

# Early Mammut from the Upper Miocene of northern China, and its implications for the evolution and differentiation of Mammutidae

## Postprint

**Authors:** WANG Shi-Qi, LI Yu, Jaroon DUANGKRAYOM, CHEN Shao-Kun, HE Wen, CHEN Shan-Qin

**Date:** 2017-11-07T00:00:00+00:00

### Abstract

Mammut 是长鼻类群 Mammutidae (乳齿象科) 的终极分类单元, 其生存延续至晚更新世。尽管该属在欧亚大陆的上新世和北美洲的更新世曾广泛分布, 但其早期演化仍知之甚少。在此, 我们基于新的化石材料 (包括一具近乎完整的幼年颅骨及其他遗存) 报道了中国北方晚中新世的 Mammut cf. *M. obliquelophus*, 这些材料展现出 Mammutidae 内部的许多原始特征, 并清晰地揭示了 Mammut 的形态演化。枕骨侧翼的强烈侧向扩展以及门齿窝的基部收缩, 显示出 Mammut cf. *M. obliquelophus* 与 Mammutidae 和 Choerolophodontidae 的早期代表 *Eozygodon morotoensis* 和 *Choerolophodon guangheensis* 在颅骨上具有相似性, 表明这两个类群关系密切: 它们均位于 Elephantimorpha 的基干系统发育位置。这一结果通过支序分析得到了进一步证实。

### Full Text

### Preamble

**Early Mammut from the Upper Miocene of northern China, and its implications for the evolution and differentiation of Mammutidae**

WANG Shi-Qi<sup>1,2</sup>, LI Yu<sup>1,3</sup>, Jaroon DUANGKRAYOM<sup>1,3,4</sup>, CHEN Shao-Kun<sup>5</sup>, HE Wen<sup>6</sup>, CHEN Shan-Qin<sup>6</sup>

(1 Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100044, China, wangshiqi@ivpp.ac.cn)

(2 CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing 100101, China)

(3 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China)

(4 Northeastern Research Institute of Petrified Wood and Mineral Resources, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand)

(5 Three Gorges Institute of Paleoanthropology, China Three Gorges Museum, Chongqing 400015, China)

(6 Hezheng Paleozoological Museum, Hezheng, Gansu 731200, China)

### Abstract

Mammut is the terminal taxon of the proboscidean group Mammutidae, which survived to the Late Pleistocene. Although this genus was widely distributed in the Pliocene of Eurasia and the Pleistocene of North America, little is known about its early evolution. Here, we report on *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* from the Upper Miocene of northern China based on new fossil material, including an almost complete juvenile cranium and other remains, which show many primitive features within Mammutidae and clearly demonstrate the morphological evolution of Mammut. The strongly laterally expanded lateral wing of the occiput and the presence of basal constriction of the incisive fossa display cranial similarity between *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* and both *Eozygodon morotoensis* and *Choerolophodon guangheensis*, early representatives of the Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae, respectively, indicating the close relationship between these two groups: both of them are located at the basal phylogenetic positions in Elephantimorpha. This result is further confirmed by a cladistic analysis.

**Key words** northern China, Upper Miocene, Mammutidae, Choerolophodontidae, Elephantimorpha

**Citation** Wang S Q, Li Y, Duangkrayom J et al., 2017. Early Mammut from the Upper Miocene of northern China, and its implications for the evolution and differentiation of Mammutidae. *Vertebrata Palasiatica*, 55(3): 233-256

Since Vacek (1877) divided mastodont molars into “bunodont” and “zygodont” patterns, this guiding concept has remained prominent in the minds of researchers for almost 150 years. In the current phylogenetic taxonomy system, the two patterns correspond to Elephantida and Mammutida, respectively, which constitute the two basic branches of Elephantimorpha during the entire Neogene (Tassy, 1982, 1994a; Shoshani and Tassy, 2005; Gheerbrant and Tassy, 2009). The earliest recognized member of Mammutidae is *Losodokodon losodoki* from Losodok, Kenya, in the Late Oligocene, dated to ~27.0-24.0 Ma (Rasmussen and Gutierrez, 2009), followed by the Early Miocene *Eozygodon morotoensis* from Meswa Bridge, dated to ~22.0 Ma (Tassy and Pickford, 1983; Tassy, 1986). The earliest example of *Zygodon* (*Z. aegyptensis*) was found in Wadi Moghara, Egypt, from the Early Miocene, dated to ~18.0-17.0 Ma (Sanders and Miller, 2002). Possibly during the same period, *Zygodon* invaded Eurasia, accompanied by the early *Gomphotherium* (Tassy, 1990a), represented by members of the “*Zygodon turicensis* group” (i.e., *Z. turicensis*, *Z. metachinjiensis*, *Z. atavus*, and *Z. gobiensis*; see Tassy, 1996a, and Tobien, 1996). In Europe, Mam-

mut appeared during the early Turolian (~8 Ma), in the form of the species *M. obliquelophus* (= *M. praetypicum*) (Göhlich, 1999; Markov, 2008). *M. obliquelophus* has a longer mandibular symphysis than the subsequent widely known species, *M. borsoni* from the Pliocene of Eurasia and *M. americanum* from the Pleistocene of North America. Unlike the abundant and diverse material from gomphotheres (including Gomphotheriidae, Choerolophodontidae, and Amebelodontidae), unfortunately, material from mammutids is relatively rare and less differentiated during the entire Miocene. This has led to uncertainty among researchers over the evolution of Mammutidae.

In China, Hopwood (1935) reported *Mastodon americanus* from the Baode region (e.g., Jijiagou = Chi Chia Kou, Loc. 49), including a juvenile mandible; these remains were later attributed to *Mammot borsoni* by Tobien et al. (1988). This locality is correlated to MN12 (e.g., ~7.2 Ma of Loc. 49; see Kaakinen et al., 2013, and Yue et al., 2004). Markov (2008) compared this juvenile mandible with two known juvenile *Mammot* mandibles from Pikermi, which he referred to as *M. obliquelophus* (but see Konidaris and Koufos, 2013; in the current paper, we refer to the Pikermi material as *Mammot* sp.), and proposed that the mammutids from the Baode region could represent a new species due to their more primitive features. Recently, a complete mammutid cranium was discovered in the Hualinsanshe locality of the Upper Miocene Liushu Formation in the Linxia Basin (Fig. 1 [Figure 1: see original paper]). This new cranium is slightly ontogenetically older than the cranium of *Mammot* sp. from Pikermi, and possesses some more primitive features. However, this new specimen shows an evolutionary grade similar to that of *Mammot obliquelophus*, and thus here we attribute the new specimen and the juvenile mandible from Baode to *Mammot* cf. *M. obliquelophus*. The accompanying fauna includes *Struthio linxiaensis*, *Plesiogulo brachygnathus*, *Adcrocuta eximia*, *Ictitherium* sp., *Metailurus minor*, *Hipparion* sp., *Chilotherium* sp., *Chleuastochoerus stehlini*, *C. linxiaensis*, and *Samotherium* sp., indicating a correlation to MN12 (Deng et al., 2013; Hou, 2012; Hou and Deng, 2014). This is a critical time in the evolution of *Mammot*, so the new material provides us with substantial information on the cranial anatomy and transition from *Zygodolophodon* to *Mammot*. Furthermore, because this cranium retains some primitive features of Elephantimorpha, it is also an important specimen to discuss the early differentiation of the following main families of Elephantimorpha: Mammutidae, Gomphotheriidae, Choerolophodontidae, and Amebelodontidae.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2012CB821900), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB03020104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41372001, 41430102), and the Special Research Program of Basic Science and Technology of the Ministry of Science and Technology (Grant No. 2015FY310100-14).

**Received:** 2016-11-15

## 1 Materials and Methods

### Materials

The materials of *Mammot cf. M. obliquephus* and *Platybelodon grangeri* are housed in HMV. The comparative materials of *Mammot americanum* are housed in AMNH, and those of *Gomphotherium annectens* in MNHN. The comparative material of *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum*, *Choerolophodon guangheensis*, and *Protanancus brevirostris* are housed in IVPP. For the other materials, images and data were obtained from previous publications (Andrews, 1906; Matsumoto, 1925; Osborn, 1929; Tassy, 1977, 1982, 1983, 1986, 1994a,b, 1996a, 2013, 2014; Tassy and Pickford, 1983; Shoshani, 1996; Tobien, 1996; Pickford, 2003; Prado and Alberdi, 2008; Sanders et al., 2010; Wang and Deng, 2011; Wang et al., 2013, 2015a,b; Konidaris et al., 2016; for details, see Appendix 1).

### Measurements and Terminology

Cranial and mandibular measurements follow Tassy (2013). All measurements were performed using calipers (in mm). The terminology of the occlusal structures of mammutid cheek teeth follows Tassy (1996b) (Fig. 2 [Figure 2: see original paper]), and the dental age of trilophodont proboscideans follows Tassy (2013). The terminology of the cranium and mandible follows Tassy (2013) and Ferretti (2010).

### Cladistic Analysis

A cladistic analysis was performed to investigate the possible phylogenetic relationships within Elephantimorpha. The data matrix contains 30 unordered characters and 12 taxa, in which *Phiomia serridens* serves as the outgroup (see Appendices 1 and 2). Characters selected are explained in detail in Appendix 1. Cladograms were obtained from a parsimony analysis carried out using the TNT1.1 program (Goloboff et al., 2003). The reported results were based on MPTs.

## 2 Systematic Paleontology

**Order Proboscidea** Illiger, 1811

**Unranked group Elephantimorpha** Tassy & Shoshani, 1997

**Family Mammotidae** Hay, 1922

**Genus Mammot** Blumenbach, 1799

**Type species** *Mammot americanum* (Kerr, 1792)

**Mammot cf. M. obliquephus** (Mucha, 1980) (Figs. 3-5; Tables 1-3)

#### *Synonymy*

*Mastodon americanus* (Kerr, 1792): Hopwood, 1935, p. 43-46, pl. 6.5 pr. min. p.

*Mammot borsoni* (Hays, 1834): Tobien et al., 1988, p. 165-168, figs. 57, 58

#### *Referred Material*

HMV 1428, an almost complete cranium of a juvenile with deeply worn DP3, slightly worn DP4, and erupting M1, dental age V, locality Hualinsanshe (= LX 200045, 35°23 37.2 N, 103°25 47.3 E, Fig. 1). HMV 0009, a fragmentary facial part and left upper palate of a juvenile with deeply worn DP2 and DP3, and slightly worn DP4, dental age III, locality Moshigou (= LX 200013, Fig. 1). Both fossiliferous horizons belong to the Upper Miocene Liushu Formation of the Linxia Basin, MN12. Teeth and mandible remains reported by Hopwood (1935:43–46, pl. 6.5). A cast of a left hemimandible (NHMUK-M14825) is stored at NHMUK and another cast (IVPP RV 35020) of the same specimen is housed in IVPP, locality Jijiagou = Chi Chia Kou, Loc. 49, Baode region.

*Occurrence* Late Miocene, ~ MN12, China.

#### *Description*

The cranium of HMV 1428 (Fig. 3 [Figure 3: see original paper]) is almost complete except for breakage of the left one-third of the occipital surface and two occipital condyles, as well as the middle part of both zygomatic arches (these parts are reconstructed using plaster in the specimen and shaded in Fig. 3).

In dorsal view (Fig. 3A), the cranium is characterized by its wide occipital part relative to the orbital part. The occipital crest is almost straight, not anteriorly concave. The temporal fossa is strongly posterolaterally expanded, which can be observed in the dorsal view. The two temporal lines converge from the posterolateral flanges of the two temporal fossae, and diverge until reaching the postorbital processes. The two temporal lines are far apart, resulting in a wide brain case. The nasal process is relatively blunt and the median suture between the two nasal bones is clear. The nasal aperture is relatively narrow, and the superior-most rim of the nasal aperture slightly posteriorly exceeds the level of the two postorbital processes. In this view, the tip of the nasal bones and the prominent symphysis of the two premaxillae are close to each other in the middle, forming a dumbbell-shaped nasal aperture. The two postorbital processes are not strongly laterally protruded, leaving a relatively narrow orbital part; however, the zygomatic arch is relatively laterally expanded, mainly contributed to by the laterally expanded zygomatic process of the squamosal bone. Both the dorsal infraorbital foramen and the ventral infraorbital foramen can be observed in dorsal view, and they are close to each other. The former is small and slit-like, and the latter is large. The rostrum is narrow at the base (between the left and right ventral infraorbital foramina) and largely expanded at the distal part. The incisive fossa is distinct and strongly constricted at the proximal one-quarter, dividing the incisive fossa into a small basal subnasal fossa and a tubaeform distal part. At the distal edge of the rostrum, the distance between the two alveolar sockets is large.

In anterodorsal view (Fig. 3B), the dorsal plate of the brain case forms a flat surface that is oblique anteroventrally, without bulges. The nasal aperture is invertedly trapezoidal with two rounded dorsolateral angles. The subnasal fossa

that excavates the proximal end of the incisive fossa is invertedly triangular and is dorsally separated by a thin bony plate of the symphysis of the two premaxillae (Ferretti, 2010). The nasal aperture is narrow and no step-like perinasal fossa is present. The zygomatic process of the maxilla is huge and strongly laterally expanded, forming a prominent notch between the zygomatic arch and the orbital part of the frontal bone. The ventral infraorbital foramen anterior to the zygomatic arch is very large, dorsoventrally elongated, and has a sharp dorsal angle that turns slightly medially.

In ventral view (Fig. 3C), the cranium is tightly locked on an iron frame so that some critical features are invisible, including the post-palatine spine. The tympanic bulla is laterally expanded. It is triangular with a prominent antero-medial angle. A fossa lateral to the tympanic bulla represents the channel for stylomastoid foramen and the tympanohyal, and another fossa posterior to the tympanic bulla represents the posterior lacerate foramen (foramen metoticum). The middle lacerate foramen and foramen ovale are confluent and located beneath the anterolateral margin of the bulla. A rounded posterior opening of the alisphenoid canal is anterior to the anterior edge of the bulla. The glenoid area is transversely elongated and is composed of an anterior ventrally convex temporal condyle for the normal position of the mandibular condyle, and a posteriorly dorsally concave groove for containing the posteriorly shifted mandibular condyle when the mouth is open. The exoccipital bone is crest-like; it is laterally and slightly anteriorly elongated. The lateral part of the occipital plane turns slightly anteroventrally, which can be seen in the ventral view. Between the glenoid area and the exoccipital bone, there is a long slit in which the external auditory channel is concealed. The zygomatic process of the maxilla is strongly expanded from the brain case. The pterygoid process lateral to the choanae is enlarged and the pterygoid crest extends posteriorly to the tympanic bulla. The palate is relatively wide and the tooth rows are laterally convex. The zygomatic process of the maxilla is triangular, slightly dorsally concave, and not strongly laterally expanded. The interalveolar crest extends anteriorly along the rostrum. The two crests converge in the middle. At the anterior margin of the rostrum, there is a palatine fissure (= anterior palatine foramina).

In lateral view (Fig. 3D), the braincase is very low, flat, and anteroposteriorly elongated with an anteroposteriorly expanded fossa. The occipital surface is posteriorly convex with a strongly anteriorly extending temporal crest. The basicranium is slightly erected. A notch for the external auditory meatus is posterior to the zygomatic arch. The orbitotemporal crest originates from the postorbital process; it first extends ventrally and then runs posteroventrally to reach the anterior edge of the alisphenoid. A large fissure is located beneath the anterior margin of the alisphenoid, in which the optic foramen, the anterior lacerate foramen (foramen orbitale), and the foramen rotundum are present. The anterior edge of the alisphenoid turns anteroinferiorly, reaches the pterygoid process, and wraps around the posterior end of the bulged maxillary process. The orbit is large and the anterior rim is located at the level of the middle part of the DP4, and the postorbital process is just at the level of the pterygoid

process. A lacrimal foramen may be present, although the finding is ambiguous. The facial part of the maxilla is slightly anteriorly elongated and that ventral to the zygomatic process is shallow. The rostrum is anteriorly elongated and slightly ventrally bent.

In occipital view (Fig. 3E), the left one-third of the occipital bone is broken, and the occipital condyles are reconstructed using plaster. Nevertheless, the brain case in this view appears very compressed. The ligamentum nuchae fossa is dorsoventrally elongated and divided into two parts by a thin crest in the middle. The ventrolateral part of the occipital bone is anteriorly inclined.

The upper tusk of HMV 1428 (Fig. 3A-D) appears relatively slender and short. The tusk is circular in the basal cross section and tapers apically. Enamel covers the distal part of the tusks. In anterior view of the cranium, the two tusks are divergent; in the lateral view, they bend ventrally. Measurements (exposed length/maximal diameter/minimal diameter at alveolus, in mm): 176/42/36 (left); 196/46/31 (right).

The right DP3 has been shed and the left DP3 is deeply worn (Fig. 4A [Figure 4: see original paper]). The latter is rectangular and composed of two lophs, with the posterior one being slightly wider.

DP4 is moderately worn in the first loph and slightly worn in the posterior two lophs (Fig. 4A). The tooth is typically zygodont with a high degree of zygodonty (level 3; see Wang et al., 2016). Furrows have developed on the anterior and posterior walls of the lophs and the cingula are relatively strong, surrounding the entire tooth. Cementum is not present. The first pretrite half-loph is trifoliate, with crest-like anterior and posterior central conules. The first posttrite half-loph is transversely elongated and the dentinal figures of the first pre- and posttrites are in connection with each other. A vestibular crest (zygodont crest) is present on the posterior wall of the first posterior half-loph. The second pretrite half-loph is trifoliate with sharp, crest-like anterior and posterior central conules and mesoconelet. The second posttrite half-loph is transversely elongated, especially for the posttrite mesoconelet. The second pre- and posttrite half-lopms are well separated by a median sulcus. Vestibular crests are present on both anterior and posterior walls of the second half-loph. The third pretrite half-loph is also trifoliate with relatively slim and crest-like, anterior and posterior central conules. The mesoconelet is also crest-like, but with a slightly inflated distal end. The second posttrite half-loph is also transversely elongated, without a definite boundary between the main cusp and the mesoconelet. The third pre- and posttrite half-lopms are well separated by a median sulcus. A vestibular crest is not clearly present on the third half-loph; however, a small crest is present on the posterior wall of the posttrite mesoconelet, the homologue of the third posterior posttrite central conule.

Only the first loph of M1 has erupted (Fig. 4A). The first pretrite half-loph is trifoliate with sharp, crest-like anterior and posterior central conules and mesoconelet. The first posttrite half-loph is transversely elongated. The posttrite

main cusp is relatively inflated with a subdivided summit. It is slightly posterolaterally positioned. The posttrite mesoconelet is subdivided into a row (4-5) of conelets. The first pre- and posttrite half-lophs are well separated by a median sulcus and a weak vestibular crest is present on the posterior wall of the posttrite half-loph. Cingula are present on the anterior end and buccal and lingual sides of the first loph.

HMV 0009 (Fig. 4B-D) is the left palate and facial part of a juvenile individual. DP2 and DP3 are deeply worn and DP4 is moderately worn. The upper tusk is present.

In the anterior view (Fig. 4C), the upper tusk runs ventrally and slightly laterally. Enamel covers the entire tooth. There are clearly two infraorbital foramina that are close to each other. The dorsal one is small and the ventral one is large; however, both are rounded. In the lateral view (Fig. 4D), the facial part is relatively developed. The premaxilla is ventrally inclined, but the alveolar socket is broken. The upper tusk is straight. The zygomatic arch begins at the level of the boundary between DP3 and DP4, and the anterior rim of the orbit is at the level of the middle part of DP4. The lophs of DP4 are somehow anteriorly oblique. In the ventral view, the tooth row is laterally convex with a relatively wide half-palate. The zygomatic process is triangular. Measurements of the tusk (exposed length/maximal diameter/minimal diameter at alveolus, in mm): 151/41.5/36.

DP2 (Fig. 4B) is small and sub-oval. There is a large anterior cusp representing the fused protocone and paracone. The hypocone and the metacone are deeply worn, and clearly distinct. Except for the buccal margin, most of DP3 is broken. It appears to be composed of two lophs.

DP4 is quadrate and composed of three lophs (Fig. 4B). It is partially damaged and shows a zygodont pattern. The component elements are crest-like and furrows have developed on the anterior and posterior walls of the lophs. The cingula surround the entire tooth, and cementum is not developed. The first pretrite half-loph is moderately worn. This loph is compressed by DP3, and the anterior pretrite central conule and mesoconelet pretrite are broken. The posterior central conule seems to be crest-like. The first half-loph is relatively narrow. The posttrite mesoconelet and the main cusp are separated by a shallow groove and the median sulcus is clear. A vestibular crest is present on the posterior wall of the first posttrite half-loph. The second pretrite trefoil is incomplete, in which the anterior pretrite central conule is missing and the posterior central conule and mesoconelet are small and nodule-like. The main cusp of the second posttrite half-loph is broken and the second posttrite mesoconelet is crest-like with a crest-like posterior posttrite central conule. The third loph is narrower and less well developed than the former two lophs and the second interloph is anteroposteriorly narrow. The third anterior pretrite central conule is almost completely missing and the mesoconelet and posterior central conule are weak and crest-like. The third posttrite half-loph is crest-like and is slightly posteriorly convex. The main posttrite cusp and mesoconelet are not separated and a

crest-like posterior central conule is present.

IVPP RV 35020 (Fig. 5 [Figure 5: see original paper]; Tables 2, 3) is a left hemimandible preserving the mandibular symphysis. Most of the left ascending ramus is missing, as well as the right hemimandible. The mandibular corpus is relatively narrow in dorsal view and relatively low in lateral view. Although considerably broken, the ascending ramus appears to be very low, with a strongly posteriorly oblique anterior ramal border. The position of the mandibular angular process is relatively high. The mandibular symphysis is distant from the tooth row, despite the anterior part of the mandibular corpus being broken and reconstructed. The mandibular symphysis is triangular in the dorsal view. There is a posterior spine at the posterior edge of the symphysis. This feature is rarely found in Elephantida, but is observed in *Phiomia* (Andrews, 1906). Both lateral sides of the alveolar sheath are broken and the right mandibular tusk is absent, so the deeply excavated alveolus can be clearly observed. The proximal end of the left incisive alveolus extends to the level of dp2. At the anterior end of the mandibular symphysis, the two alveoli are only separated by a thin bony plate.

The left mandibular tusk is long and cylindrical (Fig. 5). It is strongly medially bent and the two tusks must have been convergent anterior to the symphysis. The tip of the left tusk is reconstructed using plaster and the shape of the wear facet is unknown.

Most of the crown of dp2 (Fig. 5) is broken. The dp2 is oval with a relatively strong posterior cingulid.

The dp3 (Fig. 5) is quadrate and composed of two lophids. The interlophid is wide and open, and the anterior and posterior cingulids are strong. The first pretrite half-lophid (protoconid) is broken, and the posterior pretrite central conule is thin and crest-like. The summit of the first posttrite half-lophid is also broken. It is strongly anteroposteriorly compressed and shows clear anterior and posterior vestibular crests. The second lophid is transversely wider than the first one. The summit of the pretrite half-lophid is composed of fine conelets. The anterior and posterior central conules are thin and crest-like, and they run from the pretrite mesoconelet to the valley. The second posttrite half-lophid is also anteroposteriorly compressed; however, the main cuspid and the mesoconelets are distinguishable. Vestibular crests are not developed on this half-lophid, but a small, crest-like anterior posttrite central conule is developed.

The dp4 (Fig. 5B) has not erupted yet. However, some of the bones on the medial side of the mandible ramus are removed. The dp4 is composed of three lophids with very wide interlophids. Most features of the pretrite half-lophids cannot be observed, except the crest-like pretrite central conules on the first and second half-lophids. The three posttrite half-lophids are strongly anteroposteriorly compressed. The main cuspid and the mesoconelets of the posttrite half-lophids are distinguishable. However, vestibular crests are weak or absent.

### 3 Comparisons and Discussion

#### Generic Assignment of the New Material

The new material is undoubtedly a member of the Mammutidae because of the clear zygodonty of the cheek teeth. These teeth show high zygodonty with sharp and highly crest-like pretrite central conules and posttrite half-lophs (zygodont degree 3 in Wang et al., 2016). Except for Mammut, other mammutids (i.e., *Losodokodon*, *Eozygodon*, and *Zygodolophodon*) show a somewhat lower degree of zygodonty (zygodont degree 2 in Wang et al., 2016); that is, posttrite half-lophs are divided into main cusps and mesoconelets (although each of them is crest-like), and pretrite mesoconelets and central conules are sometimes not very crest-like, especially in lower molars. Therefore, the new material can be attributed to the genus Mammut. However, as asserted by Tobien (1996), Mammut differs from the other mammutids (especially *Zygodolophodon*) in having either straight or upturned tusks (see also Kubiak, 1972), but the new material contradicts this by showing upper tusks that are downwardly oriented. Here, we believe that the direction of the upper tusks is due to the young ontogenetic age (dental ages V and III). The tusks are even covered by enamel. If this is true, the upper tusks would eventually curve upwards once the animal reaches adulthood.

#### Comparison of the Mandible of *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* with Those of Other Species of *Mammut*

As has been demonstrated, *Mammut obliquelophus* is a primitive Turolian Mammut that differs from the other two species in this genus, *M. borsoni* and *M. americanum*, in possessing a longer mandibular symphysis and mandibular tusks. Markov (2008) stated that the juvenile mandible from Jijiagou of Baode (*Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*, Fig. 5) represents a different taxon based on a comparison with the juvenile mandible of Mammut sp. from Pikermi (see Tassy, 1985: fig. 216 [Figure 216: see original paper]). Here, we further emphasize these differences. The mandibular corpus of the Baode material is narrower (indicating a possibly more elongated symphysis). The two tusk alveoli are closer to each other. It should also be noted that there is a small spine posterior to the mandibular symphysis (a plesiomorphy inherited from *Phiomia*) and the symphysis is distant from the anterior end of the cheek teeth row. However, the Baode material is from a juvenile individual and these features might not be very stable. Therefore, here, we refer to the Baode material as *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. It is clearly the case that the Baode material is more primitive than *M. borsoni* and *M. americanum*. Moreover, Mothé et al. (2016) reported *Sinomammuthus tobieni* (Mammutidae) based on a specimen that Wang et al. (2014) attributed to Sinomastodontinae. The age of *S. tobieni* is postulated to be Baodean, possibly the same as *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. *S. tobieni* possesses a relatively long symphysis, but lacks lower tusks. It shows a distinct course of evolution compared with Mammut.

#### Comparison of the Cranium of *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* with

## Other Mammutids

*Mammut americanum* is very common in the Pleistocene of North America. From this cranium (HMV 1428), we can observe that many of the plesiomorphies in *M. americanum* are also preserved in the present material, including the low and flat brain case, the slightly erected basicranium, the narrow nasal aperture without step-like perinasal fossa, and the presence of the dorsal infraorbital foramen (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, the presence of lacrimal foramen and post-palatine spine is not clear in this specimen. These two features are important plesiomorphies in *M. americanum* that are inherited from the ancestral *Phiomia* (Andrews, 1906; Tassy, 1994b). In the cranium of *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*, the brain case is lower, the nasal aperture is narrower, and the facial part is lower and more anteriorly elongated than those of *M. americanum* (Figs. 3, 6A, B), indicating a more primitive evolutionary stage.

There are two particular features of the cranium of *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. First, the contour of the nasal aperture is invertedly trapezoidal. In contrast, in *M. americanum*, the nasal aperture is simply oval (Fig. 6A [Figure 6: see original paper]). Second, the basal end of the incisive fossa shows a pronounced constriction. In *M. americanum*, although the basal end of the incisive fossa is also deeply excavated in the rostrum, no strong proximal constriction is present (Fig. 6A, B). However, these two features appear to be present in the more primitive species *Mammut* sp. from Pikermi and even to be traced back to the Early Miocene *Eozygodon morotoensis* (Pickford, 2003; see below). Therefore, they are possibly plesiomorphies of Mammutidae.

Crania of *Mammut* from Eurasia have rarely been discovered. The cranium of *Mammut* sp. from Pikermi (see Tassy, 1985: fig. 215 [Figure 215: see original paper]) provides perfect material for comparison with the Hualin material (HMV 1428). DP2 of the Pikermi cranium is present, indicating a younger ontogenetic age than that of the cranium HMV 1428 (and the same as HMV 0009). As we mentioned above, in anterior view, the Pikermi *Mammut* sp. possesses a nearly invertedly trapezoidal nasal aperture and a basal constriction in the incisive fossa, although these two features in *Mammut* sp. are not as conspicuous as those in the Hualin material. In dorsal view, the occipital part is almost equal in width to the orbital part. However, in the Hualin material, the occipital part is strongly laterally expanded, being much wider than the orbital part. The strongly laterally expanded occipital part is also observed in the primitive *Eozygodon morotoensis* (see below). All of these cranial features indicate that the Hualin material well demonstrates the early evolution of the cranial features within the genus *Mammut*. As with the Baode material (RV 35020), the Hualin material is a juvenile cranium and its features might not be very stable. However, both the Hualin and the Baode materials show slightly more primitive features than the Pikermi material. At least these features represent the primitive stage in *Mammut* (juvenile individuals often show primitive features (Gould, 1977)). Considering the high morphological conservation of Mammutidae in its evolution, we attributed the Hualin and the Baode materials to *Mammut cf. M.*

*obliquelophus*.

*Zygodon* represents the intermediate evolutionary stage between *Eozygodon* and *Mammot* during the late Early to early Late Miocene (Göhlich, 1999). The only known cranium of *Zygodon* is possibly *Z. turicensis* from Villafranche d' Astarac, France (see Tassy, 1985: fig. 208 [Figure 208: see original paper]). However, only the lateral view can be observed in this figure. Although the occipital part of the specimen is broken, the cranium is low and the basicranium does not seem to be erected, which is similar to the Hualin specimen. However, the orbit is very anteriorly positioned (even the posterior edge of the orbit is anterior to the tooth row) and the facial part is very anteriorly elongated. This morphology, as primitive features preserved in *Zygodon*, is distinct from *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus* from Hualin.

*Eozygodon morotoensis* from the Early Miocene of Africa is an early representative of mammutids. Pickford (2003) reported two adult crania of *E. morotoensis* from the lower Orange River Valley, Namibia. In the dorsal view, the cranium of *E. morotoensis* shows a significantly wide occipital part (wider than that of *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus*), and the remaining left zygomatic arch is strongly laterally expanded. In anterior view, the basal constriction in the incisive fossa also appears to be present (Pickford, 2003: fig. 4.2); however, this is not very clear. The two lateral wings of the nasal aperture extend slightly dorsally. This feature is also comparable to that of *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus*. The above cranial features are similar to those of *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus* and also indicate a common cranial morphology of Mammutidae. However, the nasal aperture is low and wide, distinct from the high and narrow nasal aperture in *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus*. The cranium of *E. morotoensis* is highly arched and the basicranium is strongly erected (Pickford, 2003). The latter two features are often expressed in derived taxa, such as *Anancus*. It is possible that *Eozygodon* was an early offshoot of Mammutidae.

### **Comparisons of Crania of *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus* with Other Crania of Elephantimorpha and Implications for Early Phylogenetic Differentiation and Evolution in Elephantimorpha**

*Choerolophodon quangheensis* (Fig. 6C, D) from the Early Miocene of the Linxia Basin is the earliest complete cranium known in this genus. Konidaris et al. (2016) mentioned that *C. quangheensis* presents a combination of primitive and more advanced features; we consider this species to be a very primitive representative of Choerolophodontidae based on the very low brain case, the very anteriorly positioned orbit, and the presence of P4. In *C. quangheensis*, although the nasal aperture is broad, no step-like perinasal fossa is present. This feature persists in the Middle Miocene *C. chioticus* and the Late Miocene *C. pentelici* and *C. corrugatus*. This character state is also very similar to that of *Zygodon* and *Mammot*. In *C. quangheensis*, the incisive fossa has a basal constriction and the distal part of the incisive fossa is tubaeform (Fig. 6C, D). These features are very similar to that of *Mammot cf. M. obliquelophus* and even developed in the Late Miocene *Choerolophodon corrugatus* (but seem to have

been lost in *C. pentelici*; see Schlesinger, 1917: pls. 24, 27). In *C. guangheensis*, the occipital part is strongly laterally expanded in a character state similar to that in *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* (Fig. 6C, D). In *Mammut americanum*, the lateral expansion of the occiput is not pronounced as in *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*, but is still more pronounced than in extant elephants. Therefore, Choerolophodontidae and Mammutidae may have a closer phylogenetic relationship than we previously thought.

*Gomphotherium angustidens*, a representative of Gomphotheriidae, is a common species in the Middle Miocene of Western Europe, for which several crania have been reported (Tassy, 2013) and can be compared with *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. In *G. angustidens*, a step-like perinasal fossa is well developed (Tassy, 1994b, 2013). This feature is also considered a synapomorphy of higher Elephantida (Tassy, 1994b) including *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum*, but is missing in primitive *G. annectens*. We have already mentioned that this structure is also missing in Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae. In *G. angustidens*, especially in males (Tassy, 2013: fig. 9 [Figure 9: see original paper]), no strong constriction is developed in the proximal part of the incisive fossa, in contrast to the presence of a strong proximal constriction in the incisive fossa of *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. Furthermore, in *G. angustidens*, the lateral expansion of the occiput is weaker than that in *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus* (Tassy, 2013: figs. 13, 14).

The cranium of *Archaeobelodon aff. A. filholi* from Buluk, Kenya, is a typical primitive cranium of Amebelodontidae (Tassy, 1986: pls. 3, 4). The facial part is strongly anteriorly elongated, regarded as a synapomorphy of Amebelodontidae (Sanders et al., 2010). The step-like perinasal fossa is developed and no strong proximal constriction in the incisive fossa is present. These features are closer to Gomphotheriidae than to Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae. However, in the specialized amebelodontid, *Platybelodon grangeri* (Fig. 6E, F), the proximal constriction in the incisive fossa is also absent, but the perinasal fossa is not developed. Alternatively, there are two enlarged slopes between the nasal aperture and the rostrum along the lateral side of the incisive fossa; here, we describe this feature as “broad prenasal area.” This feature also appears to be present in *Protanancus brevirostris* (Wang et al., 2015b: fig. 4a), a primitive member of Protanancus. It seems that the loss of perinasal fossa and alternatively developed prenasal area is a synapomorphy of Amebelodontidae above the level of Protanancus and Platybelodon. Otherwise, we have to consider the polyphyletic state of Amebelodontidae.

## Phylogeny

Phylogenetic analyses of proboscideans based on cladistic analysis have been carried out by Tassy (1990b, 1996a) and Shoshani (1996), further developed by Shoshani et al. (2006), and modified and extended by Cozzuol et al. (2012). In these analyses, Mammutidae and Amebelodontidae were identified as monophyletic groups and Choerolophodon as a sister group of the other Elephantida. However, there are also some debates among these groups. For example,

Shoshani (1996) stated that the phylogenetic position of Choerolophodon is possibly inserted within gomphotheres and that the monophyletic state of Mammutidae is not supported by the parsimony rule. In addition, Tassy (1996a) considered that the monophyletic state of Amebelodontidae is one of the least robust among the elephantoid groups. One important reason for this is the incompleteness of the data on the early members of Mammutidae, Choerolophodontidae, and Amebelodontidae.

A cladistic analysis was carried out to clarify the phylogenetic positions of the early branches of Elephantimorpha, since our knowledge of cranial and mandibular features of the early members of Elephantimorpha has increased. For simplicity, we only include the most typical representatives of Mammutidae, Choerolophodontidae, Gomphotheriidae, and Amebelodontidae, because the purpose of this cladistic analysis is to test the mono- or paraphyletic states of these groups and to search for the differentiation sequence of these main groups in Elephantimorpha. The most crown groups, such as Stegodontidae, Elephantidae, and some taxa of Gomphotheriidae and Amebelodontidae, are not included in this analysis. We either do not include the genera *Eritreum*, *Hemimastodon*, and *Losodokodon* because only dental remains are known from these taxa. The representative taxa and characters are given in Appendices 1 and 2. Two MPTs were obtained (Fig. 7A [Figure 7: see original paper], B). Both of them (Fig. 7A, B) support the monophyly of Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae, and the differentiation of Choerolophodontidae is prior to that of Amebelodontidae and Gomphotheriidae, indicating the more stem position of Choerolophodontidae, as we hypothesized. However, the topologies of the two MPTs conflict in terms of the differentiation of Amebelodontidae and Gomphotheriidae. In one MPT (Fig. 7A), the Amebelodontidae is a monophyletic group that differentiated from Gomphotherium in a fairly distal position, whereas *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum* and *G. angustidens* are sequentially differentiated after *G. annectens*, and the Gomphotheriidae is a paraphyletic group. In the other MPT (Fig. 7B), *Gomphotherium annectens* constitutes the sister group of the others, and *Archaeobelodon* serves as the sister group of *Gomphotherium angustidens* and *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum*; in this case, neither Amebelodontidae nor Gomphotheriidae is monophyletic. Although a more detailed discussion of this issue is beyond the scope of this work, it should be noted that the early differentiation of Elephantida may be much more complex than we ever considered. Although disagreements persist, we confirm a certain close relationship between Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae in Elephantimorpha, which was not well resolved prior to this work.

## 4 Conclusions

In this work, we describe a primitive cranium and other remains of Mammut from the Upper Miocene of the Linxia Basin and the Baode region, China, which roughly corresponds to MN12. The new material displays derived dental features like the other members of Mammut, and possesses many primitive fea-

tures within Mammutidae, which provides substantial new information about the morphological development of Mammut. We attributed the new material to *Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus*. Mammut provides important information on the differentiation of Elephantimorpha. The cranial features also suggest a certain close relationship between the primitive members of Mammutidae and Choerolophodontidae, indicating the early differentiation of Choerolophodontidae from the basal Elephantida.

### Acknowledgements

We thank T. Deng, Z. X. Qiu, S. K. Hou, Q. Q. Shi, B. Y. Sun, IVPP, China; P. Tassy, Muséum National d' Histoire Naturelle, France; U. Göhlich, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria; G. Markov, National Museum of Natural History, Bulgaria; and J. Meng, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA for discussions and advice on this work. We thank G. F. Chen, IVPP, China, and G. Konidaris, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece, for very important opinion in the review of the work. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2012CB821900), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB03020104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41372001, 41430102), and the Special Research Program of Basic Science and Technology of the Ministry of Science and Technology (Grant No. 2015FY310100-14).

### References

- Andrews C W, 1906. A Descriptive Catalogue of the Tertiary Vertebrata of the Fayûm, Egypt. London: British Museum (Natural History). 1-324
- Cozzuol M A, Mothé D, Avilla L S, 2012. A critical appraisal of the phylogenetic proposals from the South American Gomphotheriidae (Proboscidea: Mammalia). *Quatern Int*, 255: 36-41
- Deng T, Qiu Z X, Wang B Y et al., 2013. Late Cenozoic biostratigraphy of the Linxia Basin, northwestern China. In: Wang X M, Flynn L J, Fortelius M eds. *Fossil Mammals of Asia: Neogene Biostratigraphy and Chronology of Asia*. New York: Columbia University Press. 243-273
- Ferretti M P, 2010. Anatomy of *Haplomastodon chimborazi* (Mammalia, Proboscidea) from the Late Pleistocene of Ecuador and its bearing on the phylogeny and systematics of South American gomphotheres. *Geodiversitas*, 32(4): 663-
- Gheerbrant E, Tassy P, 2009. L' origine et l' évolution des éléphants. *C R Palevol*, 8: 281-294
- Göhlich U B, 1999. Order Proboscidea. In: Rössner G E, Heissig K eds. *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil. 157-168
- Goloboff P A, Farris J S, Nixon K C, 2003. T.N.T.: tree analysis using new technology. Program and documentation, available from the authors, and at [www.zmuc.dk/public/phylogeny](http://www.zmuc.dk/public/phylogeny)
- Gould S J, 1977. *Ontogeny and Phylogeny*. London: The Belknap Press of

- Harvard University Press. 1-520
- Hopwood A T, 1935. Fossil Proboscidea from China. *Palaeont Sin, Ser C*, 9: 1-108
- Hou S K, 2012. A survey of *Chleuastochoerus* (Suidae, Artiodactyla) from Linxia Basin, Gansu Province, China. Ph.D Dissertation. Beijing: Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences. 1-162
- Hou S K, Deng T, 2014. A new species of *Chleuastochoerus* (Artiodactyla: Suidae) from the Linxia Basin, Gansu Province, China. *Zootaxa*, 3872(5): 401-439
- Kaakinen A, Passey B H, Zhang Z Q et al., 2013. Stratigraphy and paleoecology of the classical dragon bone localities of Baode County, Shanxi Province. In: Wang X M, Flynn L J, Fortelius M eds. *Fossil Mammals of Asia: Neogene Biostratigraphy and Chronology of Asia*. New York: Columbia University Press. 203-217
- Konidaris G E, Koufos G D, 2013. Late Miocene Proboscidea (Mammalia) from Macedonia and Samos Island, Greece: preliminary results. *Paläont Z*, 87(1): 121-140
- Konidaris G E, Koufos G D, Kostopoulos D S et al., 2016. Taxonomy, biostratigraphy and palaeoecology of *Choerolophodon* (Proboscidea, Mammalia) in the Miocene of SE Europe-SW Asia: implications for phylogeny and biogeography. *J Syst Palaeont*, 14(1): 1-27
- Kubiak H, 1972. The skull of *Mammot praetypicum* (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the collection of the Jagiellonian University in Cracow, Poland. *Acta Zool Cracov*, 17: 305-324
- Lehmann U, 1950. Über Mastodontenreste in der Bayerischen Staatssammlung in München. *Palaeontographica*, 99: 121-
- Markov G N, 2008. The Turolian proboscideans (Mammalia) of Europe: preliminary observations. *Hist Nat Bulg*, 19: 153-
- Matsumoto H, 1925. Preliminary notes on two new species of fossil mastodon from Japan. *J Geol Soc Tokyo*, 31: 395-
- Mothé D, Avilla L S, Zhao D S et al., 2016. A new Mammutidae (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the Late Miocene of Gansu Province, China. *An Acad Bras Ciênc*, 88(1): 65-74
- Osborn H F, 1929. New Eurasiatic and American proboscideans. *Am Mus Novit*, 393: 1-28
- Osborn H F, 1936. Proboscidea: a Monograph of the Discovery, Evolution, Migration and Extinction of the Mastodonts and Elephants of the World. New York: The American Museum Press. 1-802
- Pickford M, 2003. New Proboscidea from the Miocene strata in the lower Orange River Valley, Namibia. *Mem Geol Surv Nam*, 19: 207-256
- Prado J L, Alberdi M T, 2008. A cladistic analysis among trilophodont gomphotheres (Mammalia, Proboscidea) with special attention to the South American genera. *Palaeontology*, 51(4): 903-915
- Rasmussen D T, Gutierrez M, 2009. A mammalian fauna from the Late Oligocene of northwestern Kenya. *Palaeontogr Abt A: Paläozool Stratigr*, 288(1-3): 1-52

- Sanders W J, Miller E R, 2002. New proboscideans from the Early Miocene of Wadi Moghara, Egypt. *J Vert Paleont*, 22(2):
- Sanders W J, Gheerbrant E, Harris J M et al., 2010. Proboscidea. In: Werdelin L, Sanders W J eds. *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. Berkeley: University of California Press. 161-251
- Schlesinger G, 1917. Die Mastodonten des K. K. naturhistorischen Hofmuseums. *Denkschr K K Naturhist Hofmus 1, Geol-Paläont Reihe, 1: 1-231*
- Shoshani J, 1996. Para- or monophyly of the gomphotheres and their position within Proboscidea. In: Shoshani J, Tassy P eds. *The Proboscidea: Evolution and Palaeoecology of Elephants and Their Relatives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 149-177
- Shoshani J, Tassy P, 2005. Advances in proboscidean taxonomy and classification, anatomy and physiology, and ecology and behavior. *Quatern Int*, 126-128: 5-20
- Shoshani J, Walter R C, Abraha M et al., 2006. A proboscidean from the Late Oligocene of Eritrea, a “missing link” between early Elephantiformes and Elephantimorpha, and biogeographic implications. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*, 103: 17296-
- Tassy P, 1977. Découverte de *Zygodolophodon turicensis* (Schinz) (Proboscidea, Mammalia) au lieu-dit Malartic a Simorre, Gers (Vindobonien moyen): implications paléoécologiques et biostratigraphiques. *Geobios*, 10(5): 655-669
- Tassy P, 1982. Les principales dichotomies dans l'histoire des Proboscidea (Mammalia): une approche phylogénétique. *Geobios (Mém Spéc)*, 6: 225-245
- Tassy P, 1983. Les Elephantoides Miocènes du Plateau du Potwar, Groups de Siwalik, Pakistan. *Ann Paléont*, 69(2-4): 99-136, 235-297, 317-354
- Tassy P, 1985. La place des mastodontes Miocènes de l'ancien monde dans la phylogénie des Proboscidea (Mammalia): hypothèses et conjectures. Unpublished Thèse. Paris: Doctorat ès Sciences UPMC. 1-861
- Tassy P, 1986. Nouveaux Elephantoides (Proboscidea, Mammalia) dans le Miocène du Kenya: essai de réévaluation systématique. Paris: Cahiers de Paléontologie. E' ditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS).
- Tassy P, 1990a. The “proboscidean datum event:” how many proboscideans and how many events? In: Lindsay E H, Fahlbusch V, Mein P eds. *European Neogene Mammal Chronology*. New York: Plenum Press. 237-252
- Tassy P, 1990b. Phylogénie et classification des Proboscidea (Mammalia): historique et actualité. *Ann Paléont*, 76: 159-224
- Tassy P, 1994a. Origin and differentiation of the Elephantiformes (Mammalia, Proboscidea). *Verh Naturwiss Ver Hamburg*, 34: 73-94
- Tassy P, 1994b. Gaps, parsimony, and Early Miocene elephantoids (Mammalia), with a re-evaluation of *Gomphotherium annectens* (Matsumoto, 1925). *Zool J Linn Soc*, 112: 101-117
- Tassy P, 1996a. Who is who among the Proboscidea. In: Shoshani J, Tassy P eds. *The Proboscidea: Evolution and Palaeoecology of Elephants and Their Relatives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 39-48
- Tassy P, 1996b. Dental homologies and nomenclature in the Proboscidea. In:

- Shoshani J, Tassy P eds. The Proboscidea: Evolution and Palaeoecology of Elephants and Their Relatives. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 21-25
- Tassy P, 2013. L' anatomie cranio-mandibulaire de *Gomphotherium angustidens* (Cuvier, 1817) (Proboscidea, Mammalia): données issues du gisement d' En Péjouan (Miocène moyen du Gers, France). *Geodiversitas*, 35(2): 377-445
- Tassy P, 2014. L' odontologie de *Gomphotherium angustidens* (Cuvier, 1817) (Proboscidea, Mammalia): données issues du gisement d' En Péjouan (Miocène moyen du Gers, France). *Geodiversitas*, 36(1): 35-115
- Tassy P, Pickford M, 1983. Un nouveau mastodonte zygolophodonte (Proboscidea, Mammalia) dans le Miocène inférieur d' Afrique orientale: Systématique et paléoenvironnement. *Geobios*, 16(1): 53-77
- Tobien H, 1996. Evolution of zygodons with emphasis on dentition. In: Shoshani J, Tassy P eds. The Proboscidea: Evolution and Palaeoecology of Elephants and Their Relatives. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 76-88
- Tobien H, Chen G F, Li Y Q, 1988. Mastodonts (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the late Neogene and Early Pleistocene of the People' s Republic of China, part II: the genera *Tetralophodon*, *Anancus*, *Stegotetabelodon*, *Zygalophodon*, *Mammuth*, *Stegolophodon*. *Mainzer Geowiss Mitt*, 17: 95-220
- Vacek V M, 1877. Über österreichische Mastodonten und ihre Beziehungen zu den Mastodon-Arten Europas. *Abh Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geol Reichsanstalt*, 7: 1-45
- Wang S Q, Deng T, 2011. The first *Choerolophodon* (Proboscidea, Gomphotheriidae) skull from China. *Sci China Earth Sci*, 54(9): 1326-1337
- Wang S Q, He W, Chen S Q, 2013. Gomphotheriid mammal *Platybelodon* from the Middle Miocene of Linxia Basin, Gansu, China. *Acta Palaeont Pol*, 58(2): 221-240
- Wang S Q, Zhao D S, Xie G P et al., 2014. An Asian origin for *Sinomastodon* (Proboscidea, Gomphotheriidae) inferred from a new Upper Miocene specimen from Gansu of China. *Sci China Earth Sci*, 57(10): 2522-2531
- Wang S Q, Deng T, Tang T et al., 2015a. Evolution of *Protanancus* (Proboscidea, Mammalia) in East Asia. *J Vert Paleont*, e881830, doi:10.1080/02724634.2014.881830
- Wang S Q, Duangkrayom J, Yang X W, 2015b. Occurrence of the *Gomphotherium angustidens* group in China, based on a revision of *Gomphotherium connexum* (Hopwood, 1935) and *Gomphotherium shensiensis* Chang and Zhai, 1978: continental correlation of *Gomphotherium* species across the Palearctic. *Paläont Z*, 89: 1073-1086
- Wang S Q, Ji X P, Jablonski N G et al., 2016. The oldest cranium of *Sinomastodon* (Proboscidea, Gomphotheriidae), discovered in the uppermost Miocene of southwestern China: implications for the origin and migration of this taxon. *J Mammal Evol*, 23: 155-173
- Yue L P, Deng T, Zhang Y X et al., 2004. Magnetostratigraphy of stratotype section of the Baode stage. *J Stratigr*, 28(1):

## Appendices

### Appendix 1. Characters in Elephantimorpha

In this study, for simplicity, we only include the most typical representatives of Mammutidae, Choerolophodontidae, Gomphotheriidae, and Amebelodontidae, because the purpose of this cladistic analysis is to test the mono- or paraphyletic states and to search for the sequence of differentiation of these main groups in Elephantimorpha. The most crown groups, such as Stegodontidae, Elephantidae, and some taxa of Gomphotheriidae and Amebelodontidae, are not included in this analysis (see Appendix 2). The selected characters are mainly related to the cranial features, which can be well extracted based on previous and newly discovered specimens. We also do not include some stem taxa only represented by teeth or mandible fragments, namely, *Eritreum*, *Hemimastodon* and *Losodokodon*. *Phiomia serridens* was served as the outgroup. All characters are treated as unordered.

#### Characters:

0. **Brain case: lateral view.** States: 0 = low and flat; 1 = relatively domed. Interpretation: in primitive Elephantimorpha, the brain case is very low and flat and, in almost all derived taxa in various clades, the brain case rises (even in *Mammut americanum*, the brain case shows a slightly domed appearance) (Prado and Alberdi, 2008).
1. **Basicranium.** States: 0 = not erected; 1 = slightly erected. Interpretation: accompanied by character 0, the erected basicranium is observed in almost all derived taxa in various clades of Elephantimorpha, except in Mammutidae (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
2. **Facial part: lateral view.** States: 0 = in the primitive position (as in *Phiomia*); 1 = anteriorly elongated (as in amebelodontids); 2 = anteriorly and ventrally elongated (as in choerolophodontids); 3 = retreated to some degree (as in derived gomphotheres and Mammut). Interpretation: anterior elongation of the facial part is regarded as a synapomorphy of Amebelodontidae (Sanders et al., 2010). In derived taxa of Choerolophodontidae, the facial part is strongly ventrally extended, but this feature is not observed in *C. guangheensis*. In the derived groups, namely, taxa of Stegodontidae, Elephantidae, and *Mammut americanum*, the facial part is strongly retreated (the anterior end of the cheek tooth row and the anterior rim of the orbit are on the same level).
3. **Incisive fossa: dorsal view.** States: 0 = without strong constriction; 1 = with strong constriction. Interpretation: see the text.
4. **Palate: post-palatal spine.** States: 0 = present; 1 = absent. Interpretation: as a plesiomorphy seen in *Phiomia*, the post-palatal spine is also retained in *Mammut americanum* (more tuberosity-like rather than spine-like in *Mammut americanum*), but is absent in the taxa of Elephantida.

5. **Nasal aperture: perinasal fossa.** States: 0 = absent; 1 = present. Interpretation: see the text.
6. **Nasal aperture: broad prenasal area.** States: 0 = absent; 1 = present. Interpretation: see the text.
7. **Occipital part: dorsal view.** States: 0 = narrow; 1 = wide. Interpretation: see the text.
8. **Orbit: lateral view.** States: 0 = low position; 1 = high position. In derived taxa of Choerolophodontidae, the orbit is strongly dorsally moved to near the roof of the cranium, but this feature is not observed in *C. quangheensis* (Wang and Deng, 2011).
9. **Orbit: lacrimal foramen.** States: 0 = present; 1 = absent. Interpretation: as in character 4, lacrimal foramen is present in *Phiomia* and in *Mammut americanum*, but is absent in the other Elephantidae (Tassy, 1994b).
10. **Mandibular symphysis: posterior border.** States: 0 = close to the anterior end of the cheek tooth row; 1 = distant from the anterior end of the cheek tooth row. Interpretation: except in typical longirostrine taxa such as *Gomphotherium* and members of Amebelodontidae, the posterior mandibular symphyseal border is distant from the anterior end of the cheek tooth row. Otherwise, they are close together, as in *Phiomia*.
11. **Angular process: position.** States: 0 = low; 1 = high. Interpretation: the mandibular angular process has a low position in *Phiomia* and is also observed in Mammut (Tassy, 1994a; Tobien, 1996), but it has a high position in the taxa of Elephantida (Tassy, 1994a).
12. **Symphysis.** States: 0 = elongated; 1 = relatively short. Interpretation: elongated symphysis is a plesiomorphy of Elephantimorpha, but it has been largely reduced in all of the derived groups (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
13. **Symphyseal trough.** States: 0 = shallow; 1 = deep. Interpretation: deep symphyseal trough is considered a synapomorphy of Choerolophodontidae (accompanied by the loss of lower tusks) (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
14. **Ascending ramus: posterior inclination.** States: 0 = almost vertical; 1 = posteriorly inclined. Interpretation: posteriorly inclined ascending ramus is observed in some longirostrine taxa such as in *Gomphotherium* and in *Platybelodon*, and is possibly functionally related.
15. **Upper tusks: lateral view.** States: 0 = ventrally bent; 1 = dorsally bent. Interpretation: the plesiomorphy of upper tusks is ventrally bent; however, they are dorsally bent in all derived groups, accompanied by the loss of lower tusks (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).

16. **Upper tusks: enamel band.** States: 0 = present; 1 = absent. Interpretation: the presence of enamel bands is a plesiomorphy of Elephantimorpha; however, enamel bands are lost in most derived taxa (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
17. **Upper tusks: anterodorsal view.** States: 0 = simply divergent; 1 = secondarily divergent in the medial part. Interpretation: secondary divergence in the medial part of the upper tusks is considered a feature distinguishing *Gomphotherium* and *Zygodon* (Tassy, 1977). Secondary divergence of the upper tusks is also observed in Choerolophodontidae, which might have developed independently from Gomphotheriidae.
18. **Lower tusks.** States: 0 = present; 1 = absent. Interpretation: the absence of lower tusks is common in all derived taxa of Elephantimorpha (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
19. **Lower tusks: cross section.** States: 0 = flattish pyriform; 1 = pyriform; 2 = rounded; 3 = flattened. Interpretation: the term flattish pyriform is particularly used to describe the shape of the lower tusk cross section of *Phiomia*. A similar shape is also observed in *Archaeobelodon filholi*. In other members of Amebelodontidae, the cross section is even more flattened. In primitive *Gomphotherium* and *Eozygodon*, the lower tusk cross section is pyriform, whereas in derived members of *Gomphotherium* and Mammutidae, this cross section is rounded.
20. **Lower tusks: lateral view.** States: 0 = dorsally bent; 1 = almost straight. Interpretation: straight lower tusks are common in those derived taxa including Mammut, if present. However, in primitive forms, lower tusks are always slightly dorsally bent (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
21. **Lower tusks: dorsal wear facet.** States: 0 = flat; 1 = concave. Interpretation: a concave dorsal wear facet of lower tusks is characteristic of *Gomphotherium angustidens* (Tassy, 2014).
22. **Lower tusks: inner structure.** States: 0 = concentric lamination; 1 = dentinal tubules. Interpretation: dentinal tubules are found in the cross section of the lower tusks in some amebelodontid taxa, for example, *Platybelodon*, in contrast to normally concentric lamination (Wang et al., 2013, 2015a).
23. **Lower tusks: basal end.** States: 0 = separated; 1 = close to each other. Interpretation: in those forms with regressive lower tusks, for example, Mammut, lower tusks are close to each other in their basal part. In contrast, in forms having developed lower tusks, alveoli of lower tusks are separated basally.
24. **Cheek teeth succession: degree of horizontal succession.** States: 0 = vertical succession; 1 = partially horizontal succession; 2 = entirely horizontal succession (premolar absent). Interpretation: vertical succession as in most other mammals occurs in the outgroup *Phiomia*. Partially

horizontal succession is represented by primitive Elephantimorpha, such as *Gomphotherium*, *Zygodont*, and members of Amebelodontidae, in which premolars, although highly regressive, are not missing; this contrasts with the complete loss of premolars in the derived taxa (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).

25. **Cheek teeth: cingulum/cingulid.** States: 0 = strong; 1 = weak. Interpretation: strong cingulum/cingulid is a plesiomorphy still preserved in Mammutidae (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
26. **Cheek teeth: pattern.** States: 0 = bunodont; 1 = zygodont. Interpretation: see the text.
27. **Cheek teeth: bunodont pattern.** States: 0 = typical bunodont; 1 = bunodont with strong development of posterior pretrite central conules; 2 = bunodont with rudimentary anancoidy and rudimentary secondary trefoils; 3 = choerolophodonty. Interpretation: state 1 is characteristic of *Gomphotherium angustidens* (Tassy, 2014). States 2 and 3 are synapomorphies of amebelodontids and choerolopodontids (Tassy, 1983, 1986), respectively.
28. **Cheek teeth: cementum.** States: 0 = weak; 2 = heavy. Interpretation: heavy cementum is developed in some taxa, such as in *Platybelodon*, and members of Choerolophodontidae (Tassy, 1996a; Shoshani, 1996).
29. **Molars.** States: 0 = moderate; 1 = relatively wide; 2 = relatively narrow. Interpretation: relatively wide molar is a synapomorphy of Mammutidae, whereas relatively narrow molar is a synapomorphy of Amebelodontidae (Tassy, 1982).

## Appendix 2. Data Matrix for Cladistic Analysis

Taxon	Character States
<i>Phiomia serridens</i> <sup>1</sup>	??00100?0?
<i>Gomphotherium annectens</i> <sup>2</sup>	110110010?
<i>Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum</i> <sup>3</sup>	1100100?01
<i>Gomphotherium angustidens</i> <sup>4</sup>	??00?00001
<i>Eozygodon morotoensis</i> <sup>5</sup>	001001?002
<i>Zygodont turicensis</i> <sup>6</sup>	0 ? 0 1 0 1111 - 000??01-01
<i>Mammut cf. M. obliquelophus</i>	?000?01-01
<i>Mammut americanum</i> <sup>7</sup>	1
<i>Choerolophodon quangheensis</i> <sup>8</sup>	
<i>Choerolophodon pentelici</i> <sup>9</sup>	
<i>Choerolophodon corrugatus</i> <sup>10</sup>	
<i>Archaeobelodon aff. A. filholi</i> <sup>11</sup>	
<i>Protanancus brevirostris</i> <sup>12</sup>	
<i>Platybelodon grangeri</i> <sup>13</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> Scoring of the outgroup *Phiomia serridens* is based on the complete cranium and mandibles reported by Andrews (1906: figs. 48-50, 53, 54).

<sup>2</sup> *Gomphotherium annectens* is from the Early Miocene of Japan (Matsumoto, 1925), represented by a palate and the associated mandible. Scoring of this taxon is based on a cast of the holotype preserved in MNHN; see also Tassy (1994b).

<sup>3</sup> *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum* is from Lengshuigou, China (= *G. shensiensis*, Wang et al., 2015b), represented by an incomplete cranium. The tooth morphology is similar to that of the holotype of *Gomphotherium subtapiroideum* from Austria; in contrast, no cranium of the latter has been discovered. In the type locality of *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum*, no material of the mandible has been discovered. Scoring of the mandible is based on unpublished material from two other localities, Linxia and Zhongning. It should be noted that the cranium of *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum* from the Lengshuigou locality shows some primitive features; for example, the facial part of the Lengshuigou specimen is to some extent anteriorly elongated (Wang et al., 2015b: fig. 5), but the new materials from Linxia and Zhongning show a relatively re-treated facial part, and character 2 is scored as “3” in our matrix. It is possible that the new materials from Linxia and Zhongning represent a more derived species than *Gomphotherium cf. G. subtapiroideum* from the Lengshuigou, and they are closely related to *G. subtapiroideum*.

<sup>4</sup> *Gomphotherium angustidens* s.s. is from Simorre and En Pélouan, France. Scoring of this taxon is based on crania and mandibles reported by Tassy (2013: figs. 5-12, 21-24).

<sup>5</sup> *Eozygodon morotoensis* is from Meswa Bridge, Kenya, and lower Orange River Valley, Namibia. Scoring of this taxon is based on crania reported by Pickford (2003: pls. 2-5) and teeth reported by Tassy and Pickford (1983: figs. 4-9, 14-16).

<sup>6</sup> Scoring of *Zygodon turicensis* is based on the cranium from Villafranche d’Astarac, which was reported by Tassy (1985: fig. 208), and mandibles reported by Osborn (1936: fig. 657 [Figure 657: see original paper]), Lehmann (1950: pl. 14, fig. 26 [Figure 26: see original paper]), and Tassy (1985: fig. 210 [Figure 210: see original paper]).

<sup>7</sup> Scoring of *Mammuth americanum* is based on complete crania and mandibles housed in AMNH, for example, AM 2595, 14535, 17727, and 17771A.

<sup>8</sup> *Choerolophodon quangheensis* is from the Linxia Basin, China, represented by a nearly complete cranium (Wang and Deng, 2011, see Fig. 6C, D). Although some researchers considered the evolutionary state of this species to be intermediate, showing a mixture of primitive and more advanced features (Konidaris et al., 2016), we believe that this species is a typical primitive form of the genus. Scoring of mandibular features is based on unpublished new material from the same locality.

<sup>9</sup> Scoring of *Choerolophodon pentelici* is based on the material reported by Konidaris and Koufos (2013: figs. 2, 3) and Konidaris et al. (2016: fig. 6).

<sup>10</sup> *Choerolophodon corrugatus* is from Siwalik, Pakistan. Scoring of this taxon is based on the complete cranium and mandible reported by Osborn (1929: figs. 10–13), and Tassy (1983: figs. 13–16).

<sup>11</sup> Scoring of *Archaeobelodon aff. A. filholi*, from Buluk, Kenya, is based on the nearly complete cranium with the associated mandible reported by Tassy (1986: pls 2, 3).

<sup>12</sup> *Protanancus brevirostris* is from the Linxia Basin, China, represented by a nearly complete cranium with an associated mandible (Wang et al., 2015a: fig. 4). It is the most primitive species of this genus. However, this specimen is badly preserved. Scoring of this taxon is further based on unpublished new material from the same locality.

<sup>13</sup> Scoring of *Platybelodon grangeri* is based on the crania and mandibles from the Linxia Basin reported by Wang et al. (2013).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.*