

## Soil Erosion Analysis in the Eastern Junggar Region Based on the MCM Model and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ : Post-print

**Authors:** Liu Junyang, Wang Mingli, Yang Jianjun, Miao Chengbo, Yang Jianjun

**Date:** 2020-12-17T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

The China CRI correction model (MCM) was applied to estimate the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values at 27 sampling sites in the Zhundong region of Xinjiang, calculate soil erosion amounts for sand land, bare land, cultivated land, forest land, and grassland, validate these calculations, and explore the application potential of the MCM model in the study area. The results showed that: (1) The total  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  at each sampling site ranged from 130.10 to 2671.54  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , with an average value of 1076.31  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ . Soils in the central and northern parts of the study area were predominantly in an erosion state, while soils in the southern part were predominantly in a deposition state; (2) The  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values estimated by the MCM model ranged from 979.87 to 1249.60  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , with an average value of 1140.20  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , which are relatively reasonable results; (3) The soil erosion moduli for cultivated land, grassland, and unused land in the desert-plain area located in the central part of the study area were 24.66, 34.30  $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ , and 77.84  $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ , respectively, indicating that the soil erosion moduli calculated based on the MCM model can effectively reflect the soil erosion conditions in the study area; (4) Significant differences existed in soil erosion moduli among different land types, with the order being sand land > bare land > grassland > cultivated land > forest land, and the average annual erosion modulus in the study area was 75.86  $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ . The soil erosion moduli calculated using the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values estimated by the MCM model in this study area are relatively reasonable and have broad application prospects.

## Full Text

### Soil Erosion Analysis in the Zhundong Region Based on the MCM Model and $^{137}\text{Cs}$

LIU Jun-yang<sup>1,2</sup>, WANG Ming-li<sup>3</sup>, YANG Jian-jun<sup>1,2</sup>, MIAO Cheng-bo<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, Xinjiang, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Oasis Ecology, Ministry of Education, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, Xinjiang, China

<sup>3</sup>Geology Party No. 216, CNNC, Urumqi 830011, Xinjiang, China

#### Abstract

This study employed the Modified CRI (MCM) model to estimate  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values at 27 sampling points in the Zhundong region of Xinjiang, and subsequently calculated and validated soil erosion rates for sandy land, bare land, cultivated land, forest land, and grassland. The results demonstrated that measured  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  inventories across all sampling points ranged from 130.10 to 2671.54  $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , with a mean value of 1076.31  $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ . Soils in the central and northern portions of the study area were predominantly in an erosional state, whereas soils in the southern region were primarily depositional. The MCM model-estimated  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values fell between 979.87 and 1249.60  $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , averaging 1140.20  $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ . Soil erosion moduli for cultivated land, grassland, and unused land in the central desert plain region were 24.66, 34.30, and 77.84  $\text{t}\cdot\text{hm}^{-2}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$ , respectively, indicating that the MCM model can effectively characterize soil erosion conditions in this region. Significant differences in erosion moduli were observed among land use types, following the order: sandy land > bare land > grassland > cultivated land > forest land. The average annual erosion modulus for the entire study area was 75.86  $\text{t}\cdot\text{hm}^{-2}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$ . These findings demonstrate that  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values derived from the MCM model yield reasonable soil erosion modulus estimates for this study area, suggesting broad application potential for this approach.

**Keywords:**  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ; MCM model; soil erosion; Zhundong region; Xinjiang

## 1. Introduction

The  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  tracing technique has been widely applied in soil erosion research and is recognized as an optimal method for investigating soil erosion processes, erosion modulus estimation, and regional case studies. Walling and others established the global  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background value distribution model using  $10^{\circ}\times 45^{\circ}$  grid cells, though simulation results for China were generally lower than measured values. Aoyama et al. developed the Modified CRI model for mainland China, establishing a calculation software that predicts  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values based on the positive correla-

tion between  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  deposition and annual precipitation. This model enables high-resolution, high-precision simulation of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventories across mainland China through Kriging/Cokriging interpolation.

However, practical applications face challenges in reference site selection. Current  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background data are primarily obtained by collecting soil samples from minimally disturbed sites without erosion or deposition, then interpolating results. In recent decades, intense human activity has made it increasingly difficult to locate ideal reference sites in many regions, compromising the reliability of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  technology for soil erosion assessment. The Zhundong region, located in the eastern Junggar Basin, experiences chronic wind erosion, making the identification of suitable background value sites particularly challenging. Consequently, obtaining extensive measured background data for model validation is difficult. Nevertheless, comparing soil erosion rates derived from the MCM model with those from  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  tracing provides an effective validation method. The Zhundong region represents a typical desert area with extensive open-pit coal mining activities that significantly disturb the land surface, creating severe soil erosion problems. Applying  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  tracing technology to study soil erosion in this region is crucial for maintaining soil and water security in the eastern Junggar Basin.

### 1.1 Study Area Description

The Zhundong region comprises a narrow zone from Caina to Beitashan in the eastern Junggar Basin, with geographic coordinates of  $88^{\circ}10' - 91^{\circ}10' \text{ E}$  and  $43^{\circ}30' - 45^{\circ}00' \text{ N}$ , covering an area of approximately  $2.23 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ . Elevations range from 2042.3 m to 1473 m. The region experiences an arid climate with annual precipitation of 183.5 mm and annual evaporation of 1303 mm. Strong winds prevail year-round, with a maximum of 88 windy days annually. The average wind speed is  $3.7 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ , reaching a maximum of  $16 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  (Level 7), indicating intense wind erosion. Land use is dominated by sandy and bare land, with minor cultivated and grassland areas. Soils are primarily aeolian sandy soil and gray-brown desert soil with low vegetation coverage (<30%) and minimal organic matter accumulation.

### 1.2 Sample Collection and Measurement

Soil samples were collected during July–August 2016 and May 2017. Based on the topography, landforms, and land use conditions of the Zhundong region, 27 sample plots were selected (Fig. 1). Each plot measured  $20 \text{ m} \times 30 \text{ m}$ , with three sampling points arranged in a “品” pattern. Surface gravel and plant residues were removed before collecting samples at 0–20 cm depth using a ring knife. Samples from the same soil layer within each plot were mixed, air-dried, ground, and passed through a 2 mm sieve.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity was measured at the Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology using a low-energy, low-background HPGe  $\gamma$ -spectrometer (20A-Plus detector). Samples (>400 g) were

counted for \$ \$50,000 s, with measurement error <5% based on the 661.6 keV peak area.

### 1.3 Calculation Methods

**1.3.1 MCM Model** The MCM model, developed by Zhang Wei et al., evaluates existing research data and conducts model optimization to calculate  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  distribution patterns across China. The model first grids mainland China into  $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$  cells and calculates  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventory for each cell using precipitation data. Then, using  $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$  designating each  $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$  grid as  $R_i$ , and each  $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$  grid as  $R_m$ ,  $n$ . For any point  $X$  with coordinates  $(\phi, \theta)$  where  $\phi$  ranges  $13.75^\circ$ - $55.75^\circ\text{E}$  and  $\theta$  ranges  $17.25^\circ$ - $53.25^\circ\text{N}$ , the model calculates:

$$i = 1 + \text{round}\left(\frac{\phi - 13.75}{2.5}\right)$$

$$j = 17 - \text{round}\left(\frac{\theta - 17.25}{2.5}\right)$$

$$m = 6 - 5 \times \text{round}\left(\frac{\phi - 13.75}{2.5}\right) + \text{round}\left(\frac{\phi}{0.5}\right)$$

$$n = 90 - 5 \times \text{round}\left(\frac{\theta - 17.25}{2.5}\right) + \text{round}\left(\frac{\theta}{0.5}\right)$$

Finally, Kriging/Cokriging interpolation is applied to achieve high-resolution simulation of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventories at any location in mainland China.

**1.3.2 Cultivated Land Soil Erosion Rate Calculation** The simplified mass balance model is widely used for cultivated land soil erosion assessment:

$$A = A_0 \left(1 - \frac{h}{H}\right)^N$$

where  $A$  and  $A_0$  are the measured and reference  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  inventories ( $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ ), respectively;  $h$  is the average annual erosion thickness ( $\text{mm} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ );  $H$  is the plow layer thickness (cm); and  $N$  is the sampling year.

**1.3.3 Non-Cultivated Land Soil Erosion Rate Calculation** For non-cultivated soils, the vertical distribution of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  differs significantly from cultivated soils. The erosion rate is calculated using:

$$R = 10 \times \frac{A_0 - A}{C \times \rho \times T}$$

where  $R$  is the soil erosion rate ( $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ );  $A$  is the measured  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  inventory ( $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ );  $A_0$  is the reference inventory ( $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ );  $C$  is the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  mass activity ( $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ );  $\rho$  is the average soil bulk density ( $\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ); and  $T$  is the time since  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  deposition began (years).

## 2. Results

### 2.1 Distribution Characteristics of MCM-Modeled and Measured $^{137}\text{Cs}$

Measured  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  inventories in the study area ranged from 130.10 to 2671.54  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , averaging 1076.31  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ . MCM-modeled  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values ranged from 979.87 to 1249.60  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , averaging 1140.20  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ . This background range is substantially different from the decay-corrected value of 6211  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$  reported by Pu Lijie et al. in Korla, Xinjiang, but closely matches the simulated values of 1193  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$  for Aksu and Lop Nur regions by Qi Yongqing et al. Background values from similar regions—Bayannur (909  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ ) and Luochuan, Shaanxi (1032  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ )—are also comparable, validating the reasonableness of our background range.

Cultivated land samples generally showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  contents significantly below background values, indicating severe erosion from long-term tillage and land consolidation. Sample point 8 showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content notably higher than background due to its location at the outlet of the Baiyang River watershed, where deposition of mountain-derived organic matter occurs. Sample point 9, located downstream in the plain area, also exhibited  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content above background from fluvial deposition.

Grassland sample point 7, with high vegetation coverage that effectively reduces erosion, showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content significantly above background. Sample points 10–12 ranged from 130.10 to 722.52  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , all below background, indicating erosion. Sample points 13–16 ranged from 983.90 to 2671.54  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ , all above background, indicating deposition. Overall, central and northern study areas were dominated by erosion sites, while southern areas were primarily depositional, except for sample point 18.

Forest land sample point 17 showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content above background. Sample point 19, despite high vegetation coverage, was below background due to its location in a high groundwater table, highly salinized dry lake basin where seasonal river flushing removes  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ .

Among sandy land sites, only sample point 20 showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content above background; all others were erosional. Sample points 20–22 were fixed sandy lands with vegetation coverage of 15–30%, supporting *Haloxylon ammodendron*, *Salsola* spp., and *Ephedra* spp., whose protective effects resulted in deposition at points 20 and 21.

Among bare land sites, points 23, 25, 26, and 27 experienced erosion, with point 23 showing the lowest  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content (130.68  $\text{Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ ) due to chronic wind

erosion and lack of vegetation. Sample points 24 and 28 showed  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  content above background, indicating deposition from protective gravel cover.

## 2.2 Soil Erosion Rate Estimation

Erosion rates varied significantly among sample points. Point 23 exhibited the lowest erosion rate at  $20.56 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$  ( $1.82 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ), while point 27 showed the highest at  $625.68 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$  ( $48.94 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ). Among depositional sites, point 16 (artificially enclosed perennial pasture) had the highest  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity and maximum annual deposition rate ( $22.74 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ,  $2.48 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ). Conversely, point 23 had the lowest  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity and minimum deposition rate ( $6.74 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ,  $0.51 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ).

Average soil erosion rates by land use type followed the order: sandy land ( $144.78 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ) > bare land ( $98.73 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ) > grassland ( $34.30 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ) > cultivated land ( $24.66 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ) > forest land ( $-22.74 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ). Sandy and bare lands, distributed mainly in the central and northern regions with low vegetation coverage, experienced intense wind erosion, particularly during April–May when average wind speeds reached  $4.60 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and maximum speeds reached  $17.80 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . The presence of extensive semi-fixed sandy lands and mobile dunes further exacerbated wind erosion in these areas.

Cultivated land and grassland showed similar average erosion rates due to higher vegetation coverage that effectively mitigated erosion. However, grassland rates were slightly higher because extensive desert grasslands in the region suffer from water limitations and poor quality. Forest land, located primarily in the southern region with *Picea schrenkiana* and high canopy cover, showed net deposition.

Validation using grain size comparison methods from Cao Yue' e et al. yielded wind erosion rates of  $124.61 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$  for sandy land and  $50.84 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$  for unused land (mainly bare and sandy land), consistent with our results. After excluding southern mountainous sites, our cultivated and grassland erosion rates ( $24.66$  and  $34.30 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ ) aligned closely with these reference values, confirming the reliability of our method. The time-distance effect of wind erosion, where multi-year averages exceed single-year measurements due to depletion of erodible particles, explains some discrepancies. Excluding the unrepresentative bare land point 23, the unused land erosion rate of  $77.84 \text{ t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$  closely matched measured data, validating our approach for sandy and bare land assessments.

## 3. Conclusions

1. Soils in the central and northern Zhundong region were predominantly erosional, while southern soils were primarily depositional. The MCM model-estimated  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values of  $979.87$ – $1249.60 \text{ Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$  (mean:  $1140.20 \text{ Bq} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ ) were reasonable and consistent with values from similar regions.

2. Soil erosion moduli for cultivated land, grassland, and unused land in the central desert plain were 24.66, 34.30, and 77.84  $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ , respectively, effectively reflecting actual erosion conditions.
3. Significant differences existed among land use types: sandy land > bare land > grassland > cultivated land > forest land. The region-wide average erosion modulus was 75.86  $\text{t} \cdot \text{hm}^{-2} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$ . The MCM model-derived  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  background values produced reasonable soil erosion estimates, demonstrating substantial application potential for this methodology in the Zhundong region.

## References

- [1] Li S, Lobb D A, Kachanoski R G, et al. Comparing the use of the traditional and repeated sampling approach of the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  technique in soil erosion estimation[J]. *Geoderma*, 2011, 160(4): 324-335.
- [2] Feng Teng, Chen Hongsong, Zhang Wei, et al.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  profile distribution character and its implication for soil erosion on Karst slopes of Northwest Guangxi[J]. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*, 2011, 22(3): 593-599.
- [3] Li Junjie, Li Yong, Wang Yanglin, et al. Study of soil erosion on west transects in the Three Rivers Headwaters region using  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  tracing[J]. *Research of Environmental Sciences*, 2009, 22(12): 1452-1459.
- [4] Fang Haiyan, Sheng Meiling, Sun Liying, et al. Using  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  to trace the impact of soil erosion on soil organic carbon at a slope farmland in the black soil region[J]. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*, 2013, 24(7): 1856-1862.
- [5] Chappell A, Sanderman J, Thomas M, et al. The dynamics of soil redistribution and the implications for soil organic carbon accounting in agricultural south eastern Australia[J]. *Global Change Biology*, 2012, 18(6): 2081-2088.
- [6] Evans R, Collins A, Zhang Y, et al. A comparison of conventional estimates of soil erosion rates on arable and grassland across lowland England and Wales[J]. *Earth Science Reviews*, 2017, 173(4): 49-64.
- [7] Misael D A, Jose A C, Hector C A, et al. Pb and Cs as tracers of recent sedimentary processes in two water reservoirs in Cuba[J]. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 2017, 33(6): 290-304.
- [8] Delmas M, Garcia S L, Onda Y. Factors controlling the variability of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  concentrations in 5 coastal rivers around Fukushima Dai-ichi power plant[J]. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 2019, 1998, 35(4): 441-449.
- [9] Walling D E, He Q. The global distribution of bomb derived  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventories[C]//Final Report on IAEA Technical Contract 10361/RO-R1. Exeter: University of Exeter Press, 2000: 1-21.
- [10] Qi Yongqing, Liu Jiyuan, Shi Huading, et al. Study on soil wind erosion by  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  tracer method in typical steppe area of northern Mongolian Plateau[J].

Chinese Science Bulletin, 2008, 53(9): 1070-1076.

[11] Hu Y F, Liu J Y, Batu N C, et al. Determination of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventories in a large scale region: A case study in the central eastern Inner Mongolia Plateau[J]. Journal of Geographical Sciences, 2014, 24(6): 1047-1059.

[12] Aoyama M, Hirose K, Igarashi Y. Re construction and updating our understanding on the global weapons tests  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  fallout[J]. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 2006, 8(4): 431-438.

[13] Zhang Wei, Pan Shaoming, Zhang Kexin, et al. Study of the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventory in the mainland of China[J]. Acta Geographica Sinica, 2015, 70(9): 1477-1490.

[14] Zhang Tintin, Cao Yue' e, Lu Gang, et al. Study on the sensitivity classification and regionalization of soil wind erosion in the East Junggar Basin[J]. Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas, 2017, 35(5): 115-121.

[15] Cao Yue' e, Wu Fangfang, Zhang Tintin, et al. Research and application of wind erosion model in Zhundong area[J]. Journal of Arid Land Resources and Environment, 2018, 32(3): 94-99.

[16] Zhao Laipeng, Fan Mengcheng, Xu Penghai, et al. Distribution of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and soil organic matter contents in wind erosion zone: A case study in the East Junggar Basin, Xinjiang[J]. Arid Zone Research, 2018, 35(5): 1097-1104.

[17] Cao Yue' e, Zhang Tintin, Yang Jianjun, et al. Grain sizes analysis and estimation on wind erosion amount in different land use types of Zhundong Area[J]. Journal of Xinjiang University (Natural Science Edition), 2017, 34(2): 140-145.

[18] Sun Wei. Modeling and Numerical Simulation of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  Tracer Soil Erosion Rate[D]. Nanjing: Nanjing Normal University, 2014.

[19] Pu Lijie, Bao Haosheng, Peng Buzhuo, et al. A preliminary study on application of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  to land degradation in wind eroded areas in Western China: A case study of korla region, Xinjiang[J]. Acta Pedologica Sinica, 1998, 35(4): 441-449.

[20] Qi Yongqing, Zhang Xinbao, He Xiuqin, et al.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  reference inventories distribution pattern in China[J]. Nuclear Techniques, 2006(1): 42-50.

[21] Wang Jian, Wu Faqi, Meng Qinqian. Benefits of tillage measures for soil and water conservation[J]. Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation, 2004, 62(5): 39-41.

[22] Li Zijun, Yu Xingxiu. Characteristics of surface runoff and its influencing factors on slope scale in rocky mountain area of northern Hebei province[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2012, 28(17): 109-116.

- [23] Chang Fangle, Kang Mengzhen, Wang Xiujuan, et al. Framework and function of aeolian sand parallel prevention and control: Application of artificial intelligence technology in sand prevention and control[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2019, 36(6): 1576-1583.
- [24] Zhang Zhidong, Chang Chungping, Guo Zhongling, et al. Soil wind erodibility of farmland, abandoned farmland and grassland in the Bashang Area of Hebei, China[J]. *Journal of Desert Research*, 2018, 38(1): 85-91.
- [25] Yang Bo, Wang Quanjiu, Dong Lili. Assessment of soil conservation function and economic value in Yulin City after implementing the project of Grain for Green[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2017, 34(6): 1313-1322.
- [26] Yu Baole, Wu Wenjun, Zhao Xuejun, et al. Benefits of soil wind erosion control of the Beijing Tianjin sand source control project in Inner Mongolia[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2016, 33(6): 1278-1286.
- [27] Mao Donglei, Cai Fuyan, Yang Xuefeng, et al. Characteristics of wind field over different underlying surfaces in the Oasis-Desert Ecotone in Qira, Xinjiang[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2019, 36(5): 1117-1126.
- [28] Zhang Chunlai, Dong Guangrong, Dong Zhibao, et al. Calculation of time distance of soil wind erosion by wind tunnel experiment[J]. *Journal of Desert Research*, 1996, 16(2): 200-203.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.*