
AI translation · View original & related papers at
chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-201812.00135

Effects and Applications of pCO₂ in Mammalian Cell Culture

Authors: Liu Xiaoshuang, Xu Hanmei, Xu Hanmei

Date: 2018-12-11T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO₂) is an important parameter in mammalian cell culture. Its primary sources include CO₂ generated from cellular aerobic respiration, bicarbonate in the culture medium, and CO₂ added for pH control. Although pCO₂ is not precisely controlled in typical cell culture processes, maintaining pCO₂ levels within a specific range (30-80 mmHg) is essential, as both excessively high (>80 mmHg) and low (<30 mmHg) pCO₂ levels are detrimental to cell growth, metabolism, and protein production and quality control. High pCO₂ issues are relatively common in large-scale high-density cell culture processes; therefore, differences in pCO₂ levels across various culture scales must be considered during process scale-up. This review summarizes the effects of pCO₂ on cell growth, metabolism, and protein production in mammalian cell culture, as well as methods for controlling pCO₂ at large scale.

Full Text

The Effects and Applications of pCO₂ in Mammalian Cell Culture

Xiao-Shuang Liu¹, Fei Chen², Meng-Jiang Zhao², Qiao-Qiao Hong², Han-Mei Xu^{1*}

¹ College of Life Science and Technology, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China

² WuXi Biologics, Shanghai 200131, China

Abstract

Carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO₂) is an important parameter in mammalian cell culture, originating from cell respiration, bicarbonate in culture me-

dia, and CO₂ added for pH control. While pCO₂ is generally not precisely controlled in cell culture like pH, maintaining pCO₂ levels within a reasonable range (30–80 mmHg) is crucial, as both high (>80 mmHg) and low (<30 mmHg) pCO₂ levels are detrimental to cell growth, metabolism, productivity, and product quality. High pCO₂ issues are particularly common in large-scale, high-density cell culture processes, making it essential to consider pCO₂ differences across scales during process scale-up. This review illustrates the effects of pCO₂ on cell growth, metabolism, and protein production, as well as strategies for controlling pCO₂ in large-scale operations.

Keywords: CO₂ partial pressure (pCO₂); Mammalian cells; Cell culture process development; pCO₂ control

Monoclonal antibody therapeutics have brought new hope for treating critical diseases such as cancer and autoimmune disorders, becoming the fastest-growing class of biopharmaceuticals over the past two decades. Large-scale animal cell culture in bioreactors represents the core technology for industrial antibody production. Achieving more efficient and higher-quality antibody manufacturing is the development goal and core competitive technology for pharmaceutical companies and research institutions, with process consistency being a critical aspect of large-scale animal cell culture process development and optimization. However, elevated pCO₂ levels frequently occur during large-scale production, contributing to performance inconsistencies between small- and large-scale cultures. Investigating pCO₂ effects on cells and establishing control methods for pCO₂ during scale-up are therefore of great significance. The following sections review the impacts of pCO₂ on cell growth, metabolism, and protein production, methods for reducing high pCO₂ in large-scale reactors, and the current status and future perspectives of pCO₂ control in cell culture process scale-up.

1.1 The Role of CO₂ in CHO Cell Metabolism

Carbon dioxide is a product of cellular metabolism. Over the past two decades, scientists have employed metabolic flux analysis (MFA) using isotopic tracers and mass spectrometry, combined with dynamic metabolic flux analysis, to investigate the material and energy metabolism of CHO-K1 cells at different growth stages [1, 2]. The characteristics of central metabolic pathways in CHO cells and the position of CO₂ in cellular metabolism are illustrated in Figure 1 [Figure 1: see original paper].

During the exponential growth phase of CHO-K1 cells (days 0–4, with a specific growth rate of 0.033 h⁻¹ from day 1 to day 2), glycolysis and anaplerosis are highly active, leading to rapid cell proliferation. In the anaplerotic pathway, CO₂/HCO₃⁻ serves as a substrate that carboxylates pyruvate to produce oxaloacetate. Glutaminolysis generates α -ketoglutarate, while malate is converted to pyruvate by malic enzyme (ME), replenishing TCA cycle intermediates. Therefore, during exponential growth, CO₂ acts as both a reaction

substrate and a metabolic product. In the stationary phase (days 4-6), glycolysis and anaplerosis decrease significantly, while TCA cycle activity remains similar to that in the exponential phase. The oxidative pentose phosphate pathway exhibits high metabolic flux during this stage, becoming the primary route for ATP and reducing cofactors (NADH/NADPH) production to meet cellular energy demands and provide reducing power for oxidative stress [3]. Due to increasing cell density, substantial amounts of CO₂ are produced during the stationary phase. In the death phase, despite nutrient depletion, cells maintain TCA cycle activity by efficiently utilizing lactate and glycine to replenish pyruvate, sustaining metabolism and heat production [2]. In summary, the exponential growth phase requires CO₂/HCO₃⁻ as a substrate, while the stationary and death phases generate large quantities of CO₂. As an important substrate and product in cellular metabolism, CO₂ has become a parameter of significant interest in mammalian cell culture process development.

1.2 CO₂ Sources and Stripping in Cell Culture

The pCO₂ in cell culture represents the pressure exerted by dissolved CO₂ in the medium. In mammalian cell culture, CO₂ originates from three primary sources: CO₂ produced by cellular respiration, decomposition of NaHCO₃ in the culture medium, and CO₂ added for pH control. Most animal cell cultures utilize the carbonic acid-bicarbonate (H₂CO₃-HCO₃⁻) buffer system. Higher bicarbonate concentrations in the medium result in higher pCO₂ at the same pH, as shown in Figure 2 [Figure 2: see original paper]. At 37°C, the equilibrium system follows [7]:

$$pH = pK_2 + \log \left(\frac{HCO_3^-}{H_2CO_3} \right) \quad (1)$$

According to Henry's law:

$$H_2CO_3 = 0.0307 \times pCO_2 \quad (2)$$

Combining equations (1) and (2) yields:

$$pCO_2 = \frac{Glucose}{Glucose} \frac{Glucose}{Glucose} - 6 - P \frac{Phosphoenolpyruvate}{Pyruvate} \frac{Lactate}{Pyruvate} \frac{Oxaloacetate}{Malate}$$

From equation (3), the relationship between pCO₂ and pH in buffer solutions with specific HCO₃⁻ concentrations can be plotted, as shown in Figure 2.

CO₂ stripping refers to the process by which dissolved CO₂ leaves the liquid phase and enters the gas phase. As illustrated in Figure 3 [Figure 3: see original paper], CO₂ dissolution in culture medium involves several reactions, where K₁ and K₂ are equilibrium constants, CTR (carbon dioxide mass transfer rate) represents the CO₂ transfer rate, CER (carbon dioxide evolution rate) denotes the

cellular CO₂ production rate, and K_{LaCO_2} is the CO₂ mass transfer coefficient. The CO₂ stripping rate in a culture system, typically expressed as pH change over time ($\Delta pH/\Delta t$), indicates how quickly CO₂ escapes. Theoretically, when the CO₂ stripping rate equals the CO₂ production rate, all CO₂ generated by cells can be completely removed without affecting pCO₂ levels [9].

2. The Role and Effects of pCO₂ in Cell Culture

2.1 Mechanism of Action

CO₂ and its hydrated form HCO₃⁻ serve as substrates and products in intracellular carboxylation and decarboxylation reactions. Low HCO₃⁻ levels limit anaplerotic reactions, restricting oxaloacetate precursors in the TCA cycle and consequently affecting cell growth and metabolite production [4]. Mammalian cells possess effective pH regulation mechanisms, yet excessively high HCO₃⁻ concentrations can disrupt these systems [10]. When CO₂ enters the cytoplasm and mitochondria, dissolved CO₂ dissociates into hydrogen ions, lowering intracellular pH (pHi). This forces cells to upregulate Na⁺/H⁺ and HCO₃⁻/Cl⁻ antiporter activity to restore pHi, thereby disrupting normal pH regulation. CO₂/HCO₃⁻ concentrations also affect intracellular enzyme activities; pHi changes can shift phosphofructokinase-catalyzed reactions away from their optimal pH range [11] and influence the activity of pH-sensitive sialyltransferases [12], ultimately impacting cell growth, metabolism, and protein glycosylation. High pCO₂ levels reduce the concentration of CO₂-generating enzymes (isocitrate dehydrogenase, α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, malate dehydrogenase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase), decreasing the specific CO₂ production rate. Elevated pCO₂ and HCO₃⁻ also affect the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway, leading to increased formation of highly reactive oxygen species—peroxymonocarbonate (HCO₄⁻). High HCO₄⁻ levels cause mitochondrial damage, impair cellular respiration, and reduce energy production during the stationary phase [13, 14].

The regulatory mechanisms by which CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ control cellular metabolism remain incompletely understood, and their stimulatory effects may have been underestimated [4]. Research on high pCO₂ effects in cell culture continues to expand, with new mechanisms of pCO₂ influence being discovered.

2.2 Effects of pCO₂ on Cell Culture

pCO₂ influences cell growth, metabolism, protein productivity, and quality in mammalian cell culture, acting both independently and in combination with osmolality and pH [4]. Over two decades ago, researchers discovered that CO₂ accumulation beyond certain concentrations becomes toxic to cells, and that appropriate pCO₂ levels are essential for optimal cell growth and protein expression [15]. Further studies revealed that different cell lines exhibit varying tolerance to pCO₂, and different growth phases show differential sensitivity to pCO₂ fluctuations.

pCO₂ affects intracellular lactate metabolism and the metabolic shift. The transition from lactate production to consumption is a common feature in mammalian cell culture that benefits cell viability and protein productivity [16]. In 2000 L single-use bioreactor (SUB) fed-batch cultures of CHO cells, high pCO₂ caused lactate metabolism to shift from consumption back to production [17]. In continuous cultures of hybridoma cells [18] and glucose-limited CHO cells [19], high pCO₂ increased specific lactate production rates. This phenomenon may occur because, in pH-controlled cultures, high pCO₂ acidifies the medium, triggering base addition. Incomplete mixing in large-scale reactors creates localized high pH zones upon base addition, increasing the amount of base required for pH control and stimulating lactate re-production [17]. Additionally, base addition shifts the $\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$ equilibrium, causing HCO₃⁻ accumulation and increased osmolality, which affects lactate metabolism [20]. High pCO₂, low pH, and high osmolality individually have minimal effects on lactate re-production; only their combined action induces this metabolic shift, which can be eliminated by enhancing CO₂ stripping [17]. In 2000 L hybridoma cell cultures, high pCO₂ increased specific lactate production rates, but increasing the aeration rate from 1 LPM (Liter Per Minute) to 2.5 LPM reduced lactate concentration by 50% [21]. Conversely, high pCO₂ can also decrease lactate production rates. In perfusion cultures of Baby Hamster Kidney (BHK) cells, increasing pCO₂ from approximately 84 mmHg to 230 mmHg decreased the specific lactate production rate [22]. In shake flask cultures of hybridoma [18, 23] and CHO cells [24, 25], specific lactate production rates decreased with increasing pCO₂. Thus, pCO₂ effects on lactate metabolism vary across different cell types and culture modes.

Brunner et al. [26] found that in CHO cell fed-batch cultures, high pCO₂ inhibited the metabolic shift in lactate metabolism at the same pH level. Compared to the control group with lower pCO₂ (12.5% CO₂), the high pCO₂ group (20% CO₂) did not exhibit the transition from lactate production to consumption. Before the metabolic shift, the high pCO₂ group showed reduced glycolysis, TCA cycle activity, and respiration compared to the control. After the shift, glycolytic flux remained higher in the high pCO₂ group, while other metabolic fluxes matched the control. Ultimately, protein yield in the high pCO₂ group decreased to 1.1 g/L compared to 2.0 g/L in the control.

pCO₂ affects cell growth and protein productivity. In shake flask cultures of CHO cells, pCO₂ levels of 76 mmHg and 152 mmHg severely inhibited cell growth compared to 38 mmHg, achieving only 0.5×10^6 cells/mL (from an inoculation density of 0.2×10^6 cells/mL). Zero pCO₂ produced similar effects [15], indicating that initial cell growth requires a certain CO₂ concentration, while both excessively high and low pCO₂ prevent cell growth. In large-scale industrial cell cultures, pCO₂ can reach 150–200 mmHg, significantly inhibiting cell growth and protein production [23]. Gray et al. [15] found that maximum protein productivity in CHO perfusion cultures was achieved at pCO₂ levels of 30–76 mmHg, while higher pCO₂ (>105 mmHg) inhibited cell growth and reduced protein yield. Increasing pCO₂ from 38 mmHg to approximately 148 mmHg de-

creased protein yield by 70%, resulting from both reduced specific productivity (50% decrease) and lower cell density. Darja et al. [25] observed that CHO cells in exponential growth phase under combined stress of low temperature (33°C) and high pCO₂ (20% CO₂) preferentially consumed glucose to produce lactate, shifting metabolism toward inefficient anaerobic pathways, with upregulation of antioxidant-related proteins indicating oxidative stress and severe growth inhibition. Mostafa and Gu [27] reported that scaling CHO cell production of a therapeutic glycoprotein from 1.5 L to 1000 L pilot scale increased pCO₂ from 68 mmHg to 179 mmHg, reducing specific protein productivity by 40%. Zhu et al. [28] found that high pCO₂ (140–160 mmHg) and high osmolality (400–450 mOsm/kg) in large-scale CHO cultures inhibited cell growth and protein yield, while at laboratory scale under the same process, cell density decreased by only 18% with no effect on protein yield. Elevated pCO₂ also affects other animal cell cultures. In myeloma cell batch cultures, high pCO₂ caused excessive base addition, creating pH fluctuations that increased cell death [29, 30]. In hybridoma cultures, combined high pCO₂ and high osmolality lowered intracellular pH, reduced glycolytic activity, decreased glutamine consumption, and inhibited cell growth without affecting specific antibody production rates [23]. Studies show that appropriate glucose limitation can compensate for cell growth inhibition caused by high pCO₂ (190 mbar), as limiting glucose reduces lactate production, decreases base addition for pH control (and thus osmolality), and minimizes growth impacts [19]. Researchers have also proposed that for certain cell lines with strong lactate consumption capacity, using lactate instead of CO₂ for pH control during the stationary phase can reduce pCO₂ elevation while decreasing glycine utilization and mitigating ammonia accumulation [31].

pCO₂ influences protein glycosylation. Zanghi et al. [32] found that high pCO₂ alone had minimal impact on CHO cell protein glycosylation; rather, elevated HCO₃⁻ and osmolality under high pH and high pCO₂ conditions were the root causes of reduced polysialylation in neural cell adhesion factor, with HCO₃⁻ having a greater effect than NaCl at equivalent osmolality changes. Interestingly, in CHO cell cultures, high pCO₂ (140 mmHg) reduced the non-human sialic acid derivative (N-glycolylneuraminic acid) content in secreted glycoproteins by 46% compared to low pCO₂ (20–80 mmHg) conditions. Since N-glycolylneuraminic acid can increase immunogenicity, this case demonstrates a beneficial effect of CO₂ accumulation on protein quality.

3. Research and Application of pCO₂ Control in Large-Scale Cell Culture

Due to differences in reactor design, increased liquid height and hydrostatic pressure in large-scale bioreactors enhance gas solubility, creating vertical gradients in pO₂ (O₂ partial pressure) and pCO₂. Combined with metabolic activity, mass transfer limitations, and reduced mixing efficiency at large scale, these factors contribute to environmental heterogeneity [33]. Research on scale-down models simulating large-scale heterogeneity effects on cellular metabolism

has grown, particularly regarding substrate, pH, and dissolved oxygen (DO) gradients [34]. However, fluctuations in $\text{CO}_2/\text{HCO}_3^-$ concentrations, a typical phenomenon in large-scale reactors, have received limited attention due to two main challenges: the complex reactions involving multiple CO_2 sources in culture medium, and the difficulty in controlling and measuring pCO_2 across different scales where varying CO_2 gas residence times affect mass transfer coefficient determination.

3.1 Causes of CO_2 Accumulation in Large-Scale Cell Culture

CO_2 accumulation occurs more readily in large-scale cultures for several reasons: (1) The surface area-to-volume ratio decreases in large-scale reactors, reducing surface CO_2 transfer and overall CO_2 stripping rates, leading to accumulation [8]; (2) Larger reactor height and liquid level increase hydrostatic pressure, enhancing CO_2 solubility; (3) Mixing efficiency deteriorates at large scale. Researchers [35] measured mixing times in various scales of stirred single-use bioreactors (STR) at identical impeller tip speeds (0.6 m/s), finding mixing times of approximately 68, 60, 35, 35, and 19 seconds for 2000 L, 1000 L, 500 L, 200 L, and 50 L reactors, respectively, demonstrating increased mixing time with scale. Different reactor types also exhibit varying mixing times; at equivalent power input (20 W/m^3), a 2000 L SUB showed approximately 100 s mixing time versus less than 10 s for a 3 L reactor [17]; (4) Process scale-up typically follows the constant k_La (oxygen mass transfer coefficient) principle, resulting in reduced aeration rates at large scale despite better oxygen transfer, which decreases CO_2 stripping and increases accumulation; (5) In large-scale production, microsparging or increased oxygen content in the gas stream is often employed when cell density reaches certain levels to meet oxygen demand, both of which reduce overall gas flow and consequently decrease CO_2 stripping rates [15].

3.2 Strategies for pCO_2 Control in Large-Scale Cultures

pCO_2 levels result from the balance between CO_2 generation and stripping. With fixed cellular metabolism, pCO_2 is primarily determined by medium properties and operating conditions. Strategies to reduce pCO_2 can be categorized into two approaches.

Reducing HCO_3^- sources in the medium. In perfusion culture of Baby Hamster Kidney (BHK) cells at 20×10^6 cells/mL, contributions to pCO_2 from cellular CO_2 production, NaHCO_3 in the medium, and NaHCO_3 added for pH control were 25%, 35%, and 40%, respectively. Replacing the NaHCO_3 buffer with MOPS-Histidine (3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid-histidine) buffer and using Na_2CO_3 instead of NaHCO_3 for pH control reduced pCO_2 from 225 mmHg to 68–73 mmHg (a 68–70% reduction) in 15 L BHK perfusion cultures, and from 238 ± 16 mmHg to 84 ± 7 mmHg (a 65% reduction) in 1000 L perfusion cultures [22]. This approach reduces pCO_2 at the source without modifying reactor configuration or culture conditions, offering simplicity and operational advantages. Mostafa and Gu [27] attempted similar methods to reduce high pCO_2

in late-stage 1000 L CHO fed-batch cultures, but 3 L small-scale experiments showed no significant effect of varying NaHCO₃ concentrations (0.5 g/L vs. 2 g/L) on late-stage pCO₂ levels. Moreover, low-NaHCO₃ medium has reduced buffering capacity, which is disadvantageous for processes requiring narrow pH control ranges. In such cases, alternative buffer systems can be employed, along with strategies such as widening pH control limits (e.g., lowering the pH setpoint to reduce base addition) or adjusting feed pH (allowing feeds to contribute to pH control and reducing acid/base addition), which can effectively lower pCO₂ in small-scale cultures (data not shown).

Enhancing CO₂ mass transfer. The CO₂ transfer rate is given by $CTR = KLaCO_2(C^* - C)$, where KL is the volumetric mass transfer coefficient, a is the specific interfacial area, C is the dissolved CO₂ concentration in solution, and C^* is the CO₂ concentration at the gas-liquid interface (i.e., in bubbles) [36]. KL is closely related to reactor configuration, medium properties, and operating conditions. Regarding reactor design, impeller position affects gas-liquid mixing and mass transfer; studies show that lowering the upper impeller position in dual-impeller systems enhances gas transfer [8]. Medium properties have minimal impact on CO₂ mass transfer coefficients, as demonstrated in 1000 L large-scale cultures where HCO₃⁻ concentration did not significantly affect KLaCO₂ [8]. Operating conditions such as surface aeration and agitation speed have limited effects on CO₂ transfer in large-scale reactors. Although KLaCO₂ increased with surface aeration rate (10× and 20× the bottom sparge rate) in 80 L and 500 L reactors, this effect diminished and even saturated in larger 2000 L and 10000 L reactors due to decreasing surface area-to-volume ratios [37]. Sieblist et al. [36] found that in large-scale reactors (400 L stainless steel), KLaCO₂ increased with aeration rate (3.25, 4.5, 9 LPM) but was unaffected by increasing power input (38, 200, 300, 870 W/m³), indicating that agitation speed has minimal impact on CO₂ stripping. This was confirmed by Mostafa and Gu [27], who showed that CO₂ stripping rates correlated strongly with aeration rate but weakly with agitation in both 1.5 L and 1000 L reactors, with higher aeration rates improving CO₂ transfer. Although agitation speed minimally affects CO₂ stripping, it directly influences bubble residence time; in a 200 L stirred-tank reactor, bubble residence time was less than 7 s at 200 rpm but increased to 11.3 s at 500 rpm, making agitation speed a critical parameter for oxygen transfer [36].

Gas flow rate and sparging mode are crucial factors affecting CO₂ transfer and are most commonly considered in pCO₂ control strategies. From a single-bubble perspective, O₂ transfers from the bubble to the medium while CO₂ transfers from the medium into the bubble. However, bubble CO₂ content reaches saturation (matching medium CO₂ levels) within seconds, after which bubbles no longer absorb CO₂. Consequently, CO₂ transfer in large-scale reactors occurs only during a limited period as bubbles pass through the medium [36]. Since bubble residence times in large-scale reactors exceed CO₂ saturation times, bubbles entering the headspace can be considered CO₂-saturated. Therefore, CO₂ stripping in large-scale cultures can be simplified as the product of saturated

bubble CO₂ content and bubble number, depending on total gas flow rather than KLaCO₂ or bubble size [36]. At equivalent KLaCO₂, open-pipe spargers achieve higher CO₂ stripping rates than drilled-hole spargers, demonstrating that CO₂ stripping is not solely determined by KLaCO₂ [8]. Mostafa and Gu [8] controlled pCO₂ below 61.2 mmHg using open-pipe sparging with minimal antifoam, solving a previous problem where pCO₂ reached 180 mmHg. Studies showed minimal impact of bubble size on CO₂ stripping in 2000 L reactors; varying bottom sparger pore sizes (10 μm, 20 μm, and 1 mm) did not affect KLaCO₂ [37], further confirming that total gas flow is the key determinant of CO₂ stripping in large-scale cultures.

In summary, HCO₃⁻ concentration, surface aeration, impeller position, and agitation speed have minimal effects on CO₂ stripping in large-scale reactors, whereas gas flow rate and sparging mode significantly impact CO₂ removal. When bubble residence time far exceeds CO₂ saturation time, stripping rate is determined by total gas flow, with bubble size being negligible. However, larger bubbles cause less cell damage, making them preferable when considering shear stress effects on animal cells, though they provide poorer oxygen transfer. This limitation is typically addressed by increasing oxygen proportion, which inevitably reduces total gas flow and promotes CO₂ accumulation. While agitation speed minimally affects CO₂ transfer, it influences bubble residence time, with higher speeds benefiting oxygen transfer. Therefore, practical applications must balance these interconnected parameters.

Conclusion and Outlook

CO₂ serves as both a critical substrate and metabolic product in mammalian cell central metabolism, influencing cell growth, metabolism, protein productivity, and quality both independently and through interactions with pH, HCO₃⁻, and osmolality. Based on CO₂ sources and stripping characteristics, combined with cellular metabolism and mass transfer principles in large-scale reactors, common strategies for pCO₂ reduction include decreasing HCO₃⁻ concentration and increasing gas flow and bubble size.

Given the large dosing requirements of biopharmaceuticals, process scale-up is essential. Parameter control during scale-up ensures consistent culture environments, yet while pCO₂ is not precisely controlled at small scale, it often fluctuates and increases during scale-up, affecting process performance. Therefore, to ensure equivalent cell growth performance and consistent protein quality across scales, pCO₂ levels and other operating parameters must be comprehensively evaluated during scale-up, minimizing pCO₂ differences while maintaining critical quality attributes and operational simplicity [38].

CO₂ stripping issues during scale-up have garnered increasing industry attention. Xu et al. [9] employed constant P/V and VVM (gas volume/working volume/min) scale-up strategies, using constant VVM during the exponential growth phase to enhance CO₂ stripping, successfully maintaining pCO₂ below

50 mmHg when scaling from 3 L to 500 L SUB. However, this method only applies to cultures with high pCO₂ during the exponential phase. Xing et al. [39] developed a large-scale pCO₂ prediction model based on bubble residence and saturation times, though the model involves complex calculations and assumes a respiratory quotient of 1, limiting its applicability to certain cell lines. Currently, no universal pCO₂ prediction model exists to forecast large-scale pCO₂ levels and guide scale-up parameter selection prior to implementation. Thus, balancing oxygen supply and CO₂ removal remains a significant challenge in scale-up, particularly for high-density cell culture processes.

As a valuable parameter in process scale-up, pCO₂ reflects not only reactor mixing and mass transfer performance but also potentially indicates complex intracellular metabolic activities. We propose the following approach for scale-up (Figure 4 [Figure 4: see original paper]): First, analyze pCO₂ levels and parameters affecting CO₂ transfer rates in small-scale experiments to calculate cellular CO₂ metabolic rates. Then, assuming unchanged metabolic state after scale-up and incorporating aeration/agitation strategies based on general scale-up principles, estimate large-scale pCO₂ levels and assess their potential impact on cell growth or protein quantity/quality. If significant effects are predicted, adjust aeration/agitation strategies based on the target maximum pCO₂ level to minimize process impacts. If effects are negligible, simulate large-scale high pCO₂ conditions in a scale-down model. Additionally, low predicted pCO₂ indicates minimal high pCO₂ risk. Current challenges include different CO₂ stripping rate calculations between scales: small-scale rates rely on empirical mass transfer coefficient formulas [27, 40], while large-scale rates consider gas residence and saturation times [36, 39]. Accurate CO₂ stripping rate calculation requires further investigation. Moreover, online pCO₂ electrodes enabling real-time monitoring and feedback control will represent a future trend, allowing pCO₂ to be controlled with the same precision as pH and DO.

References

- [1] Ahn WS, Antoniewicz MR. Metabolic flux analysis of CHO cells at growth and non-growth phases using isotopic tracers and mass spectrometry[J]. *Metab Eng*, 2011,13(5):598-609.
- [2] Wahrheit J, Niklas J, Heinzle E. Metabolic control at the cytosol-mitochondria interface in different growth phases of CHO cells[J]. *Metab Eng*, 2014,23:9-21.
- [3] Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation[J]. *Science*, 2009,324(5930):1029-1033.
- [4] Blombach B, Takors R. CO₂ - Intrinsic Product, Essential Substrate, and Regulatory Trigger of Microbial and Mammalian Production Processes[J]. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*, 2015,3:108.
- [5] Hartley F, Walker T, Chung V, et al. Mechanisms Driving the Lactate Switch in Chinese Hamster Ovary cells: Mechanisms Driving the Lactate

- Switch[J]. *Biotechnology & Bioengineering*, 2018, 115(12).
- [6] Ahn WS, Antoniewicz MR. Towards dynamic metabolic flux analysis in CHO cell cultures[J]. *Biotechnol J*, 2012,7(1):61-74.
- [7] Spérandio M, Paul E. Determination of carbon dioxide evolution rate using on-line gas analysis during dynamic biodegradation experiments[J]. *Biotechnology & Bioengineering*, 2015, 53(3):243-252.
- [8] Mostafa S S, Gu X. Strategies for Improved dCO₂ Removal in Large-Scale Fed-Batch Cultures[J]. *Biotechnology Progress*, 2003, 19(1):45-51.
- [9] Xu S, Hoshan L, Jiang R, et al. A practical approach in bioreactor scale-up and process transfer using a combination of constant P/V and vvm as the criterion[J]. *Biotechnology Progress*, 2017,33(4):1146-1159.
- [10] Brunner M, Braun P, Doppler P, et al. The impact of pH inhomogeneities on CHO cell physiology and fed-batch process performance - two-compartment scale-down modelling and intracellular pH excursion[J]. *Biotechnol J*, 2017,12(7).
- [11] Erecińska M, Deas J, Silver I A. The effect of pH on glycolysis and phosphofructokinase activity in cultured cells and synaptosomes[J]. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 2010, 65(6):2765-2772.
- [12] Bothun GD, Knutson BL, Berberich JA, et al. Metabolic selectivity and growth of *Clostridium thermocellum* in continuous culture under elevated hydrostatic pressure[J]. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 2004,65(2):149-157.
- [13] Richardson D E, Yao H, And K M F, et al. Equilibria, Kinetics, and Mechanism in the Bicarbonate Activation of Hydrogen Peroxide: Oxidation of Sulfides by Peroxymonocarbonate[J]. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2000, 122(8):1729-1739.
- [14] Richardson D E, Regino C A S, Yao H, et al. Methionine oxidation by peroxymonocarbonate, a reactive oxygen species formed from CO₂/bicarbonate and hydrogen peroxide[J]. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 2003, 35(12):1538-1550.
- [15] Gray D R, Chen S, Howarth W, et al. CO₂ in large-scale and high-density CHO cell perfusion culture[J]. *Cytotechnology*, 1996, 22(1-3):65-78.
- [16] Templeton N, Dean J, Reddy P, et al. Peak antibody production is associated with increased oxidative metabolism in an industrially relevant fed-batch CHO cell culture[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2013,110(7):2013-2024.
- [17] Xu S, Jiang R, Mueller R, et al. Probing lactate metabolism variations in large-scale bioreactors[J]. *Biotechnol Prog*, 2018,34(3):756-766.
- [18] Dezengetita V M, Kimura R, Miller W M. Effects of CO₂ and osmolality on hybridoma cells: growth, metabolism and monoclonal antibody production[J]. *Cytotechnology*, 1998, 28(1-3):213-227.
- [19] Takuma S, Hirashima C, Piret JM. Dependence on glucose limitation of the pCO₂ influences on CHO cell growth, metabolism and IgG production[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2007,97(6):1479-1488.
- [20] Nienow AW. Reactor engineering in large scale animal cell culture[J]. *Cytotechnology*, 2006,50(1-3):9-33.
- [21] Ray N G, Rivera R, Gupta R, et al. Large-Scale Production of Humanized Monoclonal Antibody Expressed in a GS-NSO Cell Line[M]// *Animal Cell*

Technology.

- [22] Goudar C T, Matanguihan R, Long E, et al. Decreased pCO₂ accumulation by eliminating bicarbonate addition to high cell-density cultures[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2007,96(6):1107-1117.
- [23] deZengotita V M, Schmelzer A E, Miller W M. Characterization of hybridoma cell responses to elevated pCO₂ and osmolality: intracellular pH, cell size, apoptosis, and metabolism[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2002,77(4):369-380.
- [24] Yoon S K, Ahn Y H, Han K. Enhancement of recombinant erythropoietin production in CHO cells in an incubator without CO₂ addition[J]. *Cytotechnology*, 2001, 37(2):119-132.
- [25] Darja O, Stanislav M, Sasa S, et al. Responses of CHO cell lines to increased pCO₂ at normal (37°C) and reduced (33°C) culture temperatures[J]. *J Biotechnol*, 2016,219:98-109.
- [26] Brunner M, Doppler P, Klein T, et al. Elevated pCO₂ affects the lactate metabolic shift in CHO cell culture processes[J]. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 2018,18(3):204-
- [27] Mostafa S S, Gu X. Strategies for Improved dCO₂ Removal in Large-Scale Fed-Batch Cultures[J]. *Biotechnology Progress*, 2003, 19(1):45-51.
- [28] Zhu M M, Goyal A, Rank D L, et al. Effects of Elevated pCO₂ and Osmolality on Growth of CHO Cells and Production of Antibody-Fusion Protein B1: A Case Study[J]. *Biotechnology progress*, 2005,21(1):70-77.
- [29] Osman JJ, Birch J, Varley J. The response of GS-NS0 myeloma cells to single and multiple pH perturbations[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2002,79(4):398-407.
- [30] Ozturk S S. Engineering challenges in high density cell culture systems[J]. *Cytotechnology*, 1996, 22(1-3):3-16.
- [31] Li J, Wong CL, Vijayasankaran N, et al. Feeding lactate for CHO cell culture processes: impact on culture metabolism and performance[J]. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2012,109(5):1173-1186.
- [32] Zanghi J A, Schmelzer A E, Mendoza T P, et al. Bicarbonate concentration and osmolality are key determinants in the inhibition of CHO cell polysialylation under elevated pCO₂ or pH[J]. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, 1999, 65(2):182-191.
- [33] Buchholz J, Graf M, Freund A, et al. CO₂/HCO₃⁻ perturbations of simulated large scale gradients in a scale-down device cause fast transcriptional responses in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*[J]. *Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology*, 2014, 98(20):8775-8775.
- [34] Abu-Absi S, Xu S, Graham H, et al. Cell culture process operations for recombinant protein production[J]. *Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol*, 2014,139:35-68.
- [35] Dreher T, Husemann U, Ruhl S, et al. Design space definition for a stirred single-use bioreactor family from 50 to 2000 L scale[J]. *BMC Proceedings*, 2013,7(Suppl 6):P55.
- [36] Sieblist C, Hageholz O, Aehle M, et al. Insights into large-scale cell-culture reactors: II. Gas-phase mixing and CO₂ stripping[J]. *Biotechnol J*, 2011,6(12):1547-
- [37] Matsunaga N, Kano K, Maki Y, et al. Culture scale-up studies as seen

from the viewpoint of oxygen supply and dissolved carbon dioxide stripping[J]. J Biosci Bioeng, 2009,107(4):412-418.

[38] Xing Z, Kenty BM, Li ZJ, et al. Scale-up analysis for a CHO cell culture process in large-scale bioreactors[J]. Biotechnol Bioeng, 2009,103(4):733-746.

[39] Xing Z, Lewis AM, Borys MC, et al. A carbon dioxide stripping model for mammalian cell culture in manufacturing scale bioreactors[J]. Biotechnol Bioeng, 2017,114(6):1184-1194.

[40] Matsunaga N, Kano K, Maki Y, et al. Estimation of dissolved carbon dioxide stripping in a large bioreactor using model medium[J]. J Biosci Bioeng, 2009,107(4):419-424.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.