
AI translation · View original & related papers at
chinaxiv.org/items/chinaxiv-201708.00185

Several rarely recorded rodents from the Neogene of China (Postprint)

Authors: Zhu-Ding Qiu, QIU Zhu-Ding

Date: 2017-08-10T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

For over 30 years, numerous assemblages of fossil small mammals have been recovered from Neogene deposits in China. However, some taxa in these faunas are represented by only scant material and occur at very few localities, often being assigned as indeterminate genus or species. Four rare rodent taxa are described in detail: three species—*Sayimys sihongensis*, *Apeomys asiaticus*, and *Neocometes* sp.—from the Early Miocene Xiacaowan Formation of Sihong, Jiangsu Province, and one species—*Yuneomys pusillus*—from the Late Miocene Shihuiba Formation of Lufeng, Yunnan Province. Comparative studies with relevant materials from Eurasia and North America demonstrate that the Sihong specimens cannot be assigned to any known species of the related genera, and consequently two new species (*S. sihongensis* and *A. asiaticus*) are established, despite the rarity of the material; specimens from Lufeng previously referred to *Leptodontomys pusillus* do not conform to the diagnostic characters of that genus and are assigned to a new genus *Yuneomys*. *A. asiaticus* and *Neocometes* sp. from Sihong represent the first Asian and Chinese records of their respective genera; their occurrence, together with *S. sihongensis*, in the Far East of Asia enhances our understanding of the geographic distribution of these poorly known taxa and of paleobiogeographic relationships in Eurasia. The new genus *Yuneomys* from Lufeng, together with the co-occurring bunodont eomyids *Plesieomys* and *Heteroeomys*, likely represents tropical or subtropical forest-adapted forms; the absence of lophodont eomyids in the Lufeng Fauna, in contrast to the composition of North China faunas, appears to indicate a marked differentiation between South and North Chinese eomyid faunas during the Miocene, thereby supporting the hypothesis that distinct ecological provinces emerged in Asia during this period.

Full Text

Preamble

Several Rarely Recorded Rodents from the Neogene of China

QIU Zhu-Ding

Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100044, China

qiuzhuding@ivpp.ac.cn

Abstract

Numerous assemblages of fossil small mammals have been recovered from Neogene deposits in China over the last 30 years or so. Nevertheless, some taxa in these faunas are represented by only a small number of isolated teeth from very few localities, and these are often assigned as indeterminate genus or species. Four peculiar taxa of rodents are described in detail: *Sayimys sihongensis*, *Apeomys asiaticus*, and *Neocometes* sp. from the Early Miocene Xiacaowan Formation of Sihong, Jiangsu Province, and *Yuneomys pusillus* from the Late Miocene Shihuiba Formation of Lufeng, Yunnan Province. Comparison of these specimens with similar materials from Eurasia or North America makes distinction from known species of related genera possible, and therefore two new species (*S. sihongensis*, *A. asiaticus*) are named for the Sihong specimens, although the material is rare. A new eomyid genus *Yuneomys*, previously published under the name *Leptodontomys pusillus*, is based on specimens from Lufeng. *A. asiaticus* and *Neocometes* sp. represent the first records of the genera *Apeomys* and *Neocometes* known from Asia and China, respectively. The occurrence of these Neogene rodents in eastern China improves our knowledge of the spatial distribution of these poorly recorded animals and contributes to a better understanding of paleobiogeographic relationships in Eurasia. Co-occurrence of the new genus with the bunodont eomyids *Plesieomys* and *Heteroeomys* in the Lufeng Fauna seems to indicate a differentiation of eomyid taxa in northern and southern faunas of China, and may reflect ecological partitioning within southeastern Asia during the Miocene.

Key words

Sihong, Lufeng, Miocene, Xiacaowan Formation, Shihuiba Formation, peculiar rodents

Citation

Qiu Z D, 2017. Several rarely recorded rodents from the Neogene of China. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica*, 55(2): 92-109.

Dedication

I dedicate this paper to Zhongjian Yang, whose pioneering investigation at Sihong, Jiangsu Province in the 1950s (see Young, 1955) produced an important Neogene locality and rich mammalian fauna in East China, including most of the scarce rodents described herein.

Funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41430102)

Received: 2016-07-15

1 Introduction

Over the last 30 years or so, the fossil record of small mammals from the Neogene in China has been expanded enormously. Collections made in Xinjiang, Gansu, Qinghai, Nei Mongol, Shaanxi, Shanxi, Hebei, Jiangsu, and Yunnan have broadened our knowledge of systematic relationships and evolutionary history of Neogene small mammals across much of Asia (Li and Qiu, 1980; Flynn, 1997; Qiu et al., 1985, 1997; Zhang and Zheng, 2000; Zheng and Zhang, 2001; Meng et al., 2006; Qiu and Li, 2008; Wu et al., 2009; Qiu and Qiu, 2013; Cai et al., 2013; Qiu and Li, 2016). In particular, the widespread Neogene sediments with dense fossil records in central Nei Mongol have produced diverse and abundant micromammalian faunas that afford opportunities to study community structure and faunal turnovers, and to reduce ecological or zoogeographic complications in tracing faunal succession and testing biochronological significance (Qiu and Li, 2016). Despite these remarkable advances, problems persist for a few taxa in faunas where they are poorly represented by specimens, and these are usually relegated to indeterminate genus or species.

As is commonly the case for most paleontological assemblages, taxa in known Chinese Neogene faunas are represented by different numbers of specimens, ranging from one or two isolated teeth to several hundreds. Inadequate material usually makes precise identification difficult, particularly for unusual taxa. The purpose of this paper is to describe four peculiar genera and species, of which three were published under the names *Sayimys* sp., *Apeomys* sp., and *Neocometes* sp. from the Early Miocene of Sihong, Jiangsu (Li et al., 1983; Qiu and Qiu, 1995, 2013), and one under the name *Leptodontomys pusillus* from the Late Miocene hominoid locality of Lufeng, Yunnan (Qiu, 2006). Comparison of the Sihong taxa with Eurasian material permits recognition of these as distinct from known species of *Sayimys* and *Apeomys*; therefore two new species (*S. sihongensis* and *A. asiaticus*) are described, although both are based on limited specimens. *Neocometes* sp. is still too poorly known to characterize. “*Leptodontomys pusillus*” possesses distinct characters that set it apart from that genus, and therefore a new genus (*Yuneomys*) is proposed. For geographic location and geological background of the fossil localities, the reader is referred to Li et al. (1983) and Qi (1985).

2 Systematic Paleontology

Order Rodentia Bowdich, 1821

Family Ctenodactylidae Zittel, 1893

Genus *Sayimys* Wood, 1937 Type species

Sayimys sivalensis (Hinton, 1933)

Diagnosis

See the emended diagnosis by Baskin (1996:11).

Sayimys sihongensis sp. nov. (Fig. 1 [Figure 1: see original paper])

Synonymy

Sayimys sp.: Li et al., 1983, p. 317; Qiu and Qiu, 2013, p. 147

Sayimys sp.: Qiu and Qiu, 1995, p. 61

Etymology

After the fossil locality, Sihong in Jiangsu Province, where the new species occurs.

Holotype

Left M1 (IVPP V 23215, from Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province).

Type locality

Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province.

Geological age and horizon

Late Early Miocene, Shanwangian; Xiacaowan Formation.

Paratype

One DP4 (IVPP V 23216, from Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province).

Referred specimen

One M3 (IVPP V 23217, from Songlinzhuang, Sihong, Jiangsu Province).

Measurements

DP4, 1.40 mm × 1.75 mm; M1, 1.70 mm × 1.75 mm; M3, 1.90 mm × 2.05 mm.

Diagnosis

Small species of *Sayimys*. DP4 with paraflexus and metaflexus quickly obliterated with occlusal wear, protocone being slightly internal to hypocone, paracone and metacone distinctly protrude buccally, and protoloph and metaloph being evidently longer than the anteroloph and posteroloph; M1 with quadrate outline and slightly larger hypocone than protocone, relatively transverse and straight protoloph and metaloph, developed paraflexus and metaflexus, and longer paraflexus than metaflexus, wide hypoflexus deeper than mesoflexus; M3 with short paraflexus and metaflexus, narrow, long and transverse mesoflexus.

Description

The teeth are unilaterally hypsodont, with the lingual side distinctly higher than the buccal side. Crests on the occlusal surface dominate the cusps. The holotype is most probably an M1 because of its subquadrate outline of the occlusal surface and distinctly different length of paraflexus and metaflexus (indicating the length of flexi extending lingually). V 23217 from Songlinzhuang is probably an M3 because it is larger than the M1, with relatively rounded outline and

subequal length of the paraflexus and metaflexus, and no sign of wear facet on its posterior side.

The DP4 is subquadrate in occlusal outline and heavily worn. It has a robust anteroloph, a pronounced posteroloph, a marked and short endoloph, a narrow and persistent hypoflexus, and a long but shallow mesoflexus. Due to wear, the protoloph and metaloph are fused with the anteroloph and posteroloph, respectively, and therefore the paraflexus and metaflexus are obliterated. The protocone and hypocone are nearly equal in size, but the former is slightly internal to the latter. The paracone and metacone distinctly protrude buccally. The protoloph and metaloph are evidently longer than the anteroloph and posteroloph. The hypoflexus is shorter but wider than the mesoflexus.

The M1 is nearly quadrate in outline and in a moderately worn stage. The protocone and the slightly larger hypocone are connected by a thick and straight endoloph. The paracone and metacone are distinct but much smaller than the protocone and hypocone. The protoloph is transverse and prominent, joining the posterior margin of the protocone. The metaloph is slightly directed backwards to join the hypocone. The strong anteroloph is confluent with the anterior arm of the protocone, whereas the weakly developed posteroloph is fused with the metaloph and the posterior arm of hypocone lingually. The paraflexus and metaflexus are well developed, with the former distinctly longer than the latter. The hypoflexus is wide and extends halfway across the crown. The internal area of the hypoflexus is deeper than that of the mesoflexus, so it is more persistent than the paraflexus and metaflexus basins. There are three roots: a large lingual one and two small buccal ones.

The M3 is more rounded in outline of the occlusal surface and larger in size than the M1. It is in an early stage of wear. The protocone and hypocone are connected by a short and straight endoloph. The paracone and metacone are confluent with the strong protoloph and metaloph, respectively. The protoloph is transverse and joins the posterior margin of the protocone. The metaloph is somewhat curved and bends backwards to join the hypocone. The anteroloph is slightly stronger than the posteroloph. They are connected with the paracone and metacone at the base to enclose the paraflexus and metaflexus, respectively. The paraflexus and metaflexus are developed and subequal in length, but the former is slightly deeper than the latter. Both the hypoflexus and the mesoflexus are very deep, with the hypoflexus extending across two-thirds of the crown. The hypoflexus is relatively narrower, with its internal area deeper than that of the mesoflexus, which is in turn much deeper than the paraflexus and metaflexus.

Comparison and discussion

The occurrence of *Sayimys* in China has been known for seventy years since Bohlin (1946) described the ctenodactylid rodent from a Middle Miocene locality at Tiejiaogou (Taben-Buluk area), in Gansu Province (Wang et al., 2008). Later, remains of the genus found in Ningxia and Jiangsu were reported (Li et al., 1983; Qiu and Qiu, 1995, 2013), but still no detailed study is available.

The three upper cheek teeth from Sihong, Jiangsu correspond to the diagnosis of *Sayimys* emended by Baskin (1996) in their moderate size, mesodonty, lophodonty, relatively quadrate outline of the occlusal surface, distinctly unilaterally high crown, having the hypocone size subequal to the protocone and anteriorly directed hypoflexus, and the development of paraflexus and metaflexus in molars. They show some similarities to the corresponding teeth of *Prosayimys* and *Metasayimys* in morphology, but differ from the former in higher crown, more lophate occlusal structure, and higher degree of unilateral hypsodonty, and from the latter in distinctly concave occlusal surface, more developed paraflexus and metaflexus, and the absence of cement on the crown. *Sayimys* is a genus of ctenodactylid rodents ranging from the Early Miocene to the Pliocene of Pakistan, India, Turkey, Saudi Arabia, Libya, Kazakhstan, and China (Hinton, 1933; Wood, 1937; Bohlin, 1946; Black, 1972; Sen and Thomas, 1979; Munthe, 1980; De Bruijn et al., 1981, 1989; Wessels et al., 1982, 2003; Vasishat, 1985; Flynn and Jacobs, 1990; Baskin, 1996; De Bruijn, 1999; Kordikova and De Bruijn, 2001; López-Antoñanzas and Sen, 2003). Samples from most fossil localities are small, but several forms have been documented: *S. sivalensis* (Hinton, 1933), *S. perplexus* Wood, 1937, *S. obliquidens* Bohlin, 1946, *S. intermedius* (Sen and Thomas, 1979), *S. minor* De Bruijn et al., 1981, *S. badauni* Vasishat, 1985, *S. chinjiensis* Baskin, 1996, *S. baskini* López-Antoñanzas & Sen, 2003, *S. giganteus* López-Antoñanzas et al., 2004, and *S. assarrarensis* López-Antoñanzas et al., 2004. I follow some researchers (Munthe, 1980; López-Antoñanzas et al., 2003, 2004a, b) in considering *Sayimys minor* as a junior synonym of *S. intermedius*, and *S. perplexus* and *S. chinjiensis* as junior synonyms of *S. sivalensis*. Therefore, I recognize the following seven species: *S. sivalensis*, *S. obliquidens*, *S. intermedius*, *S. badauni*, *S. baskini*, *S. assarrarensis*, and *S. giganteus*. The specimens described above (from the Xiaocaowan Formation of Early Miocene age, Sihong, Jiangsu Province, China) demonstrate characters different from all known species of *Sayimys* and are considered to represent a new species of the genus.

In the new species *Sayimys sihongensis*, the M1 is close to that of *S. sivalensis* in size but falls at the low end of size variation (see López-Antoñanzas et al., 2004a). Morphologically, in the Sihong species, the paraflexus and metaflexus on DP4 are more quickly obliterated with wear than those in *S. sivalensis*. The paraflexus on M1 is longer than the metaflexus, whereas in *S. sivalensis* it is usually shorter. Were an *S. sivalensis* M1 to reach such a wear stage as the Sihong specimen, the paraflexus would be absent. In addition, the paraflexus and metaflexus on M3 are distinctly more developed than in *S. sivalensis*.

The new species is smaller than *S. obliquidens* and morphologically differs in having a deeper paraflexus and metaflexus on M1 that obliterate more slowly. The hypoflexus on M1 of the Sihong species is wider than that of *S. obliquidens*, and the paraflexus and metaflexus on M3 are narrower, but the mesoflexus is wider than in *S. obliquidens*. Additionally, the mesoflexus on the M3 is nearly transverse and less anteriorly oriented.

Sayimys sihongensis is smaller than *S. intermedius*. It has a more quickly obliterated paraflexus and metaflexus on DP4 with wear than the latter. In the new species, the anterior side of M1 is nearly as wide as the posterior side, while it is distinctly wider in *S. intermedius*. The hypocone on M1 is slightly larger than the protocone, whereas it is smaller in *S. intermedius*. The M1 shows the hypoflexus deeper (more persistent along the crown) than the mesoflexus, whereas in the upper molar of *S. intermedius*, the hypoflexus is nearly as deep as the mesoflexus. In addition, the metaloph on M1 is more transverse and straight in *S. sihongensis* than in *S. intermedius*. The mesoflexus on M3 is narrower and more extended lingually than in *S. intermedius*.

Remains of *Sayimys badauni* are known only from the Pliocene Tatrot Formation in India (Vasishat, 1985). All upper molars show a pattern of two lophs, with the anterior loph larger than the posterior one. In addition to morphological differences, *S. badauni* is characterized by its larger size than *S. sihongensis*. Obviously, the taxon from the Upper Siwaliks represents a relatively derived species of the genus.

Sayimys sihongensis has the hypocone on DP4 slightly external to the protocone, whereas in *S. baskini* it is slightly internal. Paraflexus and metaflexus on this tooth are more quickly obliterated with wear, while those in *S. baskini* are developed and deep (see López-Antoñanzas and Sen, 2003).

Sayimys sihongensis differs from *S. assarrarensis* in slightly smaller size but having higher-crowned cheek teeth and longer, more prominent paraflexus and metaflexus on the upper molars.

Comparison with *Sayimys giganteus* shows that *S. sihongensis* is distinctly smaller. Morphologically, the new taxon has buccally protruding paracone and metacone, more quickly obliterated paraflexus and metaflexus with wear, wider hypoflexus but narrower mesoflexus on DP4, more transverse and straight protoloph and metaloph, wider hypoflexus on M1, and narrower but more lingually extended paraflexus and metaflexus on M3.

The teeth described above are considered sufficiently different from all known species of the genus to warrant the erection of a new species, despite the limited material. The occurrence of ctenodactylids in eastern China improves our knowledge of their spatial distribution. The new species represents the eastern extension of *Sayimys* and contributes to a better understanding of paleobiogeographic relationships between northern Africa and the Far East.

Family Eomyidae Deperet & Douxhami, 1902

Subfamily Apeomyinae Fejfar et al., 1998 Genus *Apeomys* Fahlbusch, 1968

Type species

Apeomys tuerkheimae Fahlbusch, 1968.

Diagnosis

See the emended diagnosis by Fejfar and others (1998:126).

Apeomys asiaticus sp. nov. (Fig. 2 [Figure 2: see original paper])

Synonymy

Apeomys sp.: Qiu and Qiu, 2013, p. 147

Etymology

Asia, in Latin—geographic name, indicating the first record in Asia.

Holotype

Right P4 (IVPP V 23218, from Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province).

Type locality

Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province.

Geological age and horizon

Late Early Miocene, Shanwangian; Xiacaowan Formation.

Measurements

1.05 mm × 1.25 mm.

Diagnosis

Relatively large species of *Apeomys*. P4 with anteroloph fused with protoloph, syncline I lacking or obliterated in the early stage of wear, mesoloph absent, and syncline II+III closed lingually.

Description

The holotype is interpreted as a P4 because of the presence of an inner root and no sign of wear facet on its anterior side. It is rather high-crowned (for an eomyid) and somewhat unilaterally hypsodont, with the lingual side slightly higher than the buccal side. The occlusal outline is subquadrate, with the buccal side somewhat longer than the lingual side. The occlusal surface is slightly concave. The P4 is moderately worn. The crown consists of two lobes divided by a deep transverse valley (syncline II+III). The anterior lobe, actually a thick ridge representing a fused anteroloph and protoloph, is stronger than the posterior one. The posterior lobe is composed of the metaloph, the posteroloph, and an oval basin between them (syncline IV). The anterior lobe is almost as wide as the posterior lobe but longer. The two lobes are lingually connected by an enamel isthmus. Syncline I is either lost or obliterated. Syncline II+III is deep and lingually closed, but the presence of a small notch in the lingual border between the two lobes seems to suggest early lingual opening of the synclines II+III in the unworn stage. Syncline IV is laterally closed as an oval enamel lake. The enamel is thick. The tooth has three roots: one mesial-distally elongated lingual root and two buccal roots.

Comparison and discussion

The specimen described was collected by washing concentrate of a fossiliferous layer at Zhengji, a late Early Miocene locality at Sihong, Jiangsu Province,

in 1994. Along with the specimen are remains of *Diatomys*, *Sayimys*, *Heterotamias*, *Plesiosciurus*, *Democricetodon*, *Megacricetodon*, *Neocometes*, and others (Qiu, 2010, 2015; Qiu and Qiu, 2013; the present paper). The tooth was first recognized by B. Engesser and O. Fejfar as an indeterminate species of *Apeomys* when they visited the IVPP in 1996 (but not published). There seems to be no doubt that the P4 belongs to an *Apeomys*-like eomyid because of the relatively small size, the lophate transverse crests with narrow valleys, the slightly concave occlusal surface, the more or less unilaterally hypsodont crown, the lack of entoloph, the presence of two lobes separated by a deep valley, and the oval structure with an oval basin on the posterior lobe.

The genera *Apeomys* and *Megapeomys* are assigned to the subfamily Apeomyinae in Eomyidae (Fejfar et al., 1998). Apeomyines represent an aberrant group of eomyids with a short history (ranging from the Late Oligocene–Early Miocene) and rare record but a wide paleogeographic distribution in Eurasia and North America. In Europe, they are mainly recorded in karstic fillings with one or two species of each genus (*A. tuerkheimae* from MP30 and MN1, and *M. lavocati* and *M. lindsayi* from MN3–4). In Asia, one species, *M. repenningi*, is represented by only one m1 from the Early Miocene of Dota, Japan (Tomida, 2011) and the new species, *A. asiaticus*, from Sihong, China described here. Two genera and species from the Miocene of Nevada, North America—*M. bobwilsoni* of Hemingfordian, Early Miocene age (Morea and Korth, 2002), and *Apeomyoides savagei* of Middle Miocene Barstovian age (Smith et al., 2006)—can also be grouped in the subfamily, although they show some distinct differences from Eurasian species in size and morphology (i.e., larger size, higher-crowned cheek teeth, P4 and p4 being the biggest cheek teeth, presence of an incomplete or complete entoloph in M1/2, etc.).

The Eurasian *Megapeomys*, with relatively larger size and derived morphology, is considered a descendant of *Apeomys* (Engesser, 1999). Although no specimen is available for direct comparison, the P4 from Sihong cannot be referred to *Megapeomys* because of distinctly smaller size. It is comparable to other *Apeomys* in size and morphology (Fahlbusch, 1968). *Apeomys* in Europe includes *A. tuerkheimae* from MP30–MN1/2 faunas and *Apeomys* cf. *A. tuerkheimae* from MN3–4 faunas (Fejfar et al., 1998).

The differences between the Sihong P4 and that of *A. tuerkheimae* are: (1) the anteroloph and protoloph are incompletely fused in *A. tuerkheimae* but completely fused in the Sihong taxon; (2) there is a buccally opened syncline I in *A. tuerkheimae*, but the syncline is missing or disappears in early wear in the Sihong taxon; (3) a short mesoloph or its remnant is present in *A. tuerkheimae*, but it is absent in the Sihong taxon; (4) a lingual connection of the anterior lobe and posterior lobe is present in the Sihong taxon but absent in *A. tuerkheimae*. In comparison with the P4 of *Apeomys* cf. *A. tuerkheimae* (see Fahlbusch, 1968:fig. 8b [Figure 8: see original paper]), the Sihong P4 is similar to the European species in size and loss of mesoloph but differs in the anteroloph completely fused with the protoloph, the loss or disappearance of syncline I in the early stage of wear,

and the presence of a lingual connection between the two lobes. Therefore, in view of the morphologic differences and its occurrence in Asia, the P4 from Sihong is treated as a new species of the genus *Apeomys*, despite the scarcity of currently known material. The possibility that the specimen belongs to a new genus of the Apeomyinae cannot be precluded due to the lingually closed syncline II+III on the tooth, a feature not present in European *Apeomys*. Nevertheless, either improved knowledge of the new species or establishment of a new genus for the taxon should await discovery of more material.

The P4 from Sihong represents the first record of *Apeomys* from Asia. The origin and migration of the new species are not clear, but it may be an immigrant from Europe. *A. asiaticus* is possibly a relatively advanced *Apeomys*, apparently more derived than *A. tuerkheimae*, particularly in the loss of mesoloph on P4. The incorporation of anteroloph and protoloph, the absence of mesoloph, and the presence of lingual connection between the two lobes on P4 are here interpreted as advanced characters for the new species. In apeomyines, there seems to exist a general evolutionary trend to enlarge tooth size and lose syncline I and the mesoloph in P4.

Subfamily Eomyinae Winge, 1887 Genus *Yuneomys* gen. nov.

Type species

Yuneomys pusillus (Qiu, 2006) = *Leptodontomys pusillus* Qiu, 2006.

Etymology

Yun, the abbreviated form of Yunnan Province; *Eomys*, a genus of Eomyidae with bunodont cheek teeth; referring to the first occurrence of the new eomyid genus in Yunnan, China.

Diagnosis

Small-sized eomyids with bunodont cheek teeth. M1 and M2 with poorly developed lingual anteroloph, short mesoloph, complete entoloph, nearly transverse sinus, and transversely long syncline IIs and IVs surpassing midline of tooth; m1 and m2 with small mesoconid, narrow anterolophid and posterolophid, complete ectolophid, wide sinuid, broad synclinid Isd and IVsd, and usually anteriorly directed hypolophid joining hypoconid or anterior arm of hypoconid.

Differential diagnosis

The new genus *Yuneomys* is characterized by its small size and bunodont cheek teeth and is closely similar to the genus *Leptodontomys* Shotwell, 1956, and to *Eomyops* Engesser, 1979, in size and morphology. It is easily distinguished from all eomyids with lophodonty by the bunodont tooth pattern and differs from most bunodont forms in relatively small dimensions. Main differences of the new genus from those taxa with bunodont teeth are as follows.

Yuneomys differs from *Eomys* Schlosser, 1884, in having more lingual extension of the Is, IIs, and IVs in M1 and M2, less buccal extension of the IIIsd in m1 and m2, and its anteriorly directed hypolophid joining the hypoconid or the

anterior arm of hypoconid in p4-m2.

Yuneomys differs from North American *Leptodontomys* Shotwell, 1956, and European *Eomyops* Engesser, 1979, in having poorly developed lingual anteroloph in M1 and M2 and narrower anterolophid and posterolophid in m1 and m2, and in the hypolophid being anteriorly directed and buccally connected with the hypoconid or the anterior arm of hypoconid in p4-m2.

Yuneomys differs from *Pentabuneomys* Engesser, 1990, in smaller size, narrower lingual anteroloph in M1 and M2 and narrower anterolophid and posterolophid in m1 and m2, more lingual extension of Is and IVs in M1 and M2, and in the hypolophid being anteriorly directed and buccally connected with the hypoconid or the anterior arm of hypoconid in p4-m2.

Yuneomys differs from *Plesieomys* Qiu, 2006, in smaller size, having relatively distinct lingual anteroloph, lacking an enlarged end of mesoloph in M1 and M2, and having relatively complete hypolophid in p4-m2.

Yuneomys differs from *Heteroeomys* Qiu, 2006, in smaller size, having a weak lingual anteroloph and more lingual extension of Is and IVs in M1 and M2, and a relatively complete, anteriorly directed hypolophid in p4-m2.

Yuneomys differs from *Pseudadjidaumo* Lindsay, 1972, in having weaker development of lingual anteroloph in M1 and M2 and the transverse loph(id)s directed more perpendicular to the longitudinal axis in molars.

Yuneomys differs from *Ronquillomys* Jacobs, 1977, in smaller size, having deeper Is in M1 and M2, more distinct anterolophid and broader IVsd in m1 and m2, the entoloph situated more lingually in M1 and M2, and ectolophid more buccally in m1 and m2.

Yuneomys differs from *Kansasimys* Wood, 1936, in smaller size, having a developed anterolophid and an anteriorly directed hypolophid in m1 and m2.

Yuneomys pusillus (Qiu, 2006) (Fig. 3 [Figure 3: see original paper])

Synonymy

Leptodontomys sp. nov.: Qiu et al., 1985, p. 19 [partim]

Leptodontomys sp. nov. 1: Qiu, 1994, p. 51

Leptodontomys pusillus: Qiu, 2006, p. 315

Holotype

A right M1/2 (0.70 mm × 0.80 mm); IVPP V 14732.

Type locality

Shihuiba, Lufeng County, Yunnan Province.

Stratum typicum

Layer 6, Shihuiba Formation, early Baodean, Late Miocene.

Paratypes

Four isolated teeth: 2 P4 (0.70 mm × 0.75 mm, 0.70 mm × 0.80 mm), 1 p4

(0.65 mm × 0.60 mm), 1 m1/2 (0.75 mm × 0.70 mm). IVPP V 14733.3-6.

Referred specimens

Layer 5–1 M1/2 (0.65 mm × 0.75 mm), 1 m1/2 (0.70 mm × 0.70 mm). IVPP V 14733.1-2.

Diagnosis

Same as for the genus.

Description

The cheek teeth are bunodont with four nearly equally developed main cusps. The cusps are more striking than the crests.

The P4 is trapezoidal in occlusal outline, with a wider anterior portion than posterior portion and the buccal side longer than the lingual one. A remnant of anteroloph and a short mesoloph directed slightly anteriorly are seen in one of the two teeth. The entoloph is short and curved but distinct. The posteroloph is thin, joining the hypocone directly. The IIs and IVs are deep and wide.

The M1/2 is subquadrate in occlusal outline with perfectly rounded corners. A pronounced anteroloph is present, but its lingual part is poorly developed. The protoloph and metaloph are nearly perpendicular to the entoloph. The entoloph, situated lingual to the midline of the tooth, is strong and curved. The mesoloph is short but distinct and directed toward the paracone. The lingual syncline is relatively wide and nearly transverse. The IIs and IVs extend lingually past the midline of the tooth.

The p4 is longer than wide and distinctly narrower anteriorly than posteriorly due to the relatively weakly developed metaconid. An anterolophid is missing. There is a low connection between the protoconid and metaconid. A mesolophid is indistinct. The ectolophid is low but continuous. The posterolophid is weakly developed.

The m1/2 is subquadrate in occlusal outline. The anterolophid is distinct but short transversely, connected with the metalophid by a thick crest. The ectolophid, situated buccal to the midline of the tooth, is prominent, with the anterior portion slightly anterobuccally directed. The mesolophid is indistinct. The hypolophid is anteriorly directed and weakly joining the hypoconid or the anterior arm of hypoconid. The posterolophid is narrow and joins the hypoconid nearly at a right angle. The buccal syncline is very wide. The Isd and IVsd are broad, and the IIsd extends buccally past the midline of the tooth.

Comparison and discussion

The described specimens from the Late Miocene Shihuiba Formation of Lufeng, Yunnan, were first referred to the genus *Leptodontomys* (Qiu et al., 1985; Qiu, 1994, 2006). They show strong similarities to *Leptodontomys* and *Eomyops* in size and in having opposite arrangement of main cusps, short mesoloph, lingual anteroloph, and the IIs and IVs extending lingually past the midline of teeth on M1 and M2, and well-developed IVsd on m1 and m2. However, these teeth differ from those of all known species of *Leptodontomys* or *Eomyops* in having

distinctly weaker development of the lingual anteroloph on M1 and M2, narrower anterolophid and posterolophid, poorly developed mesolophid, and the anteriorly directed hypolophid connected with the hypoconid or the anterior arm of hypoconid on m1 and m2. These differences seem to be indicative of a different generic status for the Lufeng eomyid, which is proposed to define a new genus.

Distinct differentiation in distribution of mammals between North and South China is considered to have developed in the Miocene Epoch (Qiu and Li, 2005). Among fossil small mammals, for example, many representatives now living in the Oriental Region or mainly in tropical-subtropical zones, such as Tupaiidae, Echinomysinae, Pteropidae, Platacanthomyidae, Rhizomyidae, and Hystricidae, occur in the Late Miocene Yuanmou and Lufeng faunas in southern China, whereas those restricted to or mainly distributed over the Holarctic or Palaearctic Region, such as Aplodontidae, Gliridae, Zapodidae, Dipodidae, and Ochotonidae, are found in the coeval Amuwusu, Shala, Baogeda Ula, and Ertemte faunas in northern China.

It is noteworthy that the new genus *Yuneomys* coexists with *Plesieomys* and *Heteroeomys* in the Lufeng Fauna, and none of these eomyid genera is found in the north. *Ligerimys*, *Asianeomys*, *Keramidomys*, *Leptodontomys*, and *Pentabuneomys*, in contrast, are known from Miocene faunas in the north. In addition, all three forms of eomyids found in Yunnan show bunodont cheek teeth, while lophodont species are rather common in the north. The pattern of occurrence in faunal assemblages and the contrasting morphological features of the taxa seem to indicate differences in paleoecology between North and South China during the Late Miocene. The association of bunodont eomyids in Yunnan most probably indicates wet forest habitats, whereas the occurrence of lophodont eomyids in northern faunas would correspond to drier steppe environments. Miocene differentiation of eomyid faunas in the northern and southern parts of China may reflect ecological partitioning within southeastern Asia. Such an occurrence of eomyids in Yunnan seems to provide further evidence to support the conclusion that the Oriental Region already existed in the Miocene as suggested by Qiu and Li in 2005.

Family Platacanthomyidae Alston, 1876

Genus *Neocometes* Schaub & Zapfe, 1953 *Neocometes* sp. (Fig. 4 [Figure 4: see original paper])

Synonymy

Neocometes sp.: Qiu and Qiu, 1995, p. 61

Neocometes sp.: Qiu and Qiu, 2013, p. 147

Material

One m3 (IVPP V 23219, from Zhengji, Sihong, Jiangsu Province).

Geological age and horizon

Late Early Miocene; Shanwangian, Xiacaowan Formation.

Measurements

1.85 mm × 1.45 mm.

Description

The specimen is determined as an m3 because of its distinct posterior constriction due to reduction of the entoconid and posterolophid. In addition, no sign of a wear facet can be seen on the posterior wall of the tooth. The m3, in early stage of wear, is moderately high-crowned and subrectangular, with nearly flattened grinding surface, straight anterior margin, rounded posterior margin, and nearly parallel lateral margins. The tooth consists of six diagonal/transverse ridges separated by five narrow synclines. The anterolophid is complete and straight, extending from the paraconid to the anterointernal corner of the tooth. The anterior extra ridge is quite developed, directing anterobuccal-posterolingually, connecting with the anterolophid buccally, and being free lingually. The paralophid is thick and straight and slightly constricted at the contact with the paraconid buccally and the entolophid lingually. The mesolophid is roughly parallel to the paralophid, with the buccal portion thicker than the lingual one, and angled posterolingually at about 80° to the longitudinal axis. The hypolophid is directed slightly backwards. It is shorter than the mesolophid and has a free lingual end, as does the mesolophid. The posterolophid is short but distinct, originating from the hypolophid, buccal to the midline of the tooth, and extending to the base of the entoconid lingually. A weak entolophid is developed at this stage of wear, but a continuous entolophid would appear with advanced wear of the tooth. Syncline Ia is small, narrow, and located anterolingually on the tooth and joins syncline I lingually. Syncline I is long and laterally closed. It is more marked than syncline Ia. Syncline II, the longest syncline of the tooth, is parallel to syncline I. It is lingually closed and buccally opened, deeply extending to the base of the crown at the external area. Syncline III is similar to but shorter and narrower than syncline II. Syncline IV is small but larger than syncline Ia. It is situated at the posterolingual part of the tooth as an elongated and curved basin.

Comparison and discussion

Remains of the genus *Neocometes* Schaub & Zapfe, 1953, were first discovered in Europe. The occurrence of the only known fossil genus of platacanthomyids in Europe ranged from middle Orleanian to the end of Astaracian, spanning about 7 Ma (from late Early Miocene to late Middle Miocene). Record of *Neocometes* in Asia is rather poor and was not recognized until the end of the last century by several isolated teeth from Thailand (Mein et al., 1990). The described specimen, an m3 from the late Early Miocene Xiacaowan Formation in Sihong, Jiangsu Province, represents so far the only known remains of *Neocometes* in China. The specimen was reported by Qiu and Qiu (1995) but has not been described in detail. Recently, an m1 representing the third record in Asia was described (as *Neocometes* aff. *N. similis*) from the Bukpyeong Formation (Early

Miocene/Middle Miocene) in South Korea (Lee and Jacobs, 2010).

The m3 exhibits characters highly diagnostic for *Neocometes* by its lophodonty with six diagonal/transverse ridges separated by five synclines, the less inclined ridges/synclines, and the buccal closing of syncline IV. By these characters, it can be distinguished from the m3 of *Typhlomys* and *Platacanthomys* in the family Platacanthomyidae.

Although *Neocometes* is never very frequent in the fossil record, it has a wide geographical distribution during the Miocene. Three species of the genus have been defined in Eurasia: *N. orientalis* from Thailand, and *N. similis* and *N. brunonis* from Europe (Schaub and Zapfe, 1953; Fahlbusch, 1966; Fejfar, 1974, 1999; Mein et al., 1990). *N. orientalis* is considered the oldest and most primitive species of the genus. The described specimen cannot be directly compared with *N. orientalis* and *Neocometes* aff. *N. similis* because of lack of m3 in the Thailand and South Korea material. Nevertheless, judging from size and morphology of the m3, the Chinese form would be larger and differ from *N. orientalis* in having stronger ridges and narrower synclines. It is also larger than *N. similis*.

In addition, in European species the m3 usually displays more reduction, with the posterior portion being more contracted and syncline Ia and IV smaller or absent. The m3 is close to that of *N. brunonis* in size but differs in showing a tendency to close all lingual synclines and in being less reduced (with complete ridges and distinctly marked synclines Ia and IV). More material may testify that the Chinese taxon represents a new species of *Neocometes*.

3 Concluding Remarks

Sayimys, *Apeomys*, *Yuneomys*, and *Neocometes* are poorly known rodents in Chinese Neogene assemblages of small mammals. Among the described materials, the P4 of *Apeomys* and the m3 of *Neocometes* from the Early Miocene Xiaoaowan Formation of Sihong, Jiangsu Province, represent the first records of the genera in Asia or in China, respectively.

Detailed descriptions of the materials show that the specimens of *Sayimys* and *Apeomys* cannot be referred to any known species of the genera and are therefore assigned to two new species, *S. sihongensis* and *A. asiaticus*. The Sihong specimens represent the easternmost occurrence of *Sayimys* and *Apeomys* in the Old World.

Yuneomys is a new genus defined on the basis of material previously referred to *Leptodontomys pusillus* from the Late Miocene Shihuiba Formation of Lufeng, Yunnan Province. The bunodont cheek teeth and the association of hominoids and abundant tropical or subtropical elements, including hylomyines and platacanthomyines in the Shihuiba fauna, imply that *Yuneomys* were tropical or subtropical dwellers. The joint occurrence of *Yuneomys* with bunodont eomyids *Plesieomys* and *Heteroeomys* and the absence of lophodont eomyids in the Lufeng Fauna seem to indicate differences in paleoecology between North and South

China during the Late Miocene. Miocene differentiation of eomyid faunas in the northern and southern parts of China may reflect ecological partitioning within southeastern Asia.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express gratitude to Dr. X. M. Wang from the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, USA, and Dr. L. J. Flynn from Harvard University, USA, for commenting on the manuscript and English content. I am especially grateful to Prof. C. K. Li for his encouragement to complete this paper and for reviewing an earlier version of the manuscript. Many thanks are also due to Miss H. W. Si and Mr. W. D. Zhang from IVPP for the photographs.

References

- Baskin J A, 1996. Systematic revision of Ctenodactylidae (Mammalia, Rodentia) from the Miocene of Pakistan. *Palaeovertebrata*, 25: 1-49.
- Black C C, 1972. Review of fossil rodents from the Neogene Siwalik Beds of India and Pakistan. *Palaeontology*, 15: 238-259.
- Bohlin B, 1946. The fossil mammals from the Tertiary deposit of Taben-buluk, western Kansu. Part 2: Simplicidentata, Carnivora, Artiodactyla and Primates. *Palaeont Sin*, New Ser C, 8B: 1-259.
- Cai B Q, Zheng S H, Liddicoat J C et al., 2013. Review of the litho-, bio-, and chronostratigraphy in the Nihewan Basin, Hebei, China. In: Wang X M, Flynn L J, Fortelius M eds. *Fossil Mammals of Asia: Neogene Biostratigraphy and Chronology*. New York: Columbia University Press. 218-242.
- De Bruijn H, 1999. Superfamily Ctenodactyloidea. In: Rössner G E, Heissig K eds. *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil Press. 263-266.
- De Bruijn H, Hussain S T, Leinders J M, 1981. Fossil rodents from the Murree Formation near Banda Daud Shah, Kohat, Pakistan. *Proc K Ned Akad Wet*, Ser B, 84: 71-99.
- De Bruijn H, Boon E, Hussain S T, 1989. Evolutionary trends in *Sayimys* (Ctenodactylidae, Rodentia) from the Lower Manchar Formation (Sind, Pakistan). *Proc K Ned Akad Wet*, Ser B, 92: 191-214.
- Engesser B, 1979. Relationships of some insectivores and rodents from the Miocene of North America and Europe. *Bull Carnegie Mus Nat Hist*, 14: 1-68.
- Engesser B, 1990. Die Eomyidae (Rodentia, Mammalia) der Molasse der Schweiz und Savoyens. *Schweiz Paläont Abh*, 112: 1-136.
- Engesser B, 1999. Family Eomyidae. In: Rössner G E, Heissig K eds. *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil Press. 319-335.

- Fahlbusch V, 1966. Cricetidae (Rodentia, Mamm.) aus der mittel-miozänen Spalterfüllung Erkertshofen bei Eichstätt. *Mitt Bayer Staatssamml Paläont Hist Geol*, 6: 109-131.
- Fahlbusch V, 1968. Neue Eomyidae (Rodentia, Mamm.) aus einer aquitanen Spaltenfüllung von Weissenburg in Bayern. *Mitt Bayer Staatssamml Paläont Hist Geol*, 8: 219-245.
- Fejfar O, 1974. Die Eomyiden und Cricetiden (Rodentia, Mammalia) des Miozäns der Tschechoslowakei. *Palaeontogr*, Abt A, 146: 100-108.
- Fejfar O, 1999. Subfamily Platacanthomyinae. In: Rössner G E, Heissig K eds. *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil Press. 389-394.
- Fejfar O, Rummel M, Tomida Y, 1998. New eomyid genus and species from the Early Miocene (MN Zones 3-4) of Europe and Japan related to *Apeomys* (Eomyidae, Rodentia, Mammalia). In: Tomida Y, Flynn L J, Jacobs L L eds. *Advances in Vertebrate Paleontology and Geochronology*. Nat Sci Mus Monogr, Tokyo, 14: 123-143.
- Flynn L J, 1997. Late Neogene mammalian events in North China. *Actes Congr BioChron' 97*, Mem Trav EPHE Inst Montpellier, 21: 1183-1192.
- Flynn L J, Jacobs L L, 1990. Preliminary analysis of Miocene small mammals from Pasalar, Turkey. *J Hum Evol*, 19: 423-428.
- Hinton M A, 1933. Diagnoses of new genera and species of rodents from Indian Tertiary deposits. *Ann Mag Nat Hist*, Ser 10, 12: 620-662.
- Jacobs L L, 1977. Rodents of the Hemphillian age Redington local fauna, San Pedro Valley, Arizona. *J Paleont*, 51(3): 505-519.
- Kordikova E G, De Bruijn H, 2001. Early Miocene rodents from the Aktau Mountains (South-Eastern Kazakhstan). *Senckenbergiana Lethaea*, 81: 391-405.
- Lee Y N, Jacobs L L, 2010. The platacanthomyine rodent *Neocometes* from the Miocene of South Korea and its paleobiogeographical implications. *Acta Palaeont Pol*, 55(4): 581-586.
- Li C K, Qiu Z D, 1980. Early Miocene mammalian fossils of Xining Basin, Qinghai. *Vert PalAsiat*, 18(3): 198-214.
- Li C K, Lin Y P, Gu Y M et al., 1983. The Aragonian vertebrate fauna of Xiacaowan, Jiangsu. *Vert PalAsiat*, 21(4): 313-327.
- Lindsay E H, 1972. Small mammal fossils from the Barstow Formation, California. *Univ Calif Publ Geol Sci*, 93: 1-104.
- López-Antoñanzas R, Sen S, 2003. Systematic revision of Miocene Ctenodactylidae (Mammalia, Rodentia) from the Indian subcontinent. *Eclogae Geol Helv*, 96: 521-529.

- López-Antoñanzas R, Sen S, Saraç G, 2004a. A new large ctenodactylid species from the Lower Miocene of Turkey. *J Vert Paleont*, 24(3): 676-688.
- López-Antoñanzas R, Sen S, Saraç G, 2004b. Ctenodactylids from the Lower and Middle Miocene of Saudi Arabia. *Paleontology*, 47(6): 1477-1494.
- Mein P, Ginsburg L, Ratanasthien B, 1990. Nouveaux rongeurs du Miocène de Li (Thaïlande). *C R Acad Sci Paris, Sér II*, 310: 861-865.
- Meng J, Ye J, Wu W Y et al., 2006. A recommended boundary stratotype section for Xiejian Stage from northern Junggar Basin: implications to related bio-chronostratigraphy and environmental changes. *Vert PalAsiat*, 44(3): 205-236.
- Morea M F, Korth W W, 2002. A new eomyid rodent (Mammalia) from the Hemingfordian (Early Miocene) of Nevada and its relationship to Eurasian Apeomyinae (Eomyidae). *Paludicola*, 4(1): 10-14.
- Munthe J, 1980. Rodents of the Miocene Daud Khel Local Fauna, Mianwali District, Pakistan. Part 1. Sciuridae, Gliridae, Ctenodactylidae, and Rhyzomyidae. *Milwaukee Public Museum. Contrib Biol Geol*, 34: 1-36.
- Qi G Q, 1985. Stratigraphic summarization of *Ramapithecus* fossil locality, Lufeng, Yunnan. *Acta Anthrop Sin*, 4(1): 55-66.
- Qiu Z D, 1994. Eomyidae in China. In: Tomida Y, Li C K, Setoguchi T eds. *Rodent and Lagomorph Families of Asian Origins and Diversification*. Nat Sci Mus Monogr, Tokyo, 8: 49-55.
- Qiu Z D, 2006. Eomyids (Mammalia: Rodentia) from the Late Miocene Lufeng and Yuanmou hominoid localities, Yunnan. *Vert PalAsiat*, 44(4): 307-319.
- Qiu Z D, 2010. Cricetid rodents from the Early Miocene Xiacaowan Formation, Sihong, Jiangsu. *Vert PalAsiat*, 48(1): 1-12.
- Qiu Z D, 2015. Revision and supplementary note on Miocene sciurid fauna of Sihong, China. *Vert PalAsiat*, 53(3): 219-238.
- Qiu Z D, Li C K, 2005. Evolution of Chinese mammalian faunal regions and elevation of the Qinghai-Xizang (Tibet) Plateau. *Sci China Ser D*, 48(8): 1246-1258.
- Qiu Z D, Li Q, 2008. Late Miocene micromammals from the Qaidam Basin in the Qinghai-Xizang Plateau. *Vert PalAsiat*, 46(4): 284-306.
- Qiu Z D, Li Q, 2016. Neogene rodents from central Nei Mongol, China. *Paleont Sin*, Ser C, 30: 1-684.
- Qiu Z D, Qiu Z X, 2013. Early Miocene Xiejiahe and Sihong fossil localities and their faunas, eastern China. In: Wang X M, Flynn L J, Fortelius M eds. *Fossil Mammals of Asia: Neogene Biostratigraphy and Chronology*. New York: Columbia University Press. 142-154.

- Qiu Z D, Han D F, Qi G Q et al., 1985. A preliminary report on a micromammalian assemblage from the hominoid locality of Lufeng, Yunnan. *Acta Anthropol Sin*, 4(1): 13-32.
- Qiu Z X, Qiu Z D, 1995. Chronological sequence and subdivision of Chinese Neogene mammalian faunas. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol*, 116: 41-70.
- Qiu Z X, Wang B Y, Qiu Z D et al., 1997. Recent advances in study of the Xianshuihe Formation in Lanzhou Basin. In: Tong Y S, Zhang Y Y, Wu W Y et al. eds. *Evidence for Evolution - Essays in Honor of Prof. Chungchien Young on the Hundredth Anniversary of His Birth*. Beijing: China Ocean Press. 177-192.
- Schaub S, Zapfe H, 1953. Die fauna der moisanen Spaltenfüllung von Neudorf an der March (CSR). Simplicidentata. *Ber Österr Akad Wiss Math Naturwiss KL*, Abt 1, 162(3): 181-251.
- Sen S, Thomas H, 1979. Découvert de Rongeurs dans le Miocène moyen de la Formation Hofuf (Province du Hasa, Arabie Saoudite). *C R Somm Soc Géol Fr*, 21: 34-37.
- Shotwell J A, 1956. Hemphillian mammalian assemblages from north-eastern Oregon. *Bull Geol Soc Am*, 67: 717-738.
- Smith K S, Cifelli R L, Czaplewski N J, 2006. A new genus of eomyid rodent from the Miocene of Nevada. *Acta Palaeont Pol*, 51(2): 385-392.
- Tomida Y, 2011. A new species of the genus *Megapeomys* (Mammalia, Rodentia, Eomyidae) from the Early Miocene of Japan. *Paleont Electron*, 14(3): 25A, 1-6.
- Vasishat R N, 1985. *Antecedents of Early Man in Northwestern India: Paleontological and Paleoecological Evidences*. New Delhi: Inter-India Publications. 1-230.
- Wang X M, Wang B Y, Qiu Z X, 2008. Explorations of Taben Buluk region (western Gansu Province) by Birger Bohlin—reconciling classic vertebrate fossil localities with modern stratigraphy. *Vert PalAsiat*, 46(1): 1-19.
- Wessels W, De Bruijn H, Hussain S T et al., 1982. Fossil rodents from the Chinji Formation, Banda Daud Shah, Kohat, Pakistan. *Proc K Ned Akad Wet*, Ser B, 85: 337-364.
- Wessels W, Fejfar O, Pelaez-Camomanes P et al., 2003. Miocene small mammals from Jebel Zelten, Lybia. *Coloq Paleont*, Vol Extra, 1: 699-751.
- Wood A E, 1936. A new rodent from the Pliocene of Kansas. *J Paleont*, 10(5): 392-394.
- Wood A E, 1937. The evolution of the rodent family Ctenodactylidae. *Am J Sci*, 34: 64-76.

Wu W Y, Meng J, Ye J et al., 2009. The Miocene mammals from Dingshanyan Formation of North Junggar Basin, Xinjiang. *Vert Palasiat*, 47(3): 208-233.

Young C C, 1955. On a new *Trogotherium* from Hsiatsaohwan, Shihhungshien and with notes on the mammalian remains from Chi-Tsu, Wuhohsien, Anhwei. *Acta Palaeontol Sin*, 3(1): 55-66.

Zhang Z Q, Zheng S H, 2000. Late Miocene-Early Pliocene biostratigraphy of Loc. 93002 section, Lingtai, Gansu. *Vert Palasiat*, 38(4): 282-294.

Zheng S H, Zhang Z Q, 2001. Late Miocene-Early Pleistocene biostratigraphy of the Leijiahe area, Lingtai, Gansu. *Vert Palasiat*, 39(3): 215-228.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv –Machine translation. Verify with original.