^- concentration ($P < 0.05$). The same below.

2.2 Effects of Bicarbonate Treatment on Photosynthetic Characteristics of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba*

As shown in Table 2, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, all photosynthetic indices of both species were more strongly inhibited under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment than under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. With increasing concentration, P_n , g_s , and E of both species decreased significantly. C_i decreased and L_s increased in *Morus alba*, while C_i first decreased then increased and L_s first increased then decreased in *Broussonetia papyrifera*. All photosynthetic indices under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment differed significantly from the control group ($P < 0.05$). Between different tree species at the same HCO_3^- concentration, *Broussonetia papyrifera* showed significantly higher photosynthetic indices than *Morus alba* under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment ($P < 0.05$), with less photosynthetic inhibition in *Broussonetia papyrifera*.

Table 2 Photosynthetic parameters of *Morus alba* and *Broussonetia papyrifera* after 8 days of HCO_3^- treatments

Species Treatment	Pn (mol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	gs (mol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	E (mol · m ⁻² · s ⁻¹)	Ci (mol · mol ⁻¹)	Ls (mol · mol ⁻¹)
Morus alba	7.06±0.54 ^a	20266±0.05 ^a	206±0.05 ^a	0.0270±0.0001 ^a	0.0352±0.0031 ^a

2.3 Antioxidant Enzyme Activities of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

As shown in Figure 1, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, SOD activity in both species first increased then decreased with increasing treatment concentration and duration. The inhibitory effect of 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment on SOD activity was significantly stronger than that of 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment ($P < 0.05$). Under 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, SOD activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves gradually increased, reaching maximum on day 8, while SOD activity in *Morus alba* leaves peaked on day 6 then declined. Under 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, SOD activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves was highest on day 2, while *Morus alba* leaves showed peak SOD activity on day 4, after which both species declined. SOD activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves was significantly higher than in *Morus alba* ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 1 Activities of SOD in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under 0 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , and 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatments.

As shown in Figure 2, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, POD activity in both species first increased then decreased with increasing treatment concentration and duration. The inhibitory effect of 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment on POD activity in *Morus alba* leaves was significantly stronger than that of 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment ($P < 0.05$). Under 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, POD activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 8, while *Morus alba* leaf POD activity first increased, then decreased, then increased again. Under 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, POD activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 6, reaching maximum values, then declined. In contrast, *Morus alba* leaf POD activity peaked on day 4, then decreased significantly. POD activities between the two species showed significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 2 Activities of POD in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under 0 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , 15 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , and 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatments.

Figure 3 indicates that for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, CAT activity in both species first increased significantly then decreased with increasing treatment concentration and duration. The inhibitory effect of 30 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment on CAT activity in *Morus alba* leaves was

significantly stronger than that of $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment ($P < 0.05$). Under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, CAT activity in both species peaked on day 6. Under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, CAT activity in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 6, reaching maximum values, while *Morus alba* leaf CAT activity peaked on day 4 then decreased significantly. CAT activities between the two species showed significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 3 Activities of CAT in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under $0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, and $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatments.

2.4 Osmotic Regulation Substance Content of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

As shown in Figure 4, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, proline content in leaves of both species first increased significantly then decreased with increasing treatment concentration and duration. The inhibitory effect of $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment on proline content was significantly stronger than that of $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment ($P < 0.05$). Under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, proline content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 6 then remained stable, while proline content in *Morus alba* leaves peaked on day 4 then decreased significantly. Under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, proline content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 6, reaching maximum values, while *Morus alba* leaf proline content decreased continuously from day 2 to day 8. Proline content between the two species showed significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 4 Proline content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under $0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, and $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatments.

As shown in Figure 5, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, soluble sugar content in leaves of both species increased slightly then decreased significantly with increasing treatment concentration and duration. Both HCO_3^- treatments showed significantly stronger inhibitory effects on soluble sugar content than the control ($P < 0.05$). Under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, soluble sugar content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased to approximately $120 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ on day 4 then remained relatively stable, while *Morus alba* leaf soluble sugar content began to decrease after day 4. Under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, soluble sugar content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves reached maximum on day 4 then decreased slowly, while *Morus alba* leaf soluble sugar content decreased continuously from day 2 to day 8.

Figure 5 Soluble sugar content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under $0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$, and $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatments.

2.5 Cell Damage in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

As shown in Figure 6, for the same tree species under different HCO_3^- concentrations, the degree of leaf cell damage in both species was significantly higher under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment than under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. With increasing treatment concentration and duration, TBARS content in leaves of both species was highest under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment ($P < 0.05$). Between different tree species at the same HCO_3^- concentration, TBARS content in *Morus alba* leaves increased significantly under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, stabilizing at approximately $110 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ on day 4, which differed significantly from the control ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, TBARS content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves showed no significant difference from the control ($P > 0.05$). Under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, TBARS content in both species increased continuously from day 2 to day 8, reaching maximum values. *Morus alba* consistently showed higher TBARS content than *Broussonetia papyrifera*, indicating greater leaf cell damage in *Morus alba*.

Figure 6 TBARS content in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* leaves under $0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- , and $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatments.

3. Discussion

3.1 Changes in Seedling Growth of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

Bicarbonate treatment exerts multiple effects on plants. HCO_3^- not only increases environmental pH but also affects mineral element absorption (Maria et al., 2014) and impedes water uptake through osmotic effects. Conversely, HCO_3^- is an indispensable component of the plant photosynthetic system and can serve as a photosynthetic substrate through root absorption (Terentyev & Zharmukhamedov, 2020). The effects of different bicarbonate concentrations on the same plant, or the same concentration on different plants, can be entirely distinct (Hajiboland et al., 2003).

External morphological characteristics can intuitively reflect plant tolerance to saline-alkaline stress (Lu et al., 2015). This experiment evaluated the alkali stress resistance of both species by assessing their growth indices. Results showed that $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ and $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ NaHCO_3 treatments inhibited growth to varying degrees in both species, with a tendency toward growth cessation. However, some scholars have reported that Na^+ concentrations at or below $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ promote plant growth (Anas & Vivekanandan, 2000; Liu et al., 2017), with significant inhibition occurring only when Na^+ concentration exceeds $100 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (Zhu, 2001; Li et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2020). The growth inhibition observed in both species following $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ and $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ NaHCO_3 treatments in this study suggests that HCO_3^- stress, rather than Na^+ , was responsible.

In this experiment, the $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment showed stronger inhibition of growth indices than other concentrations, likely because increasing HCO_3^- concentration raises pH, weakening root water and mineral absorption (Guo et al., 2016) and thereby affecting normal growth and development. Furthermore, under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, *Broussonetia papyrifera* demonstrated significantly better growth than *Morus alba*, indicating superior HCO_3^- stress resistance in *Broussonetia papyrifera*.

3.2 Changes in Photosynthetic Characteristics of *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

Photosynthesis provides plants with necessary materials and energy for growth, and photosynthetic rate reflects plant growth status (Greenway & Munns, 1980; Bai et al., 2008). Saline-alkaline stress affects photosynthesis and inhibits plant growth (Guo & Zhao, 2001). In this study, photosynthetic indices of both species showed that photosynthesis was inhibited to varying degrees under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ and $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatments, with stronger inhibition under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$.

Under NaHCO_3 stress, photosynthesis inhibition may result from stomatal limitation caused by high pH-induced stomatal closure that hinders CO_2 entry into leaves, and non-stomatal limitation caused by direct toxic damage to photosynthetic organs from alkali stress (Lauteri, 1991). When Pn decreases with reduced Ci and increased Ls , stomatal limitation is considered the cause; when Ci increases with decreased Ls , non-stomatal limitation is indicated (Farquhar & Sharkey, 1982; Mediavilla et al., 2002). Under HCO_3^- stress in this study, *Morus alba* showed simultaneous decreases in Pn and Ci with increased Ls , indicating stomatal limitation as the primary factor reducing photosynthetic rate. In contrast, *Broussonetia papyrifera* showed decreased Pn with Ci first decreasing then increasing and Ls first increasing then decreasing, suggesting both stomatal and non-stomatal limitations affected its photosynthetic rate reduction. This demonstrates that stomatal and non-stomatal limitations on photosynthesis under HCO_3^- stress are not independent (Liu et al., 2012), and their relative contributions change with increasing HCO_3^- concentration and duration.

In this study, photosynthesis in both species decreased significantly under both HCO_3^- concentrations. Although *Broussonetia papyrifera* experienced both stomatal and non-stomatal limitations, its photosynthetic capacity was less inhibited than that of *Morus alba*. According to Wu et al. (2011), this is because *Broussonetia papyrifera*, as a karst-adapted species, has higher utilization capacity for rhizosphere HCO_3^- . However, when high-concentration HCO_3^- is added to the rhizosphere, *Morus alba* experiences severe alkali stress and hyperosmotic stress that causes further stomatal closure and reduces HCO_3^- absorption capacity by roots, leading to more severe damage to photosynthetic and membrane systems (Cirillo et al., 2019) and dramatically decreased photosynthetic assimilation capacity. Therefore, *Broussonetia papyrifera*'s superior HCO_3^- utilization capacity can provide more photosynthetic substrates, helping

maintain its photosynthetic capacity under HCO_3^- stress.

3.3 Changes in Antioxidant Enzyme Activity, Osmotic Regulation Substance Content, and Cell Damage in *Broussonetia papyrifera* and *Morus alba* Under Bicarbonate Treatment

Under saline-alkaline stress, plant antioxidant enzyme protection and osmotic regulation coexist and cooperate (Yuan et al., 2020). Alkali stress exacerbates high pH effects, inhibiting ion absorption and disrupting ion balance (Guo et al., 2010; Javid et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2012), generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) that cause oxidative damage (Liu et al., 2008). Antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT, and POD serve as biochemical selection indicators for NaHCO_3 tolerance (Ahmad et al., 2014), effectively scavenging ROS and protecting membrane systems from oxidative damage (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2019). Soluble sugars and proline, as indicators of salt-alkaline resistance, can accumulate under stress to maintain intracellular osmotic balance and enhance cell structural stability (Smirnoff & Cumbes, 1989; Bohnert & Jensen, 1996; Zhang, 2010).

In this study, both antioxidant enzyme and osmotic regulation systems were affected by NaHCO_3 treatment in both species. The antioxidant enzyme systems of both species were activated during the initial stage of bicarbonate stress treatment to scavenge increased ROS in leaf cells. Under long-term $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, SOD, POD, and CAT activities in both species could be maintained at relatively high levels. However, under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, antioxidant enzyme activities in both species increased then decreased, indicating that HCO_3^- stress intensity strengthened with increasing concentration, and persistent stress made it difficult for plants to maintain high antioxidant enzyme activity levels. Antioxidant enzyme activity indices showed that under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, POD and CAT activities in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves increased continuously from day 2 to day 6, remaining higher than the $0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. In contrast, under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, SOD, POD, and CAT activities in *Morus alba* leaves peaked on day 4, then decreased significantly from day 6, remaining lower than the $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment. *Broussonetia papyrifera* could maintain normal metabolic levels for longer periods under high-concentration HCO_3^- stress, indicating stronger alkali stress tolerance (Liu et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2018).

Proline and soluble sugar contents in both species under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment showed that *Broussonetia papyrifera*'s proline and soluble sugar contents increased gradually and remained stable, maintaining the osmotic regulation system at relatively high levels, while *Morus alba* contents began to decrease from day 4, failing to maintain leaf osmotic regulation substance levels. Under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment, osmotic regulation substance contents in both species increased then decreased. Specifically, *Broussonetia papyrifera* proline content under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ HCO_3^- treatment increased continuously from day

2 to day 6, reaching maximum values higher than other treatments. In contrast, *Morus alba* proline and soluble sugar contents under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment decreased continuously from day 2 to day 8, remaining lower than the $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, demonstrating weaker osmotic regulation capacity in *Morus alba*. These results also indicate that *Broussonetia papyrifera*'s antioxidant enzyme and osmotic regulation systems have significantly stronger HCO_3^- stress tolerance than *Morus alba*.

TBARS can reflect not only cell membrane system damage but also react with intracellular proteins and nucleic acids, causing their denaturation (Smirnoff, 1993). In this study, under $30 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, cell membrane systems in both species suffered severe damage with continuous deterioration. However, under $15 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ HCO}_3^-$ treatment, both species could maintain cell damage at certain levels through antioxidant enzyme and osmotic regulation systems, with less damage in *Broussonetia papyrifera* leaves than in *Morus alba*.

In summary, HCO_3^- stress significantly inhibited photosynthetic capacity, antioxidant enzyme activity, and osmotic regulation substance content while causing leaf cell damage. The results demonstrate that *Broussonetia papyrifera* has superior HCO_3^- stress resistance compared to *Morus alba*. This study provides a scientific basis for understanding growth, photosynthetic, and stress resistance differences between *Morus alba* and *Broussonetia papyrifera* under bicarbonate treatment, offering guidance for protecting Moraceae plants in karst environments.

References

AEBI H, 1984. Catalase in vitro[J]. Method Enzymol, 105(105): 121-126.

AHMAD P, OZTURK M, SHARMA S, et al., 2014. Effect of sodium carbonate-induced salinity-alkalinity on some key osmoprotectants, protein profile, antioxidant enzymes, and lipid peroxidation in two mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) cultivars[J]. J Plant Interact, 9(1): 460-467.

AHMAD P, SHARMA S, 2010. Physio-biochemical attributes in two cultivars of mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) under NaHCO_3 stress[J]. Int J Plant Produc, 4(2): 79-86.

ANAS S, VIVEKANANDAN M, 2000. Influence of NaCl salinity on the behavior of hydrolases and phosphatases in mulberry genotypes: relationship to salt tolerance[J]. J Plant Biol, 43(4): 217-225.

BAI WB, LI PF, LI BG, 2008. Responses of growth and photosynthetic characteristics of *Iris lactea* var. *chinensis* under NaCl and NaHCO_3 stress[J]. Acta Pedol Sin, 45(2): 328-335.

BOHNERT HJ, JENSEN RG, 1996. Strategies for engineering water-stress tolerance in plants[J]. Trends Biotechol, 14(3): 89-97.

CHEN S, XING J, LAN H, 2012. Comparative effects of neutral salt and alkaline salt stress on seed germination, early seedling growth and physiological response of a halophyte species *Chenopodium glaucum*[J]. *Afr J Biotechnol*, 11(40): 9572-9581.

CHEN ZY, CHANG YT, DENG C, et al., 2017. Effects of saline-alkali habitat on antioxidant enzyme activity and biomass of sweet sorghum seedlings[J]. *J Jilin Agric Univ*, 39(1): 15-19.

CHEN ZY, FEI XY, SUN F, et al., 2019. Effects of saline-alkali stress on activities and gene expression of antioxidant enzymes of transgenic Lc-CDPK rice[J]. *J Northwest Sci-Tech Univ Agric For (Nat Sci Ed)*, 47(5): 15-22.

CIRILLO C, DE MV, ARENA C, et al., 2019. Biochemical, physiological and anatomical mechanisms of adaptation of *Callistemon citrinus* and *Viburnum lucidum* to NaCl and CaCl₂ salinization[J]. *Front Plant Sci*, 10(4): 742.

DOU YY, WANG BZ, ZHANG L, et al., 2008. Mitigative effect of HCO₃⁻-DIC on eutrophication stress on *Vallisneria natans*[J]. *Ecol & Environ*, 17(4): 1581-1585.

FARQUHAR GD, SHARKEY TD, 1982. Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis[J]. *Annu rev plant Physiol*, 33(1): 317-345.

GAO XK, 2020. Research progress on application of *Broussonetia papyrifera* fermented feed in pigs and poultry breeding[J]. *Animal industry Env*, 28(12): 73.

GAO ZW, LIU J, LIU QY, et al., 2018. Adaptive morphological and physiological responses of Oat seedlings to stress on two basic salts (NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃)[J]. *Bot Res*, 7(6): 16.

GREENWAY H, MUNNS RA, 1980. Mechanisms of salt tolerance in nonhalophytes[J]. *Ann Rev Plant Physiol*, 31(4): 149-190.

GUO R, LI F, ZHOU J, et al., 2016. Eco-physiological responses of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) to salt and alkali stresses[J]. *Chin J Plant Ecol*, 40(1): 69-79.

GUO R, SHI LX, DING XM, et al., 2010. Effects of saline and alkaline stress on germination, seedling growth, and ion balance in wheat[J]. *Agron J*, 102(4): 1252-1260.

GUO SK, ZHAO KF, 2001. The possible mechanisms of NaCl inhibit photosynthesis of Maize seedlings[J]. *Acta Phytophysiol Sin*, 27(6): 461-466.

HAJIBOLAND R, YANG XE, ROMHELD V, 2003. Effects of bicarbonate and high pH on growth of Zn-efficient and Zn-inefficient genotypes of rice, wheat and rye[J]. *Plant Soil*, 250(2): 349-357.

HAN RH, LU XS, GAO GJ, et al., 2007. Photosynthetic physiological response

of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) to drought stress[J]. *Acta Ecol Sin*, 27(12): 5229-5237.

HARTUNG W, LEPORT L, RATCLIFFE, et al., 2002. Abscisic acid concentration, root pH and anatomy do not explain growth differences of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) on acid and alkaline soils[J]. *Plant Soil*, 240(1): 191-199.

HEATH R, PACKER L, 1968. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation[J]. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 125(1): 189-198.

HUSSNER A, METTLER-ALTMANN T, WEBER APM, et al., 2016. Acclimation of photosynthesis to supersaturated CO₂ in aquatic plant bicarbonate users[J]. *Freshwater Biol*, 61(10): 1720-1732.

JAVID M, FORD R, NICOLAS ME, 2012. Tolerance responses of *Brassica juncea* to salinity, alkalinity and alkaline salinity[J]. *Funct Plant Biol*, 39(8): 699-707.

KEELEY JE, OSMOND CB, RAVEN JA, 1984. Stylites, a vascular land plant without stomata absorbs CO₂ via its roots[J]. *Nature*, 310(5979): 694-695.

LAUTERI BM, 1991. Effects of salinity on stomatal conductance, photosynthetic capacity, and carbon isotope discrimination of salt-tolerant (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and salt-sensitive (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) C₃ non-halophytes[J]. *Plant Physiol*, 95(2): 628-635.

LEI YB, YIN CY, LI CY, 2007. Differences in some morphological, physiological, and biochemical responses to drought stress in two contrasting populations of *Populus przewalskii*[J]. *Physiol Plantarum*, 127(2): 182-191.

LI B, WANG ZC, SUN ZG, et al., 2005. Resources and sustainable resource exploitation of Salinized land in China[J]. *Agr Res Arid Areas*, 23(2): 152-158.

LI QS, ZHOU CJ, SHANG HB, et al., 2009. Effects of transpiration on sodium accumulation in the shoots of winter wheat under salt stress[J]. *Plant Nutr Fert Sci*, 15(1): 32-40.

LIN J, LI X, ZHANG Z, et al., 2012. Salinity-alkalinity tolerance in wheat: seed germination, early seedling growth, and solute accumulation[J]. *Afr J Agric Res*, 7(3): 467-474.

LIU JX, HU HB, WANG X, 2008. Alleviative effects of exogenous nitric oxide on root growth inhibition and its oxidative damage in Rye grass seedlings under NaCl stress[J]. *Bull Bot Res*, 28(1): 7-13.

LIU JX, WANG X, JIA HY, et al., 2012. Differences in photosynthetic responses of *Lolium perenne* seedlings under NaCl and NaHCO₃ stress[J]. *J Desert Res*, 32(5): 1342-1348.

LIU Q, WANG ZW, ZHOU XM, 2017. Osmotic Adjustments in *Lycium dasystemum* and *Lycium chinense* under NaHCO_3 stress[J]. *J Northeast Forestry Univ*, 45(2): 34-38.

LIU YY, LI JZ, CHEN LEI, et al., 2006. Effects of low temperature stress on peroxidation product of membrane lipids and activity of related enzymes in wheat seedling leaves[J]. *J Tritic Crops*, 24(4): 77-80.

LU B, HOU YM, LI XY, et al., 2015. Physiological response and salt-tolerance of *Gleditsia microphylla* under NaCl stress[J]. *Chin J Appl Ecol*, 26(11): 3293-3299.

LU S, ZHANG S, XU X, et al., 2009. Effect of increased alkalinity on Na^+ and K^+ contents, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzymes in two populations of *Populus cathayana*[J]. *Biol Plant*, 53(3): 710-714.

MARIA J, GARCIA MJ, GARCIA M, et al., 2014. Hypoxia and bicarbonate could limit the expression of iron acquisition genes in Strategy I plants by affecting ethylene synthesis and signaling in different ways[J]. *Physiol Plant*, 150(1): 95-106.

MCCRAY JM, MATOCHA JE, 1992. Effects of soil water levels on solution bicarbonate, chlorosis and growth of sorghum[J]. *J Plant Nutr*, 15(10): 1877-1890.

MEDIAVILLA S, SANTIAGO H, ESCUDERO A, 2002. Stomatal and mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis in one evergreen and one deciduous Mediterranean oak species[J]. *Photosynthetica*, 40(4): 553-559.

MICHAEL TR, TERRY JR, JUAN P, et al., 2012. Root metabolic response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes with contrasting tolerance to zinc deficiency and bicarbonate excess[J]. *Planta*, 236(4): 959-973.

PENG FR, YANG YZ, ZHU ZX, 2007. Effects of drought stress on lipid peroxidation and protective enzyme systems in leaf of *Toona sinensis* from different provenances[J]. *J Plant Resour Environ*, 16(2): 44-47.

RAO S, WU YY, 2017. Root-derived bicarbonate assimilation in response to variable water deficit in *Camptotheca acuminata* seedlings[J]. *Photosynth Res*, 134(1): 59-70.

REN YH, LIU SQ, QI WL, et al., 2017. Changes of super oxygen anion in mulberry varieties under water stress[J]. *Guiaia*, 37(9): 1122-1129.

SALBITANI G, BOLINESI F, AFFUSO M, 2020. Rapid and positive effect of bicarbonate addition on growth and photosynthetic efficiency of the green microalgae *Chlorella Sorokiniana* (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae)[J]. *Appl Sci*, 10(13): 4515.

SHAHSAVANDIA F, ESHGHIA S, GHARAGHANIAB A, et al., 2020. Effects of bicarbonate induced iron chlorosis on photosynthesis apparatus in grapevine[J]. *Sci Hortic*, 270(12): 109427.

SHI DC, YIN LJ, 1993. Difference between salt (NaCl) and alkaline (Na_2CO_3) stresses on *Puccinellia tenuiflora* (Griseb.) Scribn. et Merr. plants[J]. *Acta Bot Sin*, 35(2): 144-149.

SMIRNOFF N, 1993. The role of active oxygen in the response of plants to water deficit and desiccation[J]. *New Phytol*, 125(1): 27-58.

SMIRNOFF N, CUMBES QJ, 1989. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of compatible solutes[J]. *Phytochemistry*, 28(4): 1057-1060.

STOKES TR, GRIFFITHS PA, 2019. An overview of the Karst Areas in British Columbia, Canada[J]. *Geosci Can*, 46(1): 49-66.

TERENTYEV VV, ZHARMUKHAMEDOV SK, 2020. Evolutionary loss of the ability of the photosystem I primary electron donor for the redox interaction with Mn-bicarbonate complexes[J]. *Biochemistry*, 85(6): 697-708.

TIAN H, CHEN Y, ZHU N, et al., 2020. Effect of *Broussonetia papyrifera* silage on the serum indicators, hindgut parameters and fecal bacterial community of Holstein heifers[J]. *AMB Express*, 10(1): 197.

TIKHONOV K, SHEVELA D, KLIMOV VV, et al., 2018. Quantification of bound bicarbonate in photosystem II[J]. *Photosynthetica*, 56(1): 210-216.

WU YY, LIU CQ, LI PP, et al., 2009. Photosynthetic characteristics involved in adaptability to karst soil and alien invasion of paper mulberry (*Broussonetia papyrifera* (L.) Vent.) in comparison with mulberry (*Morus alba* L.)[J]. *Photosynthetica*, 47(1): 155-160.

WU YY, XING DK, LIU Y, 2011. The characteristics of bicarbonate used by plants[J]. *Earth & Environ*, 39(2): 273-277.

YAN GC, FAN XP, TAN L, et al., 2020. Exogenous silicon effectively enhances salt stress resistance of rice by upregulating antioxidant enzymes activities and expression of genes related to Na/K homeostasis[J]. *Plant Nutr Fert Sci*, 26(11): 1935-1943.

YUAN ZB, MOU CH, WANG B, et al., 2020. Effects of mixed salt stress of NaCl and NaHCO_3 on physiological characteristics of *Iris lactea* var. *chinensis* seedlings[J]. *J Zhejiang Agric Sci*, 61(1): 91-95+98.

ZHANG C, WANG JL, PU JB, et al., 2012. Bicarbonate daily variations in a karst river: the carbon sink effect of subaquatic vegetation photosynthesis[J]. *Acta Geol Sin*, 86(4): 973-979.

ZHANG L, 2010. Research of response to salt stress and the mechanism of salt-tolerance on three *Nitraria* species[D]. Beijing: China academy of forestry science: 56-66.

ZHANG S, CHEN LH, DUAN BL, et al., 2000. Population differences in water-use efficiency of *Eucalyptus microtheca* seedlings under different watering regimes[J]. *Physiol Plantarum*, 108(2): 134-139.

ZHU H, ZU YG, WANG WJ, et al., 2009. Effects of proline on plant growth under different stress conditions[J]. J NE For Univ, 37(4): 86-89.

ZHU JK, 2001. Plant salt tolerance[J]. Trends Plant Sci, 6(2): 66-71.

ZOU Q, 2003. Laboratory procedure of Plant Physiology[M]. Beijing: China Agricultural Press: 110-114.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.