

## Early Rumen Microbiota Development and Its Regulation Postprint

**Authors:** Tian Ke, Liu Junhui, Dong Guozhong

**Date:** 2018-12-25T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

A vast array of microorganisms resides in the rumen of ruminants, providing the energy and nutrients required for animal maintenance and production. Regulating the rumen microbiota can enhance feed utilization efficiency in the rumen and reduce the emission of metabolic by-products, thereby ultimately improving the overall economic efficiency of ruminant farming while mitigating the environmental pollution associated with livestock production. Recent studies have demonstrated that rumen microbiota plays a critical role in nutrient metabolism and growth and development of young animals. This review aims to summarize the development and regulatory effects of early-life rumen microbiota in ruminants, providing a reference for the application of early rumen microbiota manipulation in practical production.

### Full Text

## Development and Manipulation of Early Rumen Microbiota

**TIAN Ke, LIU Junhui, DONG Guozhong**

(Chongqing Key Laboratory of Forage and Herbivores, College of Animal Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400716, China)

**Abstract:** The rumen harbors a vast diversity of microorganisms that provide energy and nutrients essential for ruminant maintenance and production. Manipulating rumen microbiota can enhance feed utilization efficiency and reduce metabolic by-product emissions, thereby improving the overall economic benefits of ruminant production while mitigating environmental pollution. Recent studies have demonstrated that rumen microbiota play a critical role in nutritional metabolism and growth development of young ruminants. This review synthesizes current knowledge on the development and manipulation of early-life

rumen microbiota to provide a reference for practical applications in ruminant production.

**Keywords:** young ruminants; rumen; microbiota; manipulation

With advances in science and technology, research on the ruminant rumen has expanded beyond physiological and biochemical parameters to deeper investigations of how rumen microorganisms affect the animal as a whole. Rumen microorganisms are primarily composed of bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (fungi and protozoa) [1], with bacterial populations alone far exceeding the number of host animal cells [2]. These microbes convert dietary nutrients into absorbable substances for the ruminant host, serving as the primary agents of nutrient transformation [3] while also playing vital roles in animal metabolism and health.

Early rumen microbiota represents an important research frontier. Growing evidence indicates that common issues in young animals, such as diarrhea and weaning stress, are associated with changes and disturbances in early rumen microbiota, prompting numerous studies on potential solutions. This review focuses on the development of early rumen microbiota in cattle and sheep, examining influencing factors and manipulation strategies to provide practical guidance for improving health and growth performance in young livestock.

### 1.1 Early Rumen Microbiota

The term “early rumen” refers to the underdeveloped rumen of young ruminants, which lacks the full functionality and microbial diversity of mature rumen. While some studies define mature rumen microbiota as established after 3–4 weeks of age [4–6], when the microbial community becomes largely stable and resistant to change (except with major dietary or health alterations), other research suggests significant shifts may occur up to 2 years of age [7–8]. Despite controversy over the exact timing of full rumen development, rumen microbiota eventually achieves a dynamic equilibrium adapted to diet and environment. Therefore, early rumen should be considered the period before microbiota stabilization [9].

The rumen of newborn ruminants has long been considered sterile [10–11], with microbial colonization beginning through milk intake and environmental contact. Although the rumen is an anaerobic environment populated exclusively by anaerobic bacteria in mature animals, early rumen microbiota is not entirely anaerobic. Traditional cultivation methods previously identified aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria in young ruminants, which were later completely replaced by anaerobes as the animal matured [12]. Recent pyrosequencing studies of 1–3 day-old calves have confirmed these findings [8]. However, the transient presence of these bacteria, combined with limitations in modern sequencing technologies (such as inability to reconstruct fragmented codon sequences) [13–14], has prevented detailed investigation of their functional roles or impacts on growth performance.

In mature ruminants, the dominant bacterial phyla and their relative abundances are Firmicutes (56%), Bacteroidetes (31%), and Proteobacteria (4%) [15-16]. While these three phyla are also detectable in young ruminants, their proportions differ markedly from mature patterns. Unlike the relatively stable microbiota of mature rumen, early rumen microbiota undergoes significant quantitative and qualitative changes with age. For instance, 8-10 month-old calves harbor 2,095 bacterial OTUs across 24 phyla [17], whereas 7-63 day-old calves have only 1,588 OTUs representing 23 phyla [18]. At the species level, Jami et al. [8] used real-time PCR to show that Proteobacteria dominated the rumen of newborn calves during days 1-3, exceeding even Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, but its proportion declined over time. Conversely, Rey et al. [5] found Bacteroidetes became the most abundant phylum by day 3, reaching up to 62.1% before day 83. Although both studies confirm eventual convergence toward mature phyla proportions, they underscore the profound influence of age on early rumen microbiota.

Diet represents a critical confounding factor when comparing microbiota across ages [19]. To isolate age effects, comparisons should be made under identical dietary conditions, ideally with milk-only feeding to minimize dietary variation. Based on experimental results [5,8,20], we summarized age-dependent changes in rumen bacterial composition under exclusive milk feeding .

Notably, recent research in goats revealed that between 80-100 days of age, Synergistetes (not Bacteroidetes) became the third most abundant phylum (exceeding 30%), only to be replaced by Bacteroidetes after day 110 [21]. This unprecedented observation suggests that early rumen microbiota may follow distinct patterns during specific developmental windows, necessitating more precise temporal resolution in future studies.

## 1.2 Rumen Epithelium and Rumen Microbiota

Rumen epithelium, comprising rumen papillae and mucosa, directly interacts with microbial fermentation products and exhibits reciprocal relationships with microbial composition and abundance [19,22]. When studying early rumen microbiota development, clear differentiation of rumen epithelial developmental stages is essential because the early rumen lacks digestive capacity, functioning like a monogastric stomach where only the abomasum performs digestion and absorption. Following this monogastric phase, rumen papillae and mucosal tissues develop in response to microbial fermentation products, with dietary composition influencing microbial proportions [23-24].

Importantly, microbiota composition differs between rumen mucosa and digesta. Mao et al. [25] found that in adult cattle, mucosal OTUs totaled 6,327 versus 5,573 in digesta, with Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes being significantly more abundant in digesta, while Proteobacteria dominated mucosal surfaces. In contrast, Malmuthuge et al. [26] observed 6,051 mucosal OTUs and 7,374 digesta OTUs in pre-weaned calves (7 days old), with Bacteroidetes being most abun-

dant in both compartments.

## 2 Factors Influencing Early Rumen Microbiota

Rumen microbiota development represents a colonization process from sterile to diverse communities, with microbes adapting their composition and abundance to confer host adaptability to dietary and environmental changes. Early rumen microbiota development is shaped by multiple influences that ultimately establish a stable, beneficial state adapted to the host's conditions.

**2.1 Maternal Influence** Microorganisms acquired from the dam at birth represent the earliest colonizers of the rumen, transmitted through two primary routes: direct contact during birth and colostrum ingestion. From birth to day 20, calves harbor microbes identical to those found in the dam's vagina and colostrum [27]. Protozoa, present in saliva, are reportedly transmitted through inter-animal licking during the first two weeks of life, as isolation at birth prevents protozoal detection during this period [9]. Abecia et al. [6] compared two rearing systems: lambs reared with their dams showed significantly increased bacterial richness on days 3, 5, 7, and 14, most notably rapid protozoal proliferation. A subsequent study using the same model found significantly higher Spirochaetes and consistently greater Bacteroides in dam-reared lambs [28].

Remarkably, even after sanitizing the dam's birth canal, anus, tail, and legs with sterile water before delivery and using sterile cloths during birth, methanogens (*Methanobrevibacter mobile*, *Methanobrevibacter votae*, and *Methanobrevibacter* spp.), fibrolytic bacteria (*Fibrobacter succinogenes*, *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*, and *Prevotella ruminicola*), and *Geobacter* spp. were detectable in calf rumen within 20 minutes postpartum [4]. Additionally, 16S rRNA sequencing of half-sibling calves suggests potential vertical transmission of rumen microbiota [29]. These findings collectively indicate that early rumen microbes may be acquired not only through postnatal contact and colostrum but also directly from the dam during fetal development.

**2.2 Starter Feed** Starter feed is commonly used in young ruminant production, typically combined with milk or milk replacer, and represents a critical factor for successful weaning. In lambs, *Prevotellaceae* abundance increased from 31% to 48% after starter feeding, becoming the dominant bacterial family [5]. Feeding starter to 10-day-old lambs significantly increased Synergistetes abundance in rumen epithelium by day 56, while decreasing Proteobacteria, Tenericutes, and candidate phyla, and altering abundances of unclassified bacteria at the genus level [30]. In calves fed milk versus milk plus concentrate, Actinobacteria proportions began declining from day 28 in milk-fed calves [20]. Another study found 47 bacterial genera in concentrate-fed calves compared to 72 genera in concentrate-plus-forage-fed calves, with significantly increased *Prevotella* in the latter group [31].

**2.3 Weaning** Weaning induces pronounced shifts in rumen microbiota [16,22]. Meale et al. [32] used 16S rRNA sequencing to demonstrate reduced OTUs and diversity (Chao1 and Shannon indices) during weaning, with Bacteroidetes abundance decreasing significantly from 66.1% pre-weaning to 42.2% post-weaning. Concurrently, Actinobacteria and Verrucomicrobia decreased, while Proteobacteria and Firmicutes increased significantly. A subsequent study showed weaning reversed the dominance hierarchy, reducing Bacteroidetes and elevating Firmicutes to become the most abundant phylum [33]. However, weaning timing (early vs. delayed) did not affect the final proportions of the three dominant phyla [32-33]. Although weaning consistently induces substantial microbiota changes, no studies have successfully altered the dominant bacterial groups through weaning strategies. Nevertheless, delayed weaning moderates microbiota transitions, potentially reducing stress from abrupt early-weaning changes [34].

**2.4 Animal Species and Breed** Mammalian gastrointestinal microbiota varies by species [2]. For example, ruminants such as cattle and sheep exhibit distinct microbiota profiles. In lambs (6-9 months), *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Propionibacterium* dominate rumen epithelium, whereas in calves (9-11 months), *Clostridium* replaces *Bifidobacterium* among the most abundant genera, and total bacterial load is higher in lamb mucosa [35]. Breed differences also exist within species; Holstein cows show significantly greater bacterial richness (OTU and Chao1 indices) than Jersey cows [36]. Geographic variation affects early microbiota composition: 3-day-old Holstein calves in France had Bacteroidetes as the dominant phylum [5], while those in Israel [8] and the USA [27] showed Proteobacteria dominance. Even within the same breed and region, individual calves exhibit substantial variation in methanogen species [37].

### 3 Manipulation of Early Rumen Microbiota

Manipulating early rumen microbiota can reduce the incidence of diarrhea and mortality in young ruminants [38], decreasing economic losses while improving growth performance. Given the vast diversity and functional heterogeneity of rumen microbes and current methodological limitations, our understanding remains incomplete, but accumulating research continues to advance manipulation strategies.

Probiotic administration (primarily lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria) directly modulates fermentation parameters while promoting beneficial bacteria [39]. Qadis et al. [40] observed increased *Bacteroides* abundance, elevated rumen pH, and increased ammonia nitrogen in Holstein calves [(12±3) weeks old] fed lactic acid bacteria before morning feeding. Foditsch et al. [38] administered *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* to 2-day-old calves 1 hour after milk feeding, which increased fecal *F. prausnitzii* counts, significantly reduced diarrhea incidence and mortality, and improved average daily gain during the weaning period.

Prebiotics, primarily carbohydrates and yeast cultures (commonly mannan, cello-oligosaccharides, and yeast culture), show limited efficacy. Reports indicate that prebiotic supplementation during the weaning transition may marginally improve calf growth performance but does not significantly alter rumen microbiota, with minimal effects in healthy calves [39,41].

Ionophores such as monensin are commonly used feed additives. Monensin supplementation in calf diets significantly reduces Firmicutes and Spirochaetes abundance while increasing rumen pH and decreasing methane production [42].

Rumen fluid inoculation represents another manipulation approach. Inoculating lambs with ewe rumen fluid significantly increased microbial abundance and diversity, improved average daily gain, and enhanced digestibility of dry matter, neutral detergent fiber, and acid detergent fiber without affecting health or feed intake, though effects diminished over time [43-44].

Bromochloromethane (BCM) supplementation from birth to 3 months of age in lambs significantly reduced methanogen abundance and methane production by 6 months. When BCM was also fed to dams from lambing through the 2-month suckling period, lamb average daily gain increased significantly [45]. Similarly, linseed oil supplementation from 2-6 weeks of age persistently reduced *Methanobrevibacter* and *Methanosphaera* abundance at 16 weeks without affecting growth, feed intake, or health [46].

#### 4 Conclusion

Early rumen microbiota is simpler yet distinct from that of adult animals, characterized by several unique transitional phases: a monogastric-like period where only the abomasum functions, a transition from aerobic/facultative anaerobic to strictly anaerobic bacteria, and distinct pre- and post-weaning periods. Development is influenced by numerous factors, and manipulation can mitigate diarrhea and mortality while improving growth performance and reducing economic losses. However, the immense diversity and functional complexity of rumen microbes, coupled with methodological limitations, mean current understanding remains fragmentary. Continued research will undoubtedly elevate our knowledge and manipulation capabilities to new levels.

#### References

- [1] NAGARAJA T G. Microbiology of the rumen[M]//MILLEN D D, DE BENI ARRIGONI M, PACHECO R D L. Rumenology. Botucatu: Springer International Publishing, 2016: 41-42.
- [2] ZOETENDAL E G, COLLIER C T, KOIKE S, et al. Molecular ecological analysis of the gastrointestinal microbiota: a review[J]. Journal of Nutrition, 2004, 134(2): 465-472.
- [3] DE ALMEIDA P N M, DUARTE E R, ABRÃO F O, et al. Aerobic fungi in the rumen fluid from dairy cattle different sources forage[J]. Revista Brasileira

Zootecnia, 2012, 41(11): 2336-2342.

[4] GUZMAN C E, BEREZA-MALCOLM L T, DE GROEF B, et al. Presence of selected methanogens, fibrolytic bacteria, and proteobacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of neonatal dairy calves from birth to 72 hours[J]. PLoS One, 2015, 10(7): e0133048.

[5] REY M, ENJALBERT F, COMBES S, et al. Establishment of ruminal bacterial community in dairy calves birth weaning sequential[J]. Journal Applied Microbiology, 2014, 116(2): 245-257.

[6] ABECIA L, RAMOS-MORALES E, MARTÍNEZ-FERNANDEZ G, et al. Feeding management in early life influences microbial colonisation and fermentation in the rumen of newborn goat kids[J]. Animal Production Science, 2014, 54(9): 1449-1454.

[7] LI R W, CONNOR E E, LI C J, et al. Characterization of the rumen microbiota of pre-ruminant calves using metagenomic tools[J]. Environmental Microbiology, 2012, 14(1): 129-139.

[8] JAMI E, ISRAEL A, KOTSER A, et al. Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial community from birth to adulthood[J]. ISME Journal, 2013, 7(6): 1069-1079.

[9] YÁÑEZ-RUIZ D R, ABECIA L, NEWBOLD C J. Manipulating rumen microbiome and fermentation through interventions during early life: a review[J]. Frontiers Microbiology, 2015, 6: 1133.

[10] ZIOLECKI A, BRIGGS C A E. The microflora of the rumen of the young calf: . Source, nature and development[J]. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 1961, 24(2): 148-163.

[11] BALDWIN VI R L, MCLEOD K R, KLOTZ J L, et al. Rumen development, intestinal growth hepatic metabolism in pre-and postweaning ruminant[J]. Journal of Dairy Science, 2004, 87(Suppl.): E55-E65.

[12] FONTY G, GOUET P, JOUANY J P, et al. Establishment of the microflora and anaerobic fungi in the rumen of lambs[J]. Microbiology, 1987, 133(7): 1835-1843.

[13] MYER P R, KIM M, FREETLY H C, et al. Evaluation of 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing using two next-generation sequencing technologies for phylogenetic analysis of the rumen bacterial community in steers[J]. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 2016, 127: 132-140.

[14] FOUTS D E, SZPAKOWSKI S, PURUSHE J, et al. Next generation sequencing to define prokaryotic and fungal diversity in the bovine rumen[J]. PLoS One, 2012, 7(11): e48289.

[15] CHAUCHEYRAS-DURAND F, OSSA F. Review: the rumen microbiome: composition, abundance, diversity, and new investigative tools[J]. Professional Animal Scientist, 2014, 30(1): 1-12.

- [16] WANG L Z, XU Q, KONG F L, et al. Exploring the goat rumen microbiome from seven days to two years[J]. PLoS One, 2016, 11(5): e0154354.
- [17] ZHANG J, SHI H T, WANG Y J, et al. Effect of dietary forage to concentrate ratios on dynamic profile changes and interactions of ruminal microbiota and metabolites in holstein heifers[J]. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2017, 8: 2206.
- [18] DIAS J, MARCONDES M I, DE SOUZA S M, et al. Assessing bacterial community dynamics across gastrointestinal tracts dairy calves during preweaning development[J]. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2018, 84(9): e02675-17.
- [19] MALMUTHUGE N, GUAN L L. Understanding host-microbial interactions rumen: searching the best opportunity for microbiota manipulation[J]. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology, 2017, 8: 8.
- [20] DIAS J, MARCONDES M I, NORONHA M F, et al. Effect of pre-weaning diet on the ruminal archaeal, bacterial, and fungal communities dairy calves[J]. Frontiers Microbiology, 2017, 8: 1553.
- [21] HAN X F, YANG Y X, YAN H L, et al. Rumen bacterial diversity of 80 to 110-day-old goats using 16S rRNA sequencing[J]. PLoS One, 2015, 10(2): e0117811.
- [22] MEALE S J, CHAUCHEYRAS-DURAND F, BERENDS H, et al. From pre-to postweaning: transformation of the young calf's gastrointestinal tract[J]. Journal of Dairy Science, 2017, 100(7): 5984-5995.
- [23] GUZMAN C E, BEREZA-MALCOLM L T, DE GROEF B, et al. Uptake of milk with and without solid feed during the monogastric phase: effect on fibrolytic and methanogenic microorganisms gastrointestinal tract calves[J]. Animal Science Journal, 2016, 87(3): 378-388.
- [24] OIKONOMOU G, TEIXEIRA A G V, FODITSCH C, et al. Fecal microbial diversity in pre-weaned dairy calves as described by pyrosequencing of metagenomic 16S rDNA. Associations of faecalibacterium species with health and growth[J]. PLoS One, 2013, 8(4): e63157.
- [25] MAO S Y, ZHANG M L, LIU J H, et al. Characterising the bacterial microbiota across the gastrointestinal tracts dairy cattle: membership potential function[J]. Scientific Reports, 2015, 5: 16116.
- [26] MALMUTHUGE N, GRIEBEL P J, GUAN L L. Taxonomic identification of commensal bacteria associated with the mucosa and digesta throughout the gastrointestinal tracts of preweaned calves[J]. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2014, 80(6): 2021-2028.
- [27] YEOMAN C J, ISHAQ S L, BICHI E, et al. Biogeographical differences in the influence of maternal microbial sources on the early successional development of the bovine neonatal gastrointestinal tract[J]. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8(1): 3197.

- [28] ABECIA L, JIMÉNEZ E, MARTÍNEZ-FERNANDEZ G, et al. Natural and artificial feeding management before weaning promote different rumen microbial colonization but not differences expression levels rumen epithelium of newborn goats[J]. *PLoS One*, 2017, 12(8): e0182235.
- [29] SASSON G, BEN-SHABAT S K, SEROUSSI E, et al. Heritable bovine rumen bacteria are phylogenetically related and correlated with the cow's capacity to harvest energy from its feed[J]. *mBio*, 2017, 8(4): e00703-17.
- [30] LIU J, BIAN G, SUN D, et al. Starter feeding altered ruminal epithelial bacterial communities and some key immune-related genes' expression before weaning in lambs[J]. *Journal of Animal Science*, 2017, 95(2): 910-921.
- [31] KIM Y H, NAGATA R, OHTANI N, et al. Effects of dietary forage and calf starter diet on ruminal pH and bacteria in Holstein calves during weaning transition[J]. *Frontiers Microbiology*, 2016, 7: 1575.
- [32] MEALE S J, LI S C, AZEVEDO P, et al. Development of ruminal and fecal microbiomes are affected by weaning not weaning strategy dairy calves[J]. *Frontiers Microbiology*, 2016, 7: 582.
- [33] MEALE S J, LI S C, AZEVEDO P, et al. Weaning age influences the severity of gastrointestinal microbiome shifts in dairy calves[J]. *Scientific Reports*, 2017, 7(1): 198.
- [34] LOBERG J M, HERNANDEZ C E, THIERFELDER T, et al. Weaning and separation in two steps—a way to decrease stress in dairy calves suckled by foster cows[J]. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 2008, 111(3/4): 222-234.
- [35] COLLADO M C, SANZ Y. Quantification of mucosa-adhered microbiota of lambs and calves by the use of culture methods and fluorescent in situ hybridization coupled with flow cytometry techniques[J]. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2007, 121(3/4): 299-306.
- [36] PAZ H A, ANDERSON C L, MULLER M J, et al. Rumen bacterial community composition in holstein and jersey cows is different under same dietary condition and is not affected by sampling method[J]. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2016, 7: 1206.
- [37] ZHOU M, CHEN Y H, GRIEBEL P J, et al. Methanogen prevalence throughout gastrointestinal tract of pre-weaned dairy calves[J]. *Gut Microbes*, 2014, 5(5): 628-638.
- [38] FODITSCH C, VAN VLECK PEREIRA R, GANDA E K, et al. Oral administration of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* decreased the incidence of severe diarrhea and related mortality rate and increased weight gain in preweaned dairy heifers[J]. *PLoS One*, 2015, 10(12): e0145485.
- [39] UYENO Y, SHIGEMORI S, SHIMOSATO T. Effect of probiotics/prebiotics on cattle health and productivity[J]. *Microbes and Environments*, 2015, 30(2): 126-132.

- [40] QADIS A Q, GOYA S, IKUTA K, et al. Effects of a bacteria-based probiotic on ruminal pH, volatile fatty acids and bacterial flora of Holstein calves[J]. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 2014, 76(6): 877-885.
- [41] KIDO K, TEJIMA S, NAGAYAMA H, et al. Effects supplementation with celooligosaccharides on growth performance of weaned calves on pasture[J]. *Animal Science Journal*, 2016, 87(5): 661-665.
- [42] SHEN J S, LIU Z, YU Z T, et al. Monensin and nisin affect rumen fermentation and microbiota differently in vitro[J]. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2017, 8: 1111.
- [43] DE BARBIERI I, HEGARTY R S, SILVEIRA C, et al. Programming rumen bacterial communities in newborn Merino lambs[J]. *Small Ruminant Research*, 2015, 129: 48-59.
- [44] ZHONG R Z, SUN H X, LI G D, et al. Effects of inoculation with rumen fluid on nutrient digestibility, growth performance and rumen fermentation of early weaned lambs[J]. *Livestock Science*, 2014, 162: 154-158.
- [45] ABECIA L, MARTÍN-GARCÍA A I, MARTÍNEZ G, et al. Nutritional intervention in early to manipulate rumen microbial colonization and methane output by kid goats postweaning[J]. *Journal of Animal Science*, 2013, 91(10): 4832-4840.
- [46] LYONS T, BOLAND T, STOREY S, et al. Linseed oil supplementation of lambs' diet in early leads persistent changes rumen microbiome structure[J]. *Frontiers Microbiology*, 2017, 8: 1656.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.*