

Opposite Pressure Effects in the Orbitally-Induced Peierls Phase Transition Systems CuIr₂S₄ and MgTi₂O₄

Authors: Zhang Lei, Zhang Lei

Date: 2017-11-27T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

The iso-spinel structural systems CuIr₂S₄ and MgTi₂O₄ exhibit phase transitions of the similar nature at \sim 230 K and \sim 260 K respectively, which are explained as an orbitally-induced Peierls phase transition. However, in this work, we uncover that applied pressure has opposite pressure effects on the phase transitions in CuIr₂S₄ and MgTi₂O₄. As pressure increases, the phase transition temperature (TMI) for CuIr₂S₄ increases while that for MgTi₂O₄ decreases. In addition, the phase transition intensity becomes weaker for CuIr₂S₄ but gets stronger for MgTi₂O₄ under pressure. Our results indicate that the applied pressure suppresses the metallic phase in CuIr₂S₄, while enhances that in MgTi₂O₄. Combining the experimental observations with first-principle electronic structure calculations, we suggest that the opposite pressure effects in CuIr₂S₄ and MgTi₂O₄ originate from the different orbital ordering configurations (d_{xy}, d_{yz}/d_{xz}) caused by different lattice distortions in these two systems. Our findings directly indicate that the interplay between the orbital and lattice degrees of freedom plays an important role in the orbitally-induced Peierls phase transition.

Full Text

Preamble

Opposite Pressure Effects in the Orbitally-Induced Peierls Phase Transition Systems CuIr₂S₄ and MgTi₂O₄

Long Ma,¹ Hui Han,^{1,2} Wei Liu,^{1,2} Kaishuai Yang,³ Yuanyuan Zhu, Changjin Zhang,¹ Li Pi,¹ Dayong Liu,³ Lei Zhang,¹ and Yuheng Zhang¹,

¹High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China

²University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

³Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China

School of Arts and Sciences, Shanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, China

Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

(Dated: January 23, 2017)

The iso-spinel structural systems CuIr_2S_4 and MgTi_2O_7 exhibit similar phase transitions.

Introduction

The interplay between charge, orbital, spin, and lattice degrees of freedom gives rise to complex physical phenomena, among which orbitally-driven dimensionality transformation in a three-dimensional network is exceptionally rare [?, ?, ?, ?, ?]. A prominent example is the orbitally-induced Peierls phase transition discovered in the iso-spinel structural materials CuIr_2S_4 and MgTi_2O_7 [?]. At room temperature, both systems possess cubic unit cells belonging to space group $Fd\bar{3}m$. Upon cooling, CuIr_2S_4 undergoes a first-order metal-insulator transition at (cid:24) 230 K, accompanied by a sharp decline in magnetism caused by spin-dimerization and the emergence of octamer ordering in the low-temperature pseudo-tetragonal (strictly monoclinic) phase [?, ?, ?, ?]. Similarly, MgTi_2O_7 exhibits a metal-insulator transition at (cid:24) 260 K, characterized by a sharp reduction in magnetism and the appearance of helical ordering in the low-temperature tetragonal phase [?, ?, ?]. Both systems form a spin-singlet state in their low-temperature phases [?, ?, ?, ?].

D. I. Khomskii and T. Mizokawa proposed that these phase transitions can be understood as orbitally-induced Peierls transitions, where anisotropic orbital coupling arising from a partially filled t_2g band along a specific direction generates orbitally-induced Peierls instability [?]. In CuIr_2S_4 , the 5d level of the Ir ion is split by the crystal field of the IrS_6 octahedron into a higher-energy doublet e_g level and a lower-energy triplet t_2g level. Due to the band-Jahn-Teller effect, coupling between 5d_{xy} orbitals of Ir ions along the xy direction leads to dimerization of Ir-Ir bonds along the [110] direction [?]. In MgTi_2O_7 , the 3d level of the Ti ion is split by the crystal field of the TiO_6 octahedron and the band-Jahn-Teller effect. However, with one electron per Ti^{3+} ion, the degenerate 3d_{xz} and 3d_{yz} levels are alternately occupied. The coupling of alternating 3d_{xz} and 3d_{yz} orbitals of Ti^{3+} results in helical dimers with compressed Ti-Ti distances alternating with long bonds along the [001] direction [?].

These orbitally-induced phase transitions are sensitive to hydrostatic pressure P [?, ?]. The transition temperature in CuIr_2S_4 increases with increasing pressure [?], though the pressure effect in MgTi_2O_7 has not been previously reported. In this work, through hydrostatic pressure modulation of lattice structures, we find

that the orbitally-induced Peierls phase transitions in CuIrS and MgTiO exhibit opposite behaviors under pressure. The transition temperature of CuIrS shifts to higher values with increasing pressure, while that of MgTiO shifts to lower values. Moreover, the transport behavior becomes more insulating in CuIrS under pressure, whereas it shows a metallic tendency in MgTiO. Combining experimental observations with first-principles calculations, we propose that these opposite pressure effects originate from different one-dimensional band-Jahn-Teller effects in the two systems.

Experiment

A polycrystalline sample of CuIrS was prepared by solid-state reaction, and a polycrystalline sample of MgTiO was synthesized using spark plasma sintering (SPS). All sample preparation procedures were carried out in argon atmosphere; detailed preparation methods and physical properties have been reported elsewhere [?, ?]. Resistivity measurements were performed using the conventional four-probe method with temperature variation achieved through a closed He-gas cycle refrigerator. For hydrostatic high-pressure resistivity measurements, we employed a clamp-type piston pressure cell with Daphne 7373 oil as the pressure-transmitting medium to ensure high homogeneity. Pressure calibration at room temperature was performed using CuO powder as a manometer, whose nuclear quadrupole resonance frequency as a function of pressure has been accurately determined [?]. First-principles electronic structure calculations were performed using the full-potential linearized augmented-plane-wave (FP-LAPW) method based on density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the WIEN2k package [?].

Results and Discussion

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the temperature dependence of resistivity $\rho(T)$ for CuIrS and MgTiO under various pressures. Under ambient pressure, CuIrS exhibits a typical metal-insulator transition upon cooling, as shown in Fig. 1 Figure 1: see original paper. The resistivity changes by approximately three orders of magnitude at (cid:24) 230 K from metallic to insulating state. Thermal hysteresis between cooling and heating cycles indicates a first-order phase transition. With increasing pressure, the phase transition shifts to higher temperatures and the hysteresis loop narrows. This pressure-induced trend is consistent with magnetization measurements [?] and previous reports [?]. Similarly, MgTiO under ambient pressure shows a transition from metallic to insulating state with a two-order-of-magnitude change in $\rho(T)$ at (cid:24) 260 K [Fig. 1(b)]. The transition is also first-order, as evidenced by thermal hysteresis in $\rho(T)$. The nature of the high-temperature phase in MgTiO remains controversial. Zhou et al. suggested that MgTiO is a semiconductor above the transition temperature, but this interpretation is inconsistent with Pauli paramagnetism in the high-temperature regime [?]. Isobe et al. proposed that the high-temperature

phase is metallic, with the gradual increase in ρ upon cooling arising from grain boundary resistance [?]. In this paper, we treat the first-order phase transitions in both systems as metal-insulator transitions and define the transition temperature uniformly as T_{MI} .

In contrast to CuIrS₂, Fig. 1(b) shows that T_{MI} for MgTiO₃ shifts to lower temperatures and the hysteresis loop expands with increasing pressure. To better understand these pressure effects, Figs. 1(c) and 1(d) present the temperature dependence of differential resistivity $[d\rho/dT(T)]$ under various pressures for CuIrS₂ and MgTiO₃, respectively. Each $d\rho/dT(T)$ curve shows a peak corresponding to the resistivity change at T_{MI} , with the peak height quantifying the transition intensity [?]. For CuIrS₂ [Fig. 1(c)], the peak moves to higher temperatures and its height decreases with increasing pressure, indicating pressure-induced weakening of the phase transition. For MgTiO₃ [Fig. 1(d)], the peak shifts to lower temperatures and its height increases with pressure, implying pressure-induced enhancement of the phase transition.

The transition temperature T_{MI} can be precisely determined from the peak in the $d\rho/dT(T)$ curve. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) plot T_{MI} as a function of pressure for CuIrS₂ and MgTiO₃, respectively. T_{MI} for CuIrS₂ increases almost linearly with applied pressure. Linear fitting of T_{MI} versus pressure was performed using both heating and cooling curves, where values extracted from heating (cooling) curves are denoted as T_{MI}^H (T_{MI}^C). For CuIrS₂, T_{MI}^H increases at a rate of 21.32 K/GPa, while T_{MI}^C increases at 22.49 K/GPa, consistent with previously reported values [?, ?]. For MgTiO₃, T_{MI} decreases linearly with applied pressure, with T_{MI}^H decreasing at 6.14 K/GPa and T_{MI}^C decreasing at 6.20 K/GPa. Meanwhile, the transition width (ΔT), defined as the peak-to-peak width in the $d\rho/dT(T)$ curves as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1(c), also characterizes pressure-induced changes in the phase transition. The pressure dependence of ΔT for CuIrS₂ and MgTiO₃ is shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively (lines are guides to the eye). With increasing pressure, ΔT decreases in CuIrS₂ while increasing in MgTiO₃, corresponding to the shrinkage and expansion of thermal hysteresis, respectively. These changes indicate that the phase transition is weakened in CuIrS₂ but enhanced in MgTiO₃ under pressure.

The opposite pressure effects can also be understood by examining changes in the metallic phase as quantified by resistivity values—that is, suppression versus expansion of the metallic phase. Figure 3 [Figure 3: see original paper] shows the resistivity at 40 K ($\rho@40K$) as a function of pressure for the insulating phases of CuIrS₂ (left axis) and MgTiO₃ (right axis). The 40 K resistivity values for CuIrS₂ increase with pressure, indicating suppression of the metallic phase [?], whereas those for MgTiO₃ decrease with pressure, suggesting enhancement of the metallic state. These results clearly demonstrate that hydrostatic pressure has opposite effects on the transport behaviors of CuIrS₂ and MgTiO₃. In CuIrS₂, pressure suppresses the metallic phase, leading to increased T_{MI} , weakened transition intensity, and increased resistivity values. Conversely, in

MgTiO, pressure enhances the metallic phase, resulting in decreased T_{MI} , increased transition intensity, and decreased resistivity values.

Although the phase transitions in CuIrS and MgTiO are explained within a common framework of orbitally-induced Peierls transitions, our experimental results unambiguously demonstrate opposite pressure effects on transport behavior. Indeed, differences in lattice distortions between the two systems have been noted. Experiments show that lattice structures change differently during phase transitions: in the low-temperature insulating phase, the lattice constant ratio $c/a = 1.033 > 1$ for CuIrS [?], while $c/a = 0.997 < 1$ for MgTiO [?]. In other words, the c -axis elongates in CuIrS but compresses in MgTiO during the phase transition.

It is well known that Peierls transitions typically occur only in one-dimensional metallic chains due to their special band structure [?]. For a Peierls-like transition to occur in a three-dimensional material, a quasi-one-dimensional band structure must be present. In the spinel structure AB_2X_4 , the crystal field Δ_{CF} generated by the BX_4 octahedron splits the d -band into a higher-energy doublet e_g sub-band and a lower-energy triplet t_g sub-band, as shown in Fig. 4 [Figure 4: see original paper]. In CuIrS and MgTiO, the partially filled t_g sub-bands (including degenerate xy , yz , and xz orbitals) play key roles in the phase transitions. However, crystal field splitting alone cannot produce the required quasi-one-dimensional band structure because the xy , yz , and xz levels of the t_g sub-band remain degenerate. For partially filled t_g sub-bands, the energy levels are further split through lattice elongation or compression—the Jahn-Teller effect—which broadens the t_g sub-band to create the necessary quasi-one-dimensional band structure [?]. The resulting anisotropic orbital coupling, arising from this quasi-one-dimensional band, leads to orbitally-dependent electron hopping as described by the Kugel-Khomskii (KK) model [?, ?, ?].

In CuIrS, Jahn-Teller-induced elongation along the c -axis lowers the yz and xz levels of the Ir- t_g sub-band. Given the $5d^6$ electronic configuration of Ir, the yz and xz levels become fully filled while the xy level is half-filled, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4(a). Strong orbital anisotropy forms along the orbital lobe direction of the xy level—that is, the xy (or $[110]$) direction shown in the middle panel of Fig. 4(a)—resulting in orbitally-driven Peierls instability. In MgTiO, Jahn-Teller-induced compression along the c -axis lowers the xz and yz levels, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4(b). However, with the $3d^1$ configuration of Ti^{3+} , the degenerate yz and xz levels are alternately occupied, leading to coupling along alternating xz and yz directions (i.e., chiral bonds along the $[001]$ direction), as shown in the middle panel of Fig. 4(b). Although the coupling in MgTiO involves two directions (xz and yz) and is more complex than in CuIrS, a quasi-one-dimensional band structure still forms, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4(b).

This quasi-one-dimensional band structure helps explain the opposite pressure effects. The Jahn-Teller distortion in the low-temperature phase implies that the phase transition is directly influenced by lattice compression or expansion. In

CuIrS, applied pressure suppresses the elongation tendency along the c -axis, thereby suppressing the metallic phase. This suppression leads to increased T_{MI} , weakened transition intensity, and increased resistivity values. Conversely, in MgTiO, pressure enhances the compressive tendency along the c -axis, causing expansion of the metallic phase. This enhancement results in decreased T_{MI} , increased transition intensity, and decreased resistivity values.

This scenario is confirmed by first-principles electronic structure calculations [?]. To more clearly reveal pressure effects, calculations were performed at $P = 4$ GPa. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the total density of states (tDOS) for the low-temperature phases under ambient and high pressure for CuIrS and MgTiO, respectively. For CuIrS, some occupied states become unoccupied under pressure, reducing the tDOS near the Fermi level [see inset of Fig. 5 Figure 5: see original paper]. Since physical properties are dominated by electronic states near the Fermi level, this reduction indicates that the system becomes more insulating under pressure, consistent with the experimental increase in resistivity. For MgTiO, some unoccupied states become occupied under pressure [see inset of Fig. 5(b)], opposite to the behavior in CuIrS. Consequently, the system shows enhanced metallic behavior under pressure, in agreement with experimental observations. To better understand pressure effects on electronic properties, we plot the atomically-resolved DOS in the low-temperature phase for CuIrS and MgTiO in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), respectively. The decreased tDOS in CuIrS originates primarily from Ir ions, with some contribution from S ions due to hybridization between S-3p and Ir-5d orbitals [Fig. 5(c)]. The increased tDOS in MgTiO arises mainly from Ti ions, while contributions from O or Mg are negligible, indicating weak hybridization between Ti-3d and O-2p orbitals [Fig. 5(d)].

In summary, the opposite pressure-dependent behaviors in CuIrS and MgTiO arise from different orbital ordering configurations (xy versus xz/yz ordering) caused by elongated and compressed tetragonal distortions, respectively. The orbitally-induced Peierls phase transition occurs in a three-dimensional network when a quasi-one-dimensional band structure emerges from the interplay between charge, spin, orbital, and lattice degrees of freedom. Such dimensionality reduction phenomena are quite rare. In addition to the CuIrS and MgTiO studied here, layered ruthenate LaRuO and pyrochlore TlRuO exhibit similar transitions [?, ?]. For LaRuO, the low-temperature phase shows $b > a$ or c and spin-singlet formation by two $S = 1$ moments of Ru ions [?, ?], suggesting it should exhibit pressure effects similar to CuIrS. The situation in TlRuO is more complex [?], having been modeled as a one-dimensional zig-zag Haldane antiferromagnetic chain rather than an orbitally-induced Peierls transition. The $S = 1/2$ moments of Ru ions do not form spin-singlets but exhibit antiferromagnetic order, and the zig-zag structure would lead to more complicated pressure effects.

Conclusion

In summary, we find that hydrostatic pressure has opposite effects on the orbitally-induced Peierls phase transitions in CuIrS and MgTiO. For CuIrS, the transition temperature T_{MI} increases and the transition intensity weakens under pressure. In contrast, for MgTiO, T_{MI} decreases and the transition intensity strengthens with increasing pressure. These observations demonstrate that applied pressure suppresses the metallic phase in CuIrS while enhancing it in MgTiO. Based on first-principles electronic structure calculations, we suggest these differences originate from distinct one-dimensional band-Jahn-Teller effects (xy versus yz/xz) caused by different tetragonal lattice distortions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11574322, U1332140, 11574315, 11504377, and 11574288), the State Key Project of Fundamental Research of China through Grant No. 2011CBA00111, and the Foundation for Users with Potential of Hefei Science Center (CAS) through Grant No. 2015HSC-UP001.

References

- [1] P. Khalifah, R. Osborn, Q. Huang, H. W. Zandbergen, R. Jin, Y. Liu, D. Mandrus, R. J. Cava, *Science* 297, 2237 (2002).
- [2] H. Wu, Z. Hu, T. Burnus, J. D. Denlinger, P. G. Khalifah, D. G. Mandrus, L. Y. Jang, H. H. Hsieh, A. Tanaka, K. S. Liang, J. W. Allen, R. J. Cava, D. I. Khomskii, L. H. Tjeng, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 256402 (2006).
- [3] S. Lee, J. G. Park, D. T. Adroja, D. Khomskii, S. Streltsov, K. A. McEwen, H. Sakai, K. Yoshimura, V. I. Anisimov, D. Mori, R. Kanno, R. Ibberson, *Nat. Mater.* 5, 471 (2006).
- [4] M. Croft, V. Kiryukhin, Y. Horibe, S. W. Cheong, *New J. Phys.* 9, 86 (2007).
- [5] S. H. Baek, D. V. Efremov, J. M. Ok, J. S. Kim, J. van den Brink, B. Büchner, *Nat. Mater.* 14, 210 (2015).
- [6] P. G. Radaelli, *New J. Phys.* 7, 53 (2005).
- [7] S. Nagata, T. Hagino, Y. Seki, T. Bitoh, *Physica B* 194-196, 1077 (1994).
- [8] T. Furubayashi, T. Matsumoto, T. Hagino, S. Nagata, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 63, 3333 (1994).
- [9] P. G. Radaelli, Y. Horibe, M. J. Gutmann, H. Ishibashi, C. H. Chen, R. M. Ibberson, Y. Koyama, Y. S. Hor, V. Kiryukhin, S. W. Cheong, *Nature (London)* 416, 155 (2002).
- [10] H. Ishibashi, T. Sakai, K. Nakahigashi, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 226-230, 233 (2001).
- [11] M. Isobe and Y. Ueda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 71, 1848 (2002).
- [12] H. D. Zhou and J. B. Goodenough, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 045118 (2005).
- [13] M. Schmidt, W. Ratcliff, P. G. Radaelli, K. Refson, N. M. Harrison, S. W.

- Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 056402 (2004).
- [14] K. Takubo, S. Hirata, J. Y. Son, J. W. Quilty, T. Mizokawa, N. Matsumoto, S. Nagata, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 246401 (2005).
- [15] N. L. Wang, G. H. Cao, P. Zheng, G. Li, Z. Fang, T. Xiang, H. Kitazawa, T. Matsumoto, Phys. Rev. B 69, 153104 (2004).
- [16] J. Zhou, G. Li, J. L. Luo, Y. C. Ma, D. Wu, B. P. Zhu, Z. Tang, J. Shi, N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 74, 245102 (2006).
- [17] In fact, there is competition between the spin-singlet state and a quadrupolar state in CuIr S . The former is a conventional singlet state adiabatically connected to the orbital Peierls state, while the latter is stabilized by additional interactions consisting of a linear combination of different total spin momenta along the spin quantization axis [see K. M. Kojima, R. Kadono, M. Miyazaki, M. Hiraishi, I. Yamauchi, A. Koda, Y. Tsuchiya, H. S. Suzuki, H. Kitazawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 087203 (2014) and J. Nasu and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 90, 045102 (2014)].
- [18] D. I. Khomskii and T. Mizokawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156402 (2005).
- [19] M. Croft, W. Caliebe, H. Woo, T. Tyson, D. Sills, Y. S. Hor, S. W. Cheong, V. Kiryukhin, S. J. Oh, Phys. Rev. B 67, 201102 (2003).
- [20] G. H. Cao, T. Naka, H. Kitazawa, M. Isobe, T. Matsumoto, Phys. Lett. A 307, 166-171 (2003).
- [21] G. H. Cao, T. Furubayashi, H. Suzuki, H. Kitazawa, T. Matsumoto, Y. Uwatoko, Phys. Rev. B 64, 214514 (2001).
- [22] G. Oomi, T. Kagayama, I. Yoshida, T. Hagino, S. Nagata, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 140-144, 157 (1995).
- [23] L. Zhang, L. S. Ling, Z. Qu, C. J. Zhang, S. Tan, Y. H. Zhang, Europhys. Lett. 94, 37003 (2011).
- [24] Y. Y. Zhu, R. J. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Liu, R. Xiong, J. Shi, Appl. Magn. Reson. 46, 505 (2015).
- [25] A. P. Reyes, E. T. Ahrens, R. H. Heffner, P. C. Hammel, J. D. Thompson, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 63, 3120 (1992).
- [26] See the supplementary material for details of the electronic structure calculations.
- [27] L. Zhang, L. Ling, Z. Qu, W. Tong, S. Tan, Y. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. B 77, 83 (2010).
- [28] A. B. Garg, V. Vijayakumar, B. K. Godwal, A. Choudhury, H. D. Hochheimer, Solid State Commun. 142, 369-372 (2007).
- [29] R. E. Peierls, Quantum Theory of Solids, Oxford Univ. Press (1955).
- [30] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 725 (1973).
- [31] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. Solid State 17, 285 (1975).
- [32] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. Usp. 25, 231 (1982).
- [33] S. J. Moon, W. S. Choi, S. J. Kim, Y. S. Lee, P. G. Khalifah, D. Mandrus, T. W. Noh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 116404 (2008).

FIG. 1: (Color online) Temperature dependence of resistivity $\rho(T)$ under different pressures for (a) CuIr S and (b) MgTi O [the inset of (b) shows a magnified view of the phase transition for MgTi O]; temperature dependence of

differential resistivity [$d(\rho)/dT(T)$] under different pressures for (c) CuIrS and (d) MgTiO [the inset of (c) shows the definition of T].

FIG. 2 [Figure 2: see original paper]: (Color online) Transition temperature T_{MI} as a function of pressure for (a) CuIrS and (b) MgTiO (solid lines are fits); pressure dependence of the phase transition width (ΔT) for (c) CuIrS and (d) MgTiO (lines are guides to the eye).

FIG. 3 [Figure 3: see original paper]: (Color online) Resistivity of CuIrS (right axis) and MgTiO (left axis) at 40 K ($\rho@40K$) as a function of pressure.

FIG. 4 [Figure 4: see original paper]: (Color online) Schematic diagram of quasi-one-dimensional band formation for (a) CuIrS (left) and (b) MgTiO (right) [top panels illustrate distorted IrS and TiO octahedra, middle panels show orbital overlap directions, and bottom panels display one-dimensional band structures].

FIG. 5 [Figure 5: see original paper]: (Color online) (a) Total density of states (tDOS) in low-temperature phases for CuIrS and (b) MgTiO; atomically-resolved DOS in low-temperature phase for (c) CuIrS and (d) MgTiO [insets show magnified views of the density of states near the Fermi level].

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.