
AI translation · View original & related papers at
chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-201805.00502

Effects of Different Leaf Litters on the Physicochemical Properties and Microbial Community Structure of Ginseng Soil (Postprint)

Authors: Sun Hai, Wang Qiuxia, Zhang Chungu, Li Le, Liu Zhengbo, The input appears to be incomplete. Please provide the full academic paper content containing the \dots -tags, LaTeX formulas, and text that requires translation. The current input only contains a name “刘宁” (Liu Ning), which does not constitute translatable academic content under the specified format., Shao Cai, Zhang Yayu, Yan Jun, Yuexiong Li

Date: 2018-05-29T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

Tree species selection is critical to the success of forest understory ginseng cultivation. This study investigated the effects of leaf litter on soil nutrients and microbial community structure in ginseng soils, aiming to provide a scientific basis and theoretical guidance for selecting suitable forest lands for understory ginseng cultivation and for improving soils in farmland ginseng cultivation. Through a pot experiment, 5.0 g of leaf litter from *Acer mono*.Maxim.var.mono (A), *Pinus densiflora* Sieb.et Zucc. (B), *Juglans mandshurica* Maxim. (C), *Tilia amurensis* Rupr. (D), and *Quercus mongolica* Fisch.ex Ledeb. (E) were added to soil, and changes in soil physicochemical properties and microbial community structure were examined after planting *Panax ginseng* C.A.meyer. The results indicated that the addition of different leaf litters altered ginseng soil properties, with soil pH being significantly higher than the control value of 5.91 ($P < 0.05$). Soil total nitrogen, available nitrogen and phosphorus, and microbial carbon and nitrogen increased significantly in all leaf litter treatments ($P < 0.05$), whereas soil bulk density, available potassium, and C/N ratio decreased in the leaf litter addition treatments. Genome sequencing of 18 soil samples yielded 6064 and 1900 OTUs through 16S and ITS1 sequencing, respectively. The bacterial sequences encompassed 42 phyla, 117 classes, 170 orders, 213 families, and 225 genera, while fungal sequences encompassed 24 phyla, 98 classes, 196 orders, 330 families, and 435 genera. The status of bacteria and fungi in ginseng soils changed under different leaf litter treatments. Bacterial Proteobacteria were the key microorganisms for leaf decomposition, with their diversity being significantly higher than the control after leaf addition ($P < 0.05$). Bacterial Bacteroidetes and

fungal Basidiomycota may be key microorganisms distinguishing broadleaf and coniferous forest tree species, with their abundance being significantly lower in coniferous forests than in broadleaf forests ($P < 0.05$), while fungal Ascomycota were the key microorganisms for coniferous litter decomposition. Furthermore, specific bacteria and fungi associated with particular leaf litters were identified at different taxonomic levels. Canonical correlation analysis (CDA) revealed that changes in the composition and diversity of bacterial Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, Actinobacteria and fungal Basidiomycota, Zygomycota, Chytridiomycota, and Ascomycota were all correlated with soil factors including SMBN, TN, AP, SOC, AK, C/N, and pH. In summary, the addition of different leaf litters not only increased soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen and improved soil physicochemical properties, but also altered microbial community structure composition. Differences in soil physicochemical properties under different leaf litter treatments led to variations in ginseng soil microbial composition. These results provide theoretical guidance for site selection in forest understory ginseng cultivation and for microbial improvement of farmland ginseng cultivation soils.

Full Text

Effects of Different Leaf Litters on the Physicochemical Properties and Microbial Community Structure of Ginseng-Growing Soil

Sun Hai¹, Wang Qiuxia¹, Zhang Chungel¹, Li Le¹, Liu Zhengbo¹, Liu Ning¹, Shao Cai¹, Zhang Yayu¹, Yan Jun¹, Li Yuexiong²

¹Institute of Special Animal and Plant Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changchun 130112, China

²Shanghai Health Medicine God Like Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Shanghai 200336, China

Abstract: Tree species selection is critical for the success of understory ginseng cultivation. Leaf litter plays a significant role in determining soil physicochemical properties and shaping soil microbial communities in forest ecosystems, yet its effects on understory wild ginseng and cultivated ginseng soils remain unknown. To address this knowledge gap, leaf litters from five tree species—*Juglans mandshurica* (C), *Acer mono* (A), *Tilia amurensis* (D), *Pinus densiflora* (B), and *Quercus mongolica* (E)—were added to ginseng-growing soil in a pot experiment. MiSeq high-throughput sequencing was used to analyze and compare bacterial and fungal diversity and community composition across different leaf litter treatments. Sequencing of the V4 region of 16S rDNA and ITS1 rDNA from eighteen soil samples yielded 6,064 bacterial OTUs and 1,900 fungal OTUs. Bacterial sequences covered 42 phyla, 117 classes, 170 orders, 213 families, and 225 genera, while fungal sequences covered 24 phyla, 98 classes, 196 orders, 330 families, and 435 genera.

Results indicated that all leaf litter treatments significantly affected soil physicochemical properties. Soil total nitrogen, available NPK, and microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) across all treatments, while soil bulk density and C/N ratio decreased compared to the control (no litter addition). Significant changes in bacterial and fungal community composition were observed, with the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* being higher in all treatments than in the control. The bacterial phylum *Bacteroidetes* and fungal phylum *Basidiomycota* showed lower abundance in coniferous litter treatments compared to broadleaf litter treatments ($P < 0.05$), while the fungal phylum *Ascomycota* may represent key microbes for coniferous litter decomposition. LEfSe (Linear Discriminant Analysis Effect Size) analysis revealed specific bacterial and fungal taxa overexpressed at kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species levels based on relative abundance. These included the bacterial genus *Sphingomonas* and fungal species *Podospora glutinans* and *Exophiala equina* in treatment D, and specific fungal taxa in treatment B. Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) confirmed that shifts in microbial community composition and diversity were closely related to changes in soil microbial biomass nitrogen, total nitrogen, available phosphorus, soil organic carbon, available potassium, C/N ratio, and pH across all treatments.

Our findings suggest that leaf litter addition significantly affects soil bacterial community development, leading to higher soil nutrients and microbial biomass as well as altered bacterial community composition. The resultant soil bacterial communities influence litter decomposition and wild understory ginseng management. These results provide theoretical guidance for site selection in understory ginseng cultivation and soil microbial improvement in field-cultivated ginseng systems.

Keywords: *Panax ginseng*; leaf litter; soil nutrients; soil microbial carbon; soil microbial nitrogen; 16S; ITS sequences; community structure

1. Collection of Potting Soil and Leaves

Soil and leaf litter were collected from the Wujie understory ginseng cultivation base in Lengchang Village, Fusong County, Jilin Province, a major production area for forest-cultivated ginseng (127°75' 78" E, 42°81' 12" N). The potting soil was obtained from abandoned farmland adjacent to the base in 2015. Concurrently, fallen leaves of *Acer mono*, *Tilia amurensis*, and *Quercus mongolica* were collected from the understory ginseng base. Collected leaves were oven-dried at 60°C to constant weight and passed through a 2 mm sieve. The initial physicochemical properties of the potting soil were: pH 5.89, organic carbon 20.89 g/kg, total nitrogen 1.61 g/kg, available phosphorus 25.83 mg/kg, and available potassium 220.54 mg/kg. The elemental composition of different leaf litters is shown in .

2. Experimental Design and Soil Sample Preparation

The pot experiment was arranged using a completely randomized block design at the Institute of Special Animal and Plant Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences in May 2015. Based on Zhang Xinping' s survey method for leaf litter quantity per unit area at the Wujie understory ginseng base, the final determined leaf addition rate was 5.0 g per 3.5 kg soil. Six treatments were established: A) *Acer mono* (5.0 g), B) *Pinus densiflora* (5.0 g), C) *Juglans mandshurica* (5.0 g), D) *Tilia amurensis* (5.0 g), E) *Quercus mongolica* (5.0 g), and F) control (no leaf addition). *Acer mono*, *Tilia amurensis*, and *Quercus mongolica* are broadleaf species, while *Pinus densiflora* is a coniferous species. Leaf litters were sieved through a 0.01 mm mesh and thoroughly mixed with soil as the test substrate.

Uniformly sized 2-year-old ginseng seedlings were planted in black nutrient pots (120 mm diameter \times 180 mm height), with each pot serving as one replicate. All treatments were watered weekly to maintain 70–80% water holding capacity. Pot temperature was maintained at 17–28°C. After one growing season in October 2015, rhizosphere soil from each plant was collected. Soil samples from three plants per pot were mixed to form one replicate. Samples were divided into two portions: one air-dried for physicochemical analysis and another stored at -80°C for microbial analysis.

3. Measurement Indicators and Methods

Soil pH was measured at a 1:2.5 soil-water ratio using a Mettler Toledo pH meter. Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen were determined using a Vario EL III elemental analyzer. Available phosphorus was measured by sodium bicarbonate extraction followed by molybdenum-antimony anti-colorimetry. Available potassium was extracted with ammonium acetate and measured by flame photometry. Alkaline hydrolyzable nitrogen was determined by the petri dish diffusion method. Soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen were measured using chloroform fumigation-potassium sulfate extraction, with organic carbon content in extracts measured by a Vario TOC analyzer and total nitrogen by continuous flow analyzer (AA3). Results were multiplied by correction factors KEC (0.45) and KEN (0.54) to obtain microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen contents.

For DNA extraction, 0.1 g soil was processed using the Power Soil™ DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio, USA) following manufacturer instructions. Bacterial and fungal ribosomal gene amplification and sequencing were performed by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. Bacterial 16S rDNA V4 region was amplified using primers 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') following Caporaso et al. [29]. Fungal ITS1 region was amplified using primers ITS5-1737F (GGAAGTAAAAGTCG-TAACAAGG). PCR conditions were: 98°C for 10 s, 50°C for 30 s, 72°C for 60 s (30 cycles), and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Sequencing was performed

on an Illumina MiSeq platform.

4. Data Statistics and Analysis

Raw sequences were processed using QIIME software. After trimming barcode and primer sequences, paired-end reads were merged using FLASH software. Quality filtering was performed to obtain high-quality sequences, which were then compared against databases to detect chimeric sequences, resulting in effective data (Clean Tags). UPARSE pipeline (V7.0.1001) was used for clustering at 97% similarity to obtain OTUs. RDP classifier (V2.2) was used for species annotation against databases. SAS 9.0 was used for variance analysis, Excel 2013 for data organization, and CANOCO 5.0 for correlation analysis.

1. Soil Properties

After one growing season, soil physicochemical properties under different leaf treatments are shown in . Soil pH values in all leaf addition treatments were significantly higher than the control ($P < 0.05$). Soil bulk density in treatments A, B, C, D, and E decreased to 0.90, 0.96, 0.95, 0.94, and 0.95 g/cm³, respectively, significantly lower than the control (0.96 g/cm³). Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen ranged from 20.20–28.90 g/kg and 2.20–4.11 g/kg, respectively. The C/N ratio in all treatments (7.04–8.35) was significantly lower than the control (8.36, $P < 0.05$). Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen, as well as available nitrogen and phosphorus, increased significantly. Treatment D showed the highest microbial biomass carbon content, while treatment E showed the highest microbial biomass nitrogen content. All treatments were significantly higher than the control ($P < 0.05$).

2. Basic Sequencing Data Analysis

MiSeq sequencing yielded 16S rDNA reads ranging from 47,500 per sample. After quality filtering, high-quality reads were obtained. A total of 6,064 bacterial OTUs were identified across all samples, with individual sample OTU numbers ranging from 1,370. Over 90% of sequences were retained for further analysis. ITS1 sequencing yielded reads ranging from 47,500 per sample, with 1,900 fungal OTUs identified. At the phylum level, unclassified sequences accounted for 10% of bacterial reads. The dominant bacterial phyla were *Proteobacteria* (41%), *Acidobacteria* (18%), *Actinobacteria* (14%), *Gemmatimonadetes* (8%), *Chloroflexi* (5%), *Crenarchaeota* (3%), *Nitrospirae* (3%), *Firmicutes* (2%), *Verrucomicrobia* (2%), and *Bacteroidetes* (2%) [Figure 1a: see original paper].

At the class level, the most abundant bacterial classes were *Alphaproteobacteria* (18.07%), *Acidobacteria-6* (18.07%), *Betaproteobacteria* (9.11%), *Deltaproteobacteria* (7.69%), *Actinobacteria* (6.72%), and *Gammaproteobacteria* (5.90%). At the phylum level for fungi, unclassified sequences accounted for 10% of reads, with *Ascomycota* (47%), *Basidiomycota* (24%), *Chytridiomycota* (5%), and *Zygomycota* (1%) being dominant [Figure 1b: see original paper]. At the class

level, the most abundant fungal classes were *Sordariomycetes* (30.81%), *Agaricomycetes* (23.78%), *Eurotiomycetes* (11.83%), *Dothideomycetes* (3.99%), and *Chytridiomycetes* (3.08%).

3. Effects of Different Leaf Treatments on Soil Microbial Community Structure

Cluster analysis based on weighted UniFrac UPGMA revealed that bacterial communities clustered into four groups: treatments A and D, treatments B and C, treatment E, and the control [Figure 2: see original paper]. Fungal communities also formed four distinct groups. At the phylum level, the top five dominant bacterial phyla were consistent across all leaf treatments: *Proteobacteria* (35.38–43.69%), *Acidobacteria* (17.10–20.34%), *Actinobacteria* (12.77–14.76%), *Gemmatimonadetes* (7.85–9.36%), and *Chloroflexi* (4.37–5.02%). However, the relative abundances of *Firmicutes*, *Crenarchaeota*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Nitrospirae*, and *Bacteroidetes* varied among treatments.

At the phylum level, all leaf treatments showed *Ascomycota* as the dominant fungal group, while the control showed *Basidiomycota* as the second most abundant phylum. Variance analysis using SAS 9.0 revealed that *Bacteroidetes* abundance was significantly higher in broadleaf treatments than in coniferous treatments ($P < 0.05$) and significantly higher in all leaf treatments compared to the control. The abundance of *Ascomycota* differed significantly between coniferous treatment B and the control ($P < 0.01$), while *Basidiomycota* abundance was significantly lower in treatment B compared to treatments A, C, and D ($P < 0.01$) [Figure 4: see original paper].

4. Correlations Between Soil Properties and Microbial Diversity

LEfSe analysis identified statistically significant biomarkers at different taxonomic levels. The indicator bacterial population for *Acer mono* leaf litter soil was *Rhizobiales* at the order level, with *Colletotrichum anthrisci* as the indicator fungus. For *Pinus densiflora* leaf litter soil, indicator fungi included two genera (*Chalara* and *Xenopolyscytalum*) and two species (*Exophiala equina* and *Podospora glutinans*), with *Rhodospirillales* as the indicator bacteria. *Quercus mongolica* leaf litter soil showed four indicator fungal genera: *Trichoderma*, *Pilidiella*, *Minimedusa*, and *Talaromyces*. For *Tilia amurensis* leaf litter soil, the indicator bacterium was *Sphingomonas* and the indicator fungus was *Harzia acremonioides*.

To reveal how soil physicochemical factors influence microbial community structure, redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed using CANOCO 5.0. The analysis included soil factors (pH, SOC, TN, C/N, AN, AP, AK, SMBC, SMBN) and microbial phyla (*Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Crenarchaeota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Nitrospirae*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Ascomycota*, *Basidiomycota*, *Chytridiomycota*, *Zygomycota*).

The first axis explained 83.24% of variation (eigenvalue = 0.7928) and the second axis explained 10.07% (eigenvalue = 0.0959), with cumulative explanatory power reaching 93.31% [Figure 6: see original paper]. *Bacteroidetes*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Actinobacteria* were closely correlated with SMBN, TN, AP, SOC, AK, C/N, and pH. *Basidiomycota*, *Zygomycota*, and *Chytridiomycota* showed similar correlations, while *Ascomycota* responded differently to leaf litter types, possibly related to soil physicochemical properties.

1. Effects of Different Leaf Treatments on Soil Physicochemical Properties

Leaf addition reduced soil bulk density, a key indicator for ginseng site selection that directly affects root morphology. Previous studies indicate ginseng grows best in soils with bulk density $<1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and slightly acidic pH with high nutrient supply capacity. In this study, leaf treatments reduced bulk density and altered pH, potentially enhancing ginseng's resistance to environmental stress. Continuous ginseng cropping leads to nutrient depletion and disrupted microbial communities, but leaf litter addition increased soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, and available nitrogen and phosphorus, particularly in *Juglans mandshurica*, *Tilia amurensis*, and *Quercus mongolica* treatments. This confirms that litter decomposition enhances soil nutrients through microbial carbon flow, supporting plant growth.

2. Effects of Different Leaf Treatments on Soil Microbial Community Structure

Leaf litter addition altered microbial community composition and functional microbial status. Both bacterial and fungal communities were significantly influenced by forest type (broadleaf vs. coniferous). Broadleaf litters introduced more diverse carbon sources and higher carbon content than coniferous litters, leading to greater microbial diversity. Coniferous soils contained more recalcitrant lignin and humic acids, favoring K-strategist microbes that decompose complex organic carbon. While dominant microbial groups remained similar across treatments, their relative abundances changed significantly. *Proteobacteria* dominated all leaf treatments, consistent with its role as a primary functional group in litter decomposition. *Bacteroidetes*, key for broadleaf litter decomposition, showed higher abundance in broadleaf than coniferous treatments. *Ascomycota* status also changed, likely due to varying carbon structure complexity and environmental preferences among fungi.

Specific taxa were associated with particular leaf types. *Sphingomonas*, a plant probiotic that secretes hydrogen peroxide to enhance stress resistance, was specific to *Tilia amurensis* treatment. *Colletotrichum anthrisci*, a pathogen causing ginseng anthracnose, was specific to *Acer mono* treatment. *Trichoderma*, *Pilidiella*, *Minimedusa*, and *Talaromyces* were specific to *Quercus mongolica* treatment, potentially participating in litter decomposition.

4. Conclusion

Different leaf litter additions significantly affected soil physicochemical properties and microbial community structure. While dominant microbial groups remained largely unchanged, functional microbial status shifted considerably. Leaf addition increased microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen, enhanced available nitrogen and phosphorus, and altered microbial community composition. *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were key microbes distinguishing broadleaf from coniferous treatments, while *Ascomycota* functioned in coniferous litter decomposition. Specific bacterial and fungal taxa were identified at different classification levels for each leaf type. Changes in functional microbial status and diversity were closely correlated with soil factors including SMBN, TN, AP, SOC, AK, C/N, and pH. These findings provide theoretical and practical guidance for site selection in understory ginseng cultivation and microbial improvement of field-cultivated ginseng soils.

References

- [1] Gao Y G, Liu Q, Zang P, Li X, Ji Q, He Z M, Zhao Y, Yang H, Zhao X L, Zhang L X. An endophytic bacterium isolated from *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer enhances growth, reduces morbidity, and stimulates ginsenoside biosynthesis. *Phytochemistry Letters*, 2015, 11: 132-138.
- [2] Jang I B, Lee D Y, Yu J, Park H W, Mo H S, Park K C, Hyun D Y, Lee E H, Kim K H, Oh C S. Photosynthesis rates, growth, and ginsenoside contents of 2-yr-old *Panax ginseng* grown at different light transmission rates in a greenhouse. *Journal of Ginseng Research*, 2015, 39(4): 345-353.
- [3] Lee K J, Lee B W, Kang J Y, Lee D Y, Jang S W, Kim K S. Assessment of microclimate conditions under artificial shades in a ginseng field. *Journal of Ginseng Research*, 2016, 40(1): 90-96.
- [4] Zhang Z Y, Lin W X, Yang Y H, Chen X J. Effects of consecutively monocultured *Rehmannia glutinosa* L. on diversity of fungal community in rhizospheric soil. *Agricultural Sciences in China*, 2011, 10(9): 1374-1384.
- [5] Suthar S, Gairola S. Nutrient recovery from urban forest leaf litter waste solids using *Eisenia fetida*. *Ecological Engineering*, 2014, 71: 660-666.
- [6] Li X Q, Yin X Q, Wang Z H, Fan W H. Litter mass loss and nutrient release influenced by soil fauna of *Betula ermanii* forest floor of the Changbai Mountains, China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2015, 95: 15-22.
- [7] Boone R D, Nadelhoffer K J, Canary J D, Kaye J P. Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. *Nature*, 1998, 396(6711): 570-572.
- [8] McGuire A D. Ecosystem element cycling. In: EL-Shaarawi A H, Piegorsch

W W eds. *Encyclopedia of Environmetrics*, Volume 2. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002: 614-618.

[9] [Reference text appears incomplete in original]

[10] Chapin III F S, Matson P A, Mooney H A. *Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[11] Wang Q K, Wang S L, He T X, Wu J B. Response of organic carbon mineralization and microbial community to leaf litter and nutrient additions in subtropical forest soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2014, 71: 13-20.

[12] Swift M J, Heal O W, Anderson J M. *Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystems*. California, USA: University of California Press, 1979: 131-156.

[13] Wan X H, Huang Z Q, He Z M, Yu Z P, Wang M H, Davis M R, Yang Y S. Soil C:N ratio is the major determinant of soil microbial community structure in subtropical coniferous and broadleaf forest plantations. *Plant and Soil*, 2015, 387(1/2): 103-116.

[14] Fanin N, Bertrand I. Aboveground litter quality is a better predictor than belowground microbial communities when estimating carbon mineralization along a land-use gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2016, 94: 48-60.

[15] Hristovski S, Berg B, Melovski L. Limitless decomposition in leaf litter of Common beech: patterns, nutrients' and heavy metal' s dynamics. *Pedobiologia*, 2014, 57(3): 131-138.

[16] Kim C, Choo G C, Cho H S, Lim J T. Soil properties of cultivation sites for mountain-cultivated ginseng at local level. *Journal of Ginseng Research*, 2015, 39(1): 76-80.

[17] Garcia-Pausas J, Paterson E. Microbial community abundance and structure are determinants of soil organic matter mineralisation in the presence of labile carbon. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2011, 43(8): 1705-1713.

[18] Puisant J, Cécillon L, Mills R T E, Robroek B J M, Gavazov K, De Danieli S, Spiegelberger T, Butler A, Brun J J. Seasonal influence of climate manipulation on microbial community structure and function in mountain soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2015, 80: 296-305.

[19] Riah-Anglet W, Trinsoutrot-Gattin I, Martin-Laurent F, Laroche-Ajzenberg E, Norini M P, Latour X, Laval K. Soil microbial community structure and function relationships: a heat stress experiment. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2016, 86: 121-130.

[20] Nguyen T T, Cavagnaro T R, Ngo H T T, Marschner P. Soil respiration, microbial biomass and nutrient availability in soil amended with high and low C/N residue-Influence of interval between residue additions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2016, 95: 189-197.

- [21] Nazaries L, Tottey W, Robinson L, Khachane A, Al-Soud W A, Sørensen S, Singh B K. Shifts in the microbial community structure explain the response of soil respiration to land-use change but not to climate warming. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2015, 89: 123-134.
- [22] Li Y, Ying Y X, Zhao D Y, Ding W L. Influence of allelochemicals on microbial community in ginseng cultivating soil. *Chinese Herbal Medicines*, 2014, 6(4): 313-318.
- [23] Ying Y X, Ding W L, Zhou Y Q, Li Y. Influence of *Panax ginseng* continuous cropping on metabolic function of soil microbial communities. *Chinese Herbal Medicines*, 2012, 4(4): 329-334.
- [24] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Study on microbial community structure in ginseng soil under different cultivation modes”]
- [25] Zhang X P. [Reference incomplete]
- [26] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Evaluation of ginseng soil fertility under different production modes”]
- [27] [Reference appears to be a Chinese book; title translated as “Soil Agricultural Chemistry Analysis”]
- [28] Vance E D, Brookes P C, Jenkinson D S. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 1987, 19(6): 703-707.
- [29] Caporaso J G, Lauber C L, Walters W A, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone C A, Turnbaugh P J, Fierer N, Knight R. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2011, 108(Supplement 1): 4516-4522.
- [30] Chu H Y, Xiang X J, Yang J, Adams J M, Zhang K P, Li Y T, Shi Y. Effects of slope aspects on soil bacterial and Arbuscular fungal communities in a Boreal forest in China. *Pedosphere*, 2016, 26(2): 226-234.
- [31] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Effects of soil bulk density on bed soil water characteristics and ginseng growth”]
- [32] You J F, Liu X, Zhang B, Xie Z K, Hou Z G, Yang Z M. Seasonal changes in soil acidity and related properties in ginseng artificial bed soils under a plastic shade. *Journal of Ginseng Research*, 2015, 39(1): 81-88.
- [33] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Analysis of elements in continuous ginseng cropping soil”]
- [34] Hobbie S E. Plant species effects on nutrient cycling: revisiting litter feedbacks. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 2015, 30(6): 357-363.
- [35] Liu D, Keiblinger K M, Leitner S, Mentler A, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S. Is there a convergence of deciduous leaf litter stoichiometry, biochemistry and

microbial population during decay? *Geoderma*, 2016, 272: 93-100.

[36] Ravindra A, Yang S S. Effects of vegetation type on microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen in subalpine mountain forest soils. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*, 2015, 48(4): 362-369.

[37] Deng Q, Cheng X L, Hui D F, Zhang Q, Li M, Zhang Q F. Soil microbial community and its interaction with soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics following afforestation in central China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2016, 541: 230-237.

[38] Schlesinger W H, Andrews J A. Soil respiration and the global carbon cycle. *Biogeochemistry*, 2000, 48(1): 7-20.

[39] Mukhopadhyay S, Joy V C. Influence of leaf litter types on microbial functions and nutrient status of soil: ecological suitability of forest trees for afforestation in tropical laterite wastelands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2010, 42(12): 2306-2315.

[40] Hawke D J, Vallance J R. Microbial carbon concentration in samples of seabird and non-seabird forest soil: implications for leaf litter cycling. *Pedobiologia*, 2015, 58(1): 33-39.

[41] Blair G J, Lefroy R D B, Lisle L. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation, and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 1995, 46(7): 1459-1466.

[42] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Effects of exogenous carbon input on key processes of terrestrial ecosystem carbon cycle and its microbiological drivers”]

[43] Joly F X, Coulis M, Gerard A, Fromin N, Hattenschwiler H. Litter-type specific microbial responses to the transformation of leaf litter into millipede feces. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2015, 86: 17-23.

[44] Wang C T, Wang G X, Wang Y, Rafique R, Ma L, Hu L, Luo Y Q. Urea addition and litter manipulation alter plant community and soil microbial community composition in a *Kobresia humilis* meadow. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 2015, 70: 7-14.

[45] Shi L L, Zhang H Z, Liu T, Zhang W X, Shao Y H, Ha D L, Li Y Q, Zhang C M, Cai X A, Rao X Q, Lin Y B, Zhou L X, Zhao P, Ye Q, Zou X M, Fu S L. Consistent effects of canopy vs. understory nitrogen addition on the soil exchangeable cations and microbial community in two contrasting forests. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2016, 553: 349-357.

[46] Mander C, Wakelin S, Young S, Condron L, O' Callaghan M. Incidence and diversity of phosphate-solubilising bacteria are linked to phosphorus status in grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2012, 44(1): 93-101.

[47] Huang J S, Hu B, Qi K B, Chen W J, Pang X Y, Bao W K, Tian G L. Effects of phosphorus addition on soil microbial biomass and community composition in a subalpine spruce plantation. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 2016, 72: 35-41.

[48] Sauvadet M, Chauvat M, Cluzeau D, Maron P A, Villenave C, Bertrand I. The dynamics of soil micro-food web structure and functions vary according to litter quality. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2016, 95: 262-274.

[49] [Reference appears to be a Chinese journal article; title translated as “Effects of continuous cropping on bacterial community diversity in burley tobacco rhizosphere soil”]

[50] Ryu H, Park H, Suh D S, Jung G H, Park K, Lee B D. Biological control of *Colletotrichum panacicola* causing anthracnose on *Panax ginseng* by *Bacillus subtilis* CSM-1. *Journal of Ginseng Research*, 2014, 38(3): 215-219.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.