

---

AI translation · View original & related papers at  
[chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-202108.00107](https://chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-202108.00107)

---

## The Relationship Between Dopamine-Related Gene Methylation, Family Environment, and Creativity

**Authors:** Zhang Shun, Xiaolei Yang, Ren Jiawen, Zhang Jinghuan, Zhang Shun

**Date:** 2021-08-21T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

The debate on “genetics and environment” has always been a core issue in creativity research, but currently no studies have addressed the molecular biological mechanisms through which environment and gene-environment interactions influence creativity. In recent years, with the rise of epigenetics, revealing the epigenetic mechanisms that affect psychological and behavioral processes has become a hotspot in psychological research. As a bridge between environment and genome, epigenetic research provides an opportunity to uncover the molecular biological mechanisms through which environment and gene-environment interactions affect creativity. This study takes dopamine-related genes, family environment, and their interactive effects on creativity as entry points to investigate the epigenetic mechanisms influencing creativity, and on this basis, explores the molecular biological mechanisms through which environment and gene-environment interactions impact creativity. The specific research contents are as follows: (1) Through systematic investigation of the relationship between methylation patterns of dopamine-related genes and creativity, to screen for genes whose methylation patterns are associated with creativity; (2) For the screened genes, to further examine the mediating role of their methylation patterns in the influence of family environment and its interaction with genetic polymorphisms on creativity. This study will help reveal the epigenetic mechanisms of creativity and deepen the understanding of the mechanisms of genetic and environmental influences on creativity.

## Full Text

# The Relationship Between Methylation of Dopamine-Related Genes, Family Environment, and Creativity

ZHANG Shun<sup>1</sup>, YANG Xiaolei<sup>2</sup>, REN Jiawen<sup>1</sup>, ZHANG Jinghuan<sup>1</sup>

(1. Department of Psychology, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250358, China;

2. College of Life Science, Qilu Normal University, Jinan 250200, China)

**Abstract:** The “nature versus nurture” debate has long been a central focus in creativity research. However, the molecular biological mechanisms through which environmental factors and gene-environment interactions influence creativity remain unexplored. With the rise of epigenetics, identifying the epigenetic basis of psychological and behavioral traits has become a major frontier in psychological science. As a bridge between environment and genome, epigenetics offers a unique opportunity to uncover the molecular mechanisms underlying environmental and gene-environment effects on creativity. This study examines the epigenetic mechanisms of creativity by investigating the relationships among dopamine-related genes, family environment, and their interactive effects on creativity. Specifically, the research includes: (1) a systematic investigation of methylation patterns in dopamine-related genes to identify those associated with creativity; and (2) an examination of whether methylation patterns mediate the effects of family environment and gene-environment interactions on creativity. This work will advance our understanding of the epigenetic mechanisms of creativity and deepen our knowledge of how genetic and environmental factors jointly shape creative potential.

**Keywords:** creativity, gene, environment, epigenetics, DNA methylation

**Classification:** B845

## 1. Problem Statement

The “nature versus nurture” debate has been a persistent and fundamental issue in creativity research. Like other important psychological traits and behaviors, individual differences in creativity are not determined by genetic or environmental factors alone, but rather by their combined influence. Early quantitative behavioral genetics research, primarily using twin study paradigms, attempted to quantify the relative contributions of genetic and environmental factors to creativity. These studies revealed moderate heritability and non-shared environmental effects (Canter, 1973; Grigorenko et al., 1992; Nichols, 1978; Reznikoff et al., 1973), findings that have been corroborated by more recent research (Hur et al., 2014; Kandler et al., 2016; Piffer & Hur, 2014; Roeling et al., 2017). However, because quantitative behavioral genetics can only infer genetic and environmental effects by comparing correlations among individuals with varying degrees of genetic relatedness in shared and non-shared environments, it cannot directly identify specific genetic or environmental factors associated with

creativity.

To identify the actual genetic and environmental factors influencing creativity, researchers have conducted numerous molecular genetics studies with fruitful results. For genetic factors, studies using candidate gene approaches have identified multiple polymorphisms in dopamine neurotransmitter pathway genes that may be associated with creativity, including those in the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene, dopamine transporter (DAT) gene, dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) gene, and dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene. For environmental factors, research has demonstrated that early life experiences, particularly family environment, significantly impact creativity. Additionally, studies on gene-environment interactions have found significant interactive effects between dopamine-related genes and family environment on creativity. These findings collectively suggest that dopamine-related genes, family environment, and their interactions may be important sources of individual differences in creativity.

Despite these advances, critical questions remain regarding the underlying molecular biological mechanisms through which dopamine-related genes, family environment, and their interactions influence creativity. Most importantly, the lack of knowledge about the molecular biological mechanisms by which family environment affects creativity has prevented researchers from effectively linking genetic and environmental factors and from elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying gene-environment interactions.

Recent developments in epigenetics offer a promising solution to this problem. Epigenetics complements classical genetics by examining how environmental factors induce epigenetic modifications that regulate gene transcription and expression without altering the DNA sequence itself (Bird, 2007; Jaenisch & Bird, 2003; Petronis, 2010). This perspective reveals that environmental factors can influence gene expression and function through epigenetic regulation of the genome, thereby affecting physiology, psychology, and behavior (Bagot & Meaney, 2010; Meaney, 2010; Roth, 2012; Szyf & Bick, 2013; Zhang & Meaney, 2010).

Research on the epigenetics of psychological traits has shown that DNA methylation, a primary form of epigenetic modification, is closely associated with individual differences in behavior and may serve as a “bridge” between environment and genome, mediating the effects of environmental and gene-environment interactions on psychological outcomes (Barker et al., 2018; Mulder et al., 2017; Zhang & Meaney, 2010). These findings redefine our understanding of how environment and gene-environment interactions influence behavior and provide important insights into the potential molecular mechanisms underlying environmental and interactive effects on creativity. Based on this evidence, we hypothesize that methylation of dopamine-related genes may be an important factor influencing individual differences in creativity and may serve as a key molecular mechanism mediating the effects of family environment and gene-environment interactions on creative potential.

Therefore, this study aims to investigate the relationship between methylation of dopamine-related genes and creativity, and to explore the mediating role of gene methylation in the effects of family environment and gene-environment interactions on creativity. This research will deepen our understanding of the molecular biological mechanisms through which environment and gene-environment interactions influence creativity and contribute to a more integrated understanding of how genetic and environmental factors shape creative ability.

## 2.1 Dopamine-Related Genes and Creativity

Since Reuter et al. (2006) published the first molecular genetics study on creativity, numerous investigations have examined candidate genes in the dopamine neurotransmitter pathway (Ren et al., 2019; Li et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2013). Given the well-established links between dopamine function and creativity-related cognitive processes (Boot et al., 2017; Chermahini & Hommel, 2010; Cools & d' Esposito, 2009; Flaherty, 2005), genes in the dopamine pathway have been considered prime candidates for creativity research. Using candidate gene approaches, researchers have identified multiple polymorphisms associated with creativity in the COMT, DAT, DRD2, and DRD4 genes (Han et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2015; Lu & Shi, 2010; Mayseless et al., 2013; Runco et al., 2011; Takeuchi et al., 2015; Zhang & Zhang, 2016; Zhang et al., 2014a). Additionally, based on polygenic contribution theories, researchers have examined interactions among different dopamine pathway genes and identified potential multivariate gene-gene interactions (Murphy et al., 2013; Zabelina et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014b).

## 2.2 Family Environment and Creativity

Research on family environmental influences on creativity has systematically examined factors including family socioeconomic status, family atmosphere, and parenting styles. Studies on socioeconomic status and family atmosphere have found that higher socioeconomic status and positive family environments promote creativity (e.g., Dai et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2004; Shi & Shen, 2007; Wang et al., 2009). Research on parenting styles has shown that positive approaches (e.g., autonomy support, democratic parenting) enhance creativity, while negative approaches (e.g., psychological control, authoritarian parenting) inhibit it (e.g., Fearon et al., 2013; Mehrinejad et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2012; Moltafet et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2014). However, despite identifying these potential environmental influences, current research remains at the psychological and behavioral level, with no studies investigating the molecular biological mechanisms through which family environment affects creativity.

### 2.3 Dopamine-Related Gene $\times$ Family Environment Interactions and Creativity

Because individual development results from the interaction of genetic and environmental factors, creativity and its individual differences are shaped by both rather than by either alone (Bouchard Jr et al., 1993). Individuals with different genetic backgrounds may show differential sensitivity to the same environment, and identical genotypes may respond differently to different environments. Therefore, to accurately identify the causes of individual differences in creativity, it is essential to examine gene-environment interactions.

Our previous work has demonstrated interactive effects between dopamine pathway genes and parenting styles on creativity. For example, studies on DRD2 and COMT genes found that authoritarian, authoritative, and permissive parenting, as well as maternal overprotection, influenced creativity only in individuals carrying specific alleles or allele combinations (Si, Su et al., 2020; Si et al., 2018; Si, Zhang et al., 2020). Although these studies confirm the importance of gene-environment interactions, the lack of knowledge about the specific molecular biological mechanisms of environmental effects prevents further elucidation of the mechanisms underlying these interactions.

### 2.4 Family Environment and Methylation of Dopamine-Related Genes

DNA methylation, one of the earliest discovered epigenetic modifications, is widespread in higher organisms. It occurs primarily at CpG islands (regions rich in CpG dinucleotides) through the action of DNA methyltransferases, which convert cytosine to methylcytosine at the 5' end of CpG dinucleotides. DNA methylation can suppress gene expression by altering chromatin structure, DNA conformation, and DNA-protein interactions. Generally, increased methylation in gene promoter regions inhibits expression, while decreased methylation enhances it (Bagot et al., 2014).

Environmental factors can regulate gene expression and function through methylation modifications, thereby influencing development and psychological traits (Bagot & Meaney, 2010; Meaney, 2010; Roth, 2012; Szyf & Bick, 2013; Zhang & Meaney, 2010). Moreover, research suggests that although DNA methylation does not involve changes to the DNA sequence itself, individual differences in DNA sequence may moderate the effects of environmental factors on methylation. For a given gene, the same environmental factor may produce different methylation effects depending on an individual's alleles, leading to different psychological and behavioral outcomes (Barker et al., 2018; Mulder et al., 2017).

Studies have shown that environmental factors significantly influence methylation of dopamine-related genes. For example, COMT gene methylation has been linked to stress-related environmental factors (Moriam & Sobhani, 2013; Robinson et al., 2013; Ursini et al., 2011; Vaessen et al., 2015). While most epi-

genetic research on family environment has focused on stress-related genes (e.g., HPA axis genes, glucocorticoid receptor genes), a few studies have examined dopamine genes. One study found significant interactions between adolescents' DAT genotype and paternal/maternal externalizing problems on DAT methylation (Cimino et al., 2019). Another study reported similar findings regarding maternal psychological traits and DAT methylation in adolescents with eating disorders (Cimino et al., 2021). These findings provide preliminary support for the influence of family environment and gene-environment interactions on dopamine gene methylation.

## 2.5 Methylation of Dopamine-Related Genes and Creativity

Although theoretical models such as Feist's (2010) "Functional Model of Personality and Creativity" have emphasized the importance of epigenetic phenomena for creativity, epigenetic research on creativity remains limited. In one of the few review articles, researchers theoretically analyzed epigenetic factors influencing artistic creativity, proposing that methylation of neurotransmitter-related genes (e.g., dopamine-related genes) may be an important epigenetic mechanism (Karlic & Baurek, 2011).

Recent studies have demonstrated that methylation of dopamine-related genes significantly affects creativity-related cognitive functions. For instance, COMT methylation is associated with working memory, executive function, and prefrontal activation during tasks (Alelu-Paz et al., 2015; Ibrahim et al., 2018; Ursini et al., 2011; Walton et al., 2014). DRD2 methylation has been linked to executive control network connectivity in individuals with addictive behaviors (Hagerty et al., 2018). A twin study found that differences in methylation of multiple dopamine genes (COMT, DAT, DRD2, DRD4) between monozygotic twins predicted their performance on executive function tasks (Lewis et al., 2019). Additionally, methylation of COMT, DRD2, and DRD4 genes has been implicated in the pathogenesis of creativity-related psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and ADHD (Abdolmaleky et al., 2006; Kordi-Tamandani et al., 2013; van Mil et al., 2014; Yoshino et al., 2016). Based on this evidence, we hypothesize that methylation of dopamine-related genes represents an important epigenetic mechanism influencing creativity, and this study will examine this hypothesis as a central focus.

## 3.1 Research Content

This study will first investigate the relationship between methylation patterns of dopamine-related genes and creativity, and then explore the relationships among family environment, genetic polymorphisms, methylation patterns, and creativity. The specific research contents include:

1. **Relationship between methylation patterns of dopamine-related genes and creativity:** Identifying dopamine-related genes whose methy-

lation patterns are associated with creativity is the foundational work of this study. Using a cross-sectional design, we will systematically examine the relationship between methylation patterns of dopamine-related genes and creativity to screen for relevant genes, providing a basis for subsequent investigations of mediating mechanisms.

- 2. Relationships among family environment, dopamine-related gene polymorphisms, methylation patterns, and creativity:** For the identified genes, we will employ a cross-lagged longitudinal design to examine whether their methylation patterns mediate the effects of family environment and gene-environment interactions on creativity.

### 3.2 Research Protocol

To provide comprehensive information for future research, this study will simultaneously examine methylation patterns of multiple genes in the dopamine neurotransmitter pathway (COMT, DAT, DRD2, and DRD4). Given that our participants will be primarily college students and adolescents, we will use saliva samples for methylation detection to facilitate recruitment and minimize participant burden. Although methylation patterns in saliva cells may differ from those in brain tissue, previous research has demonstrated high correlations between the two (Braun et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2014).

The research protocol will be implemented in two stages:

**Stage 1:** In a college student sample, we will comprehensively detect methylation patterns in the promoter regions of COMT, DAT, DRD2, and DRD4 genes. Using both single-site and gene-level methylation analyses, we will systematically examine their relationships with creativity to identify genes whose methylation is associated with creative potential.

**Stage 2:** Based on Stage 1 results, we will select genes for further methylation analysis and genotyping. In a primary and secondary school student sample, we will conduct methylation analysis and genotyping of the selected genes. Using longitudinal tracking data and a cross-lagged design, we will examine whether later methylation patterns mediate the effects of early family environment (including socioeconomic status, family atmosphere, and parenting styles) and early gene-environment interactions on later creativity.

[Figure 1: see original paper]

### 4. Theoretical Framework

Research on the genetic basis of psychological traits has advanced to the molecular biological level. However, as research has progressed, scientists have recognized that in addition to classical genetic factors (e.g., DNA sequence variation), epigenetic factors (e.g., DNA methylation) can regulate gene expression and function without altering the DNA sequence itself. This suggests that epi-

genetic factors are equally important as genetic factors for gene expression and function, and that a comprehensive understanding of molecular mechanisms must consider both (Bird, 2007; Petronis, 2010).

Creativity is closely linked to dopamine neurotransmitter function, and recent evidence indicates that methylation of dopamine-related genes significantly influences creativity-related cognitive functions. We therefore hypothesize that methylation of dopamine-related genes represents a potentially important epigenetic mechanism underlying creativity. This study will examine the relationship between dopamine gene methylation and creativity from an epigenetic perspective, aiming to reveal the epigenetic mechanisms of creativity and deepen our understanding of its molecular biological basis.

Furthermore, as a “bridge” between environment and genome, epigenetics provides a new perspective for understanding the relationships among genetics, environment, and creativity. Previous research, lacking knowledge of molecular mechanisms through which environmental factors influence creativity, could only examine environmental and gene-environment effects at the psychological and behavioral levels. This prevented an integrated understanding of how genetic and environmental factors jointly shape creativity. Epigenetics examines individual differences from a gene-environment interaction perspective, proposing that environmental and gene-environment effects on behavior are mediated by epigenetic factors such as DNA methylation (Barker et al., 2018; Zhang & Meaney, 2010). This provides crucial insights for revealing the molecular mechanisms underlying environmental and interactive effects on creativity.

Family environment and its interaction with dopamine-related genes have been shown to significantly influence creativity. Since environment-induced DNA methylation plays an important role in regulating dopamine gene expression and function, we hypothesize that methylation of dopamine-related genes may be the molecular mechanism through which family environment and gene-environment interactions affect creativity. This study will examine the role of DNA methylation in mediating environmental and gene-environment effects on creativity, aiming to reveal these molecular mechanisms and provide a new level of understanding of the relationships among genetics, environment, and creativity.

---

## References

- Li, J. Z., Wang, W. Z., & Shi, J. N. (2004). The development of children’s practical creativity and its relationship with family environment. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, 36, 732–737.
- Li, Y. D., Huang, H., Yang, W. J., Chen, Q. L., Qiu, J., & Zhang, Q. L. (2016). The relationship between creativity and mental illness under the “gene-brain-environment-behavior” framework and research prospects in the era of big data. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 61, 1233–1249.
- Shi, B. G., & Shen, J. L. (2007). The relationship among family socioeconomic

status, intelligence, intrinsic motivation, and creativity. *Psychological Development and Education*, 23, 30-34.

Wang, X. L., Zhang, J. H., Chu, Y. X., & Liu, G. R. (2009). The relationship among family environment, creative self-efficacy, and creativity in primary school children. *Psychological Exploration*, 29, 71-75.

Yi, X. F., Wang, X. J., & Hu, W. P. (2013). Genomic research on creativity. *Journal of East China Normal University (Educational Sciences)*, 31, 56-62.

Zhang, J. H., Li, J. Q., Zheng, X. M., Zhang, S., & Liu, G. R. (2014). The influence of parenting styles on creative thinking in junior high school students: The mediating role of self-concept. *Studies of Psychology and Behavior*, 12, 145-150.

Abdolmaleky, H. M., Cheng, K. H., Faraone, S. V., Wilcox, M., Glatt, S. J., Gao, F., et al. (2006). Hypomethylation of MB-COMT promoter is a major risk factor for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 15, 3132-3145.

Alelu-Paz, R., Gonzalez-Corpas, A., Ashour, N., Escanilla, A., Monje, A., Guerrero Marquez, C., et al. (2015). DNA methylation pattern of gene promoters of major neurotransmitter systems in older patients with schizophrenia with severe and mild cognitive impairment. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 30, 558-565.

Bagot, R. C., Labonte, B., Pena, C. J., & Nestler, E. J. (2014). Epigenetic signaling in psychiatric disorders: Stress and depression. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 16, 281-295.

Bagot, R. C., & Meaney, M. J. (2010). Epigenetics and the biological basis of gene x environment interactions. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49, 752-771.

Barker, E. D., Walton, E., & Cecil, C. A. (2018). Annual Research Review: DNA methylation as a mediator in the association between risk exposure and child and adolescent psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59, 303-322.

Bird, A. (2007). Perceptions of epigenetics. *Nature*, 447, 396-398.

Boot, N., Baas, M., van Gaal, S., Cools, R., & De Dreu, C. K. W. (2017). Creative cognition and dopaminergic modulation of fronto-striatal networks: Integrative review and research agenda. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 78, 13-23.

Bouchard Jr, T. J., Lykken, D. T., Tellegen, A., Blacker, D. M., & Waller, N. G. (1993). Creativity, heritability, familiarity: Which word does not belong? *Psychological Inquiry*, 4, 235-237.

Braun, P. R., Han, S., Hing, B., Nagahama, Y., Gaul, L. N., Heinzman, J. T., et al. (2019). Genome-wide DNA methylation comparison between live human

- brain and peripheral tissues within individuals. *Translational Psychiatry*, 9, 47.
- Canter, S. (1973). Personality traits in twins. In G. Claridge, S. Canter, & W. I. Hume (Eds.), *Personality differences and biological variations* (pp. 21-51). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Chermahini, S. A., & Hommel, B. (2010). The (b)link between creativity and dopamine: Spontaneous eye blink rates predict and dissociate divergent and convergent thinking. *Cognition*, 115, 458-465.
- Cimino, S., Cerniglia, L., Ballarotto, G., Marzilli, E., Pascale, E., D' Addario, C., et al. (2019). Children' s DAT1 polymorphism moderates the relationship between parents' psychological profiles, children' s DAT methylation, and their emotional/behavioral functioning in a normative sample. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, 2567.
- Cimino, S., Marzilli, E., Babore, A., Trumello, C., & Cerniglia, L. (2021). DAT1 and its psychological correlates in children with avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder: A cross-sectional pilot study. *Behavioral Sciences*, 11, 9.
- Cools, R., & d' Esposito, M. (2009). Dopaminergic modulation of flexible cognitive control in humans. In A. Björklund, S. Dunnet, L. Iversen, & S. Iversen (Eds.), *Dopamine Handbook* (pp. 249-260). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Dai, D. Y., Tan, X. Y., Marathe, D., Valtcheva, A., Pruzek, R. M., & Shen, J. L. (2012). Influences of social and educational environments on creativity during adolescence: Does SES matter? *Creativity Research Journal*, 24, 191-199.
- Fearon, D. D., Copeland, D., & Saxon, T. F. (2013). The relationship between parenting styles and creativity in a sample of Jamaican children. *Creativity Research Journal*, 25, 119-128.
- Feist, G. J. (2010). The function of personality in creativity: The nature and nurture of the creative person. In J. C. Kaufman & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Cambridge handbook of creativity* (pp. 113-130). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Flaherty, A. W. (2005). Frontotemporal and dopaminergic control of idea generation and creative drive. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 493, 147-153.
- Grigorenko, E. L., LaBude, M. C., & Carter, A. S. (1992). Similarity in general cognitive ability, creativity, and cognitive style in a sample of adolescent Russian twins. *Acta Geneticae Medicae et Gemellologiae: Twin Research*, 41, 65-72.
- Hagerty, S. L., YorkWilliams, S. L., Bidwell, L. C., Weiland, B. J., Sabbineni, A., Blaine, S. K., et al. (2018). DRD2 methylation is associated with executive control network connectivity and severity of alcohol problems among a sample of polysubstance users. *Addiction Biology*, 25, e12684.
- Han, W., Zhang, M., Feng, X., Gong, G., Peng, K., & Zhang, D. (2018). Genetic influences on creativity: An exploration of convergent and divergent thinking.

*PeerJ*, 6, e5403.

Hur, Y. M., Jeong, H. U., & Piffer, D. (2014). Shared genetic and environmental influences on self-reported creative achievement in art and science. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 68, 18-22.

Ibrahim, O., Sutherland, H. G., Haupt, L. M., & Griffiths, L. R. (2018). An emerging role for epigenetic factors in relation to executive function. *Briefings in Functional Genomics*, 17, 170-180.

Jaenisch, R., & Bird, A. (2003). Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: How the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nature Genetics*, 33 Suppl, 245-254.

Jiang, W., Shang, S., & Su, Y. (2015). Genetic influences on insight problem solving: The role of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene polymorphisms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 1569.

Kandler, C., Riemann, R., Angleitner, A., Spinath, F. M., Borkenau, P., & Penke, L. (2016). The nature of creativity: The roles of genetic factors, personality traits, cognitive abilities, and environmental sources. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 111, 230-249.

Karlic, H., & Baurek, P. (2011). Epigenetics and the power of art. *Clinical Epigenetics*, 2, 279-282.

Kordi-Tamandani, D. M., Sahranavard, R., & Torkamanzei, A. (2013). Analysis of association between dopamine receptor genes' methylation and their expression profile with the risk of schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Genetics*, 23, 183-187.

Lewis, C. R., Henderson-Smith, A., Breitenstein, R. S., Sowards, H. A., Piras, I. S., Huentelman, M. J., et al. (2019). Dopaminergic gene methylation is associated with cognitive performance in a childhood monozygotic twin study. *Epigenetics*, 14, 310-323.

Lu, L. P., & Shi, J. N. (2010). Association between creativity and COMT genotype. Chengdu, China: IEEE.

Mayseless, N., Uzefovsky, F., Shalev, I., Ebstein, R. P., & Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. (2013). The association between creativity and 7R polymorphism in the dopamine receptor D4 gene (DRD4). *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7, 502.

Meaney, M. J. (2010). Epigenetics and the biological definition of gene x environment interactions. *Child Development*, 81, 41-79.

Mehrinejad, S. A., Rajabimoghadam, S., & Tarsafi, M. (2015). The relationship between parenting styles and creativity and the predictability of creativity by parenting styles. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 205, 56-60.

Miller, A. L., Lambert, A. D., & Speirs Neumeister, K. L. (2012). Parenting style, perfectionism, and creativity in high-ability and high-achieving young

- adults. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 35, 344-365.
- Moore, L. D., Le, T., & Fan, G. (2013). DNA methylation and its basic function. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 38, 23-38.
- Moriam, S., & Sobhani, M. E. (2013). Epigenetic effect of chronic stress on dopamine signaling and depression. *Genetics & Epigenetics*, 5, 11-16.
- Mulder, R. H., Rijlaarsdam, J., & Van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2017). DNA methylation: A mediator between parenting stress and adverse child development? In K. Deater-Deckard & R. Panneton (Eds.), *Parental stress and early child development* (pp. 157-180). New York: Springer.
- Moltafet, G., Sadati Firoozabadi, S. S., & Pour-Raisi, A. (2018). Parenting style, basic psychological needs, and emotional creativity: A path analysis. *Creativity Research Journal*, 30, 187-194.
- Murphy, M., Runco, M. A., Acar, S., & Reiter-Palmon, R. (2013). Reanalysis of genetic data and rethinking dopamine's relationship with creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 21, 147-148.
- Nichols, R. C. (1978). Twin studies of ability, personality and interests. *Homo*, 29, 158-173.
- Petronis, A. (2010). Epigenetics as a unifying principle in the aetiology of complex traits and diseases. *Nature*, 465, 721-727.
- Piffer, D., & Hur, Y.-M. (2014). Heritability of Creative Achievement. *Creativity Research Journal*, 26, 151-157.
- Ren, Z. T., Yang, W. J., & Qiu, J. (2019). Neural and genetic mechanisms of creative potential. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 27, 40-46.
- Reuter, M., Roth, S., Holve, K., & Hennig, J. (2006). Identification of first candidate genes for creativity: A pilot study. *Brain Research*, 1069, 190-197.
- Reznikoff, M., Domino, G., Bridges, C., & Honeyman, M. (1973). Creative abilities in identical and fraternal twins. *Behavior Genetics*, 3, 365-377.
- Robinson, O. J., Overstreet, C., Charney, D. R., Vytal, K., & Grillon, C. (2013). Stress increases aversive prediction error signal in the ventral striatum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, 4129-4133.
- Roeling, M. P., Willemsen, G., & Boomsma, D. I. (2017). Heritability of working in a creative profession. *Behavior Genetics*, 47, 298-304.
- Roth, T. L. (2012). Epigenetics of neurobiology and behavior during development and adulthood. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 54, 590-597.
- Runco, M. A., Noble, E. P., Reiter-Palmon, R., Acar, S., Ritchie, T., & Yurkovich, J. M. (2011). The genetic basis of creativity and ideational fluency. *Creativity Research Journal*, 23, 376-380.

- Si, S., Su, Y. K., Zhang, S., & Zhang, J. H. (2020). Genetic susceptibility to parenting style: DRD2 and COMT influence creativity. *Neuroimage*, 213, 116681.
- Si, S., Zhang, S., Yu, Q., & Zhang, J. H. (2018). The interaction of DRD2 and parenting style in predicting creativity. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 27, 64-77.
- Si, S., Zhang, S., & Zhang, J. H. (2020). DRD2 Taq IA polymorphism interacts with parenting in predicting creativity: Evidence of differential susceptibility. *Creativity Research Journal*, 32, 274-286.
- Smith, A. K., Kilaru, V., Klengel, T., Mercer, K. B., Bradley, B., Conneely, K. N., et al. (2014). DNA extracted from saliva for methylation studies of psychiatric traits: Evidence tissue specificity and relatedness to brain. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B Neuropsychiatric Genetics*, 168B, 36-44.
- Szyf, M., & Bick, J. (2013). DNA methylation: A mechanism for embedding early life experiences in the genome. *Child Development*, 84, 49-57.
- Takeuchi, H., Tomita, H., Taki, Y., Kikuchi, Y., Ono, C., Yu, Z., et al. (2015). The associations among the dopamine D2 receptor Taq1, emotional intelligence, creative potential measured by divergent thinking, and motivational state and these associations' sex differences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 912.
- Ursini, G., Bollati, V., Fazio, L., Porcelli, A., Iacovelli, L., Catalani, A., et al. (2011). Stress-related methylation of the catechol-O-methyltransferase Val 158 allele predicts human prefrontal cognition and activity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31, 6692-6698.
- Vaessen, T., Hernaus, D., Myin-Germeys, I., & van Amelsvoort, T. (2015). The dopaminergic response to acute stress in health and psychopathology: A systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 56, 35-45.
- van IJzendoorn, M. H., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & Ebstein, R. P. (2011). Methylation matters in child development: Toward developmental behavioral epigenetics. *Child Development Perspectives*, 5, 305-311.
- van Mil, N. H., Steegers-Theunissen, R. P., Bouwland-Both, M. I., Verbiest, M. M., Rijlaarsdam, J., Hofman, A., et al. (2014). DNA methylation profiles at birth and child ADHD symptoms. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 49, 51-59.
- Walton, E., Liu, J., Hass, J., White, T., Scholz, M., Roessner, V., et al. (2014). MB-COMT promoter DNA methylation is associated with working-memory processing in schizophrenia patients and healthy controls. *Epigenetics*, 9, 1101-1107.
- Yoshino, Y., Kawabe, K., Mori, T., Mori, Y., Yamazaki, K., Numata, S., et al. (2016). Low methylation rates of dopamine receptor D2 gene promoter sites in Japanese schizophrenia subjects. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, 17, 449-456.

Zabelina, D. L., Colzato, L., Beeman, M., & Hommel, B. (2016). Dopamine and the creative mind: Individual differences in creativity are predicted by interactions between dopamine genes DAT and COMT. *PLoS One*, 11, e0146768.

Zhang, D. J., Zhou, Z. K., Gu, C. H., Lei, Y. J., & Fan, C. Y. (2018). Family socio-economic status and parent-child relationships are associated with the social creativity of elementary school children: The mediating role of personality traits. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 27, 2999–3007.

Zhang, S., & Zhang, J. H. (2016). The association of DRD2 with insight problem solving. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1865.

Zhang, S., Zhang, M. Z., & Zhang, J. H. (2014a). An exploratory study on DRD2 and creative potential. *Creativity Research Journal*, 26, 115–123.

Zhang, S., Zhang, M. Z., & Zhang, J. H. (2014b). Association of COMT and COMT-DRD2 interaction with creative potential. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8, 216.

Zhang, T. Y., & Meaney, M. J. (2010). Epigenetics and the environmental regulation of the genome and its function. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61, 439–466.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.*