

## Crop Coefficient Simulation and Evapotranspiration Estimation for Sand Dunes and Meadows in Semi-Arid Regions: Postprint

**Authors:** Li Xia, Liu Tingxi, Duan Limin, Tong Xin, Wang Guanli, Liu Tingxi

**Date:** 2020-12-17T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

Crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ) is of great significance for improving the estimation accuracy of actual evapotranspiration and regional water resources regulation. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), and Simple Ratio vegetation index (SR) were calculated from Landsat 8 data, combined with ground-measured soil moisture (SM) and leaf area index (LAI) in 2017. Stepwise regression analysis was employed to establish crop coefficient estimation models for meadow and sand dune experimental sites in the Horqin region. The simulated  $K_c$  values for 2018 were multiplied by potential evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ) calculated using the FAO 56 Penman-Monteith model to obtain estimated values of actual evapotranspiration ( $ET_a$ ), which were then validated against actual evapotranspiration measured by eddy covariance systems. The results indicated that: (1) The variation trends of crop coefficients in both meadow and sand dune experimental sites during the growing season were consistent with vegetation indices and SM, demonstrating the feasibility of establishing  $K_c$  estimation models based on these indicators; (2) In the correlation analysis, the correlation between  $K_c$  and SR in the meadow experimental site was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ), while the correlation between  $K_c$  and SR in the sand dune experimental site was relatively low (0.46), thus this factor was excluded; (3) After further excluding non-significant factors in the stepwise regression analysis, crop coefficient estimation models for meadow and sand dune sites were established, with root mean square errors and adjusted coefficients of determination of 0.06, 0.84 and 0.12, 0.71, respectively; (4) Validated by eddy covariance data, the  $ET_a$  calculated based on the  $K_c$  estimation models achieved good simulation performance in both meadow and sand dune experimental sites.

## Full Text

### Crop Coefficient Simulation and Evapotranspiration Estimation for Dune and Meadow in a Semiarid Area

LI Xia<sup>1</sup>, LIU Ting-xi<sup>1,2</sup>, DUAN Li-min<sup>1,2</sup>, TONG Xin<sup>1,2</sup>, WANG Guan-li<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Water Conservancy and Civil Engineering College, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot 010018, Inner Mongolia, China

<sup>2</sup>Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of Water Resource Protection and Utilization, Hohhot 010018, Inner Mongolia, China

#### Abstract

The crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ) is crucial for improving the accuracy of actual evapotranspiration ( $ET_a$ ) estimation and regional water resource regulation. Using Landsat 8 data, we extracted three vegetation indices: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), and Simple Ratio Vegetation Index (SR). Combined with ground-measured soil moisture (SM) and leaf area index (LAI) data from 2017, we established crop coefficient estimation models for meadow and dune experimental areas in the Horqin region through stepwise regression analysis. The simulated  $K_c$  values for 2018 were multiplied by potential evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ) calculated from the FAO 56 Penman-Monteith model to obtain  $ET_a$  estimates, which were validated against actual  $ET_a$  measured by eddy covariance systems. Results showed that seasonal variation trends of  $K_c$  in both meadow and dune areas were consistent with vegetation indices and soil moisture, confirming the feasibility of establishing  $K_c$  estimation models based on these indicators. Correlation analysis revealed that the relationship between  $K_c$  and SR was not significant in the meadow area ( $P > 0.05$ ), while the correlation was weak in the dune area. Stepwise regression analysis further eliminated non-significant factors, establishing final  $K_c$  estimation models for both areas. The models achieved root mean square errors of 0.84 and 0.71, and modified coefficients of determination of 0.89 and 0.83 for meadow and dune areas, respectively. Validation using eddy covariance data demonstrated that  $ET_a$  calculated from the  $K_c$  estimation models produced good simulation results in both experimental areas.

**Keywords:** Horqin Sandy Land; evapotranspiration; remote sensing; crop coefficient; vegetation index; eddy covariance

---

## 1. Study Area Description

The study area is located at the Agula Eco-hydrological Experimental Station in Horqin Left Wing Rear Banner, Tongliao City, Inner Mongolia Autonomous

Region [Figure 1: see original paper], covering approximately 30 km<sup>2</sup>. The region has a multi-year average precipitation of 389 mm, concentrated between June and September, with an average annual temperature of approximately 6.6°C and average relative humidity of 55.8%. The terrain is high in the north and south and low in the middle, forming a typical dune-meadow landscape. The area belongs to a temperate semiarid continental monsoon climate zone, with main natural vegetation including *Artemisia halodendron*, *Caragana microphylla*, *Artemisia sieversiana*, *Salix gordejewii*, and *Populus* species.

The meadow experimental area is situated in the lower-lying central region adjacent to a lake, with flat terrain, shallow groundwater depth, and consistently moist sandy loam soil. Dominant vegetation includes *Phragmites communis*, *Leymus chinensis*, and *Juncus effusus*. The dune experimental area is located in the northern dune zone with low vegetation coverage, where natural vegetation consists mainly of *Artemisia halodendron* and *Agriophyllum squarrosum*.

---

## 2. Data and Methods

### 2.1 Data Collection and Processing

**2.1.1 Meteorological, Soil, and Eddy Covariance Data** Both meadow and dune experimental areas are equipped with open-path eddy covariance systems and meteorological observation towers. The eddy covariance systems include open-path infrared gas analyzers, three-dimensional ultrasonic anemometers, four-component net radiometers, and photosynthetically active radiation sensors, measuring fluxes and meteorological elements at a sampling frequency of 30 Hz. Bowen ratio meteorological and soil environmental monitoring systems measure air temperature and humidity, wind speed and direction, precipitation, soil heat flux, soil temperature, and soil moisture .

Eddy covariance data were processed using Eddy Pro software, including secondary coordinate rotation correction, frequency loss correction, trend correction, and WPL correction. Quality control procedures included removal of data during precipitation periods, screening and removal of nighttime data, threshold testing and outlier removal, and data removal under atmospheric stability conditions. Missing data gaps less than 2 hours were interpolated using linear interpolation, while longer gaps were filled using the mean diurnal variation method to obtain accurate flux data. Energy balance closure rates were 0.85 and 0.83 for meadow and dune areas, respectively, indicating high reliability of flux data.

**2.1.2 Vegetation Data** Within the eddy covariance source areas, LAI was measured using an Li-2200 plant canopy analyzer. In the meadow area, which has dense and uniform vegetation, 15 herbaceous quadrats (0.5 m × 0.5 m) were established centered on the meteorological station. In the dune area with low vegetation coverage, 5 large quadrats (3 m × 3 m) were established, with

3 semi-shrub quadrats (1 m × 1 m) selected along diagonal lines within each large quadrat. All quadrats represent average vegetation conditions within the eddy source area, surveyed monthly during the growing season with vegetation height recorded.

**2.1.3 Remote Sensing Data** Landsat 8 OLI images with 30 m spatial resolution were selected based on image quality, acquisition time, and cloud cover conditions. Data were obtained from the USGS Earth Explorer and the Geospatial Data Cloud. ENVI 5.3 software was used for geometric correction, radiometric calibration, histogram equalization, and image cropping to improve vegetation index calculation accuracy.

## 2.2 Methods

**2.2.1 Crop Coefficient Calculation** The crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ) is the ratio of actual evapotranspiration ( $ET_a$ ) to reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ), comprehensively reflecting the effects of crop type, growth status, and soil water and fertility conditions on crop evapotranspiration.  $ET_0$  was calculated using the FAO 56 Penman-Monteith model with meteorological data, and  $K_c$  was then calculated as the ratio of latent heat flux measured by eddy covariance towers to  $ET_0$ :

$$K_c = \frac{ET_a}{ET_0}$$

where  $ET_0$  is calculated as:

$$ET_0 = \frac{0.408\Delta(R_n - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T+273} u_2 (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34u_2)}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the slope of the saturation vapor pressure curve ( $\text{kPa} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ),  $R$  is net radiation at the canopy surface ( $\text{MJ} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ),  $T$  is mean air temperature ( $^\circ\text{C}$ ),  $G$  is soil heat flux ( $\text{MJ} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ),  $u_2$  is wind speed at 2 m height ( $\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ),  $e_s$  is saturation vapor pressure ( $\text{kPa}$ ),  $e_a$  is actual vapor pressure ( $\text{kPa}$ ), and  $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant ( $\text{kPa} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ).

**2.2.2 Vegetation Index Calculation** Vegetation indices are linear or non-linear combinations of different remote sensing spectral bands that reflect differences between visible and near-infrared reflectance and soil background, quantitatively describing vegetation growth status. To identify the vegetation index most correlated with  $K_c$ , we selected NDVI, SAVI, and SR, calculated as the mean of all pixels within the eddy covariance source areas.

**2.2.3 Model Evaluation** Using 2017 growing season meteorological, soil, and vegetation data, Kc estimation models were established. Landsat 8-derived vegetation indices, ground-measured LAI, and SM data were input into the models to simulate Kc values, which were multiplied by  $ET_0$  from the FAO 56 PM model to obtain ETa estimates. Model feasibility was evaluated by comparing simulated ETa with eddy covariance measurements. Four statistical metrics were used: relative error (RE), mean bias (bias), Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE), and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ):

$$RE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |P_i - O_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^N O_i}$$
$$bias = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (P_i - O_i)$$
$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (P_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N (O_i - \bar{O})^2}$$

where N is the number of observations, P is the simulated ETa value ( $\text{mm} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ), O is the measured ETa value ( $\text{mm} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ), and  $\bar{O}$  is the mean of measured values.

---

## 3. Results and Analysis

### 3.1 Dynamic Analysis of Related Factors

To determine the feasibility of using various factors as independent variables, we first analyzed the dynamic changes of vegetation indices (NDVI, SAVI, SR), soil moisture (SM), actual evapotranspiration (ETa), potential evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ), and crop coefficient (Kc) throughout the 2017 growing season in both experimental areas [FIGURE:2, FIGURE:3].

In the meadow area, NDVI showed no significant variation throughout the growing season, as it is primarily used for dynamic monitoring of high-density vegetation. Daily ETa ranged from 0.99 to 6.47 mm, with a seasonal total of 825 mm.  $ET_0$  showed similar variation trends, with small changes during early growth (early May), rapid increase during the vigorous growth period as vegetation cover expanded, and a slight decrease in late July due to less precipitation. After mid-August, vegetation entered the late growth stage with declining indices. Kc showed similar patterns to vegetation indices, being higher during the vigorous growth period than in early and late stages, consistent with previous research. SM showed small fluctuations, generally not exceeding  $0.25 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ , with increases following precipitation events.

Comparison between meadow and dune areas revealed that precipitation affects both soil moisture and Kc. Precipitation impacts vegetation indices primarily through reduced indices after prolonged drought, with studies confirming positive correlations between vegetation indices and precipitation. The meadow area, adjacent to a lake with shallow groundwater, maintained high soil moisture, and its Kc was mainly influenced by vegetation growth stage. In contrast, the dune area's Kc was more sensitive to precipitation, showing fluctuating increases after rainfall and declining trends during continuous drought due to water deficit in both soil and vegetation.

In the dune area, ETa showed a bell-shaped distribution with a seasonal total of 247 mm, accounting for 12.15%, 69.26%, and 18.61% of the total during initial, vigorous, and late growth stages, respectively. Vegetation indices and Kc showed similar increasing then decreasing trends, while SM remained below  $0.10 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$  with minimal variation.

### 3.2 Kc Estimation Model Development

Correlation analysis was performed to further identify suitable independent variables for Kc estimation models. In the meadow area, Kc showed significant correlations with NDVI, SAVI, LAI, and SM ( $P < 0.01$ ), but not with SR ( $P > 0.05$ ). In the dune area, Kc was significantly correlated with all variables except SR, which showed weak correlation. Therefore, we selected the three most correlated variables for model development.

Using 2017 growing season data, stepwise regression equations were developed. The stepwise regression principle introduces variables sequentially, testing each for significance and removing non-significant variables until no further significant variables can be added or removed. In the meadow area, the non-significant factor SR was removed ( $P > 0.05$ ), while in the dune area, SR was also removed. The final regression equations are presented in .

Model performance improved with additional variables. For the meadow area, Case 1 (using NDVI only) and Case 2 (using NDVI and SM) both performed well, with simulated Kc means of 1.21 and 1.18 compared to the measured mean of 1.17. For the dune area, Case 1 (using LAI only) and Case 2 (using LAI and SM) produced simulated means of 0.62 and 0.59 compared to the measured mean of 0.58. Case 2 results were closer to measured values, and  $R^2$  increased from 0.82 to 0.89 in the meadow area and from 0.71 to 0.83 in the dune area with additional variables.

Crop evapotranspiration involves complex processes influenced by local climate conditions (temperature, vapor pressure deficit, wind speed) and environmental factors including soil and vegetation characteristics. Vegetation indices reflect phenological features such as vegetation density, growth stage, and height, effectively describing Kc variation trends. Soil moisture is the direct water source for soil evaporation and plant transpiration, affecting water vapor pressure differences at the soil-plant-atmosphere interface and stomatal conductance. Previous

studies often established simple linear relationships between single vegetation indices and  $K_c$ , but this study integrates comprehensive crop and soil information using Landsat 8 data, making the models more reliable.

### 3.3 Model Validation

To evaluate model feasibility, 2018 simulated  $K_c$  values were multiplied by  $ET_0$  to obtain  $ET_a$  estimates and compared with eddy covariance measurements. Due to data gaps in the meadow area during 2018, 2017 data were used for validation. Linear regression analysis showed that simulated and measured  $ET_a$  followed similar seasonal trends, with slight deviations during rainfall periods when eddy covariance data quality was lower [Figure 4: see original paper].

Statistical analysis showed good agreement between simulated and measured values. In the meadow area, measured total  $ET_a$  was 372 mm ( $3.38 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ) while simulated total was 404 mm ( $3.66 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ), representing an 8.28% overestimation. In the dune area, measured total  $ET_a$  was 236 mm while simulated total was 261 mm, a 10.59% overestimation. Scatter plots showed concentrated distributions near the 1:1 line, with  $R^2$  values of 0.84 and 0.71 for meadow and dune areas, respectively. NSE values of 0.82 and 0.71 indicated high model reliability.

This study demonstrates that integrating satellite remote sensing data with ground measurements can effectively establish  $K_c$  estimation models for both meadow and dune ecosystems in arid regions. However, the study did not consider  $K_c$  variations during different crop growth stages. Future research will incorporate multi-year data to further optimize the models and investigate  $K_c$  variation patterns and influencing factors during specific growth stages.

---

## 4. Conclusions

Using Landsat 8 satellite data, this study investigated the feasibility of estimating crop coefficients ( $K_c$ ) for meadow and dune areas in the Horqin region using NDVI, SAVI, SR, ground-measured LAI, and surface soil moisture (SM). The main conclusions are:

1. Dynamic analysis of  $K_c$  during the 2017 growing season showed high consistency between  $K_c$  variation trends and vegetation indices and soil moisture, confirming the feasibility of establishing  $K_c$  estimation models based on these indicators.
2. Correlation analysis eliminated variables with poor correlation (SR), and stepwise regression further removed non-significant variables. The final models integrated soil moisture information with ground-measured LAI and Landsat 8-derived vegetation indices, demonstrating high reliability with modified  $R^2$  values of 0.89 and 0.83 for meadow and dune areas, respectively.

3. Validation using 2018 data showed that simulated and measured ETa values were distributed near the 1:1 line with high  $R^2$  values (0.84 and 0.71). Statistical metrics indicated close agreement between simulated and measured values, with relative errors of 8.28% and 10.59%, and NSE values of 0.82 and 0.71 for meadow and dune areas, respectively, demonstrating good simulation performance in both ecosystems.

---

## References

- [1] Bao Yongzhi, Liu Tingxi, Duan Limin, et al. Simulation of evapotranspiration for the mobile and semi-mobile dunes in the Horqin Sandy Land using the Shuttleworth-Wallace model[J]. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 2019, 30(3): 867-876.
- [2] Xue J C, Xing G M, Shi H, et al. Contributions of climate change and human activities to ET and GPP trends over North China Plain from 2000 to 2014[J]. Journal of Geographical Sciences, 2017, 27(6): 661-680.
- [3] Wang Buwei, Zhang Xueqin. Change and attribution of reference evapotranspiration over the Tibetan Plateau during the period of 1971-2014[J]. Arid Zone Research, 2019, 36(2): 269-279.
- [4] Lievens H, Martens B, Verhoest N, et al. Assimilation of global radar backscatter and radiometer brightness temperature observations to improve soil moisture and land evaporation estimates[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2017, 189: 194-210.
- [5] Jung M, Reichstei M, Ciais P, et al. Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply[J]. Nature, 2010, 467(7318): 951-954.
- [6] Wang Yashu, Li Xiaoyan, Shi Fangzhong, et al. The grain for green project intensifies evapotranspiration in the revegetation area of the Loess Plateau in China[J]. Chinese Science Bulletin, 2019, 64(Suppl.1): 588-599.
- [7] Taylor N J, Mahohoma W, Vahrmeijer J T, et al. Crop coefficient approaches based on fixed estimates of leaf resistance are not appropriate for estimating water use of citrus[J]. Irrigation Science, 2014, 33(2): 153-166.
- [8] Anderson R G, Alfieri J G, Tirado Corbalá R, et al. Assessing FAO-56 dual crop coefficients using eddy covariance flux partitioning[J]. Agricultural Water Management, 2016: S0378377416302840.
- [9] Li Yi, Fu Yaya, Tang Dexiu, et al. Estimation of evapotranspiration of winter wheat based on single and dual crop coefficient approaches under sand gravel mulching conditions[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2018, 49(3): 261-270.

- [10] Yu Wenyong, Ji Ruipeng, Jia Qingyu, et al. Estimation of evapotranspiration of *Phragmites australis* wetland in the Liaohe River Delta based on the improved dual crop coefficient method[J]. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 2020, 40(1): 1-11.
- [11] Zhang Baozhong, Xu Di, Liu Yu, et al. Review of multi-scale evapotranspiration estimation and spatio-temporal scale expansion[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 2015, 31(6): 8-16.
- [12] Feng Yu, Cui Ningbo, Gong Daozhi, et al. Estimating rainfed spring maize evapotranspiration using modified dual crop coefficient approach based on leaf area index[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 2016, 32(9): 90-98.
- [13] Duchemin B, Hadria R, Erraki S, et al. Monitoring wheat phenology and irrigation in Central Morocco: On the use of relationships between evapotranspiration, crops coefficients, leaf area index and remotely sensed vegetation indices[J]. *Agricultural Water Management*, 2006, 79(1): 0-27.
- [14] Glenn E, Neale C M U, Hunsaker D J, et al. Vegetation index based crop coefficients to estimate evapotranspiration by remote sensing in agricultural and natural ecosystems[J]. *Hydrological Processes*, 2011, 25(26): 4050-4062.
- [15] Allen R G, Pruitt W O, Wright J L, et al. A recommendation on standardized surface resistance for hourly calculation of reference  $ET_0$  by the FAO56 Penman-Monteith method[J]. *Agricultural Water Management*, 2006, 81(1-2): 0-22.
- [16] Wang Wei, Wang Pengxin, Xie Yi. Estimation of evapotranspiration optimized by crop coefficient based on dynamic simulation[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery*, 2015, 46(11): 129-136.
- [17] Niu Jianlong, Wang Jiaqiang, Peng Jie, et al. Change of potential evapotranspiration and its affecting factors in desert oasis zone[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2016, 33(4): 766-772.
- [18] Duan L M, Liu T X, Wang X X, et al. Spatio-temporal variations in soil moisture and physicochemical properties of a typical semiarid meadow desert landscape as influenced by land use[J]. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 2011, 15: 1865-1877.
- [19] Wang Jing, Liu Tingxi, Lei Huimin, et al. Heat and water vapor fluxes of dune-meadow landscape in semiarid area based on eddy covariance measurements[J]. *Arid Zone Research*, 2016, 33(3): 593-600.
- [20] Xia J, Liang S, Chen J, et al. Satellite-based analysis of evapotranspiration and water balance in the grassland ecosystems of dryland East Asia[J]. *Plos One*, 2014, 9: 1-11.
- [21] Liu Yan, Nie Lei, Yang Yun. Estimation of total yield of different grassland types in Tianshan pastoral area based on vegetation index[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 2018, 34(9): 182-188.

- [22] Niu Yaxiao, Zhang Liyuan, Han Wenting, et al. Fractional vegetation cover extraction method of winter wheat based on UAV remote sensing and vegetation index[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2018, 49(4): 212-221.
- [23] Falge E, Baldocchi D, Olson R, et al. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange[J]. Agriculture and Forest Meteorology, 2001, 107: 43-69.
- [24] Wang Wei, Sheng Shuanghe, Liu Shoudong, et al. Mechanistic analysis of the observed energy imbalance of Lake Taihu[J]. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2017, 37(18): 5935-5950.
- [25] Alberto M C R, Quilty J R, Buresh R J, et al. Actual evapotranspiration and dual crop coefficients for dry-seeded rice and hybrid maize grown with overhead sprinkler irrigation[J]. Agricultural Water Management, 2014, 136(2): 1-12.
- [26] Cheng Linlin, Li Yuhu, Sun Haiyuan, et al. Applicability of fitting and reconstruction method of MODIS long-term enhanced vegetation index in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2019, 35(11): 148-158.
- [27] Zhang Yu, Zhang Liyuan, Zhang Huihui, et al. Crop coefficient estimation method of maize by UAV remote sensing and soil moisture monitoring[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2019, 35(1): 83-89.
- [28] Qiang Xiaoman, Cai Huanjie, Sun Jingsheng, et al. Adaptability evaluation for reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ) formulas in Guanzhong Region of Shaanxi[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2012, 28(20): 121-127.
- [29] Baburao K, Ayse K, Kenneth H. Estimating crop coefficients using remote sensing based vegetation index[J]. Remote Sensing, 2013, 5(4): 1588-1602.
- [30] Mutiibwa D, Irmak S. AVHRR-based crop coefficients for long-term trends in evapotranspiration in relation to changing climate in the U.S. high plains[J]. Water Resources Research, 2013, 49(1): 231-244.
- [31] Campos I, Neale C M U, Suyker A E, et al. Reflectance-based crop coefficients REDUX: For operational evapotranspiration estimates in the age of high producing hybrid varieties[J]. Agricultural Water Management, 2017, 187: 140-153.
- [32] Xu L, Samanta A, Costa M H, et al. Widespread decline in greenness of amazonian vegetation due to the 2010 drought[J]. Geophysical Research Letters, 2011, 38(7):1-4.
- [33] Peng Wenfu, Zhang Dongmei, Luo Yanmei, et al. Influence of natural factors on vegetation NDVI using geographical detection in Sichuan Province[J]. Acta Geographica Sinica, 2019, 74(9): 1758-1776.

- [34] Zhang Qiang, Wang Wenyu, Yang Fulin, et al. The influence of drought stress on spring wheat evapotranspiration and crop coefficients in semi-arid areas[J]. Chinese Science Bulletin, 2015, 60(15): 1384-1394.
- [35] Ye Qin, Jiang Xueqin, Li Xican, et al. Comparison on inversion model of soil organic matter content based on hyperspectral data[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2017, 48(3): 164-172.
- [36] Cui Junjie, Bai Jie, Zheng Lei, et al. Uncertainty of evapotranspiration products based on fusion of multi-source remote sensing data and land surface models on Xinjiang[J]. Arid Zone Research, 2018, 35(3): 597-605.
- [37] Lei H. Combining crop coefficient of winter wheat and summer maize with remotely sensed vegetation index for estimating evapotranspiration in the North China Plain[J]. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 2014, 19(1): 243-251.
- [38] Paredes P, Pereira L S, Rodrigues G C, et al. Using the FAO dual crop coefficient approach to model water use and productivity of processing pea (*Pisum sativum* L) as influenced by irrigation strategies[J]. Agricultural Water Management, 2017, 189: 5-18.
- [39] Park J, Baik J, Choi M. Satellite-based crop coefficient and evapotranspiration using surface soil moisture and vegetation indices in Northeast Asia[J]. Catena, 2017, 156: 305-314.
- [40] Gontia N K, Tiwari K N. Estimation of crop coefficient and evapotranspiration of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in an irrigation command using remote sensing and GIS[J]. Water Resources Management, 2010, 24(7): 1399-1414.
- [41] Zolfagharnjad H, Kamkar B, Abdi O. Vegetation index deduced crop coefficient of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) using remote sensing: Case study on four basins of Golestan Province, Iran[J]. International Conference on Remote Sensing, 2017, 11: 498-501.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.*