

Analysis of Dew Day Variation Trends over the Loess Plateau (Postprint)

Authors: Gao Zhiyong, Wang Youke

Date: 2017-11-08T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

Dew days are an important factor in preventing and controlling plant diseases. Investigating dew days under climate change conditions can provide factual basis for regional plant disease prediction and prevention. Based on daily meteorological monitoring data from 52 weather stations from 1961 to 2010, this paper calculated dew days at different spatiotemporal scales on the Loess Plateau, analyzed the trend of dew days changes using the Mann-Kendall method with trend-free pre-whitening (TFPW) and Sen' s slope estimator, and investigated the causes of dew days using correlation analysis. The results show that at the monthly scale, dew occurs from March to November on the Loess Plateau, with a regional monthly average of 7 days, and the longest dew days in September, reaching 8-12 days in the southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions. At 5.77%-25.00% of meteorological stations, dew days increased significantly at a rate of 0.02-0.15 d a 1 in June and August-November; at 17.31% and 7.68% of stations, dew days decreased significantly at rates of -0.09 d a 1 and -0.02 d a 1 in April and July, respectively. At the seasonal scale, dew occurs in spring, summer, and autumn on the Loess Plateau, with a regional seasonal average of 15 days, and the longest dew days in autumn, reaching 14-26 days in the southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions. Only 3.85% and 5.77% of meteorological stations showed significant increases in dew days in summer and autumn at rates of 0.25-0.09 d a 1 and 0.15-0.09 d a 1, respectively, while 5.77% of stations showed a significant decrease in spring at a rate of -0.34 to -0.07 d a 1. Relative humidity and temperature are the most critical factors influencing the spatiotemporal variations of dew days described above.

Full Text

Spatiotemporal Analysis of Dew Days in China' s Loess Plateau

GAO Zhiyong¹, WANG Youke^{2,3}

¹Department of Water Conservancy, Yangling Vocational & Technical College, Yangling 712100, China

²College of Water Resources and Architectural Engineering, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, China

³Research Center of Soil and Water Conservation and Ecological Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences & Ministry of Education, Yangling 712100, China

Abstract: Dew day count is a critical factor for plant disease prevention and control. Investigating dew days under changing climate conditions provides factual evidence for regional plant disease forecasting and management. Based on daily meteorological monitoring data from 52 stations during 1961–2010, this study calculated dew days across various spatiotemporal scales in the Loess Plateau. The trend-free pre-whitening (TFPW) Mann-Kendall method and Sen's slope estimator were employed to analyze temporal trends in dew days, while correlation analysis examined relationships with meteorological factors. The results revealed that at the monthly scale, dew days began in March and ended in November, with a monthly mean of 7 days. Maximum dew days occurred in September in the southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions, reaching 8–12 days. Significant increasing trends (0.02 – 0.15 d a^{-1}) were detected at 5.77%–25.00% of stations during June and August–November, while significant decreasing trends (-0.09 to -0.02 d a^{-1}) were observed at 17.31% and 7.68% of stations in April and July, respectively. At the seasonal scale, dew occurred in spring, summer, and autumn, with a regional mean of 15 days. Autumn exhibited the longest duration, with 14–26 days in the southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions. Significant increases (0.09 – 0.25 d a^{-1}) occurred at 3.85% and 5.77% of stations in summer and autumn, respectively, while a significant decrease (-0.34 to -0.07 d a^{-1}) was found at 5.77% of stations in spring. Relative humidity and temperature were identified as the dominant factors influencing these spatiotemporal patterns.

Keywords: Loess Plateau; dew days; trend analysis; Mann-Kendall test; Sen's slope

Introduction

Global warming induced by the greenhouse effect has altered meteorological variables such as temperature, relative humidity, precipitation, and sunshine duration, posing serious threats to population growth, agriculture, environment, economy, and industry, and even affecting global food security and water resource supply-demand balance. The Loess Plateau in northern China, characterized by a semi-arid to sub-humid climate, is renowned for severe soil erosion, fragile ecological conditions, and high sensitivity to climate change. Climate change significantly impacts the ecological environment and agricultural ecosystems of this region. While previous studies have analyzed the temporal and spatial distribution of meteorological elements in the Loess Plateau, few have

focused on dew days—a key parameter in the hydrological cycle and plant disease prevention. Analyzing the spatial distribution, long-term trends, and climatic relationships of dew days can provide a foundation for local plant disease prediction and management.

This study utilized dew day data from 52 meteorological stations (1961–2010) calculated through empirical modeling. The spatial distribution of seasonal and monthly dew days was interpolated using Kriging, temporal trends were examined using trend-free pre-whitening (TFPW) and Sen' s slope estimator, and correlation analysis explained dew formation mechanisms. The findings provide a quantitative basis for understanding dew day distribution and trends under global climate change and offer vital references for future plant disease forecasting, prevention, and risk assessment.

The Loess Plateau, located in the middle and upper reaches of the Yellow River (32°47' N–40°44' N, 106°54' E–114°33' E), extends from Taihang Mountains in the east to Riyue Mountain in Qinghai in the west, bordered by the Qinling Mountains to the south and Yinshan Mountain to the north. Covering a total area of 6.285×10^5 km² at an elevation of 1,200–1,600 m, the region features a loess layer 30–80 m thick. Spanning seven provinces/autonomous regions (Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Henan, Shaanxi, Gansu, Ningxia, and Qinghai) across 341 counties, it represents a transition zone from temperate semi-humid to semi-arid and arid climates, making it both climate-sensitive and ecologically vulnerable.

To comprehensively investigate dew days, 52 representative meteorological stations were selected [Figure 1: see original paper]. Meteorological data from the China Meteorological Administration covered 1961–2010 at daily resolution, including precipitation (P , mm), mean/maximum/minimum temperature (T_m , T_{max} , T_{min} , °C), wind speed (u , $m \cdot s^{-1}$), relative humidity (RH , %), and evaporation (E , mm). Vapor pressure deficit (VPD , kPa) was calculated as the difference between saturation vapor pressure (e_s) and actual vapor pressure (e_a) using:

$$VPD = e_s - e_a$$

where: - e_s = saturation vapor pressure (kPa) - e_a = actual vapor pressure (kPa) - T_d = dew point temperature (°C) - a, b = constants (17.625 and 243.04, respectively) - T = mean air temperature (°C) - RH = mean relative humidity (%) - VPD = vapor pressure deficit (kPa) - T_{min} = minimum temperature (°C) - T_{max} = maximum temperature (°C)

Methods

Dew Day Calculation

Dew days (DD) were defined as days when air temperature fell below dew point temperature, excluding rainy and frosty days. The Magnus-Tetens equation was used to calculate daily dew point temperature at each station, which was then compared with daily minimum temperature to determine dew occurrence. A day was recorded as having dew ($T_i = 1$) if $P_i = 0$ and $0 < T_i^{min} < Td_i$; otherwise, $T_i = 0$.

Time scales were defined as: monthly (January-December) and seasonal (spring: March-May, summer: June-August, autumn: September-November, winter: December-February).

Monthly dew days (DD_m) and seasonal dew days (DD_s) were calculated as:

$$DD_m = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i$$

$$DD_s = \sum_{m=1}^3 DD_m$$

where Td_i is dew point temperature on day i ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), P_i is precipitation on day i (mm), and T_i^{min} is minimum temperature on day i ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).

Trend Analysis

To identify trends in monthly and seasonal dew days, Z-statistics and Sen's slope values were calculated, with stations showing significant changes at 95% and 99% confidence levels quantified. The trend-free pre-whitening (TFPW) Mann-Kendall method was employed to analyze trend significance while accounting for autocorrelation.

Mann-Kendall Test For a stationary time series X_t ($t = 1, 2, \dots, n$), the test statistic S and its variance are calculated. Using two-tailed testing, if $|Z| > Z_{(1-\alpha/2)}$, the null hypothesis of no trend is rejected, indicating a significant upward or downward trend at level α .

TFPW Mann-Kendall Method

1. Calculate linear trend β :

$$\beta = \text{median} \left(\frac{X_j - X_k}{j - k} \right) \quad \text{for } j > k$$

2. Create detrended series: $Y_t = X_t - \beta t$

3. Compute first-order autocorrelation r_1 :

$$r_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (Y_t - \bar{Y})(Y_{t+1} - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (Y_t - \bar{Y})^2}$$

If r_1 is not significantly different from zero, apply standard Mann-Kendall test; otherwise, proceed to remove autocorrelation.

4. Remove autocorrelation: $Z_t = Y_t - r_1 Y_{t-1}$
5. Construct final series: $X'_t = Z_t + \beta t$
6. Apply Mann-Kendall test to X'_t .

Sen's Slope Estimator To quantify trend magnitude, Sen's non-parametric method was used: 1. Calculate all pairwise slopes:

$$Q_i = \frac{X_j - X_k}{j - k} \quad \text{for } j > k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

2. Sen's slope is the median of all Q_i values.

Correlation Analysis

To assess dew day responses to meteorological factors, correlations were analyzed at 95% and 99% confidence levels. The correlation coefficient R_{xy} between variables x and y was calculated as:

$$R_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X})(y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

where larger absolute values indicate stronger relationships.

Data Processing

Data were processed using R 3.4.0 and Microsoft Excel 2010, with figures generated in Origin 2016. Spatial distribution maps were created using Kriging interpolation in ArcGIS 10.1, a widely applied method for accurate spatial analysis.

Results

Spatiotemporal Distribution of Dew Days

Monthly Scale: Temporally, dew days increased significantly from March to September ($P < 0.05, 0.01$) and decreased significantly from October to November, peaking in September with a mean of 7 days. Dew was nearly absent in January, February, and December. Spatially, Wugong in the south and Linhe

in the northwest showed the earliest onset and longest duration (2-10 days and 2-14 days, respectively). Dew occurred across the entire region from May to October. Spatiotemporally, dew initiated in March in the southern Loess Plateau (2-6 days, maximum 6 days at Wugong), expanded to the southern and southeastern areas in April-May (2-10 days) with simultaneous emergence in the northwest near Linhe (2-6 days). June showed decreasing trends in the south but increases in the northwest (4-14 days). July brought reductions in the northwest (4-10 days) and slight increases in the south (1-2 days). August patterns resembled July, though the southeast increased to 8-12 days. September-October maintained stability in the south and southeast, while the northwest decreased to 6-8 days in October. By November, the northwest fell below 2 days and the south reduced by 2 days.

Seasonal Scale: Temporally, no significant difference existed between summer and autumn dew days ($P < 0.05, 0.01$), with autumn showing the maximum mean of 15 days. Dew was nearly absent in winter. Spatially, Wugong and Linhe again showed the longest durations (20-24 days and 30-34 days, respectively). Spatiotemporally, dew concentrated in the southern and northwestern regions in spring (14-26 days and 14-22 days, respectively), while western and northeastern areas had fewer than 4 days. Summer and autumn dew covered the entire plateau (6-34 days), with the southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions reaching 14-26 days in autumn. Winter dew was negligible [FIGURE:2, FIGURE:3, FIGURE:4].

Trends in Dew Days at Different Temporal Scales

Monthly Scale: Mann-Kendall analysis revealed more stations with increasing than decreasing trends. Significant increases ($0.02-0.15 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) occurred at 5.77%-25.00% of stations during June and August-November, peaking in August (25% of stations). Significant decreases (-0.09 to $-0.02 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) were observed at 17.31% and 7.68% of stations in April and July, respectively, with April showing the largest proportion (17.31%) [Figure 5: see original paper].

Seasonal Scale: At the 95% and 99% confidence levels, 7.69%, 7.69%, and 3.85% of stations showed significant changes in spring, summer, and autumn, respectively. Summer and autumn exhibited significant increases ($0.09-0.25 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) at 3.85% and 5.77% of stations, respectively, while spring showed significant decreases (-0.34 to $-0.07 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) at 5.77% of stations [Figure 6: see original paper].

Factors Influencing Dew Days

Monthly Scale: Mean temperature (T_m), relative humidity (RH), precipitation (P), wind speed (u), evaporation (E), and VPD all significantly influenced dew days. Dew days showed significant positive correlations with RH and P from March to November (50.0%-99.9% and 15.39%-71.15% of stations, respectively) and significant negative correlations with E and VPD (25%-73.08% and

28.84%–90.39% of stations, respectively). Correlations with T_m and u varied by month: T_m was positively correlated in March, April, October, and November (maximum 46.15% of stations) and negatively correlated in May–September (maximum 63.47% of stations); u was positively correlated in May and September (maximum 71.1% of stations) and negatively correlated in other months (maximum 40.39% of stations) .

Seasonal Scale: All meteorological factors significantly affected dew days. Positive correlations with RH and P occurred in spring, summer, and autumn (69.23%–96.16% and 32.69%–76.92% of stations, respectively). Negative correlations were found with u , E, and VPD (21.15%–36.54%, 23.08%–51.92%, and 57.69%–88.46% of stations, respectively). T_m was negatively correlated in spring and summer but positively correlated in autumn (21.16%, 57.69%, and 19.23% of stations, respectively) .

Discussion

Influence of Meteorological Factors on Dew Days

Dew formation is a complex process influenced by numerous meteorological factors related to water vapor distribution, transport, and condensation. While this study estimated dew days using only temperature and relative humidity—representing a limitation—the results demonstrate that RH and precipitation significantly and positively correlated with dew days at both monthly and seasonal scales, with RH correlations reaching 99.9% of stations. Higher RH provides abundant moisture for dew formation, while precipitation increases atmospheric water vapor. Evaporation and VPD showed significant negative correlations because increased evaporation reduces RH, and higher VPD indicates greater difficulty for air to reach saturation. Additionally, RH, precipitation, evaporation, and VPD were all intercorrelated at $P < 0.01$.

Temperature affects dew formation: lower temperatures facilitate surface cooling and condensation, while higher temperatures accelerate evaporation and reduce surface RH. Interestingly, T_m showed positive correlations in March, April, October, November, and autumn (13.47%–46.15% of stations). During these periods, mean temperatures ranged 1.30–10.20°C while dew points were –5.46 to 0.15°C. When dew point falls below 0°C, excess water vapor manifests as frost; increasing T_m can raise dew point above freezing, enabling dew condensation.

Wind speed also critically influences dew formation. Significant positive correlations occurred only in May and September (71.1% of stations) with mean wind speeds of 2.01–2.76 $\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, while negative correlations dominated other periods (2.08–2.92 $\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$). Light winds ($< 0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) favor dew formation by facilitating moisture diffusion and maintaining condensation surfaces, while excessive wind promotes turbulent mixing and adiabatic temperature profiles

that inhibit dew accumulation. However, wind effects remain uncertain across studies, with optimal speeds ranging from $0.5\text{--}2\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ to thresholds of $4.7\text{--}5.7\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$.

Overall, temperature and relative humidity emerged as the most critical factors controlling dew days in the Loess Plateau, consistent with findings from humid regions. The southern and southeastern plateau exhibit higher temperature and humidity than the northwest, explaining their greater dew day frequencies.

Effects of Temperature and Humidity on Dew Day Trends

Temperature serves as a climate change “indicator,” while relative humidity affects the biosphere and surface hydrology. In the Loess Plateau, temperature significantly increased at 5.77%–55.77% of stations from April to November ($0.018\text{--}0.090^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$, mean $0.049^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$), exceeding global ($0.013^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$) and national ($0.022^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$) warming rates. Humidity significantly decreased at 11.54%–23.02% of stations in July–September (-0.3 to $-0.1\%\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$, mean $-0.2\%\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$) and increased at 9.62%–15.39% of stations in April and June ($0.1\text{--}0.3\%\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$, mean $0.3\%\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$). Despite these opposing trends, dew days continued increasing because current temperature and humidity ranges remain within favorable thresholds for dew formation ($>70\%$ occurrence frequency) [Figure 7: see original paper].

Limitations and Future Directions

As global warming intensifies, creating new intermittent drought and semi-arid zones, dew becomes increasingly vital for plant growth in water-limited regions. The lack of direct dew measurements in the Loess Plateau limits understanding of its ecological effects. Leaf and root water absorption represent two plant water acquisition strategies, with their relative importance shifting under water availability constraints. Given chronic soil water deficits in the Loess Plateau, the extent and sufficiency of foliar water uptake from dew, and the underlying plant-dew interaction mechanisms, warrant future investigation. Additionally, while dew creates conditions for pathogen infection, leveraging this phenomenon for biological pest control requires further research.

Conclusion

Based on long-term meteorological data from 52 stations, this study analyzed spatiotemporal patterns, trends, and drivers of dew days at monthly and seasonal scales in the Loess Plateau.

Monthly scale: Dew initiated in March at Wugong and ceased in November, occurring across the entire region from May to October. September showed maximum dew days (8–12 days in southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions; regional mean 7 days). Significant increases ($0.02\text{--}0.15\text{ d}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$) occurred

at 5.77%-25.00% of stations during June and August–November, while significant decreases (-0.09 to $-0.02 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) were observed at 17.31% and 7.68% of stations in April and July, respectively.

Seasonal scale: Dew occurred in spring, summer, and autumn, with no significant difference between summer and autumn. Autumn showed the longest duration (14–26 days in southern, southeastern, and northwestern regions; regional mean 15 days). Significant increases (0.09 – $0.25 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) occurred at 3.85% and 5.77% of stations in summer and autumn, respectively, while a significant decrease (-0.34 to $-0.07 \text{ d} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$) was found at 5.77% of stations in spring.

Relative humidity, precipitation, evaporation, VPD, and temperature affected dew days differently across temporal scales, but relative humidity and temperature emerged as the dominant factors. This study provides a quantitative basis for understanding dew day distribution and trends under climate change and offers valuable references for plant disease forecasting, management, and risk assessment in the Loess Plateau.

References

- [1] Tabari H, Marofi S, Aein A, et al. Trend analysis of reference evapotranspiration in the western half of Iran[J]. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 2011, 151(2): 128–136
- [2] Talaei P H, Sabziparvar A, Tabari H. Observed changes in relative humidity and dew point temperature in coastal regions of Iran[J]. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 2012, 110(3): 385–393
- [3] Piao S L, Ciais P, Huang Y, et al. The impacts of climate change on water resources and agriculture in China[J]. *Nature*, 2010, 467(7311): 43–51
- [4] Kang S Z, Hao X M, Du T S, et al. Improving agricultural water productivity to ensure food security in China under changing environment: From research to practice[J]. *Agricultural Water Management*, 2017, 179: 5–17
- [5] Yao Y B, Wang Y R, Li Y H, et al. Climate warming and drying and its environmental effects in the Loess Plateau[J]. *Resources Science*, 2005, 27(5): 146–152
- [6] Chen H S, Shao M A, Li Y Y. Soil desiccation in the Loess Plateau of China[J]. *Geoderma*, 2008, 143(1/2): 91–100
- [7] He X B, Zhou J, Zhang X B, et al. Soil erosion response to climatic change and human activity during the Quaternary on the Loess Plateau, China[J]. *Regional Environmental Change*, 2006, 6(1/2): 62–70
- [8] Huang J P, Zhang W, Zuo J Q, et al. An overview of the semi-arid climate and environment research observatory over the Loess Plateau[J]. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*, 2008, 25(6): 906–921
- [9] Li Z, Liu W Z, Zhang X C, et al. Impacts of land use change and climate variability on hydrology in an agricultural catchment on the Loess Plateau of China[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2009, 377(1/2): 35–42

- [10] Xin Z B, Yu X X, Li Q Y, et al. Spatiotemporal variation in rainfall erosivity on the Chinese Loess Plateau during the period 1956-2008[J]. *Regional Environmental Change*, 2011, 11(1): 149-159
- [11] Shi H, Shao M A. Soil and water loss from the Loess Plateau in China[J]. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 2000, 45(1): 9-20
- [12] Chen L D, Wei W, Fu B J, et al. Soil and water conservation on the Loess Plateau in China: Review and perspective[J]. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 2007, 31(4): 389-403
- [13] Xie B N, Qin Z F, Wang Y, et al. Monitoring vegetation phenology and their response to climate change on Chinese Loess Plateau based on remote sensing[J]. *Transactions of the CSAE*, 2015, 31(15): 153-160
- [14] Zhang Q, Deng Z Y, Zhao Y D, et al. The impacts of global climatic change on the agriculture in northwest China[J]. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 2008, 28(3): 1210-1218
- [15] Deng H L, Zhou H, Zhang H J, et al. Evolution and adaptive management of farming tillage system under climate change in the Loess Plateau[J]. *Chinese Journal of Agrometeorology*, 2015, 36(4): 393-405
- [16] Deng Z Y, Zhang Q, Wang R Y, et al. The response of plant diseases and pests to climatic warmer-drying and its adaptive technique in the northwest China[J]. *Advances in Earth Sciences*, 2012, 27(11): 1281-1287
- [17] Bai Q F, Wang J H, Zhang Y. Investigation and forecast of main diseases and insect pests of jujube in hilly region of Loess Plateau[J]. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin*, 2016, 32(6): 176-181
- [18] Li W A, Zhao P, Wang P X. The disease and pests of jujube and their control strategies in Shaanxi Province[J]. *Journal of Northwest Forestry University*, 2007, 22(5): 120-123
- [19] Abu-Taleb A, Alawneh A J, Smadi M M. Statistical analysis of recent changes in relative humidity in Jordan[J]. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 2007, 3(2): 75-77
- [20] Seidel T M, Grant A N, Pszenny A A, et al. Dewpoint and humidity measurements and trends at the summit of Mount Washington, New Hampshire, 1935-2004[J]. *Journal of Climate*, 2007, 20(22): 5629-5640
- [21] Beysens D. The formation of dew[J]. *Atmospheric Research*, 1995, 39(1/3): 215-237
- [22] Beysens D. Dew nucleation and growth[J]. *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 2006, 7(9): 1082-1100
- [23] Agam N, Berliner P R. Dew formation and water vapor adsorption in semi-arid environments —A review[J]. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 2006, 65(4): 572-590
- [24] Malek E, McCurdy G, Giles B. Dew contribution to the annual water balances in semi-arid desert valleys[J]. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 1999, 42(2): 71-80
- [25] Hao X M, Li C, Guo B, et al. Dew formation and its long-term trend in a desert riparian forest ecosystem on the eastern edge of the Taklimakan Desert in China[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2012, 472-473: 90-98
- [26] Gao Z Y, Wang Y K, Wang X, et al. Dew amount of jujube plantation

- in semi-arid loess hilly-gully region[J]. Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2015, 46(10): 105-115
- [27] Wang S, Zhang Q. Atmospheric physical characteristics of dew formation in semi-arid in Loess Plateau[J]. Acta Physica Sinica, 2011, 60(5): 059203
- [28] Zhang Q, Wang S, Wen X M, et al. An experimental study of land surface condense phenomenon and water budget characteristics over the Loess Plateau[J]. Acta Meteorologica Sinica, 2012, 70(1): 128-135
- [29] Grammatikopoulos G, Manetas Y. Direct absorption of water by hairy leaves of *Phlomis fruticosa* and its contribution to drought avoidance[J]. Canadian Journal of Botany, 1994, 72(12): 1805-1811
- [30] Zheng X J, Li S, Li Y. Leaf water uptake strategy of desert plants in the Junggar Basin, China[J]. Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology, 2011, 35(9): 893-905
- [31] Liang X, Su D R, Yin S X, et al. Leaf water absorption and desorption functions for three turfgrasses[J]. Journal of Hydrology, 2009, 376(1/2): 243-248
- [32] Bourque C P A, Arp P A. Dawn-to-dusk evolution of air turbulence, temperature and sensible and latent heat fluxes above a forest canopy: Concepts, model and field comparisons[J]. Atmosphere-Ocean, 1994, 32(2): 299-334
- [33] Eller C B, Lima A L, Oliveira R S. Foliar uptake of fog water and transport belowground alleviates drought effects in the cloud forest tree species, *Drimys brasiliensis* (Winteraceae)[J]. New Phytologist, 2013, 199(1): 151-162
- [34] Pedro Jr M J, Gillespie T J. Estimating dew duration. . Utilizing micrometeorological data[J]. Agricultural Meteorology, 1982, 25: 283-296
- [35] Sentelhas P C, Gillespie T J, Gleason M L, et al. Operational exposure of leaf wetness sensors[J]. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 2004, 126(1/2): 59-72
- [36] Marta A D, De Vincenzi M, Dietrich S, et al. Neural network for the estimation of leaf wetness duration: Application to a *Plasmopara viticola* infection forecasting[J]. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 2005, 30(1/3): 91-96
- [37] Marta A D, Orlandini S. Analysis of leaf wetness duration dynamics on a sunflower (*Heliantus annuus* L.) canopy[J]. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 2010, 35(1/2): 31-34
- [38] Kim K S, Taylor S E, Gleason M L, et al. Estimation of leaf wetness duration using empirical models in northwestern Costa Rica[J]. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 2005, 129(1/2): 53-67
- [39] Sentelhas P C, Marta A D, Orlandini S, et al. Suitability of relative humidity as an estimator of leaf wetness duration[J]. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 2008, 148(3): 392-400
- [40] Huber L, Gillespie T. Modeling leaf wetness in relation to plant disease epidemiology[J]. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 1992, 30: 553-577
- [41] Rao P S, Gillespie T J, Schaafsma A W. Estimating wetness duration on maize ears from meteorological observations[J]. Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 1998, 78(1): 149-154
- [42] Magarey R D, Russo J M, Seem R C, et al. Surface wetness duration under

- controlled environmental conditions[J]. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 2005, 128(1/2): 111-122
- [43] Guo X N, Zha T S, Jia X, et al. Dynamics of dew in a cold desert-shrub ecosystem and its abiotic controls[J]. *Atmosphere*, 2016, 7(3): 32
- [44] Li X Y. Effects of gravel and sand mulches on dew deposition in the semi-arid region of China[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2002, 260(1/4): 151-160
- [45] Lawrence M G. The relationship between relative humidity and the dew-point temperature in moist air: A simple conversion and applications[J]. *American Meteorological Society*, 2005, 86(2): 225-233
- [46] Tabari H, Talaei P H. Analysis of trends in temperature data in arid and semi-arid regions of Iran[J]. *Global and Planetary Change*, 2011, 79(1/2): 1-10
- [47] Gocic M, Trajkovic S. Analysis of changes in meteorological variables using Mann-Kendall and Sen's slope estimator statistical tests in Serbia[J]. *Global and Planetary Change*, 2013, 100: 172-182
- [48] Goovaerts P. Geostatistical approaches for incorporating elevation into the spatial interpolation of rainfall[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2000, 228(1/2): 113-129
- [49] Ye Y H, Zhou K, Song L Y, et al. Dew amounts and its correlations with meteorological factors in urban landscapes of Guangzhou, China[J]. *Atmospheric Research*, 2007, 86(1): 21-29
- [50] Chen L, Meissner R, Zhang Y Q, et al. Studies on dew formation and its meteorological factors[J]. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 2013, 11(2): 1063-1068
- [51] Zhang Q, Wang S. Processes of water transfer over land surface in arid and semi-arid region of China[J]. *Arid Meteorology*, 2007, 25(2): 1-4
- [52] Gao Z Y, Wang Y K, Zhou Y H, et al. Path analysis on sensitivity of meteorological factors to dew amount in micro-scale[J]. *Journal of Northwest Forestry University*, 2014, 30(5): 12-18
- [53] Zangvil A. Six years of dew observations in the Negev Desert, Israel[J]. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 1996, 32(4): 361-371
- [54] Zuo H C, Bao Y, Zhang C J, et al. An analytic and numerical study on the physical meaning of pan evaporation and its trend in recent 40 years[J]. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 2006, 49(3): 607-616
- [55] Luo W H, Goudriaan J. Dew formation on rice under varying durations of nocturnal radiative loss[J]. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 2000, 104(4): 303-313
- [56] Richards K. Observation and simulation of dew in rural and urban environments[J]. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 2004, 28(1): 151-173
- [57] Lekouch I, Lekouch K, Muselli M, et al. Rooftop dew, fog and rain collection in southwest Morocco and predictive dew modeling using neural networks[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2012, 448-449: 60-72
- [58] Wen X M, Zhang Q, Wang S, et al. Research advance about characteristic of dewfall on land surface and its ecological and climatic effects[J]. *Arid Meteorology*, 2008, 26(4): 5-11
- [59] Zhang Q, Li H Y. The relationship between surface energy balance unclosure and vertical sensible heat advection over the loess plateau[J]. *Acta Physica*

Sinica, 2010, 59(8): 5888-5895

[60] Jackson T J, Moy L. Dew effects on passive microwave observations of land surfaces[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 1999, 70(2): 129-137

[61] Muselli M, Beysens D, Mileta M, et al. Dew and rain water collection in the Dalmatian Coast, Croatia[J]. Atmospheric Research, 2009, 92(4): 455-463

[62] Hanisch S, Lohrey C, Buerkert A. Dewfall and its ecological significance in semi-arid coastal south-western Madagascar[J]. Journal of Arid Environments, 2015, 121: 24-31

[63] Clus O, Ortega P, Muselli M, et al. Study of dew water collection in humid tropical islands[J]. Journal of Hydrology, 2008, 361(1/2): 159-171

[64] Xu Y Y, Yan B X, Luan Z Q, et al. Dewfall variation by large-scale reclamation in Sanjiang Plain[J]. Wetlands, 2012, 32(4): 621-629

[65] Li Z, Zhao X N. Spatiotemporal analysis of meteorological elements on the Loess Plateau during 1961-2009[J]. Journal of Natural Resources, 2013, 28(2): 287-299

[66] Willett K M, Gillett N P, Jones P D, et al. Attribution of observed surface humidity changes to human influence[J]. Nature, 2007, 449(7163): 710-712

[67] Zhao G, Mu X, Wen Z, et al. Soil erosion, conservation, and eco-environment changes in the loess plateau of China[J]. Land Degradation & Development, 2013, 24(5): 499-510

[68] Ding Y H, Ren G Y, Shi G Y, et al. National assessment report of climate change (): Climate change in China and its future trend[J]. Advances in Climate Change Research, 2006, 2(1): 3-8

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.