

## Effects of Different LED Light Intensities on the Physiological and Biochemical Characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* Seedlings: Postprint

**Authors:** Gong Hongen, Yao Xiaohua, Wu Pengfei, Wang Kailiang, Ye Sicheng, Cheng Guiwen

**Date:** 2018-10-26T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

To provide beneficial reference and scientific basis for *Camellia oleifera* seedling cultivation, this study employed two-year-old ‘Changlin 4’ *Camellia oleifera* cutting seedlings as experimental material to measure and analyze the soluble substance content, endogenous hormone levels, and antioxidant enzyme activities under different light intensity treatments of LED red-blue 1:9 composite light, thereby exploring the differences and variation patterns of physiological and biochemical characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* seedlings under various LED light intensity treatments. The results demonstrated that: under the  $100 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment, seedlings exhibited the highest ZR content and POD activity, along with the lowest MDA content, but showed the lowest soluble sugar, IAA, and GA contents; under the  $150 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment, seedlings displayed the highest ABA content, while having the lowest soluble protein content and SOD activity; under the  $200 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment, seedlings possessed the highest SOD activity, but the lowest POD activity, and the highest MDA content; under the  $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment, seedlings achieved the highest soluble protein, soluble sugar, free amino acid, IAA, and GA contents, as well as CAT activity; under the  $300 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment, seedlings had the lowest free amino acid, ABA, ZR contents, and CAT activity. Compared with other treatments, the  $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment was more favorable for enhancing various physiological and biochemical indicators of ‘Changlin 4’ *Camellia oleifera* seedlings, representing a relatively ideal light intensity for *Camellia oleifera* seedling cultivation.

## Full Text

### Effects of Different LED Light Intensities on the Physiological and Biochemical Characteristics of Oil-Tea Camellia Seedlings

GONG Hongen<sup>1,2</sup>, YAO Xiaohua<sup>2</sup>, WU Pengfei<sup>2</sup>, WANG Kailiang<sup>2</sup>, YE Sicheng<sup>2</sup>, CHENG Guiwen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Experimental Centre of Subtropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Fenyi, Jiangxi 336600, China

<sup>2</sup>Research Institute of Subtropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Fuyang, Zhejiang 311400, China

#### Abstract

This study investigated the effects of different light intensities from LED red-blue composite light (1:9 ratio) on soluble substance content, endogenous hormone levels, and antioxidant enzyme activity in oil-tea camellia seedlings, using two-year-old cutting seedlings of *Camellia oleifera* 'Changlin-4' as experimental materials. The results demonstrated significant variations in physiological and biochemical characteristics across different light intensity treatments. At 100 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup>, seedlings exhibited the highest zeatin riboside (ZR) content and peroxidase (POD) activity, along with the lowest malondialdehyde (MDA) content, but showed the lowest levels of soluble sugar, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), and gibberellin (GA). The 150 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> treatment produced the highest abscisic acid (ABA) content and the lowest soluble protein content and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. At 200 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup>, seedlings displayed peak SOD activity but minimal POD activity and maximal MDA content. The 250 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> treatment yielded the highest values for soluble protein, soluble sugar, free amino acid content, IAA, GA, and catalase (CAT) activity. The 300 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> treatment resulted in the lowest levels of free amino acids, ABA, ZR, and CAT activity. Compared with other treatments, the 250 mol · m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> LED red-blue composite light (1:9 ratio) was most beneficial for enhancing the physiological and biochemical indicators of 'Changlin-4' oil-tea camellia seedlings, representing an optimal light intensity for seedling cultivation.

**Keywords:** Nonwood forest science; seedling cultivation; soluble substances; endogenous hormones; antioxidant enzymes

---

## Introduction

Light intensity is a critical environmental factor in plant growth and development, profoundly influencing photosynthetic characteristics, physiological metabolism, and quality formation. Plants achieve optimal growth only under suitable light conditions. Insufficient light intensity leads to etiolation, enlarged

and thinner leaves, while excessive intensity causes wilting and produces smaller, thicker leaves. Both conditions induce photoinhibition, though plants have evolved protective mechanisms such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging systems that can eliminate ROS within certain limits, thereby reducing cellular damage.

Oil-tea camellia (*Camellia oleifera* Abel.) is a crucial woody oil species in southern China and ranks among the world's four major woody oil crops. Widely distributed across southern China, its primary product—tea oil—is rich in unsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E, earning it the reputation of “oriental olive oil.” With increasing national emphasis on the oil-tea camellia industry through supportive policies and subsidies, cultivation areas have expanded dramatically, creating a growing demand for improved seedlings that currently outpaces supply. To identify methods for enhancing seedling propagation efficiency, our research team previously investigated the effects of LED light quality on oil-tea camellia seedling growth and identified the optimal light quality ratio for ‘Changlin-4’ seedlings. Building upon this foundation, the present study examined how different LED light intensities affect soluble substance content, endogenous hormone levels, and antioxidant enzyme activity in ‘Changlin-4’ cuttings under red-blue composite light (1:9 ratio), aiming to provide scientific guidance for light intensity selection in oil-tea camellia seedling production.

---

## Materials and Methods

### 1.1 Experimental Materials and Design

The experiment was conducted from April to June 2017 at the Research Institute of Subtropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry. Two-year-old ‘Changlin-4’ oil-tea camellia cuttings of uniform growth (approximately 13.9 cm in height and 2.57 mm in basal diameter) were selected from the Jiangshan Forestry Seed and Seedling Breeding Center in Zhejiang Province. Seedlings were individually transplanted into plastic pots (14 cm diameter × 11 cm height) filled with imported German K-brand peat moss substrate. Each treatment comprised 36 seedlings with three replications. Baseline measurements of seedling height and basal diameter were recorded. Light treatments commenced on April 4.

LED light strips were purchased from Shenzhen Libo Lighting Co., Ltd., with specifications as follows: red LED peak wavelength 661 nm, half-width 19.7 nm, color purity 0.993; blue LED peak wavelength 454 nm, half-width 20.1 nm, color purity 0.982. Using red-blue composite light at a 1:9 ratio, five light intensity treatments were established: L1 ( $100 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ), L2 ( $150 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ), L3 ( $200 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ), L4 ( $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ), and L5 ( $300 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The cultivation system consisted of steel frames covered with black shading cloth, with adjustable light sources positioned above the plants. All treatments received a 12-hour photoperiod (06:30–18:30), and room temperature

was maintained at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . Physiological indices were measured on day 60 (June 3).

### 1.2 Index Determination

For each treatment, 12 seedlings were randomly selected (four per replication). The second to third leaves from the shoot apex were collected, cleaned, and finely shredded. A 0.1 g sample was weighed using a 0.1 mg precision balance, placed in a 2 mL cryogenic centrifuge tube, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis.

Soluble protein content, free amino acid content, soluble sugar content, MDA content, and activities of SOD, POD, and CAT were determined using assay kits from Keming Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Suzhou, China). The specific kits included: Coomassie brilliant blue protein assay (KMSP-2-W), amino acid assay (AA-2-W), plant soluble sugar assay (KT-2-Y), MDA assay (MDA-2-Y), SOD assay (SOD-2-Y), POD assay (POD-2-Y), and CAT assay (CAT-2-Y). Procedures and calculations followed manufacturer protocols.

Endogenous hormone contents (IAA, GA, ABA, and ZR) were determined by ELISA and analyzed by China Agricultural University.

### 1.3 Data Processing

Each treatment and replication was randomly sampled three times. Data were analyzed using Excel 2007 and SPSS 11.5 software. One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test were used for significance testing.

---

## Results

### 2.1 Effects of Different LED Light Intensities on Soluble Substance Content in Oil-Tea Camellia Leaves

Soluble protein content in leaves exhibited a decreasing-increasing-decreasing trend with increasing light intensity. The L4 treatment ( $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) produced the maximum soluble protein content of  $5.058 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , significantly higher than L2 and L5 treatments. The L2 treatment showed the minimum value, though not significantly different from L1, L3, and L5 treatments.

Soluble sugar content showed no significant differences among treatments, with the highest value observed in L4 and the lowest in L1.

Free amino acid content generally increased then decreased with rising light intensity. The L5 treatment yielded the lowest content at  $12.131 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , significantly lower than other treatments. The L4 treatment produced the highest value, 1.35 times that of L5, while L1 through L4 treatments showed no significant differences among themselves.

MDA content displayed an initial increase followed by a decrease with increasing light intensity. The L1 treatment resulted in the lowest MDA content at  $25.518 \text{ nmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , significantly lower than other treatments. The L3 treatment produced the highest value, followed by L2, then L4 and L5.

## 2.2 Effects of Different LED Light Intensities on Endogenous Hormone Levels

IAA and GA contents generally increased then decreased with rising light intensity. The L4 treatment produced the highest IAA and GA contents at  $56.637$  and  $7.967 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively, significantly higher than other treatments. L5 and L3 treatments ranked next, significantly higher than L1 and L2. The L1 treatment showed the lowest values at  $41.475$  and  $5.454 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , not significantly different from L2.

ABA content also increased then decreased with rising light intensity. The L2 treatment yielded the highest ABA content at  $77.789 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , followed by L1, both significantly higher than other treatments. L3 and L4 treatments were next, significantly higher than L5.

ZR content gradually decreased with increasing light intensity. The L1 treatment produced the highest ZR content at  $8.006 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , significantly higher than L5. No significant differences were observed among L2, L3, and L4 treatments.

## 2.3 Effects of Different LED Light Intensities on Antioxidant Enzyme Activity

Antioxidant enzyme activities generally showed a decreasing-increasing-decreasing trend with rising light intensity.

SOD activity peaked in the L3 treatment at  $470.202 \text{ U} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , followed by L1 and L4 treatments, all significantly higher than L2 and L5. The L2 treatment showed the lowest activity, not significantly different from L5.

POD activity showed no significant differences among treatments, with the highest value in L1 and the lowest in L3.

CAT activity reached its maximum in the L4 treatment at  $425.192 \text{ U} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , significantly higher than other treatments and 1.75 times that of L5. The L5 treatment produced the lowest value, not significantly different from L1, L2, and L3.

## Discussion

### Effects on Soluble Protein and Soluble Sugar Content

Soluble protein is an important physiological and biochemical indicator closely related to plant stress resistance. This study found that soluble protein content in 'Changlin-4' oil-tea camellia leaves initially increased then decreased with light intensity from 100 to 300  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ , reaching maximum at 250  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . This indicates that appropriate light intensity within a certain range can promote soluble protein accumulation, consistent with findings in *Hemerocallis* spp. and *Lagenaria siceraria*.

Soluble sugars are vital organic components that play important roles in plant growth and development. This study revealed that soluble sugar content in 'Changlin-4' leaves also increased then decreased with rising light intensity, peaking at 250  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . This suggests that moderate light intensity enhancement can improve soluble sugar content, corroborating results from studies on *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Dendrobium nobile*, *Spathiphyllum kochii*, and *Lactuca sativa*.

### Effects on Antioxidant Enzyme Activity and MDA Content

SOD, POD, and CAT are crucial antioxidant enzymes that continuously scavenge ROS generated during metabolism and stress, preventing membrane lipid peroxidation and associated damage to plant development. However, antioxidant enzymes cannot eliminate all ROS, and accumulated ROS gradually increase membrane lipid peroxidation. MDA content serves as a key indicator of membrane lipid peroxidation severity. This study found that at 100  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ , 'Changlin-4' seedlings showed high SOD, POD, and CAT activities with minimal MDA content, indicating effective ROS scavenging and low membrane damage. At 150  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ , low antioxidant enzyme activities and high MDA content suggested inadequate ROS removal and severe membrane peroxidation. As light intensity further increased, antioxidant enzyme activities rose then fell while MDA content gradually decreased, indicating that higher light intensities established a dynamic equilibrium between ROS production and elimination, stabilizing membrane structure and function.

### Effects on Endogenous Hormone Content

IAA and GA contents in 'Changlin-4' leaves increased then decreased with rising light intensity, peaking at 250  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and reaching minimum at 100  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . Conversely, ABA content gradually decreased with increasing light intensity, showing higher values at 100 and 150  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and the lowest at 300  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . Since IAA and GA promote growth while ABA is associated with senescence, the 250  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatment likely promotes seedling growth, whereas 100 and 150  $\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  treatments are less favorable. These findings align with research on *Phalaenopsis*.

Compared with other treatments, the LED red-blue composite light (1:9 ratio) at  $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  produced 'Changlin-4' seedlings with maximal soluble protein, soluble sugar, free amino acid, IAA, and GA contents, along with highest CAT activity and relatively high SOD and POD activities, while maintaining low ABA and MDA levels. This demonstrates that  $250 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  LED red-blue composite light can enhance physiological function, promote accumulation of growth-promoting hormones, and improve stress resistance in oil-tea camellia seedlings, representing an appropriate light condition for seedling cultivation.

---

## References

- CHAO TC, ZHOU SB, CHANG LL, et al, 2013. Effects of light intensity on the leaf morphology and physiological parameters of *Boea clarkeana*[J]. Chin J Ecol, 32(5): 1161-1167. [晁天彩, 周守标, 常琳琳, 等, 2013. 光照强度对大花旋蒴苣苔叶形态和生理指标的影响 [J]. 生态学杂志, 32 (5): 1161-1167.]
- CHOU MX, ZHU LQ, ZHANGYJ, et al, 2000. Effect of light intensity on the growth and metabolism of *Dendrobium nobile* Lindl.[J]. Acta Hort Sin, 27(5): 380-382. [丑敏霞, 朱利泉, 张玉进, 等, 2000. 光照强度对石斛生长与代谢的影响 [J]. 园艺学报, 27 (5): 380-382.]
- FOYER CH, DESCOURVIERES P, KUNERT KJ, 1994. Protection against oxygen radicals: an important defence mechanism studied in transgenic plants[J]. Plant Cell Environ, 17(5): 507-523.
- GONG H, DING YF, YAO XH, et al, 2018. Effects of light qualities on growth and photosynthetic characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* cutting stocks[J]. For Res, 31(2): 176-182. [龚洪恩, 丁怡飞, 姚小华, 等, 2018.LED 光质对油茶苗生长和光合特性的影响 [J]. 林业科学研究, 31 (2): 176-182.]
- GUO ZX, SHANG WQ, YAN XF, et al, 2011. Effect of light intensity on growth of *Spathophyllum* plantlets in vitro[J]. Acta Agric Univ Jiangxiensis(Nat Sci Ed), 33(6): 1134-1138. [郭子霞, 尚文倩, 闫新房, 等, 2011. 光照强度对白掌试管苗生长的影响 [J]. 江西农业大学学报 (自然科学版), 33 (6): 1134-1138.]
- HIGASHIUCHI K, UNO Y, KUROKI S, et al, 2016. Effect of light intensity and light/dark period on iridoids in *Hedyotis diffusa*[J]. Environ Contr Biol, 54(2): 109-116.
- HUANG LN, 2014. The physiological responses of different light intensity to *Phalaenopsis* growth and flowering[D]. Fuzhou: Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University: 37-40. [黄丽娜, 2014. 不同光强下蝴蝶兰的若干生理响应 [D]. 福州: 福建农林大学: 37-40.]
- LI A, LI S, WU X, et al, 2016. Effect of light intensity on leaf photosynthetic characteristics and accumulation of flavonoids in *Lithocarpus litseifolius* (Hance) Chun. (Fagaceae)[J]. OJF, 6(5): 445-459.

LI X, YUE H, WANG S, et al, 2013. Research of different effects on activity of plant antioxidant enzymes[J]. Chin J Chin Mat, 38(7): 973-978. [李璇, 岳红, 王升, 等, 2013. 影响植物抗氧化酶活性的因素及其研究热点和现状 [J]. 中国中药杂志, 38 (7): 973-978.]

LIN K, HUANG Z, LIN BY, et al, 2017. Effects of light intensity and the ratio of red to blue light on growth and some physiological and biochemical indices of *Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.[J] Acta Bot Bor-Occid Sin, 37(3): 517-525. [林魁, 黄枝, 林碧英, 等, 2017. 光强和红蓝光配比对瓠瓜幼苗生长及生理生化特性的影响 [J]. 西北植物学报, 37 (3): 517-525.]

LIU XY, JIAO XL, XU ZG, et al, 2015. Effects of different red and blue LED light intensity on growth and antioxidant enzyme activity of cherry tomato seedlings[J]. J Nanjing Agric Univ, 38(5): 772-779. [刘晓英, 焦学磊, 徐志刚, 等, 2015. 不同红蓝 LED 光照强度对樱桃番茄幼苗生长和抗氧化酶活性的影响 [J]. 南京农业大学学报, 38 (5): 772-779.]

LU ZG, ZHAO CQ, ZHOU WJ, et al, 2003. Effect of different light intensity on metabolizing of membrane and inner protective system of *Taxus Media* cv. Hicksii leaves[J]. J Chongqing Univ(Nat Sci Ed), 26(8): 89-92. [芦站根, 赵昌琼, 周文杰, 等, 2003. 光强对曼地亚红豆杉膜代谢及保护系统的影响 [J]. 重庆大学学报 (自然科学版), 26 (8): 89-92.]

MATOS FS, WOLFGGRAMM R, CAVATTE PC, et al, 2009. Phenotypic plasticity in response to light in the coffee tree[J]. Environ Exp Bot, 67(2): 421-427.

QIN J, LIU Y, FANG SZ, et al, 2017. Effects of light quality and intensity on growth and antioxidative activities of *Cyclocarya paliurus* seedlings[J]. J Nanjing For Univ(Nat Sci Ed), 41(4): 13-18. [秦健, 刘洋, 方升佐, 等, 2017. 光质和光强对青钱柳生长和抗氧化酶活性的影响 [J]. 南京林业大学学报 (自然科学版), 41 (4): 13-18.]

REZAI S, ETEMADI N, NIKBAKHT A, et al, 2018. Effect of light intensity on leaf morphology, photosynthetic capacity, and chlorophyll content in sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.)[J]. Korean J Hort Sci Tech, 36(1): 46-57.

SHANG WQ, WANG Z, HE SL, et al, 2017. Effects of light quality ratio and intensity of red/blue light on growth of *Hemerocallis middendorfi* plantlets in vitro[J] J Northwest Sci-Tech Univ Agric For(Nat Sci Ed), 45(7): 90-96. [尚文倩, 王政, 何松林, 等, 2017. 不同红蓝光质比和光照强度对金娃娃萱草试管苗生长的影响 [J]. 西北农林科技大学学报: 自然科学版, 45 (7): 90-96.]

SHANG WQ, WANG Z, HOU JN, et al, 2013. Effects of light emitting diode(LED)with different red/blue quality ratios on the growth of *Dendrobium officinale* plantlets in vitro[J]. J Northwest Sci-Tech Univ Agric For(Nat Sci Ed), 41(5): 155-159. [尚文倩, 王政, 侯甲男, 等, 2013. 不同红蓝光质比 LED 光源对铁皮石斛试管苗生长的影响 [J]. 西北农林科技大学学报 (自然科学版), 41 (5): 155-159.]

WANG JJ, TANG ZH, 2014. The regulation of soluble sugars in the growth and development of plants[J]. Bot Res, 3(3): 71-76. [王嘉佳, 唐中华, 2014. 可溶性糖对植物生长发育调控作用的研究进展 [J]. 植物学研究, 3 (3): 71-76.]

WANG LF, LU YQ, DENG WJ, 2014. Effects of different light intensity on photosynthetic characteristics and ROS metabolism in light green leaves of rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis* Müll.Arg.)[J]. Chin J Trop Crops, 35(6): 1131-1136. [王立丰, 陆艳茜, 邓玉杰, 2014. 光照强度对巴西橡胶树淡绿期叶片光合特性和活性氧代谢的影响 [J]. 热带作物学报, 35 (6): 1131-1136.]

WANG ZM, SONG FF, XU ZG, et al, 2011. Effect of red and blue LED light intensity on growth and quality of lettuce[J]. Chin Veg, 1(16): 44-49. [王志敏, 宋非非, 徐志刚, 等, 2011. 不同红蓝 LED 光照强度对叶用莴苣生长和品质的影响 [J]. 中国蔬菜, 1 (16): 44-49.]

YAO XH, LONG W, CHEN F, et al, 2015. Effect of light quality on the growth of grafted seedlings in *Camellia oleifera* L.[J]. Chin Agric Bull, 31(34): 33-36. [姚小华, 龙伟, 陈芬, 等, 2015. 光质对油茶嫁接苗生长的影响 [J]. 中国农学通报, 31 (34): 33-36.]

ZAVALA JA, RAVETTA DA, 2001. Allocation of photoassimilates to biomass, resin and carbohydrates in *Grindelia chiloensis* as affected by light intensity[J]. Field Crop Res, 69(2): 143-149.

ZHAN JC, HUANG WD, WANG JL, 2003. Research of weak light stress physiology in plants[J]. Chin Bull Bot, 20(1): 43-50. [战吉成, 黄卫东, 王利军, 2003. 植物弱光逆境生理研究综述 [J]. 植物学报, 20 (1): 43-50.]

ZHONG PF, CHEN NL, 2008. Research progress of the influence of light intensity on photosynthesis of horticultural plants[J]. J Gansu Agric Univ, 43(5): 104-109. [种培芳, 陈年来, 2008. 光照强度对园艺植物光合作用影响的研究进展 [J]. 甘肃农业大学学报, 43 (5): 104-109.]

ZHUANG RL, 2012. *Camellia oleifera* in China[M]. YAO XH, ZHOU QR. 2nd Ed. Beijing: China Forestry Publishing House: 1-10 [庄瑞林, 2012. 中国油茶 [M]. 姚小华, 周启仁. 第二版. 北京: 中国林业出版社: 1-10.]

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.*