

Search for s channel single top quark production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV (Postprint)

Authors: Khachatryan, Vardan and others

Date: 2016-08-22T00:00:00+00:00

Abstract

A search is presented for single top quark production in the s channel in proton-proton collisions with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in decay modes of the top quark containing a muon or an electron in the final state. The signal is extracted through a maximum-likelihood fit to the distribution of a multivariate discriminant defined using boosted decision trees to separate the expected signal contribution from background processes. Data collected at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV yield cross sections of 7.1 ± 8.1 pb and 13.4 ± 7.3 pb, respectively, and a best fit value of 2.0 ± 0.9 for the combined ratio of the measured and expected values. The signal significance is 2.5 standard deviations, and the upper limit on the rate relative to the standard model expectation is 4.7 at 95% confidence level.

Full Text

Search for s -channel Single Top Quark Production in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV

The CMS Collaboration

Abstract

A search is presented for single top quark production in the s channel in proton-proton collisions with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in decay modes of the top quark containing a muon or an electron in the final state. The signal is extracted through a maximum-likelihood fit to the distribution of a multivariate discriminant defined using boosted decision trees to separate the expected signal contribution from background processes. Data collected at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV yield cross sections of 7.1 ± 8.1 pb and 13.4 ± 7.3 pb, respectively, and a best fit value of 2.0 ± 0.9 for the combined ratio of the measured and expected values. The signal significance is 2.5 standard deviations,

and the upper limit on the rate relative to the standard model expectation is 4.7 at 95% confidence level.

Submitted to the Journal of High Energy Physics

Copyright 2016 CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. CC-BY-3.0 license

1 Introduction

Top quarks at the CERN LHC are produced mainly in pairs through the strong interaction, but can also be produced individually via a charged-current electroweak interaction. The study of single top quark production thereby provides probes of the electroweak sector of the standard model (SM), which predicts three production channels: the s channel, the t channel, and the W -associated or tW production channel [Figure 1: see original paper].

The first observations of single top quark production were announced by the D0 and CDF collaborations at the Fermilab Tevatron in 2009 [?, ?]. Evidence for s channel production was announced by the D0 collaboration in 2013 [?], while the process was definitively observed when combining the searches from both the D0 and the CDF collaborations [?]. Evidence for s channel production was confirmed by the ATLAS Collaboration at the LHC [?], where the search is challenging because the process is suppressed in proton-proton (pp) collisions.

For pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, the SM predicted s channel cross sections are $\sigma_s(7 \text{ TeV}) = 4.56 \pm 0.07$ (scale) ± 0.17 (PDF) pb, and $\sigma_s(8 \text{ TeV}) = 5.55 \pm 0.08$ (scale) ± 0.21 (PDF) pb, as calculated in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) at approximate next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO), including resummation of soft-gluon emission within next-to-next-to-leading logarithms (NNLL) [?]. The first uncertainty corresponds to a doubling and halving of the renormalization and factorization scales. The second uncertainty is from the choice of parton distribution functions (PDFs) at the 90% confidence level (CL).

All three single top quark production channels are directly related to the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix element V_{tb} , providing a direct measurement of this SM parameter. The s channel production process is of special interest since a possible deviation from the SM prediction of its cross section may indicate the presence of mechanisms beyond the standard model (BSM), as predicted by models that involve the exchange of a non-SM mediator, such as a W' boson or a charged Higgs boson [?]. A review of deviations from SM predictions for s and t channel modes in BSM scenarios can be found in Ref. [?].

This paper presents a search performed at the CMS experiment for single top quark production in the s channel considering the leptonic decay channels of the W boson produced in top quark decay. Only the decays of the W boson into a muon or an electron ($\ell = \mu, e$) and a corresponding neutrino are considered. Decays of the W boson into a tau lepton and a neutrino, where the tau

lepton subsequently decays into a muon or an electron, are regarded as part of the signal. Events are selected considering the kinematic properties of physical objects reconstructed in the final state. Three statistically independent analysis categories are therefore defined, according to the number and flavour of the reconstructed jets. Dedicated strategies are used in data to estimate and reject multijet backgrounds. The procedure for signal extraction consists of a simultaneous fit to the distributions of multivariate discriminants trained separately in each analysis category on a set of kinematic variables that show separation between signal and background.

This measurement is performed using LHC pp collision data collected by the CMS detector corresponding to the integrated luminosities of 5.1 and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV, respectively. While at 7 TeV only the muon channel is considered, at 8 TeV both the muon and electron channels are included.

2 The CMS Detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter providing an axial magnetic field of 3.8 T. The inner region accommodates the silicon pixel and strip tracker which records charged particle trajectories with high granularity and precision up to pseudorapidity $|\eta| = 2.5$. An electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) made of lead tungstate crystals and a brass and scintillator sampling hadron calorimeter, both arranged in a barrel assembly and two endcaps, surround the tracking volume and extend up to the region $|\eta| < 3.0$. Coverage up to $|\eta| = 5.0$ is provided by a quartz-fibre and steel absorber Cherenkov calorimeter. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [?].

3 Simulated Samples

The nominal s channel single top quark events in this study are generated using the next-to-leading order (NLO) POWHEG 1.0 [?] event generator. The CTEQ6.6M program [?] is used to model the proton PDF. The top quark mass is set to 172.5 GeV, and tau lepton decays are modelled with TAUOLA [?]. For the 7 TeV analysis, a large sample of signal events generated using the leading-order (LO) matrix-element COMPHEP 4.4 [?] generator is employed for the training of the multivariate discriminant. The generators are interfaced to LO PYTHIA 6.4 (Z2 tune) [?] for showering and hadronization. Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events with a single top quark are normalized to the NNLL cross section of 3.14 pb at 7 TeV and 3.79 pb at 8 TeV [?]. MC simulated events with an antitop quark are normalized to the NNLL cross section of 1.42 pb at 7 TeV and 1.76 pb at 8 TeV. The other single top quark processes, t channel,

and tW production, are considered as backgrounds for this measurement and are simulated using the POWHEG generator.

The main background in this analysis is top quark pair production ($t\bar{t}$) in final states with one or two charged leptons. Single vector bosons in association with jets, W +jets, and Z +jets, are also included in the background. Both $t\bar{t}$ and single vector boson events are generated using LO matrix element MADGRAPH 5.1 [?] interfaced to PYTHIA. The background from diboson (WW , ZZ , and WZ) events is small and is generated with PYTHIA. Multijet background events from QCD processes (also referred as QCD background in the following) are extracted directly from data or from a simulated sample generated with PYTHIA (see Section 6). The cross sections for the background processes in the analysis are summarized in Table 1 .

The cross sections are reported at NNLL accuracy for single top quark [?] and $t\bar{t}$ production [?], at NNLO accuracy for Z/γ^* +jets and $W + n$ jets (with $n = 1, 2, 3$, and 4) events [?], and at the LO level for the remaining contributions. When stated, the cross section includes the branching ratio of the leptonic decay, including electrons, muons, and tau leptons. The multijet sample is defined by the presence of at least one generator-level muon with $p_T > 15$ GeV, and requiring the transverse momentum generated in the hard scattering parton process to be greater than 20 GeV.

For all generated processes, the detector response is simulated using a detailed description of the CMS detector, based on GEANT4 [?]. A reweighting procedure is applied to simulated events to reproduce the distribution of the number of multiple pp interactions per bunch crossing (pileup events) observed in data.

4 Selection and Reconstruction

The final-state topology in the s channel is characterized by the presence of one isolated muon or electron and two b quarks, one from the top quark decay and one recoiling against the top quark.

Events with at least one muon were selected by the online trigger [?], requiring $p_T > 17$ GeV at 7 TeV, $p_T > 24$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$ at 8 TeV, and lepton isolation criteria. Similarly, for electrons at 8 TeV, the corresponding values are $p_T > 27$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$.

Because of the increasing instantaneous luminosity of the LHC, in the second part of the 7 TeV data, events were selected if they had, in addition to the muon requirement, at least one jet in the central region of the detector with $p_T > 30$ GeV, satisfying an online b tagging criterion. Simulated trigger efficiencies are corrected to match those measured in data.

At least one primary vertex is required to be reconstructed from at least four tracks and to satisfy $|z_{PV}| < 24$ cm and $\rho_{PV} < 2$ cm, where $|z_{PV}|$ and ρ_{PV} are the respective longitudinal and transverse distances of the primary vertex relative to the center of the detector. When more than one interaction vertex

is found, the one with largest sum in p_T^2 of associated tracks is defined as the primary vertex.

The particle candidates are required to originate from the primary vertex, and are reconstructed using the CMS particle-flow (PF) algorithm [?]. Reconstructed muons with $p_T > 20$ GeV at 7 TeV and $p_T > 26$ GeV at 8 TeV within the trigger acceptance ($|\eta| < 2.1$) are selected for analysis. At 8 TeV, reconstructed electrons [?] with $p_T > 30$ GeV within $|\eta| < 2.5$ are selected, excluding the transition region between ECAL barrel and endcaps ($1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$) where the reconstruction of electrons is not optimal.

Lepton isolation is applied using the I_{rel} variable, defined as the ratio between the sum of the transverse energies (E_T) of stable charged hadrons, photons, and neutral hadrons in a cone of size $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$ around the lepton direction (where ϕ is the azimuth in radians), and the p_T of the lepton. At 7 TeV, the muon isolation requirement is $I_{rel} < 0.15$ with $\Delta R = 0.3$. At 8 TeV, I_{rel} is corrected by subtracting the average contribution from neutral particles in pileup events. It is required $I_{rel} < 0.12$ with $\Delta R = 0.4$ for muon isolation, and $I_{rel} < 0.1$ with $\Delta R = 0.3$ for electron isolation.

The presence of a single muon or electron satisfying the criteria described above is required to reduce the contribution from dilepton events, which can arise from $t\bar{t}$ or from $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- + \text{jets}$ Drell-Yan (DY) processes. Events containing additional muons or electrons, with looser requirements for muons of $p_T > 10$ GeV within the full acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.5$, and $I_{rel} < 0.2$, and for electrons with $p_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$, and $I_{rel} < 0.15$ are rejected.

Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T algorithm [?] with a distance parameter of 0.5, using as input the particles identified through the PF algorithm. To reduce contamination from pileup events, charged particle candidates not associated with the main primary vertex are excluded from the jet reconstruction. The energies of jets are corrected by the estimated amount of energy deposited in the jet area [?] from pileup hadrons. Scale factors depending on the E_T and η of the jets [?] are further applied and reflect the detector response. The analysis considers jets within $|\eta| < 4.5$ and $p_T > 40$ GeV. We identify jets stemming from b quarks through b tagging algorithms [?]. The threshold on the discriminant value is set to provide a misidentification probability (mistag) for light-parton jets of about 0.1%. The corresponding b tagging efficiency ranges from 40 to 60%, depending on jet p_T and η and on the specific algorithm. Simulated b tagging efficiencies are corrected to match those measured in data [?, ?].

The imbalance in transverse momentum (vector \vec{p}_T^{miss}) is defined as the projection on the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative of the vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in an event. Its magnitude is referred to as E_T^{miss} . It is assumed that the x and y components of the missing momentum, $(p_T^{miss})_x$ and $(p_T^{miss})_y$, are entirely due to the escaping neutrino. The longitudinal component $p_{z,\nu}$ of the neutrino momentum is estimated from a quadratic equation obtained by imposing that the invariant mass of the

lepton-neutrino system must be equal to the invariant mass of the W boson. In case of two real solutions, the smallest $p_{z,\nu}$ is chosen, while when two complex solutions are found the imaginary part is eliminated by recalculating $(p_T^{miss})_x$ and $(p_T^{miss})_y$ independently, to provide a W boson with a transverse mass of 80.4 GeV. The W boson transverse mass is defined as:

$$m_T = \sqrt{(p_{T,\ell} + p_{T,\nu})^2 - (p_{x,\ell} + p_{x,\nu})^2 - (p_{y,\ell} + p_{y,\nu})^2}$$

where $p_{T,\ell}$ and $p_{T,\nu}$ are the lepton and neutrino transverse momenta and $p_{x,\ell}, p_{y,\ell}, p_{x,\nu}$ and $p_{y,\nu}$ are the components of the lepton and neutrino transverse momenta along the x and y axes.

Finally, four-momenta of top quark candidates are reconstructed from the lepton, the \vec{p}_T^{miss} , and the jet originating from the b quark produced in top quark decay.

The selected events are classified into statistically independent “ N -jets M -tags” analysis categories, where N refers to the number of reconstructed jets above 40 GeV and M to the number of selected jets passing the b tagging requirement. Three event categories are used for this analysis: the 2-jets 2-tags category is s channel enriched, and employed in signal extraction, the 2-jets 1-tag category is useful to constrain the t channel and W +jets backgrounds, while the 3-jets 2-tags category is useful to constrain the dominant $t\bar{t}$ background. Strategies have been developed to reject multijet background and to estimate its contribution, as described in Section 6.

An additional selection is applied in the 8 TeV signal 2-jets 2-tags category that exploits the property of s channel events to have a lower number of additional jets with $20 < p_T < 40$ GeV (loose jets) than $t\bar{t}$ events. Only events with no more than 1 loose jet are selected. The selection acceptance is 60% for $t\bar{t}$ and 90% for s channel events.

Because of the presence of two b -tagged jets in the final state, the 2-jets 2-tags and the 3-jets 2-tags categories are reconstructed with a top quark candidate for each of the two b jets. The candidate with invariant mass closest to the nominal top quark mass of 172.5 GeV is then selected for further study in the analysis. Using this method, the efficiency of association of the correct b jet to the top quark is measured to be 74% in s channel events and 70% in $t\bar{t}$ events. The dependence of the correct b jet association on top quark mass is evaluated in s channel events by changing the top quark mass by the conservative estimation of its uncertainty of ± 1.5 GeV, which yields changes in efficiency of less than 1%.

5 Implementation of the Multivariate Analysis

Since the SM prediction for the signal yield is much smaller than the background processes, it is important to enhance the separation between signal and background events to measure the s channel with highest possible significance. A

multivariate analysis was therefore developed, in which boosted decision tree (BDT) discriminants [?] are defined for each event category, based on a set of input discriminants. In this section the BDTs for signal extraction are described, while in the next section the BDTs for the multijet background rejection will be presented.

The BDT training is performed separately for the muon channels at 7 and 8 TeV and for the electron channel at 8 TeV, taking into account the different selections and the different level of background, in particular for the multijet background. The samples employed for training and evaluation of performance are taken from simulation, with the exception of the multijet background, which is taken from a data control sample, as described in Section 6.

Several discriminants are investigated for possible input to the BDTs, in particular kinematic and angular variables exploiting the properties of s channel events [?]. For each channel, the set of input variables are defined according to the following criteria. A variable must be well modelled in simulation, and must significantly increase the discrimination power of a BDT (after comparing performance of the BDTs trained without it).

The most important variables chosen as input to the BDTs in the 2-jets 2-tags category are: m_T , the angular separation between the two jets (ΔR_{bb}), the invariant mass of the system composed of the lepton and subleading jet ($m_{\ell b_2}$), the transverse momentum of the two-jet system (p_T^{bb}), and the difference in azimuthal angle between the top quark and the leading jet ($\Delta\phi_{t,b_1}$). The leading and subleading jets refer to the two jets with largest p_T .

The other variables used as input to the BDTs are the invariant mass of the top quark candidate in the event ($m_{\ell\nu b}$), the scalar sum of the p_T of all jets (H_T), the cosine of the angle between lepton and the beam axis in the top quark rest frame ($\cos\theta_\ell$), E_T^{miss} , the lepton p_T , and the difference in azimuthal angle between the top quark and the next-to-leading b jet ($\Delta\phi_{t,b_2}$).

Figure 2 [Figure 2: see original paper] shows the comparison between data and MC events for the highest ranked variables, where the simulation is normalized to the number of events selected in data.

6 Multijet Background

In the 7 TeV analysis, the W boson m_T distribution is employed to discriminate against the multijet background. Multijet events populate the lower part of the m_T spectrum and the requirement $m_T > 50$ GeV is applied to suppress their contribution to a negligible level in the 2-jets 1-tag event category. The number of multijet events that pass the selection is estimated from simulation. In the other categories, the level of multijet production is already small compared to other backgrounds, and its contribution is estimated through a maximum-likelihood fit to the m_T distribution. The fit strategy is similar to that used in the 8 TeV analysis and is described below.

In the 8 TeV analysis, BDT discriminants, referred to as QCD BDTs, are used to reject multijet events following the same procedure as in Section 5. For each event category a QCD BDT is trained using multijet events as signal against non-multijet processes, and the distribution of the QCD BDT discriminant in data is employed to define a multijet-enriched interval. Events with the discriminant value in this interval are rejected from the analysis. A number of rejected multijet events is estimated through a maximum-likelihood fit to the QCD BDT distribution in the multijet-enriched interval in data. This number, multiplied by a scale factor obtained from the selection acceptance, provides the yield of remaining multijet events in the sample.

The most important variables chosen as input to the QCD BDTs in the 2-jets 2-tags category are: lepton p_T , lepton η , $m_{\ell\nu b}$, m_T , the cosine of the angle between lepton and jet recoiling against top quark candidate in the top quark rest frame ($\cos\theta^*$), and the transverse momentum of the leading b jet ($p_T^{b_1}$). The distributions for the multijet background are extracted from a data sample enriched with such events.

In the muon channel, the sample is defined by an anti-isolation requirement on the muon ($0.2 < I_{rel} < 0.5$ at 7 TeV and $I_{rel} > 0.2$ at 8 TeV). In the electron channel, it is defined by requiring the failure either of the isolation criteria or the tight identification criteria on the electron. Since the number of events in the multijet-enriched region at 7 TeV is lower than at 8 TeV due to smaller integrated luminosity recorded, different strategies described above are adopted in the two analyses.

Table 2 presents the s channel and multijet event acceptances of the QCD BDT selection. Different acceptances are observed in the different event categories since the QCD BDT selection is optimized to minimize the loss of signal events.

Figure 3 [Figure 3: see original paper] shows a comparison of the distributions in QCD BDT discriminants in data and simulation in the 2-jets 2-tags category for muon and electron channels at 8 TeV, where the simulation is normalized to events in data.

As described above, both in 7 and 8 TeV analyses (except for 2-jets 1-tag category at 7 TeV) a maximum-likelihood fit is performed to determine the yield in multijet events. We define the parametrized function $F(x) = aV(x) + bM(x)$, where x represents the discriminant variable and $V(x)$ and $M(x)$ are the respective distributions (templates) in the sum of all processes including a W or Z boson in the final state, or multijet events. The $V(x)$ distribution is taken from simulation, while $M(x)$ is the template based on the multijet-enriched data sample.

The total uncertainty on the multijet background is obtained by considering the statistical uncertainty from the fit and possible systematic contributions, which are evaluated by repeating the fit after changing the sum of non-multijet components by 20% and employing a multijet template model taken from an

independent sample in data, where neither of the two jets pass the b tagging requirement.

7 Systematic Uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainties have been investigated and determined as follows.

Uncertainties on the normalization are summarized in Table 3 . Uncertainties on $t\bar{t}$ and W +jets are based on the CMS measurements [?, ?]. We refer to a 7 TeV measurement of relative uncertainty in W +jets, since it represents the most recent result within CMS of the W boson production cross section in association with two b jets. Uncertainties on Z +jets and dibosons come from Refs. [?, ?], respectively, while the uncertainties on single top quark tW production and t channel are taken from Refs. [?, ?, ?]. Uncertainties on the multijet background rate reported in the table refer to the 2-jets 2-tags, 2-jets 1-tag, and 3-jets 2-tags categories, respectively, and come from the extraction procedure described in Section 6.

The uncertainties on jet energy scale (JES) and jet energy resolution (JER) are taken into account in line with Ref. [?]. The “unclustered energy” in the event, which is computed by subtracting from the \vec{p}_T^{miss} the negative vector sum of the uncorrected transverse momenta of jets and leptons not clustered in jets, is changed by 10%. For each of these changes the E_T^{miss} is recalculated accordingly. The uncertainties in lepton-reconstruction and trigger-efficiency scale factors are measured using DY events. The scale factors used to correct simulation to reproduce the b tagging efficiency and the mistag fraction measured in data are changed by their measured uncertainties [?].

The uncertainty in the total number of interactions per bunch crossing (5%) is propagated to the modelling of pileup in the simulated samples. The integrated luminosity is known to an uncertainty of 2.2% for the 7 TeV data [?] and 2.6% for the 8 TeV data [?].

The uncertainty from the choice of factorization and renormalization scales μ_F and μ_R in the QCD calculation is based on dedicated simulated samples of $t\bar{t}$, single top quark production in s channel and t channel, and W +jets events, with μ_F and μ_R varied from half to twice their nominal values. The uncertainty from matching matrix element and parton shower thresholds is determined from simulated samples of $t\bar{t}$ and W +jets with parton matching threshold doubled and halved relative to their nominal values. The uncertainty on the chosen set of PDF is estimated by reweighting the simulated events with each of the 52 eigenvectors of the CT10 PDF parametrization [?].

Differential measurements have shown that the p_T spectrum of the top quarks in $t\bar{t}$ events is significantly softer than the one generated using MC simulation programs [?]. Scale factors for event reweighting are derived from these measurements. The s channel cross section is remeasured based on samples without

any reweighting and samples that have been reweighted with doubled weights, as an indication of the corresponding uncertainty. The effect of the limited number of events in the simulated samples has been taken into account using the “Barlow-Beeston light” method [?].

8 Cross Section Extraction

A binned maximum-likelihood fit is performed to the BDT data distributions in the 2-jets 2-tags, 2-jets 1-tag, and 3-jets 2-tags categories simultaneously. In particular, the inclusion in the fit of the 2-jets 1-tag and 3-jets 2-tags regions largely constrains the W +jets and the $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds respectively while taking into account all possible correlations in the systematic uncertainties for the three samples.

The expected total yield λ_i in each bin i of the BDT distribution is given by the sum of all the background contributions $B_{p,i}$ and the signal yields S_i scaled by the signal-strength modifier β_{signal} , which is defined as the ratio between the measured signal cross section and the SM prediction, as:

$$\lambda_i(\beta_{signal}, \theta_u) = \beta_{signal} S_i + \sum c_p(\theta_u) B_{p,i}$$

The modelling of BDT distributions for the s channel and for each background process p , S and B_p , are scaled to the integrated luminosity of the data according to the SM cross sections. The uncertainty in each background rate, except for multijet events, is included in the likelihood model through a “nuisance” parameter with a log-normal prior ($c_p(\theta_u)$). The multijet component is instead fixed to the value estimated with the method described in Section 6.

The measured s channel cross section is given by the value of β_{signal} at which the logarithm of the likelihood function reaches its maximum. The 68% CL interval for the cross section is evaluated by profiling the logarithm of the likelihood as a function of β_{signal} , and taking the parameter values for which the profile likelihood is 0.5 units below its maximum.

The impact from the systematic uncertainty in the background rate on the s channel cross section is evaluated by removing one nuisance at a time from the likelihood model and measuring the corresponding change in the total uncertainty. The impact of the uncertainties that are not included in the fit are evaluated using the following procedure. For each systematic effect two sets of pseudo-experiments are generated by changing the corresponding quantity by +1 and -1 standard deviation. Maximum-likelihood fits are then performed for each of the sets of pseudo-experiments, and the differences between the fitted β_{signal} and the nominal one are taken as the corresponding uncertainties.

The uncertainties arising from different systematic sources are combined according to Ref. [?]. A breakdown of contributions to the overall uncertainty in the measurement is reported in Table 4 .

Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the comparison of the BDT discriminant distributions in data and simulation in the signal sample, in W +jets and $t\bar{t}$ control samples, in the muon channel at 7 TeV and muon and electron channels at 8 TeV, after the fit to the combined channels. Table 5 summarizes the number of events selected according to the requirements described in Section 4 and after the fit to the combined channels.

Our sensitivity to the s channel single top quark signal is estimated using the derivative of the likelihood test statistic, defined as:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \beta_{signal}} \right|_{\beta_{signal}=0}$$

and evaluated at the maximum-likelihood estimate in the background-only hypothesis. Pseudo-data are generated to construct the distribution of the test statistic for the background-only and the signal + background hypotheses. All the nuisance parameters are allowed to vary according to their prior distributions in the pseudo-experiments, while in the evaluation of q_0 , the likelihood is maximized only with respect to the background rates nuisance parameters.

9 Results

The single top quark production cross section in the s channel has been measured to be: $-\sigma_s = 7.1 \pm 8.1$ (stat + syst) pb, muon channel, 7 TeV; $-\sigma_s = 11.7 \pm 7.5$ (stat + syst) pb, muon channel, 8 TeV; $-\sigma_s = 16.8 \pm 9.1$ (stat + syst) pb, electron channel, 8 TeV; $-\sigma_s = 13.4 \pm 7.3$ (stat + syst) pb, combined, 8 TeV.

The observed (expected) significance of the measurement is 0.9 (0.5) standard deviations at 7 TeV and 2.3 (0.8) for the combined muon and electron fit at 8 TeV. The 68% CL interval for the expected significance is 0.0–1.5 at 7 TeV and 0.0–1.8 at 8 TeV.

The combined fit to the 7 and 8 TeV data determines the signal cross section relative to the SM predictions with a best fit value of $\beta_{signal} = 2.0 \pm 0.9$. The observed significance of the measurement is 2.5 standard deviations with 1.1 standard deviations expected.

The observed upper limit on the s channel cross section at 95% CL is 31.4 pb at 7 TeV and 28.8 pb for the combined muon and electron channel at 8 TeV. Combining the 7 and 8 TeV data, the observed upper limit on the signal strength is 4.7. In Table 6, we report a summary of the observed and expected upper limits at 7 and 8 TeV and for the combination of the channels.

10 Summary

A search is presented for single top quark production in the s channel in pp collisions at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV with the CMS detector at the LHC. A multivariate approach based on boosted decision trees is adopted

to discriminate the signal from background contributions. The cross section is measured to be 7.1 ± 8.1 (stat + syst) pb at 7 TeV and 13.4 ± 7.3 (stat + syst) pb at 8 TeV, corresponding to a combined signal rate relative to SM expectations of 2.0 ± 0.9 (stat + syst). The observed and expected upper limits on the combined signal strength are found to be 4.7 and 3.1 at 95% CL. The observed significance of the combined measurement is 2.5 standard deviations with 1.1 standard deviations expected. The measurements are in agreement with the prediction of the standard model.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l' Industrie et dans l' Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund; the OPUS programme of the National Science Center (Poland); the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the Consorzio per la Fisica (Trieste); MIUR project 20108T4XTM (Italy); the Thalís and Aristeia

programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University (Thailand); and the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845.

References

- [1] D0 Collaboration, “Observation of Single Top-Quark Production” , Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 092001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092001.
- [2] CDF Collaboration, “Observation of Electroweak Single Top-Quark Production”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 092002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092002, arXiv:0903.0885.
- [3] D0 Collaboration, “Evidence for s-channel single top quark production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV” , Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 656, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.048.
- [4] CDF Collaboration and D0 Collaboration, “Observation of s-Channel Production of Single Top Quarks at the Tevatron” , Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 231803, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.231803.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, “Evidence for single top-quark production in the s-channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector using the Matrix Element Method” , (2015). arXiv:1511.05980. submitted to Phys. Lett. B.
- [6] N. Kidonakis, “NNLL threshold resummation for top-pair and single-top production”, Phys. Part. Nucl. 45 (2014) 714, doi:10.1134/S1063779614040091, arXiv:1210.7813.
- [7] M. Hashemi, “Observability of heavy charged Higgs through s-channel single top events at LHC” , JHEP 11 (2013) 005, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2013)005, arXiv:1310.5209.
- [8] T. M. P. Tait and C.-P. Yuan, “Single top quark production as a window to physics beyond the standard model” , Phys. Rev. D 63 (2000) 014018, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.014018, arXiv:hep-ph/0007298.
- [9] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC” , JINST 3 (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [10] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method” , JHEP 11 (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [11] P. M. Nadolsky et al., “Implications of CTEQ global analysis for collider observables”, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 013004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.013004.
- [12] S. Jadach, J. H. Kühn, and Z. Was, “TAUOLA - a library of Monte Carlo programs to simulate decays of polarized leptons” , Comput. Phys. Commun.

64 (1990) 275, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(91)90038-M.

[13] E. Boos et al., “CompHEP 4.4-automatic computations from Lagrangians to events”, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 534 (2004) 250, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2004.07.096, arXiv:hep-ph/0403113. Proceedings of the IX International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research.

[14] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, JHEP 05 (2006) 026, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.

[15] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, JHEP 07 (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.

[16] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, and A. Mitov, “Total Top-Quark Pair-Production Cross Section at Hadron Colliders Through $O(\alpha_S^4)$ ”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 252004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004, arXiv:1303.6254.

[17] Y. Li and F. Petriello, “Combining QCD and electroweak corrections to dilepton production in the framework of the FEWZ simulation code”, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 094034, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.094034.

[18] J. Allison et al., “GEANT4 developments and applications”, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270, doi:10.1109/TNS.2006.869826.

[19] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jets, Taus, and E_T^{miss} ”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.

[20] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, JINST 10 (2015) P06005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005, arXiv:1502.02701.

[21] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm”, JHEP 04 (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.

[22] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The catchment area of jets”, JHEP 04 (2008) 005, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/005, arXiv:0802.1188.

[23] CMS Collaboration, “Jet Performance in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-10-003 (2010).

[24] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment”, JINST 8 (2013) P04013, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013, arXiv:1211.4462.

[25] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of b tagging at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in multijet, $t\bar{t}$ and boosted topology events”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-13-001, 2013.

- [26] L. Breiman, J. Friedman, C. J. Stone, and R. A. Olshen, “Classification and regression trees” . CRC press, 1984.
- [27] S. Heim, Q.-H. Cao, R. Schwienhorst, and C.-P. Yuan, “Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to s-channel single top quark production and decay at the LHC” , Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 034005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.034005.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV” , J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2014) 024, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)024.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the production cross section for a W boson and two b jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV” , Phys. Lett. B 735 (2014) 204, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.041.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the production cross sections for a Z boson and one or more b jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV” , J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 120, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2014)120.
- [31] J. M. Campbell, K. R. Ellis, and C. Williams, “Vector boson pair production at the LHC” , JHEP 07 (2011) 018, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)018, arXiv:1105.0020.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the t -channel single-top-quark production cross section and of the $|V_{tb}|$ CKM matrix element in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV” , J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 090, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2014)090.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Observation of the Associated Production of a Single Top Quark and a W Boson in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV” , Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 231802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.231802.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS” , JINST 6 (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [35] CMS Collaboration, “Absolute Calibration of the Luminosity Measurement at CMS: Winter 2012 Update” , CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-SMP-12-008, 2012.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “CMS Luminosity Based on Pixel Cluster Counting - Summer 2013 Update” , CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-13-001, 2013.
- [37] H.-L. Lai et al., “New parton distributions for collider physics” , Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024, arXiv:1007.2241.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of differential top-quark-pair production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV” , Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2339, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2339-4.
- [39] R. Barlow and C. Beeston, “Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples” , Comput. Phys. Commun. 77 (1993) 219, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W.

[40] R. Barlow, “Asymmetric Systematic Errors”, (2003). arXiv:physics/0306138.

The CMS Collaboration

Author list appears in original document

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: ChinaXiv – Machine translation. Verify with original.