

---

AI translation · View original & related papers at  
[chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-201812.00273](https://chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-201812.00273)

---

## Effects of Carbon Dioxide on Poultry and Control Measures (Postprint)

**Authors:** Yongbo Sun, Wang Ya, Sarena, Zhang Hongfu

**Date:** 2018-12-24T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

Environmental control is the most critical component of broiler production technology, as high concentrations of harmful gases can affect the healthy growth of broilers. Although carbon dioxide itself is not toxic, prolonged exposure to high concentrations of carbon dioxide in poultry houses may reduce broiler growth performance and compromise broiler health. Strengthening research on the hazards of indoor carbon dioxide to livestock and poultry health, and exploring effective measures to improve air quality in livestock and poultry houses, is of great significance for the healthy growth of livestock and poultry and environmental friendliness. This paper mainly provides a theoretical basis for in-depth research on the effects of carbon dioxide on broiler health and rational regulation of indoor carbon dioxide concentrations by reviewing the hazards of indoor carbon dioxide and measures to reduce carbon dioxide concentrations.

### Full Text

## Effects of Carbon Dioxide on Poultry and Control Measures

\*\*SUN Yongbo, WANG Ya, SA Renna\*, ZHANG Hongfu\*\*

(State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China)

**Abstract:** Environmental control is the most critical component of broiler production technology, as high concentrations of harmful gases can compromise healthy growth. Although carbon dioxide itself is not toxic, prolonged exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels in poultry houses may reduce growth performance and jeopardize broiler health. Strengthening research on the hazards of CO<sub>2</sub> to livestock health and exploring effective measures to improve air quality in animal facilities are of great significance for both animal welfare and environmental sustainability. This paper reviews the hazards of CO<sub>2</sub> in poultry houses and measures for reducing its concentration, providing a theoretical basis for further

research on CO<sub>2</sub>' s impact on broiler health and for rational regulation of CO<sub>2</sub> levels in poultry facilities.

**Keywords:** carbon dioxide; broilers; hazards; control measures

With the development of large-scale livestock production, environmental impacts on animal health have become increasingly prominent. Prevention is more important than treatment, and effective environmental control is essential for profitable poultry operations. Air quality within the house is a key factor for healthy broiler growth. Long-term exposure to poor air quality leads to frequent respiratory diseases such as tracheitis and broiler respiratory syndrome, indirectly reducing growth performance and immune function. Harmful gases in poultry houses mainly include ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, and carbon dioxide. While numerous studies have investigated the effects of ammonia and hydrogen sulfide on broilers [1-5], research on carbon dioxide remains limited.

In recent years, rising fuel prices have led farmers to reduce ventilation rates to maintain house temperature, particularly during winter, resulting in increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels are relatively stable at approximately 0.03%, but human activities have caused a gradual increase, with global average concentrations reaching 400 mg/kg for the first time in 2015—a new record. Outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in urban areas range from 375–450 mg/kg and are projected to reach 700 mg/kg by the end of the 21st century [6]. Broilers have rapid growth rates, vigorous metabolism, high oxygen demand, and consequently high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Winter ventilation is minimal, and many houses use coal heating, further elevating CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Burns et al. [7] reported that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from broilers increase linearly with age. Zhang et al. [8] found that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 1–42 day-old broilers initially increase gradually with age before stabilizing.

Although CO<sub>2</sub> itself is not toxic, excessively high concentrations reduce oxygen levels, and chronic hypoxia can lead to chronic poisoning, decreased immune function and feed intake, and reduced production efficiency [9]. Many producers remain uncertain about what constitutes a reasonable CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and whether it should be a management priority. Therefore, understanding CO<sub>2</sub>' s effects on broiler growth and health is crucial for the sustainable development of the poultry industry. This review summarizes CO<sub>2</sub> sources, hazards, and control measures in poultry houses to provide early warning parameters for air quality and theoretical support for further research on CO<sub>2</sub>' s mechanisms of action and rational control strategies.

## 1 Overview of Carbon Dioxide

Carbon dioxide is colorless, odorless, and non-toxic, but high concentrations can cause discomfort and even harm. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration indicates ventilation effectiveness and air cleanliness; when CO<sub>2</sub> levels rise, other harmful gas concentrations also increase, making CO<sub>2</sub> a reliable indicator for monitoring air pollution [10]. In broiler houses, CO<sub>2</sub> primarily originates from bird respiration

and aerobic decomposition of carbohydrates, influenced by bird body weight. Microbial decomposition of manure and litter also produces CO<sub>2</sub>, accounting for 4-7% of total emissions [11]. As broilers grow, increased respiration raises house CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations [12].

China's National Indoor Air Quality Standard (GB/T 18883–2002) specifies that indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations should not exceed 0.10% (1,000 mg/kg). The American Conference of Government Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) recommends a workplace exposure limit of 5,000 mg/kg. Wang et al. [13] suggested 5,000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> as a control standard for belt-cleaned layer houses. China's livestock farm environmental quality standard (NY/T 388–1999) sets an upper limit of 1,500 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (approximately 765 mg/kg) for poultry houses. Current standards appear outdated, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration parameters need revision.

## 2 Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration in Poultry Houses

Current research on CO<sub>2</sub> in broiler production focuses primarily on monitoring house CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and emissions. Li et al. [14] developed a wireless sensor network-based real-time monitoring system for poultry house CO<sub>2</sub>, enabling multi-point monitoring and timely air quality control. Shen et al. [15] reported no significant differences in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration among upper, middle, and lower tiers in stacked cage systems, with concentrations ranging from 4,000–6,000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> during weeks 3–6. Shen et al. [16] also found that winter CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were significantly higher than in autumn (3,633–5,681 mg/m<sup>3</sup> vs. 2,725–3,477 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Zhang et al. [17] studied a commercial broiler house with 32,400 birds and found CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 2,777–7,790 mg/m<sup>3</sup> after three weeks, exceeding recommended limits and requiring enhanced ventilation. Wang et al. [18] reported average CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 3,673 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (8.29 times outdoor levels) and peak concentrations of 4,680 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (10.56 times outdoor levels). Hu et al. [19] measured winter environmental parameters in three broiler house types, finding CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 3,700 mg/L in closed houses, 3,712 mg/L in closed-window houses, and 2,740 mg/L in semi-open houses—all severely exceeding standards. Zhou et al. [20] reported that caged broilers aged 36–42 days had average CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors of  $(154.4 \pm 45.7) g / (d \cdot bird)$ . *Summer ventilation rates were highest, yielding the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (1,522 ± 97 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), while winter ventilation was minimal, resulting in the highest concentrations (3,818 ± 329 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)* concentrations commonly exceed national standards, and the health impacts and threshold concentrations warrant further investigation.

### 3.1 Hazards of Carbon Dioxide

Although non-toxic, high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations reduce atmospheric oxygen content, causing hypoxia that rapidly depletes brain ATP. This deprives the central nervous system of energy, impairs sodium pump function, and allows Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> influx into cells, increasing intracellular osmotic pressure and causing cere-

bral edema [21]. Excessive CO<sub>2</sub> also damages the lungs and cardiovascular system [22]. Most toxicity research focuses on medical applications with extremely high concentrations (5–6% or lethal doses). Fang et al. [23] reported that hypoxia with high CO<sub>2</sub> increased blood-brain barrier permeability in mice. Wang et al. [24] found that high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations caused significant toxic damage to rat right ventricles and lung tissue, reducing superoxide dismutase activity while increasing lipid peroxidation, indicating impaired antioxidant capacity and enhanced oxidative damage.

Hypoxia with high CO<sub>2</sub> induced neuronal apoptosis in mouse cortex and hippocampus, with effects becoming more pronounced over time [25]. Chronic hypoxia with high CO<sub>2</sub> caused mitochondrial dysfunction in mouse brains, reducing ATP and AMP concentrations, SOD activity, and glutathione content, leading to muscle fiber atrophy, mitochondrial damage, and inflammatory cell infiltration [26–27]. CO<sub>2</sub> poisoning patients showed creatine kinase activity approximately 20 times normal levels, with ALT and AST activity 2–4 times normal, indicating severe myocardial and hepatic damage [28]. Additionally, classroom CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations often exceed 1,000 mg/kg, sometimes surpassing 3,000 mg/kg. While not dangerous to health, these levels impair cognitive function and decision-making, with performance declining in 6 of 9 tests when CO<sub>2</sub> exceeded 1,000 mg/kg [29]. Vehviläinen et al. [30] reported that high indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations elevated transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> levels, caused abnormal heart rate changes, and increased peripheral blood circulation. These studies demonstrate severe effects on brain, lung, and cardiovascular systems in mice, but whether similar effects occur in broilers requires further investigation.

Livestock research on CO<sub>2</sub> health effects is limited and often dated. Studies show that rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> causes cellular oxidation, DNA damage, and increased mutation frequency [31]. Purswell et al. [32] found that 2,500–6,500 mg/kg CO<sub>2</sub> had no significant effect on 28–49 day-old broiler weight gain or feed conversion. Reece et al. [33] reported that 3,000–6,000 mg/kg CO<sub>2</sub> did not affect 1–28 day-old broiler performance, but 12,000 mg/kg reduced body weight without affecting feed conversion. Olanrewaju et al. [34] found that 3,000–9,000 mg/kg CO<sub>2</sub> did not affect growth performance or blood parameters (pCO<sub>2</sub>, pO<sub>2</sub>, pH, hemoglobin, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>) in 1–14 day-old broilers but increased later mortality. MCGovern et al. [35] reported that 5-week exposure to 6,000 mg/kg CO<sub>2</sub> did not increase ascites syndrome incidence.

Dai et al. [36] found that CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations below 12 g/L did not significantly affect broiler performance, but levels above this threshold caused chronic respiratory acidosis, pulmonary alveoli filled with proteinaceous fluid, inflammatory cells, and shed epithelial cells, severe venous congestion, and reduced weight gain and feed efficiency. Another study [37] reported that 0.03–0.15% CO<sub>2</sub> had no significant effect on broiler carcass traits. Chen et al. [38] found that 3,000–15,000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> did not affect serum IgA, IgM, or IgG levels, but at 12,000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, red blood cell counts decreased significantly while hemoglobin and white blood cell counts increased, indicating stress responses detrimental to

health. Helbacka et al. [39] reported that 5% CO<sub>2</sub> significantly reduced blood pH and eggshell thickness in laying hens. Lu et al. [40] found that increased CO<sub>2</sub> slightly reduced egg production and weight, but effects disappeared after adaptation.

### 3.2 Applications of Carbon Dioxide

Gerritzen et al. [41] demonstrated that CO<sub>2</sub> is the most important physiological regulator of respiration, with arterial pCO<sub>2</sub> being essential for maintaining respiratory drive. Chicken embryos appear to require CO<sub>2</sub> during critical developmental periods to enhance growth, early hatching, and improve hatchability, as CO<sub>2</sub> promotes organ development and function [42]. While traditionally considered detrimental to incubation, recent research suggests hypercapnia may benefit embryonic development during certain stages. Smit et al. [43] observed accelerated embryonic growth in broiler eggs incubated at 0.7% CO<sub>2</sub>. Buys et al. [44] reported that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> during late incubation increased embryo weight, reduced ascites incidence, and advanced hatching with increased chick weight.

Extremely high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are used for stunning broilers to improve welfare. Inhalation of large CO<sub>2</sub> quantities causes respiratory and metabolic acidosis, reduces cerebrospinal fluid and neuronal pH, and exerts inhibitory and anesthetic effects on neurons [45]. Xu et al. [46] reported that different CO<sub>2</sub> stunning concentrations affected meat quality, though plasma corticosterone, glucose, meat pH, and color were unaffected.

### 4.1 Strengthening CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring and Daily Management

Modern poultry house environmental monitoring systems integrate multiple sensors for continuous multi-point monitoring, enabling real-time assessment of environmental parameters, automatic data analysis, and threshold-based alarms to support scientific management [47]. Wang et al. [48] developed a wireless remote monitoring system for poultry house environments that tracks CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia levels, providing scientific management tools and improving efficiency. Zhu et al. [49] developed a harmful gas monitoring system based on ZigBee and GPRS technology that monitors CO<sub>2</sub>, ammonia, and hydrogen sulfide with convenient, fast, and accurate operation. Real-time remote monitoring is essential for understanding CO<sub>2</sub> levels, but management improvements are required when air quality declines.

Ventilation is the most direct and effective method to reduce CO<sub>2</sub>, though temperature must be considered simultaneously. Increasing litter depth can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations—Shao et al. [50] reported that 12 cm litter significantly reduced CO<sub>2</sub> at 70 days compared to 4 cm litter. Reducing stocking density also lowers CO<sub>2</sub> levels and improves air quality, as Tong et al. [51] found that high-density houses had significantly higher CO<sub>2</sub> at 42 days than medium- and low-density houses.

## 4.2 Feed Additives and Disinfectants

Research shows that feed additives and disinfectants can effectively reduce ammonia concentrations, though studies on CO<sub>2</sub> are limited. Hu et al. [52] reported that Chinese herbal microecological preparations in cattle diets reduced CO<sub>2</sub> and other harmful gases, with effects improving over time. Liu et al. [53] found that spraying biological deodorizers reduced poultry house CO<sub>2</sub> by 31.94%. Chen et al. [54] reported that povidone-iodine disinfectant spray reduced CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. These findings suggest certain additives and disinfectants can effectively reduce CO<sub>2</sub>. However, specific products for poultry houses are lacking and require further research and development. Additionally, minerals with large surface areas and pore volumes strongly adsorb ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, CO<sub>2</sub>, and moisture, improving air quality. Gong et al. [55] reported that alkaline absorbent GY-4 effectively absorbed CO<sub>2</sub> in cattle barns.

## 4.3 Reducing Ammonia Concentration

Wang et al. [13] summarized numerous studies on ammonia and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in layer houses with different manure removal systems, finding significant correlations between CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia levels. Kocaman et al. [56] conducted two-month trials in layer houses and reported significant positive correlations between CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia concentrations. Ni et al. [57] also found that ammonia and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations followed similar trends, both negatively correlated with ventilation rate. Therefore, reducing ammonia concentration concurrently lowers CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Methods to reduce ammonia include supplementing essential amino acids, reducing dietary crude protein [58], and adding enzymes, probiotics, and plant extracts to feed [59-61], which indirectly reduce CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

## 5 Summary

As broiler production becomes more intensive, environmental impacts on health grow increasingly important. Although non-toxic, high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations cause hypoxia, leading to appetite loss, reduced productivity, and weakened immunity. This paper summarized CO<sub>2</sub> sources, hazards, and mitigation measures in broiler houses. However, research on CO<sub>2</sub>'s health effects remains incomplete, particularly regarding respiratory system impacts. Therefore, in-depth studies on CO<sub>2</sub> concentration dynamics, combined with high-throughput sequencing and omics technologies to investigate CO<sub>2</sub>'s effects on broiler health and develop effective reduction strategies, are crucial for China's broiler industry.

## References:

- [1] MILES D M, BRANTON S L, LOTT B D. Atmospheric ammonia is detrimental to the performance of modern commercial broilers[J]. Poultry Science, 2004, 83(10): 1650-1654.

- [2] YI B, CHEN L, RENNA S N, et al. Transcriptome profile analysis of breast muscle tissues from high or low levels of atmospheric ammonia exposed broilers (*Gallus gallus*)[J]. *PLoS One*, 2016, 11(9): e0162631.
- [3] BEKER A, VANHOOSER S L, SWARTZLANDER J H, et al. Atmospheric ammonia concentration effects on broiler growth and performance[J]. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 2004, 13(1): 5-9.
- [4] XING H, LUAN S J, SUN Y B, et al. Effects of ammonia exposure on carcass traits and fatty acid composition of broiler meat[J]. *Animal Nutrition*, 2016, 2(4): 282-287.
- [5] MENG Q P. Effects of different hydrogen sulfide concentrations on growth performance, immune function, and meat quality of broiler chickens[D]. Master's thesis. Hangzhou: Zhejiang University, 2009.
- [6] GENTHON G, BARNOLA J M, RAYNAUD D, et al. Vostok ice core: climatic response to orbital forcing changes climatic cycle[J]. *Nature*, 1987, 329(6138): 414-418.
- [7] BURNS R T, LI H, XIN H W, et al. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from broiler houses in the southeastern United States[C]//Agricultural and Biological Engineering Conference Proceedings and Presentations. [S.l.]: ASABE, 2008.
- [8] ZHANG X D, ZHU L Y, LU Q P, et al. Study on greenhouse gas emissions from broilers under net-floor rearing mode[J]. *Acta Veterinaria et Zootechnica Sinica*, 2017, 48(1): 108-115.
- [9] WEI F X, HU X F, LI S Y, et al. Research progress on control technologies for harmful gases in broiler houses[J]. *China Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine*, 2011, 38(11): 231-234.
- [10] HUANG H, NIU Z Y. Harmful gas environment control system for livestock houses based on PIC18F2580[J]. *Measurement and Control Technology*, 2009, 28(4): 49-52, 57.
- [11] JOHNSON J M F, FRANZLUEBBERS A J, WEYERS S L, et al. Agricultural opportunities to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions[J]. *Environmental Pollution*, 2007, 150(1): 107-124.
- [12] MILES D M, OWENS P R, ROWE D E. Spatial variability of litter gaseous flux within a commercial broiler house: ammonia, nitrous oxide, carbon dioxide, and methane[J]. *Poultry Science*, 2006, 85(2): 167-72.
- [13] WANG Y, WANG C Y, LI B M. Control standard for CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and determination of minimum ventilation rate in layer houses during winter[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 2017, 33(2): 240-244.
- [14] LI L H, YU Y, HUANG R L, et al. Real-time monitoring system for CO<sub>2</sub> in poultry houses based on wireless sensor networks[J]. *Guangdong Agricultural Sciences*, 2013, 40(14): 189-191, 195.

- [15] SHEN L Y, NIU J G, LIU W G, et al. Study on distribution patterns of environmental parameters in stacked cage broiler houses[J]. *China Poultry*, 2016, 38(18): 37-41.
- [16] SHEN L Y, SA R N, NIU J G, et al. Study on environmental parameters in stacked cage broiler houses during autumn and winter[J]. *China Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine*, 2017, 44(5): 1565-1570.
- [17] ZHANG J L, NIU J G, SHEN L Y, et al. Analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration distribution patterns and correlation with temperature and humidity in cage broiler houses during winter[J]. *China Poultry*, 2017, 39(16): 67-68.
- [18] WANG N, XU H H, ZHANG W F, et al. Study on measurement and distribution patterns of environmental factors in commercial broiler houses[J]. *Journal of Domestic Animal Ecology*, 2012, 33(5): 83-86.
- [19] HU X M, ZHANG D J, ZHAO R H, et al. Investigation of winter environmental indicators in different types of commercial broiler houses[J]. *Journal of Domestic Animal Ecology*, 2013, 34(6): 58-61.
- [20] ZHOU Z K, DONG H M, ZHU Z P, et al. Study on CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from caged broilers in different seasons[J]. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science*, 2011, 30(9): 1910-1916.
- [21] DU X Q, HAO F T. Research progress on carbon dioxide poisoning[J]. *Chinese Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 2010, 23(4): 273-276.
- [22] GUAIS A, BRAND G, JACQUOT L, et al. Toxicity of carbon dioxide: a review[J]. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 2011, 24(12): 2061-2070.
- [23] FANG C Y, DAI Y Y, SONG X W, et al. Effects of low O<sub>2</sub> and high CO<sub>2</sub> on blood-brain barrier and aquaporin-4 expression in mice[J]. *Journal of Wenzhou Medical College*, 2009, 39(2): 104-107.
- [24] WANG J X, WANG C, PANG B S, et al. Pathohistological observation of lung and right ventricle damage induced by high concentration carbon dioxide in rats[J]. *Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases*, 2001, 24(7): 410-413.
- [25] XU H, WANG X T, XU M H, et al. Effects of chronic low O<sub>2</sub> and high CO<sub>2</sub> on neuronal apoptosis and nitrotyrosine expression in mice[J]. *Journal of Wenzhou Medical University*, 2007, 37(6): 519-521.
- [26] WU B, JIN L, WANG X T, et al. Effects of chronic hypoxia and hypercapnia on mitochondrial function in mouse brain[J]. *Journal of Wenzhou Medical University*, 2010, 40(2): 115-118.
- [27] BAO S Z, FANG C Y, WANG X T, et al. Effects of chronic hypoxia and hypercapnia on skeletal muscle morphology in mice[J]. *Journal of Wenzhou Medical College*, 2009, 39(1): 8-11.

- [28] ZHOU Y, LÜ J P, MENG W, et al. Investigation of an acute carbon dioxide poisoning accident[J]. *Occupation and Health*, 2003, 19(10): 38-39.
- [29] SATISH U, MENDELL M J, SHEKHAR K, et al. Is CO<sub>2</sub> an indoor pollutant? Direct effects of low-to-moderate concentrations on human decision-making performance[J]. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2012, 120(12): 1671-1677.
- [30] VEHVILÄINEN T, LINDHOLM H, RINTAMÄKI H, et al. High indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in an office environment increase the transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> level and sleepiness during cognitive work[J]. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 2016, 13(1): 19-29.
- [31] EZRATY B, CHABALIER M, DUCRET A, et al. CO<sub>2</sub> exacerbates oxygen toxicity[J]. *EMBO Reports*, 2011, 12(4): 321-326.
- [32] PURSWELL J L, DAVIS J D, LUCK B D, et al. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentrations on broiler chicken performance from 28 to 49 days[J]. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2011, 10(8): 597-602.
- [33] REECE F N, LOTT B D. Effect of carbon dioxide on broiler chicken performance[J]. *Poultry Science*, 1980, 59(11): 2400-2402.
- [34] OLANREWAJU H A, DOZIER W A, PURSWELL J L, et al. Growth performance and physiological variables for broiler chickens subjected to short-term elevated carbon dioxide concentrations[J]. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2008, 7(8): 738-742.
- [35] MCGOVERN R H, FEDDES J J R, ZUIDHOF M J, et al. Growth performance, heart characteristics and the incidence of ascites in broilers in response to carbon dioxide and oxygen concentrations[J]. *Canadian Biosystems Engineering*, 2001, 43: 41-46.
- [36] DAI R G, ZHOU X R, PENG X W, et al. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on broiler performance, humoral immunity, and blood parameters[J]. *Journal of Southwest University (Natural Science Edition)*, 2009, 31(8): 21-27.
- [37] DAI R G, PENG X W, ZHOU X R, et al. Study on effects of different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in houses on broilers to establish safety warning parameters[C]//*Proceedings of the 14th National Poultry Science Symposium*. Harbin: Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, 2009: 655-661.
- [38] CHEN C L, DAI R G, ZHOU X R, et al. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in poultry houses on broiler blood biochemical indices[J]. *Journal of Domestic Animal Ecology*, 2009, 30(2): 59-61.
- [39] HELBACKA N V, CASTERLINE J L, SMITH C J. The effect of high CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere on the laying hen[J]. *Poultry Science*, 1963, 42(5): 1082-1084.
- [40] LU Y P, ZHOU N C, YAN X P, et al. Analysis of carbon dioxide concentration and production efficiency in caged layer houses[J]. *China Poultry*, 2015, 37(4): 62-64.

- [41] GERRITZEN M, LAMBOOIJ B, REIMERT H, et al. A note on behaviour of poultry exposed to increasing carbon dioxide concentrations[J]. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 2007, 108(1/2): 179-185.
- [42] WU Y J, XU Y Y. Effects of carbon dioxide on chicken embryo development and hatching[J]. *China Poultry*, 2009, 31(19): 35-37.
- [43] SMIT L D, BRUGGEMAN V, DEBONNE M, et al. The effect of nonventilation during early incubation on the embryonic development of chicks of two commercial broiler strains differing in ascites susceptibility[J]. *Poultry Science*, 2008, 87(3): 551-560.
- [44] BUYS N, DEWIL E, GONZALES E, et al. Different CO<sub>2</sub> levels during incubation interact with hatching time and ascites susceptibility in two broiler lines selected for different growth rate[J]. *Avian Pathology*, 1998, 27(6): 605-612.
- [45] HUANG J C, WANG P, XU X L, et al. Research progress on pre-slaughter stunning of broilers[J]. *Food Science*, 2013, 34(11): 344-347.
- [46] XU L, JI F, YUE H Y, et al. Plasma variables, meat quality, and glycolytic potential in broilers stunned with different carbon dioxide concentrations[J]. *Poultry Science*, 2011, 90(8): 1831-1836.
- [47] SUN Y B, XING H, LUAN S J, et al. Effects of ammonia on broiler health and countermeasures[J]. *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 2017, 29(11): 3870-3876.
- [48] WANG H, LI H, YIN W Q, et al. Remote monitoring system for poultry house environment based on wireless transmission[J]. *Journal of Nanjing Agricultural University*, 2016, 39(1): 175-182.
- [49] ZHU F W, LIANG T H. Design of harmful gas monitoring system for poultry houses based on ZigBee and GPRS[J]. *Agriculture and Technology*, 2016, 36(7): 63-65.
- [50] SHAO D, HE J, SHI S R, et al. Effects of litter depth on ammonia, carbon dioxide, and dust in poultry houses[J]. *China Poultry*, 2015, 37(1): 59-60.
- [51] TONG H B, SHAO D, ZHANG S, et al. Effects of different stocking densities on harmful gases, dust, and microorganisms in broiler houses[J]. *China Poultry*, 2014, 36(20): 30-33.
- [52] HU L G, WEI Y M, HE Y C, et al. Experiment on effects of Chinese herbal microecological preparations on controlling harmful gases and fly elimination in large-scale cattle barns[J]. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Veterinary Medicine*, 2017(2): 76-78.
- [53] LIU W, CHEN G Y, CHEN L Y, et al. Study on effects of biological deodorant in improving poultry house environment[J]. *China Poultry*, 2010, 32(24): 15-19.

- [54] CHEN J, LIU N Z, XU H, et al. Effects of microecological spray on improving environment in caged layer houses[J]. *China Feed*, 2014(11): 19-22.
- [55] GONG F F, SUN B, ZHANG H, et al. Study on absorption performance of absorbent GY-4 for CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> in cattle barns in different seasons[J]. *Journal of Xinjiang Agricultural University*, 2013, 36(3): 183-189.
- [56] KOCAMAN B, ESEBUGA N, YILDIZ A, et al. Effect of environmental conditions in poultry houses on performance of laying hens[J]. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2006, 5(1): 26-30.
- [57] NI J Q, CHAI L L, CHEN L D, et al. Characteristics of ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, and particulate matter concentrations in high-rise and manure-belt layer hen houses[J]. *Atmospheric Environment*, 2012, 57(5): 165-174.
- [58] FERGUSON N S, GATES R S, TARABA J L, et al. The effect of dietary protein and phosphorus on ammonia concentration and litter composition in broilers[J]. *Poultry Science*, 1998, 77(8): 1085-1093.
- [59] WEI F X. Effects of chronic stress induced by humidity and ammonia exposure on growth performance, meat quality, and physiological functions of broiler chickens and its regulatory mechanisms[D]. Ph.D. thesis. Yangling: Northwest A&F University, 2012.
- [60] HOSSAIN M, BEGUM M, KIM I H. Effect of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Clostridium butyricum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* endospores on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, meat quality, relative organ weight, microbial shedding and excreta noxious gas emission in broilers[J]. *Veterinárni Medicína*, 2015, 60(2): 77-86.
- [61] LI H L, ZHAO P Y, LEI Y, et al. Phytoncide, phytogetic feed additive as an alternative to conventional antibiotics, improved growth performance and decreased excreta gas emission without adverse effect on meat quality in broiler chickens[J]. *Livestock Science*, 2015, 181: 1-6.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.*