

Analytic Forms for Cross Sections of Di-lepton Production from e^+e^- Collisions around the J/ψ Resonance Postprint

Authors: Xing-Yu Zhou, Ya-Di Wang, Li-Gang Xia

Date: 2017-11-10T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

A detailed theoretical derivation of the cross sections of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ around the J/ψ resonance is reported. The resonance and interference parts of the cross sections, related to J/ψ resonance parameters, are calculated. Higher-order corrections for vacuum polarization and initial-state radiation are considered. An arbitrary upper limit of radiative correction integration is involved. Full and simplified versions of analytic formulae are given with precision at the level of 0.1% and 0.2%, respectively. Moreover, the results obtained in the paper can be applied to the case of the (3686) resonance.

Full Text

Analytic Forms for Cross Sections of Di-lepton Production from e^+e^- Collisions around the J/ψ Resonance

Xing-Yu Zhou (周兴玉)¹, Ya-Di Wang (王雅迪)², Li-Gang Xia (夏力钢)³

¹Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Abstract

A detailed theoretical derivation of the cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ around the J/ψ resonance is reported. The resonance and interference components of the cross sections, which are related to J/ψ resonance parameters, are calculated. Higher-order corrections for vacuum polarization and initial-state radiation are considered, and an arbitrary upper limit for the radiative correction integration is incorporated. Full and simplified versions of the analytic formulae

are provided with precisions at the level of 0.1% and 0.2%, respectively. Moreover, the results obtained in this paper can be applied to the case of the $\psi(3686)$ resonance.

Keywords: initial-state radiation, vacuum polarization, e^+e^- collision, dilepton production, the J/ψ resonance

PACS: 13.20.Gd, 13.66.De, 13.66.Jn, 14.40.Pq, 13.40.Hq

Introduction

The J/ψ resonance is frequently referred to as a “hydrogen atom for QCD,” and its resonance parameters (mass M , total width Γ_{tot} , leptonic widths Γ_{ee} and $\Gamma_{\mu\mu}$, and so on) describe the fundamental properties of the strong and electromagnetic interactions. In theory, these decay widths can be predicted by different potential models [?, ?] and lattice QCD calculations [?]. Experimentally, with results from BABAR [?], CLEO [?], and KEDR [?], determinations of these decay widths have entered a period of precision measurement.

In 2012, data samples were taken at 15 center-of-mass energy points around the J/ψ resonance with the BESIII detector [?] operated at the BEPCII collider [?]. In this energy region, BEPCII provides high luminosity and BESIII shows excellent performance, which enables accurate measurement of the cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. To measure J/ψ decay widths, accurate theoretical formulae taking into account higher-order corrections are also needed. If one wishes to have a high-efficiency optimization procedure, it is better to have analytic expressions for the theoretical cross sections. Because the continuum parts of these cross sections do not involve J/ψ decay widths and can be evaluated precisely by Monte-Carlo generators such as the Babayaga generator [?], only the analytic forms for the resonance and interference parts are derived in this paper.

We will begin with theoretical fundamentals on the structure function method, its applications to the cases of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, Born cross sections, and the vacuum polarization function in Section 2. Then, we will provide the definitions and resulting formulae for the resonance and interference parts of the cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in Section 3. Most of the purely mathematical derivation is given in Appendix A to make the text easier to read.

2.1 Structure Function Method

Generally, initial-state radiation (ISR), final-state radiation (FSR), and their interference (ISR-FSR relation) must be considered when making higher-order corrections to cross sections. Here, the ISR-FSR relation includes interference

of diagrams with emission of real and virtual photons between initial- and final-state particles. The suppression level of the ISR-FSR relation between the production and decay stages of heavy unstable particles is discussed in Ref. [?]. According to the conclusion in Ref. [?], there is no need to take into account the ISR-FSR relation in the case of J/ψ , because it is suppressed by Γ_{tot}/M (about 3×10^{-5}). As for FSR, a universal calculation is impossible without explicit knowledge of selection criteria, so it needs to be handled separately with a numerical method, which is outside the scope of this paper. Thus, this paper presents the calculation with ISR only.

The structure function method [?] is adopted here to deal with ISR. Its fundamental formula is

$$\sigma(s) = \int_0^X \bar{\sigma}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) F(s, x) dx d\Omega$$

Here, σ stands for the cross section after correction, $d\bar{\sigma}$ for the differential cross section before correction, F for the radiator, s for the square of the center-of-mass energy, and θ for the polar angle of the positively charged final particle in the center-of-mass frame. The upper limit X of the integration variable x is usually set as $1 - s'_{min}/s$, where s'_{min} is the minimum of the invariant mass squared of the final-state particle system excluding the emitted photons.

The radiator F adopted in this paper was first derived in Ref. [?] and slightly revised in Ref. [?]. Both documents are in Chinese, although the former has an English-language preprint (Ref. [?]). It is different from but a very good approximation of the classical one in Ref. [?]. Its expression is

$$F(s, x) = x^{v-1} v(1 + \delta) + x^{v+1}$$

where

$$\delta(v) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{4}v + \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$v(s) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{s}{m_e^2} - 1 \right)$$

Here, α stands for the fine structure constant and m_e denotes the electron mass.

2.2 Applications of the Structure Function Method to e^+e^- Processes

Applying the structure function method to the cases of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, one can obtain

$$\sigma_{ee|\mu\mu}(s, \cos\theta) = \int_0^X \bar{\sigma}_{ee|\mu\mu}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) F(s, x) dx$$

where the symbol “|” stands for “or”. The Born cross sections are given by

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ee}(s, \cos\theta) = \frac{d\sigma_{ee}^s}{d\Omega} + \frac{d\sigma_{ee}^t}{d\Omega} + \frac{d\sigma_{ee}^{st}}{d\Omega}$$

and

$$\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\mu}(s, \cos\theta) = \frac{d\sigma_{\mu\mu}^s}{d\Omega}$$

Here, t denotes the square of the 4-momentum transferred in the t channel. For $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$, the relation between t and s is

$$t = -\frac{s}{2}(1 - \cos\theta)$$

In addition, $1/(1 - \Pi)$ is the vacuum polarization function, which will be discussed in the following two subsections.

2.3 Born Cross Sections

The quantities $d\sigma_{ee}^s/d\Omega$, $d\sigma_{ee}^t/d\Omega$, and $d\sigma_{ee}^{st}/d\Omega$ are the s -channel part, the t -channel part, and the s - t interference part of the Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$, respectively, that is

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ee}^s}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4s}(1 + \cos^2\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ee}^t}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4t}(1 + \cos\theta)^2 + \frac{4t}{s}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ee}^{st}}{d\Omega} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2s} \frac{t}{s}(1 + \cos\theta)^2$$

The Born cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ has only an s -channel part given by Eq. (10a).

2.4 Vacuum Polarization Function

In Section 4 of Ref. [?], the distinction and relationship between the “bare” and “dressed” parameters of $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ resonances (for example J/ψ) are discussed in detail. In that discussion, the vacuum polarization function is written as

$$\Pi(q^2) = \Pi_0(q^2) + \Pi_R(q^2)$$

where Π_R is expressed with the “dressed” parameters M , Γ_{tot} , and Γ_{ee} as

$$\Pi_R(q^2) = \frac{M^2}{M^2 - q^2 + iM\Gamma_{tot}} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}}{\Gamma_{tot}}$$

Here, Π_R stands for the contribution from the resonance itself (in our case, J/ψ), while Π_0 denotes contributions from other sources. Based on the lepton universality assumption, Γ_{ee} in Eq. (12) can be substituted by $\sqrt{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}$ in the case of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$.

According to Eq. (11), $1 - \Pi(s)$ and $1 - \Pi(t)$ in Eq. (6) can be expressed as

$$1 - \Pi(s) = 1 - \Pi_0(s) - \Pi_R(s)$$

$$1 - \Pi(t) = 1 - \Pi_0(t)$$

No $\Pi_R(t)$ term appears in Eq. (14) because it can be safely ignored in the spacelike region. Besides, the imaginary parts of $1 - \Pi_0(t)$ can be safely ignored as well. Consequently, $1 - \Pi_0(s)$ and $1 - \Pi_0(t)$ will be regarded as real in the following section.

3. Calculations of the Resonance and Interference Parts

3.1 Definitions Considering the expressions for $d\sigma_{ee|\mu\mu}/d\Omega$ given by Eq. (6) and for $1 - \Pi(s)$ and $1 - \Pi(t)$ given by Eq. (13) and (14), one can expand $d\sigma_{ee|\mu\mu}/d\Omega$ via Eq. (5) into many small terms. With these small terms regrouped, the resonance and interference parts of $d\sigma_{ee|\mu\mu}/d\Omega$, namely $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$, can be defined as

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \int_0^X \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{ee}}{d\Omega}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) \Pi_R(s(1-x)) F(s, x) dx$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \int_0^X \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{ee}}{d\Omega}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) 2\text{Re}[\Pi_0(s(1-x))\Pi_R(s(1-x))] F(s, x) dx$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \int_0^X \frac{d\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) \Pi_R(s(1-x)) F(s, x) dx$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \int_0^X \frac{d\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega}(s(1-x), \cos\theta) 2\text{Re}[\Pi_0(s(1-x)) \Pi_R(s(1-x))] F(s, x) dx$$

With the explicit expressions for $d\sigma_{ee}/d\Omega$ given in Eq. (10a) and (10c) as well as Π_R expressed in Eq. (12) further employed, one can rewrite $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ more explicitly as

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s} I_R$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s} I_{CRI} \left[\Pi_0(s) - \frac{(1 + \cos\theta)^2}{2(1 + \cos^2\theta)} \Pi_0(t) \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{9\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s} I_R$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s} I_{CRI} \Pi_0(s)$$

where

$$I_R = \int_0^X \frac{M^2}{(M^2 - s(1-x))^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2} F(s, x) dx$$

$$I_{CRI} = \int_0^X \frac{M^2 - s(1-x)}{(M^2 - s(1-x))^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2} F(s, x) dx$$

Here, in the cases of ee and $\mu\mu$, $1 - \Pi_0(s)$ and $1 - \Pi_0(t)$ are used as very good approximations to the equivalents of $1 - \Pi_0(s(1-x))$ and $1 - \Pi_0(t(1-x))$ after integration in Eq. (15). Numerical calculation indicates that the resulting deviations are less than 0.01%.

As can be seen from Eq. (16), to evaluate $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ further, only I_R and I_{CRI} have to be calculated. Detailed calculations of the two integrals are presented in Appendix A, which includes three parts: A.1, A.2, and A.3. Their analytic formulae are fully derived in part A.1. Due to complexity, simplified versions of the analytic formulae are further obtained in part A.2. Finally, both versions of the analytic formulae are compared with numerical computing results in part A.3.

Based on those results for I_R and I_{CRI} , we will list directly the full and simplified versions of the analytic results for $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ and discuss briefly their comparisons with numerical computing results in the following three subsections.

3.2 Full Version of Analytic Results With I_R and I_{CRI} expressed in Eq. (A14) and (A15) adopted, the full versions of the analytic formulae for $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s^2} [AG(a, \beta, v, X) + BG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + CH(a, \beta, v, X)]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s^2} [DG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + EH(a, \beta, v, X) + CH(a, \beta, v + 1, X)] \left[\Pi_0(s) - \frac{(1 + \cos\theta)^2}{2(1 + \cos^2\theta)} \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{9\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s^2} [AG(a, \beta, v, X) + BG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + CH(a, \beta, v, X)]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}\alpha}{4M^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + \cos^2\theta)}{s^2} [DG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + EH(a, \beta, v, X) + CH(a, \beta, v + 1, X)] \Pi_0(s)$$

where

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2}}{s}$$

$$\beta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{M^2 - s}{\sqrt{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2}} \right)$$

$$A = 1 + \delta, \quad B = \frac{v}{v + 1}, \quad C = \frac{1}{v + 1}$$

$$D = B(v + 1), \quad E = \frac{v + 1}{v + 2}$$

$$G(a, \beta, v, X) = a^{v-2} \frac{\sin[(1-v)\beta]}{\sin\beta} \frac{\sin\pi v}{2a(\cos\beta)X^{v-4}} \quad (0 < v < 2)$$

$$H(a, \beta, v, X) = h(a \sin \beta, a \cos \beta, v+1, X+a \cos \beta) - h(a \sin \beta, a \cos \beta, v+1, a \cos \beta)$$

$$h(a, b, c, x) = \frac{a+ib}{a+ix} \cdot {}_2F_1\left(1, c; c+1; \frac{ia+b}{ia+x}\right)$$

Here, ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function.

3.3 Simplified Version of Analytic Results With I_R and I_{CRI} given by Eq. (A23) and (A24), the simplified versions of the analytic formulae for $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^3\Gamma_{tot}}(1+\delta)\text{Im}\left[\frac{M^2}{M^2-s+iM\Gamma_{tot}}\right](1+\cos^2\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{ee}}{d\Omega} = \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\alpha}{4M^3\Gamma_{tot}}(1+\delta)\text{Re}\left[\frac{M^2}{M^2-s+iM\Gamma_{tot}}\right](1+\cos^2\theta)\left[\Pi_0(s) - \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^2}{2(1+\cos^2\theta)}\Pi_0(t)\right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_R^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{9\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}{4M^3\Gamma_{tot}}(1+\delta)\text{Im}\left[\frac{M^2}{M^2-s+iM\Gamma_{tot}}\right](1+\cos^2\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{CRI}^{\mu\mu}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}\alpha}{4M^3\Gamma_{tot}}(1+\delta)\text{Re}\left[\frac{M^2}{M^2-s+iM\Gamma_{tot}}\right](1+\cos^2\theta)\Pi_0(s)$$

where

$$\frac{M^2}{M^2-s+iM\Gamma_{tot}} = \frac{\sin\pi v}{\pi} \int_0^X \frac{x^{v-1}}{M^2-s(1-x)+iM\Gamma_{tot}} dx$$

3.4 Comparison of Analytic and Numerical Computing Results As one can see from Eq. (16) and (17), $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$ are proportional to I_R and I_{CRI} , respectively. Therefore, to check the precision of the analytic formulae, it is sufficient to compare I_R and I_{CRI} obtained by different methods. We define the relative differences as

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(F, N) = \frac{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(F) - I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}$$

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(S, N) = \frac{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(S) - I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}$$

Here, the symbols F , S , and N stand for the full version of the analytic results, the simplified version of the analytic results, and the numerical computing results, respectively.

According to part A.3 (the last part of Appendix A), I_R and I_{CRI} are compared from $\sqrt{s} = M - 10\Gamma_{tot}$ to $\sqrt{s} = M + 10\Gamma_{tot}$ with X set at $1 - s'_{min}/s$ as well as M and Γ_{tot} at their PDG values [?]:

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(F, N) < 0.01\%$$

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(S, N) < 0.1\%$$

Taking into account that the precision of the structure function method itself is 0.1% [?], we regard 0.1% and 0.2% as the precision of the full and simplified versions of the analytic formulae for $d\sigma_R/d\Omega$ and $d\sigma_{CRI}/d\Omega$, respectively.

4. Conclusions

We have derived detailed formulae for the resonance and interference parts of the cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ around the J/ψ resonance with higher-order corrections for vacuum polarization and initial-state radiation considered. In the derivation, the arbitrary upper limit of radiative correction integration X has been incorporated. Two versions (full and simplified) of the analytic formulae are given with precisions at the levels of 0.1% and 0.2%, which are accurate enough for the measurement of J/ψ decay widths at present.

In our derivation, only a very few steps rely on the specific values of J/ψ resonance parameters, and they can be easily verified to be workable for the case of the $\psi(3686)$ resonance. In the coming round of data-taking at BESIII, there is a plan for an energy scan around the $\psi(3686)$ resonance for the measurement of the resonance parameters. By that time, the results obtained in this paper will serve as good references.

The authors would like to thank Prof. Wei-Guo Li for his suggestion on this work as well as Prof. Ping Wang, Prof. Hai-Ming Hu, and Prof. Chang-Zheng Yuan for their kind help and beneficial discussions.

Appendix A: Calculations of I_R and I_{CRI}

A.1 Full Versions of Analytic Formulae In this appendix, we evaluate the two integrals I_R and I_{CRI} required in Section 3. For the convenience of further calculations, it is necessary to make some simple transformations by introducing new variables. The first transformation is

$$I_R = \int_0^X \frac{M^2}{(M^2 - s(1-x))^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2} F(s, x) dx = \int_0^X \frac{1}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} F(s, x) dx$$

where

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2}}{s}$$

$$\beta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{M^2 - s}{\sqrt{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2}} \right)$$

The second transformation is

$$I_{CRI} = \int_0^X \frac{M^2 - s(1-x)}{(M^2 - s(1-x))^2 + M^2\Gamma_{tot}^2} F(s, x) dx = \int_0^X \frac{x + a \cos \beta}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} F(s, x) dx$$

The third transformation is

$$xF(s, x) = x^v v(1 + \delta) + x^{v+1} = D(v+1)x^v + Ex^{v+1} + Cx^{v+2}$$

where

$$D = \frac{v}{v+1}, \quad E = \frac{v+1}{v+2}, \quad C = \frac{1}{v+1}$$

In addition, some integral formulae are crucial for further calculations. From the following two integral formulae

$$\int_0^X \frac{vx^{v-1}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = a^{v-2} \frac{\sin[(1-v)\beta]}{\sin \beta} \frac{\sin \pi v}{2a(\cos \beta)X^{v-4}} \quad (0 < v < 2)$$

$$\int_0^X \frac{x^{v-1}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = \frac{1}{a^2(4\cos^2 \beta - 1)} \left[\frac{\sin[(1-v)\beta]}{\sin \beta} \frac{\sin \pi v}{2a(\cos \beta)X^{v-4}} + vX^{v-4} \right] \quad (0 < v < 2)$$

one obtains for the first integral formula

$$\int_0^X \frac{vx^{v-1}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = G(a, \beta, v, X)$$

where

$$G(a, \beta, v, X) = a^{v-2} \frac{\sin[(1-v)\beta]}{\sin \beta} \frac{\sin \pi v}{2a(\cos \beta)X^{v-4}} \quad (0 < v < 2)$$

The second integral formula is

$$\int_0^X \frac{x^{v-1}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = H(a, \beta, v, X)$$

where

$$H(a, \beta, v, X) = h(a \sin \beta, a \cos \beta, v+1, X+a \cos \beta) - h(a \sin \beta, a \cos \beta, v+1, a \cos \beta)$$

and

$$h(a, b, c, x) = \frac{a + ib}{a + ix} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left(1, c; c + 1; \frac{ia + b}{ia + x} \right)$$

Here, ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function.

Using the newly introduced variables and the important integral formulae, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^X \frac{F(s, x)}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx &= \int_0^X \frac{Ax^{v-1} + B(v+1)x^v + Cx^{v+1}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{s^2} [AG(a, \beta, v, X) + BG(a, \beta, v+1, X) + CH(a, \beta, v, X)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^X \frac{(x + a \cos \beta)F(s, x)}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx &= \int_0^X \frac{D(v+1)x^v + Ex^{v+1} + Cx^{v+2}}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{s^2} [DG(a, \beta, v+1, X) + EH(a, \beta, v, X) + CH(a, \beta, v+1, X)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$I_R = \frac{M^2}{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2 \Gamma_{tot}^2} \int_0^X \frac{F(s, x)}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = \frac{1}{s^2} [AG(a, \beta, v, X) + BG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + CH(a, \beta, v, X)]$$

$$I_{CRI} = \frac{M^2}{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2 \Gamma_{tot}^2} \int_0^X \frac{(x + a \cos \beta)F(s, x)}{x^2 + 2a(\cos \beta)x + a^2} dx = \frac{1}{s^2} [DG(a, \beta, v + 1, X) + EH(a, \beta, v, X) + CH(a, \beta, v, X)]$$

Equations (A14) and (A15) give the analytic formulae for I_R and I_{CRI} . Since no approximations were made in the derivation, we refer to these formulae as the full versions of the analytic formulae. Considering all the quantities involved in the expressions, the results are actually very complicated. For ease of use, simplified versions of the analytic formulae are needed.

A.2 Simplified Versions of Analytic Formulae In this part, we will make some approximations to obtain simplified versions of the analytic formulae. The first step is to reduce $F(s, x)$ to $x^{v-1}v(1 + \delta)$. Since $v \approx 0.08$ in the J/ψ region, the discarded parts are negligible. This reduction leads to $B = 0, C = 0, E = 0$.

The second step is to reduce $G(a, \beta, v, X)$. Since $a \approx 10^{-2}$ and $X \approx 1$, we have $a/X \ll 1$, which means that $X \rightarrow \infty$ is a reasonable mathematical approximation when X is large enough. In addition, in the cases of ee and $\mu\mu$, a reasonable reduction of $\sin[(1 - v)\beta]/\sin \beta$ to $\sin \beta$ is also carried out at this step.

With these two steps of approximation applied, one can get

$$I_R \approx \frac{(1 + \delta)a^{v-1} \sin \pi v}{\sin \beta} \sin[(1 - v)\beta]$$

$$I_{CRI} \approx \frac{(1 + \delta)a^{v-1} \sin \pi v}{\sin \beta} \cos[(1 - v)\beta]$$

At this point, if one introduces a complex variable

$$z = ae^{i\beta} = a \cos \beta + ia \sin \beta$$

one has

$$a^{v-1} \frac{\sin \pi v}{\sin \beta} \sin[(1 - v)\beta] = \text{Im}[z^{v-1}]$$

$$a^{v-1} \frac{\sin \pi v}{\sin \beta} \cos[(1 - v)\beta] = \text{Re}[z^{v-1}]$$

Getting a and β back to M , Γ_{tot} , and s , we have

$$z^{v-1} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{(M^2 - s)^2 + M^2 \Gamma_{tot}^2}}{s} \right)^{v-1} e^{i(v-1)\beta}$$

$$a \sin \beta = \frac{M \Gamma_{tot}}{s}$$

$$a \cos \beta = \frac{M^2 - s}{s}$$

With Eq. (A19), (A20), and (A21), I_R and I_{CRI} can be expressed further as

$$I_R \approx (1 + \delta) \text{Im} \left[\frac{M^2}{M^2 - s + iM\Gamma_{tot}} \right]^{v-1}$$

$$I_{CRI} \approx (1 + \delta) \text{Re} \left[\frac{M^2}{M^2 - s + iM\Gamma_{tot}} \right]^{v-1}$$

These are the simplified versions of the analytic formulae we need.

A.3 Comparisons of Analytic Formulae with Numerical Computing Results To check the validity of these analytic formulae, we compare them with numerical computing results. In the comparisons, the two integrals I_R and I_{CRI} are compared from $\sqrt{s} = M - 10\Gamma_{tot}$ to $\sqrt{s} = M + 10\Gamma_{tot}$ with X set at $1 - s'_{min}/s$ as well as M and Γ_{tot} at their PDG values [?]. The results are shown in Fig. 1 [Figure 1: see original paper].

[Figure 1: see original paper]

The variables in the legends are defined as

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(F, N) = \frac{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(F) - I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}$$

$$R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{R|CRI}(S, N) = \frac{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(S) - I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}{I_{R|CRI}^{ee|\mu\mu}(N)}$$

Here, the symbols F , S , and N are the same as those used at the beginning of Subsections 2.2 and 3.4.

As can be seen from the dotted lines, the full versions of the analytic formulae agree very well with the numerical computing results. In fact, detailed numbers

show that their relative differences are less than 0.01%. Similarly, from the solid lines, one can see that except for I_{CRI} at energies very close to the J/ψ peak, the simplified versions of the analytic formulae agree with the numerical computing results to better than 0.1%. The upward and downward peaks of $R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{CRI}(S, N)$ at energies near the J/ψ peak are caused by the smallness of the absolute values (very close to 0) of I_{CRI} , which makes σ_{CRI} values negligible when compared with their corresponding σ_R values. Because in the end, only the sum of σ_R and σ_{CRI} will be used in our data analysis, the peaks of $R_{ee|\mu\mu}^{CRI}(S, N)$ are not a concern for us.

References

1. J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards, and D.G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D, 73: 074507 (2006)
2. B. Aubert et al (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, 69: 011103 (2004)
3. G.S. Adams et al (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, 73: 051103 (2006)
4. V.V. Anashin et al (KEDR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B, 685: 134 (2010)
5. M. Ablikim et al (BESIII Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A, 614: 345 (2010)
6. C.M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini et al, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.), 131: 48 (2004)
7. V.S. Fadin, V.A. Khoze and A.D. Martin, Phys. Lett. B, 320: 141 (1994)
8. E.A. Kuraev and V.S. Fadin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., 41: 466 (1985)
9. F.Z. Chen, P. Wang, J.M. Wu et al, HEP & NP, 14 (7): 585 (1990) (in Chinese)
10. X.H. Mo, Measurement of $\psi(2S)$ Resonance Parameters, Ph.D. Thesis (Beijing: Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, 2001) (in Chinese)
11. V.V. Anashin et al (KEDR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B, 711: 280 (2012)
12. C. Patrignani et al (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40 (10): 1 (2016)

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv — Machine translation. Verify with original.